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§ 0. Introduction and notation. In [7], the "curvature" of the Carathéodory metric on a bounded domain in $C^{m}$ is considered by using the generalized Hessian of this metric; it may be called the Hessian-curvature. Referring to this, we define Hessian quartic forms to an arbitrary hermitian metric. These Hessian quartic forms enable us to provide another proof for the following result of Wu [14; Lemmas 1 and 4]: The holomorphic sectional curvature coincides with the maximum of the Gaussian curvatures to all local one-dimensional submanifolds that contact at the point in the direction under consideration (Corollary 1.8).

Modifying the construction of the $n$-th order Bergman metric introduced in [6] (also see [5]), we define quantities $\mu_{0, n}(n \in N)$ as follows: We consider a certain linear functional on a specified subspace of square-integrable holomorphic $m$-forms on a $m$-dimensional complex manifold and define the quantity $\mu_{n}$ by the square of the operator norm of this functional (Proposition 3.7). We then set $\mu_{0, n}:=\mu_{n} / \mu_{0}$. The quantity $\mu_{0, n}$ is a $[0,+\infty)$-valued function on the tangent bundle, and is biholomorphic invariant (Theorem 4.2). Especially $\mu_{0,1}$ is the usual Bergman metric, and $2\left(\mu_{0,1}\right)^{2}-\mu_{0,2}$ is the quartic form defining the holomorphic sectional curvature of the Bergman metric (Theorem 4.4).

Let $\lambda_{0, n}^{z}$ be the $n$-th order Bergman metric on a complex manifold, relative to a coordinate $z$, as introduced in [6]. Then the Hessian quartic form of the Bergman metric coincides with $2\left(\mu_{0,1}\right)^{2}-\lambda_{0,2}^{z}$ (Corollary 5.4). In general, $\lambda_{0,2}^{z} \geqq \mu_{0,2}$ with an explicit statement as to when equality holds (Proposition 5.5). Finally, we note that the quantity $\lambda_{0,2}^{z}$ does depend on the coordinate $z$, by examining a concrete example (Corollary 5.8). One should observe, however, that while the quantity $\lambda_{0, n}^{z}$ with $n \geqq 2$ is biholomorphic invariant in the weak sense mentioned
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in $[5,6]$, it is nevertheless dependent on the coordinate $z$, that is one cannot regard it as a global function on the tangent bundle of the manifold.

Notation. The following notation will be used throughout the paper.

### 0.1. Matrices.

(0.1.1) For a positive integer $n \in \boldsymbol{N}$, we put:
$M(n, C):=$ the set of all $(n, n)$-matrices over $\boldsymbol{C}$.
$G L(n, C):=\{A \in M(n, C) ; \operatorname{det} A \neq 0\}$.
$S(n, C):=\{A \in M(n, \boldsymbol{C}) ; A$ is symmetric $\}$.
$H(n, C):=\{A \in M(n, C) ; A$ is hermitian $\}$.
$P s(n, C):=\{A \in H(n, C) ; A$ is positive semi-definite $\}$.
$P(n, C):=\{A \in H(n, C) ; A$ is positive definite $\}$.
(0.1.2) For $A \in P s(n, \boldsymbol{C})$, we denote by $A^{1 / 2}$ the square-root of $A$ in $P s(n, \boldsymbol{C})$. If $A \in P(n, C)$ we put $A^{-1 / 2}:=\left(A^{-1}\right)^{1 / 2}$, where $A^{-1}$ is the inverse matrix of $A$ (note that $A^{-1 / 2} \in P(n, C)$ ).

### 0.2. Manrfolds.

(0.2.1) The letter " $M$ " will always mean a paracompact connected complex manifold, while the letter " $m$ " designates its complex dimension. The term "coordinate $z$ " stands for a local coordinate system $z=\left(z^{1}, \cdots, z^{m}\right)$ in $M$ with defining domain " $U_{2}$ ". We write $\partial_{a}^{z}:=\partial / \partial z^{a}(a=1, \cdots, m)$, for simplicity.
(0.2.2) For a point $p \in M$, we set:
$T_{p}(M):=$ the holomorphic tangent space at $p$.
$T(M)$ : = the holomorphic tangent bundle of $M$.
$\Lambda_{p}^{(s, t)}(M):=$ the space of all $(s, t)$-forms at $p$.
(0.2.3) For a pair of coordinates $z$ and $w$ in $M$ with $U_{z} \cap U_{w} \neq \phi$, we denote by $J_{z}^{w}$ the Jacobian of $w^{\bullet} z^{-1}$, i.e. $J_{z}^{w}:=\operatorname{det}\left(\hat{o}_{a}^{z} . w^{b}\right)_{a, b}$.
(0.2.4) For a coordinate $z=\left(z^{1}, \cdots, z^{m}\right)$, we put $d z:=d z^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d z^{m}$. The pullback of the euclidian volume element on $C^{m}$ by $z$ is given by $\left(\sqrt{-1^{m}} / 2^{m}\right) d z \wedge \overline{d z}$.

### 0.3. Multi-2ndices.

Let $m$ be the dimension of $M$ as in (0.2.1).
(0.3.1) Let $M I(n):=\{1, \cdots, m\}^{n}, \operatorname{MII}(n):=\left\{\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right) \in M I(n) ; a_{\imath} \leqq a_{\imath+1}\right.$ $(2=1, \cdots, n-1)\}(n \in N)$, and $M I(0):=M I I(0)=\{\phi\}$. By a multi-index (resp. an increasing multi-index) of length $n$ we mean an element of $M I(n)$ (resp. $M I I(n)$ ).
(0.3.2) For a pair of increasing multi-indices $A=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ and $B=\left(b_{1}, \cdots\right.$, $b_{n^{\prime}}$ ), we write $A<B$ if $n<n^{\prime}$ or if $n=n^{\prime}$ implies that $a_{2}=b_{i}\left(i<i_{0}\right)$ and $a_{2_{0}}<b_{i_{0}}$ for some $z_{n} \in\{1, \cdots, n\}$.
(0.3.3) For a non-negative integer $n \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{+}$, we denote by $\varphi(n)$ the cardinality of the set $\bigcup_{j=0}^{n} M I I(j)$. Thus $\varphi(n)=\binom{m+n}{n}$, while the cardinality of $M I I(n)$ is $\varphi(n)-\varphi(n-1)=\binom{m+n-1}{n}$ with $\varphi(-1):=0$.
(0.3.4) We denote by $\Phi$ the unique order-preserving bijection from $N$ onto $\cup_{n=0}^{\infty} M I I(n)$. Thus, for an increasing multi-index $A$ and for $n \in \boldsymbol{N}$ we have $A \in M I I(n)$ if and only if $\Phi(\varphi(n-1))<A \leqq \Phi(\varphi(n))$.

### 0.4. Local differential operators.

Let $z=\left(z^{1}, \cdots, z^{m}\right)$ be a coordinate in $M$.
(0.4.1) For a constant vector $v=\left(v^{1}, \cdots, v^{i n}\right)$ in $C^{m}$ we put (see (0.2.1)): $\partial_{v}^{z}:=\sum v^{a} \partial_{a}^{z},\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)^{0}:=1^{z},\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)^{n}:=\partial_{v}^{z}\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)^{n-1}(n=1,2, \cdots)$, where $1^{z}$ stands for the identity operator on functions on $U_{z}$.
(0.4.2) For a multi-index $A=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ we put: $\partial_{A}^{2}:=\partial_{a_{1}}^{2} \cdots \partial_{a_{n}}^{2}$ (when $n=0$ we have $\partial_{\phi}^{z}=1^{z}$ ).
§ 1. Hessian quartic form of a hermitian metric. Let $g$ be an arbitrary hermitian metric on $M$, and let $R$ be the hermitian curvature tensor to the metric in the sense of Kobayashi and Nomizu [12; pp. 155-159] (cf. also [11; pp. 37-39]). For a coordinate $z$ in $M$, we put: $g_{2, a \bar{b}}:=g\left(\hat{\partial}_{a}^{z}, \partial_{\bar{b}}^{\bar{b}}\right),\left(g_{z}^{\bar{b} a}\right):=\left(g_{2, a \bar{b}}\right)^{-1}, R_{2, a \bar{c} c \bar{d}}:=$ $g\left(R\left(\hat{\partial}_{c}^{z}, \bar{\partial}_{d}^{z}\right) \bar{\partial}_{b}^{z}, \partial_{a}^{z}\right)(a, b, c, d \in\{1, \cdots, m\})$. Thus,

$$
R_{z, a \bar{c} c \bar{d}}=\partial_{c}^{z} \partial_{d}^{z}, g_{z, a \bar{b}}-\sum_{s, t} g_{z}^{i s}\left(\partial_{c}^{z}, g_{2, a i}\right)\left(\partial_{d}^{z}, g_{z, s \bar{b}}\right) .
$$

Definition 1.1. For $p \in M$, we define a quartic form $\operatorname{Sec}(p ; \cdot)$ on $T_{p}(M)$ by

$$
\operatorname{Sec}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right):=-\sum R_{z, a \bar{b} c \bar{d}}(p) v^{a} \bar{v}^{b} v^{c} \bar{v}^{d},
$$

where $z$ is a coordinate around $p$ and $v \in C^{m}$ (see (0.4.1)). Since $\operatorname{Sec}(p ; X)$ $/ g(X, \bar{X})^{2}$ is the holomorphic sectional curvature of $g$ in the direction $X \in T_{p}(M)$ $-\{0\}$, we call $\operatorname{Sec}(p ; \cdot)$ the curvature quartic form of $g$ at $p$.

Remark 1.2. Since $R_{z, a \bar{b} c \bar{d}}$ are components of a tensor, the definition of $\operatorname{Sec}(p ; \cdot)$ does not depend on the coordinate $z$ around $p$.

Definition 1.3. For a coordinate $z$ and $v \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m}-\{0\}$, we set $g_{2, v}:=g\left(\partial_{v}^{z}, \partial_{v}^{z}\right)$ $>0$. For $p \in U_{z}$ we define a quartic form $\operatorname{Hess}^{2}(p ; \cdot)$ on $T_{p}(M)$ as follows:

$$
\operatorname{Hess}^{2}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right):= \begin{cases}-g_{z, v \bar{v}}(p) \partial_{\partial}^{z} \partial_{v}^{z} \cdot \log g_{2, v \bar{v}}(p), & v \neq 0 \\ 0, & v=0 .\end{cases}
$$

Since $\partial_{v}^{2} \partial_{v}^{z}$ is a complex Hessian, we call $\operatorname{Hess}^{2}(p ; \cdot)$ the Hesszan quartic form of $g$, at $p$, relative to $z$.

LEMMA 1.4. Let $g$ be $a$ hermitian metruc on $M, z$ a fixed coordinate around $p$ and $v$ a constant vector in $C^{m}-\{0\}$. We consider the complex line $L:=z(p)+C v$ in the space $\boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ and the connected component $M_{1}$ of $z^{-1}(L)$, containing $p$, which is a one-dimensional complex submanifold in $U_{z}$. We denote by $\operatorname{Gauss}(p, v ; \cdot)$ the curvature quartic form, at $p$, of the metric induced from $g$ on $M_{1}$. Then, newing $T_{p}\left(M_{1}\right)$ as a subspace of $T_{p}(M)$,

$$
\operatorname{Hess}^{z}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right)=\operatorname{Gauss}\left(p, v ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right)
$$

Proof. The mapping $M_{1} \ni z^{-1}(z(p)+\xi v) \mapsto \xi \in C$, denoted by $t$, is a coordinate in $M_{1}$ around $p$, while the inclusion mapping $\iota: M_{1} \rightarrow M$ may be represented, under the coordinates $t$ and $z$, as $\xi \mapsto z(p)+\xi v$. The induced metric $\iota^{*} g$ is given by

$$
t^{*} g=2 \sum g_{z, a \bar{b}^{\circ}:} v^{a} \bar{v}^{b} d t \cdot \overline{d t}=2 g_{z, v \bar{v}} \circ d t \cdot \overline{d t}
$$

and the hermitian curvature tensor to $\iota^{*} g$ is

$$
{ }^{1} R_{t, 1 \overline{11} 1 \mathrm{i}}=\hat{\partial}^{t} \partial^{t} \cdot g_{z, v \overline{0} \circ t-}\left|\hat{\partial}^{t} \cdot g_{z, v \overline{0}} 0^{2}\right|^{2} / g_{z, v \overline{0}} \circ C
$$

Since $\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}=\iota_{*}\left(\partial^{t}\right)_{p}=\left(\partial^{t}\right)_{p}$ by the identification of $T_{p}\left(M_{1}\right)$ with $\iota_{*} T_{p}\left(M_{1}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{Gauss}\left(p, v ;\left(\partial_{v}^{2}\right)_{p}\right)=\operatorname{Gauss}\left(p, v ;\left(\partial^{t}\right)_{p}\right)=-{ }^{1} R_{t, 1111}(p)=\operatorname{Hess}^{z}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right)$, and the result follows.

Let $(,)_{m}$ (resp. $\left\|\|_{n}\right.$ ) be the canonical hermitian inner product (resp. the induced norm) on $C^{m}$. Then, for every $p \in U_{z}$ we have $g_{z, v \bar{v}}(p)=v G_{z}(p) v^{*}$ $=\left\|v G_{z}(p)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{m}^{2}$, where $G_{z}:=\left(g_{z, a \bar{b}}\right)$ (see (0.1.2)).

Proposition 1.5. Let $g$ be a hermitian metric on $M$, and $z$ be $a$ coordrnate with $G_{z}=\left(g_{z, a \bar{b}}\right)$. Then, for every $(p, v) \in U_{z} \times\left(\boldsymbol{C}^{m}-\{0\}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Sec}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right)-\operatorname{Hess}^{2}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\left\|v A^{1 / 2}\right\|_{m}^{2}\left\|v B A^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{m}^{2}-\left|(v B, v)_{m}\right|^{2}\right) /\left\|v A^{1 / 2}\right\|_{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

uhere $A:=G_{2}(p)$ and $B:=\partial_{v}^{2} \cdot G_{z}(p)$. In partıcular, we have

$$
\operatorname{Hess}^{2}\left(p ;\left(\hat{\partial}_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right) \leqq \operatorname{Sec}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right)
$$

with equality if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \hat{o}_{v}^{z} \cdot G_{z}(p)=\xi v G_{z}(p) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some scalar $\xi \in C$.
Proof. By Definitions 1.1 and 1.3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Sec}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right)-\operatorname{Hess}^{z}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right) & =v B A^{-1} B^{*} v^{*}-\left|v B v^{*}\right|^{2} / v A v^{*} \\
& =\left\|v B A^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{m}^{2}-\left|(v B, v)_{m}\right|^{2} /\left\|v A^{1 / 2}\right\|_{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The last term is zero if and only if $v B A^{-1 / 2}=\xi v A^{1 / 2}$ for some $\xi \in C$. This is equivalent to (1.1) and the proof is complete.

Lemma 1.6. Let $g$ be a hermitian metric on $M$, and let a point $p \in M$ and a tangent vector $X \in T_{p}(M)-\{0\}$ be given. Then, there exists a coordinate $z$ around $p$ so that condition (1.1) holds for $v \in C^{m}$ with $X=\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}$.

Proof. We arbitrarily fix a coordinate $w=\left(w^{1}, \cdots, w^{m}\right)$ around $p$ with $w(p)$ $=0$. For every $\left(\xi_{b}^{a}\right) \in G L(m, \boldsymbol{C})$ and $\left(\xi_{a b}^{c}\right)_{a, b} \in S(m, \boldsymbol{C})(c=1, \cdots, m)$ (see (0.1.1)), the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{c}=\sum_{a} \xi_{a}^{c} z^{a}+\sum_{a, b} \xi_{a s}^{c} z^{a} z^{b} \quad(c=1, \cdots, m) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

define a new coordinate $z=\left(z^{1}, \cdots, z^{m}\right)$ around $p$ with $z(p)=0$ by the inverse mapping theorem. We shall select the numbers $\xi_{a}^{c}, \xi_{a b}^{c}$ so that $z$ satisfies (1.1) for $v \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ with $X=\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}$.

First, we can find a matrix $\left(\xi_{a}^{c}\right)$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{a}=0(a=2, \cdots, m), \quad G_{z}(p)=1_{m n}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{z}:=\left(g_{z, a \bar{b}}\right)$ and $1_{m}$ is the identity matrix. Indeed, we set $X_{1}$ : $=X / g(X, \bar{X})^{1 / 2}$ and select $X_{2}, \cdots, X_{m} \in T_{p}(M)$ so that $g\left(X_{a}, \bar{X}_{b}\right)=\delta_{a b}$. If we write $\sum_{c} \xi_{a}^{c}\left(\partial_{c}^{w}\right)_{p}:=X_{a}$, then $\left(\xi_{a}^{c}\right)$ is the desired matrix.

By virtue of (1.3), condition (1.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{o}_{1}^{2}, g_{2,1 \bar{d}}(p)=0 \quad(d=2, \cdots, m) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of (1.2), condition (1.4) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{a, \Delta} g_{w, a \bar{\delta}}(p) \bar{\xi}_{d}^{b} \xi_{1}^{a}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a, b, c} \partial_{c}^{w} \cdot g_{w, a \bar{b}}(p) \xi_{\xi}^{c} \xi_{1}^{a} \xi_{d}^{b} \quad(d:=2, \cdots, m) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $G_{w}(p)\left(\bar{\xi}_{b}^{a}\right) \in G L(m, C)$, equations (1.5) with unknowns $\hat{\xi}_{11}^{a}(a=1, \cdots, m)$ possess a solution. This concludes the proof.

Combining the last lemma with Proposition 1.5, we obtain the following assertion :

Proposition 1.7. For $X \in T_{p}(M), \operatorname{Sec}(p ; X)$ councudes with max $\left\{\operatorname{Hess}^{2}(p ; X)\right.$; $z$ as a coordinate around $p\}$.

By virtue of Lemma 1.4, this proposition yields the following result which was alluded to in the introduction of this paper.

Corollary 1.8. (Wu [14; Lemmas 1 and 4]). For a tangent vector $X \in T_{p}(M)-\{0\}$, the holomorphic sectional curvature $\operatorname{Sec}(p ; X) / g(X, \bar{X})^{2}$ to a hermitzan metric $g$ on $M$ coincides with $\max \left\{G C_{S}(p) ; S\right.$ is a local one-dimensional submanifold such that $S \ni p$ and $\left.\iota_{S *} T_{p}(S)=\boldsymbol{C} X\right\}$, where $\iota_{s}$ is the inclusion mapping
of $S$ into $M$, and $G C_{S}(p)$ is the Gaussian curvature at $p$ to the induced metric $\iota_{S}{ }^{*} g$.

Remark 1.9. In [7], a generalized definition of the "Hessian curvature" $\operatorname{Hess}^{z}(p: X) / g(X, \bar{X})^{2}$ is used for the square of the Carathéodory metric on a bounded domain in $C^{m}$.
$\S 2$. The Bergman form. We recall the notion of the Bergman form of $M$. For this we follow the description given in $[5,6]$. The set of all holomorphic $m$-forms $\alpha$ on $M$ satisfying $\|\alpha\|^{2}:=\left(\sqrt{-1^{m}} / 2^{m}\right) \int_{M} \alpha \wedge \bar{\alpha}<+\infty$ is denoted by $H(M)$. The space $H(M)$ becomes a pre-Hilbert space over $C$ with an inner product (, ) inherited from the norm $\|\|$.

DEfinition 2.1. Let $\alpha$ be a ( $m, 0$ )-form on $M$, and let $z$ be a coordinate in M. We denote by $\alpha_{z}$ the function on $U_{z}$ such that $\left.\alpha\right|_{U_{z}}=\alpha_{z} d z$ (see (0.2.4)).

Applying the Cauchy integral formula to $\alpha_{z}, \alpha \in H(M)$, we find that $H(M)$ is in fact a separable Hilbert space, and, moreover, for a coordinate $z$ around a point $p \in M$ and for a holomorphic differential operator $D^{z}$ on $U_{z}$, the linear functional $H(M) \ni \alpha \mapsto D^{z} . \alpha_{z}(p) \in \boldsymbol{C}$ is bounded (see also Kobayashi [10] and Lichnerowicz [13]). By the Riesz-representation theorem there exists a unique element $\gamma\left(D^{z}, p\right) \in H(M)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{z} \cdot \alpha_{z}(p)=\left(\alpha, \gamma\left(D^{z}, p\right)\right), \quad \alpha \in H(M) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Especially, when $D^{z}=1^{x}$ (see (0.4.1)), we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{2, p}:=\gamma\left(1^{z}, p\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For another coordinate $w$ around $p$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{z, p}=\overline{J_{z}^{w}(p)} \kappa_{w, p} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\alpha_{z}=\int_{z}^{w} \alpha_{w}$ on $U_{z} \cap U_{w}$ for every $\alpha \in H(M)$ (see (0.2.3)).
LEMMA 2.2. Let $\gamma=\gamma\left(D^{z}, p\right)\left(r e s p . \kappa_{z, p}\right)$ be as in (2.1) (resp. (2.2)). Then, $D^{z} .\left(\kappa_{z, p}\right)_{z}(p)=\overline{\gamma_{z}}(\bar{p})$.

Proof. By definition $\left.D^{z} \cdot\left(\kappa_{z, p}\right)_{z}(p)=\left(\kappa_{z, p}, \gamma\right)=\overline{\left(\gamma, \kappa_{z, p}\right.}\right)=\overline{\gamma_{z}(p)}$, and the result follows.

Let $\bar{M}$ be the conjugate complex manifold of $M$, and denote by $M \ni p \mapsto \bar{p} \in \bar{M}$ the conjugation. For a coordinate $z$ in $M$, we denote by $\bar{z}$ the conjugate coordinate of $z$ with defining domain $\overline{U_{2}}$, i. e. $\bar{z}(\bar{p}):=\overline{z(p)}, p \in U_{z}$.

Definition 2.3. For $p, q \in M$ we set $K(q, \bar{p}):=\kappa_{z, p}(q) \wedge d \bar{z}_{\bar{p}}$, where $z$ is a
coordinate around $p$. By property (2.3) the quantity $K$ is a well-defined ( $2 m, 0$ )form on the product manifold $M \times \bar{M}$ of dimension $2 m$, and is called the Bergman form of $M$ (cf. [5, 6]).

Applying Definition 2.1 for the manifold $M \times \bar{M}$, we find that $\left.K\right|_{U_{w}: \overline{U_{2}}}=$ $K_{w \times \bar{z}} d w \wedge d \bar{z}$. On the other hand, by Definition 2.3

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{w \times \bar{i}}(\cdot, \bar{p})=\left(\kappa_{z, p}\right)_{w} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $U_{w}$, for every $p \in U_{z}$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.K_{w \times \bar{i}}(q, \bar{p})=\overline{K_{z \times \bar{w}}} \bar{p}, \bar{q}\right), \quad(p, q) \in U_{z} \times U_{w} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (2.4) and (2.5), the function $K_{w \times \bar{z}}$ is holomorphic on $U_{w} \times U_{z}$ by Hartogs' theorem of holomorphy. Thus, the Bergman form is a holomorphic $2 m$-form on $M \times \bar{M}$.

Definition 2.4. Let $D$ be a holomorphic differential operator on a coordinate neighborhood $U_{2}$, and let $\omega=\sum_{A \in F} \omega_{A} d z^{A}$ be a holomorphic differential form on $U_{z}$, where $F$ is a finite subset of $\bigcup_{n=0}^{m} M I I(n)$ (see (0.3.1)). Let $d z^{A}:=$ $d z^{a_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d z^{a_{n}}$ for $A=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right) \in F$. We denote by $D . \omega$ the action of $D$ on $\omega$ coefficient-wise, i.e. $D . \omega:=\Sigma_{A \in F}\left(D . \omega_{A}\right) d z^{4}$. Viewing $\bar{D}$ as a holomorphic differential operator on $M \times \bar{U}_{z}$, we have $D . K(q, \bar{p})=\bar{D} . K_{w \times i}(q, \bar{p}) d w_{q} \wedge d \bar{z}_{\bar{p}}$, $(q, \bar{p}) \in U_{w} \times \bar{U}_{z}^{-}$. We denote by $\bar{D} . K(\cdot, \bar{q}) / d \bar{z}_{\bar{p}}$ the well-defined holomorphic $m$ form $\beta$ on $M$ such that $\left.\beta\right|_{U_{w}}=\bar{D} \cdot K_{w \times \overline{2}}(\cdot, \bar{p}) d w$ for every coordinate $w$, i.e. $\bar{D} . K(\cdot, \bar{p})=\left(\bar{D} . K(\cdot, \bar{p}) / d \bar{z}_{\bar{p}}\right) \wedge d \bar{z}_{\bar{p}}$.

Proposition 2.5. ([5; Lemma 1], [6; Lemma 1]). Let $D^{2}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.E^{w}\right)$ be a holomorphic differential operator on a coordinate nerghborhood $U_{z}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.U_{w}\right)$ of p (resp. q). Let $\gamma\left(D^{2}, p\right)$ and $\gamma\left(E^{w}, q\right)$ be as in (2.1). Then:
(i) $\overline{D^{2}} \cdot K(\cdot, \bar{p}) / d \bar{z}_{\bar{p}}=\gamma\left(D^{2}, p\right) \in H(M)$;
(ii) $\left(\gamma\left(D^{z}, p\right), \gamma\left(E^{w}, q\right)\right)=E^{w} \overline{D_{z}} \cdot K_{w \times \bar{z}}(q, \bar{p})$.

Proof. (i) Let $x$ be a coordinate, and let $D:=D^{2}$. Using Lemma 2.2, (2.4) and (2.5) we have for every $r \in U_{x}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(D, p)_{x}(r) & =D \cdot\left(\overline{\left.\kappa_{x, r}\right)_{z}(p)}\right. \\
& =\bar{D} \cdot K_{z \times \bar{x}}(p, \bar{r}) \\
& =\bar{D} \cdot \overline{K_{2 \times \bar{x}}(p, \bar{r})} \\
& =\bar{D} \cdot K_{x \times \times}(r, \bar{p}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\left.\gamma(D, p)\right|_{U_{x}}=\bar{D} . K_{x \times i}(\cdot, \bar{p}) d x$, as desired.
(ii) By definition and part (i), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\gamma\left(D^{z}, p\right), \gamma\left(E^{w}, q\right)\right) & =E^{w} \cdot \gamma\left(D^{z}, p\right)_{w}(q) \\
& =E^{w} \cdot\left(\overline{D^{z}} \cdot K_{w \times \bar{z}}(\cdot, \bar{p})\right)(q) \\
& =E^{w} \overline{D^{z}} \cdot K_{w \times \dot{z}}(q, \bar{p})
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired. This concludes the proof.
Corollary 2.6. (Characterization of the Bergman form). The Bergman form $K$ is a unique ( $2 m, 0$ )-form on the product manifold $M \times \bar{M}$ with the reproducing property, in the sense that $K(\cdot, \bar{p}) \in H(M) \wedge \Lambda_{\bar{p}}^{(m, 0)}(\bar{M})$ for every $p \in M$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{z}(p)=\left(\alpha, K(\cdot, \bar{p}) / d \bar{z}_{\bar{p}}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\alpha \in H(M)$, and every pair of $p$ and $z$ with $p \in U_{z}$.
Proof. The Bergman form $K$ possesses the reproducing property by Definition 2.3 and Proposition 2.5 (i). The uniqueness of $K$ follows from Aronszajn [1; item (2), p. 343].

Proposition 2.7. Let $\left(\beta_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}\left(N \in Z_{+} \cup\{+\infty\}\right)$ be a complete orthonormal system of $H(M)$. If $z$ (resp. w) is a coordinate around a point $p \in M(r e s p . q \in M)$, then the series $\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\beta_{j}\right)_{w}(q) \overline{\left(\beta_{j}\right)_{z}(p)}$ converges absolutely to $K_{w \times \bar{z}}(q, \bar{p})$, where $K$ is the Bergman form of $M$.

Proof. It follows from (2.6) that the Fourier coefficients $\xi_{j}$ of $K(\cdot, \bar{p}) / d \bar{z}_{\bar{p}}$ with respect to $\left(\beta_{j}\right)$ are given by $\xi_{j}:=\left(K(\cdot, \bar{p}) / d \bar{z}_{\bar{p}}, \beta_{j}\right)=\overline{\left(\beta_{j}\right)_{z}(p)}$. By the completeness of $\left(\beta_{j}\right)$ we have $\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j} \beta_{j}-K(\cdot, \bar{p}) / d \bar{z}_{\bar{p}}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow N$. Another application of (2.6) gives $\lim _{n \rightarrow N} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j}\left(\beta_{j}\right)_{w}(q)=K_{w \times \bar{z}}(q, \bar{p})$, and the result follows.

Remark 2.8. By virtue of Proposition 2.7, the Bergman form introduced in Definition 2.3 coincides, up to a multiplicative constant, with the Bergman kernel form given in Kobayashi [10; p. 269] (see also [13]).
§3. Extremal quantities of the space $H(M)$. We shall first establish a chain rule for the differential operators $\partial_{A}^{z}$ (see (0.4.2)). For $n \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{+}$, we denote by $\Pi(n)$ the family of all partitions of the set $\{1, \cdots, n\}(\Pi(0)=\{\phi\})$. Given a multi-index $A=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right) \in M I(n)$ and a subset $P \subset\{1, \cdots, n\}$, we set $\partial_{A \mid P}^{z}:=$ $\Pi_{2 \in P} \partial_{a_{2}}^{z}$ (when $n=0$, we have $\partial_{\phi \mid \phi}^{z}=1^{z}$ ).

LEMMA 3.1. Let $z$ and $w$ be coordinates in $M$ with $U_{z} \cap U_{w} \neq \phi$, and let $A \in M I(n)$. Then, for every holomorphic function $f$ on $U_{z} \cap U_{w}$, we have $\partial_{A}^{2} . f$ $=\sum_{q \in \Pi(\pi)} f_{A, \mathscr{P}}$, where $f_{A, \mathscr{P}}$ with $\mathscr{P}=\left\{P_{1}, \cdots, P_{u}\right\}$ is the function given by

$$
\sum_{\left(t_{\imath}\right) \in M I(u)}\left(\partial_{A \mid P_{1}}^{z} \cdot w^{t_{3}}\right) \cdots\left(\partial_{A \mid P_{u}}^{z} \cdot w^{b_{u}}\right)\left(\partial_{\left(b_{i}\right)}^{w} . f\right)
$$

Proof. The proof is carried by induction on $n \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{+}$, using the formula

$$
\partial_{a_{n+1}}^{2} \cdot f_{A^{\prime}, \mathscr{P}}=\sum_{v=1}^{u} f_{A, \mathscr{P}(\nu)}+f_{A, Q^{\prime}}
$$

Here $A=\left(A^{\prime}, a_{n+1}\right) \in M I(n+1), \mathscr{P}=\left\{P_{1}, \cdots, P_{u}\right\} \in \Pi(n), \mathscr{P}(\nu):=\left\{P_{1}, \cdots, P_{v} \cup\{n+1\}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, P_{u}\right\}$, and $\mathscr{P}^{\prime}:=\left\{P_{1}, \cdots, P_{u},\{n+1\}\right\}$. The proof is now complete.

Definition 3.2. For every $n \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{+}$and $p \in M$, we define a subspace $H_{n}(p)$ of $H(M)$ and a condition $\left(C_{n}\right)_{p}$ as follows:

$$
H_{n}(p):=\left\{\alpha \in H(M) ; \partial_{A}^{2} \cdot \alpha(p)=0\left(A \in \bigcup_{j=0}^{n=1} M I(j)\right)\right\} \quad\left(H_{0}(p)=H(M)\right),
$$

$\left(C_{n}\right)_{p}:\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { For every vector }\left(\xi^{A}\right)_{A \in M I I(n)} \in C^{N}-\{0\}, \text { there exists a form } \alpha \in H_{n}(f) \\ \text { such that } \sum_{A} \xi^{A} \partial_{A}^{2}, \alpha(p) \neq 0 .\end{array}\right.$
Here, $z$ is an arbitrary fixed coordinate around $p$ and $N:=\varphi(n)-\varphi(n-1)$ (see (0.3.3)). Condition $\left(C_{n}\right)$ stands for the collection of all $\left(C_{n}\right)_{p}(p \in M)$.

By Lemma 3.1, we see that the definitions of $H_{n}(p)$ and $\left(C_{n}\right)_{p}$ do not depend on the choice of the coordinate $z$.

Remark 3.3. Condition $\left(C_{0}\right)$ (resp. $\left(C_{1}\right)$ ) coincides with condition (A. 1) (resp. (A.2)) of Kobayashi [10].

Lemma 3.4. Let $K$ be the Bergman form of $M, z$ be a coordinate around a point $p \in M$ and let $n \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{+}$. Set $S(p, z):=\left\{\partial_{A}^{\bar{z}} \cdot K(\cdot, \bar{p}) / d \bar{z}_{\bar{p}} ; A \in \bigcup_{j=0}^{n} M I I(j)\right\} \subset$ $H(M)$. Then:
(i) The space $H_{n+1}(M)$ corncrdes with $S(p, z)^{2}$, the orthogonal subspace of the subset $S(p, z)$ in $H(M)$.
(ii) Conditions $\left(C_{j}\right)_{p}(\jmath=0, \cdots, n)$ hold true af and only if the system $S(p, z)$ is linearly independent in $H(M)$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.5 (i),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{A}^{z} \cdot \alpha_{z}(p)=\left(\alpha, \bar{\partial}_{A}^{\dot{L}} \cdot K(\cdot, \bar{p}) / d \bar{z}_{\bar{p}}\right), \quad \alpha \in H(M) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, assertion (i) follows immediately from (3.1). To prove part (ii), suppose that $\left(C_{j}\right)_{p}(j=0, \cdots, n)$ hold true, and let

$$
\sum_{\jmath=0}^{n} \sum_{A \in M I I(\jmath)} \xi^{A} \partial_{A}^{z} \cdot K(\cdot, \bar{p}) / d \bar{z}_{\bar{p}}=0
$$

for a vector $\left(\xi^{4}\right)$. It follows from (3.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{A \in M I I(\jmath)} \xi^{A} \partial_{A}^{z} . \alpha_{z}(p)=0, \quad \alpha \in H(M) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying formula (3.2) on $\alpha \in H_{n}(p)$ and using assumption $\left(C_{n}\right)_{p}$, we find that $\xi^{A}=0$ for every $A \in M I I(n)$. Similarly and inductively, we conclude that $\xi^{A}=0$ for every $A$. Conversely, suppose that
$S(p, z)$ is linearly independent in $H(M)$,
and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{A \in M I I(j)} \xi^{A} \partial_{A}^{2} \cdot \alpha(p)=0 \quad\left(\alpha \in H_{j}(p)\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\jmath \in\{0, \cdots, n\}$ and $\xi^{\Lambda} \in \boldsymbol{C}$. Substituting (3.1) into formula (3.4), we see that $\sum_{A \in M I(j)} \xi^{A} \overline{\partial_{A}^{\bar{z}}} . K(\cdot, \bar{p}) / d \bar{z}_{\bar{p}} \in H_{j}(p)^{\perp}$. From part (i) with $j$ instead of $n$, assumption (3.3) implies that $\xi^{A}=0$ for every $A$. This concludes the proof.

Lemma 3.5. Let $X \in T_{p}(M)$ and $\alpha \in H_{n}(p)$. If we express $X=\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}=\left(\partial_{v^{\prime}}^{w}\right)_{p}$ $\left(v, v^{\prime} \in C^{m}\right)$ with respect to coordinates $z$ and $w$ around $p$, then $\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)^{n} . \alpha(p)=$ $\left(\partial_{v^{\prime}}^{w}\right)^{n} . \alpha(p)$; therefore, thes form at $p$ may be denoted by $X^{n} . \alpha(p)$.

Proof. We first note that

$$
\begin{gather*}
v^{\prime a}=\partial_{v}^{z} \cdot w^{a}(p) \quad(a=1, \cdots, m),  \tag{3.5}\\
\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)^{n} \cdot \alpha_{z}(p)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{\jmath}\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)^{n-\jmath} \cdot J_{z}^{w}(p)\left(\partial_{v}^{2}\right)^{\jmath} \cdot \alpha_{w}(p), \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

since $\alpha_{z}=\int_{z}^{w} \alpha_{w}$ (see (0.2.3)). Since $\alpha \in H_{n}(p)$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 as well as (3.5) that

$$
\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)^{\jmath} \cdot \alpha_{w}(p)= \begin{cases}0, & \jmath \leqq n-1 \\ \left(\partial_{w}^{w}\right)^{j} \cdot \alpha_{w}(p), & \jmath=n .\end{cases}
$$

Substituting these values into formula (3.6), we obtain

$$
\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)^{n} \cdot \alpha_{z}(p)=J_{z}^{w}(p)\left(\partial_{v^{\prime}}^{w}\right)^{n} \cdot \alpha_{w}(p), \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\partial_{v}^{2}\right)^{n} \cdot \alpha(p)=\left(\partial_{v^{\prime}}^{z}\right)^{n} \cdot \alpha(p),
$$

as desired.
Definition 3.6. (Kobayashi [10; p. 269]). We define an order relation on the subset $\left\{\omega \wedge \bar{\omega} ; \omega \in \Lambda_{p}^{(m, 0)}(M)\right\} \subset \Lambda_{p}^{(m, m)}(M)$ as follows (see (0.2.2)): We let $\omega \wedge \bar{\omega} \leqq \omega^{\prime} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{\prime}$, for $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{p}^{(m, 0)}(M)$, if $\left|\omega_{z}\right| \leqq\left|\omega_{z}^{\prime}\right|$ for some coordinate $z$ around $p$, where $\omega=\omega_{z} d z_{p}, \omega^{\prime}=\omega_{z}^{\prime} d z_{p}\left(\omega_{z}, \omega_{z}^{\prime} \in C\right)$.

Proposition 3.7. For every $X \in T_{p}(M)$ and every $n \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{+}$, the maximum

$$
\mu_{n}(p ; X):=\max \left\{X^{n} \cdot \alpha(p) \wedge \overline{X^{n}} \cdot \alpha(p) ; \alpha \in H_{n}(p),\|\alpha\|=1\right\}
$$

under the order in Definition 3.6 exists and coincides with

$$
\max \left\{|(\beta(\bar{z}), \alpha)|^{2} ; \alpha \in S(z)^{\perp},\|\alpha\|=1\right\}(d z \wedge \overline{d z})_{p}
$$

for every coordinate $z$ around $p$, where

$$
S(z):=\left\{\bar{\partial}_{\bar{d}}^{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{d}} \cdot K(\cdot, \bar{p}) / d \bar{z}_{\bar{p}} ; A \in \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} M I I(j)\right\} \subset H(M)
$$

and

$$
\beta(z):=\left(\overline{\left.\partial_{v}^{z}\right)^{n}} . K(\cdot, \bar{p}) / d \bar{z}_{\bar{p}} \in H(M), \quad X=\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right.
$$

Proof. Since $X^{n}, \alpha(p) \wedge \overline{X^{n} \cdot \alpha(p)}=\left|\left(\partial_{v}^{2}\right)^{n} . \alpha_{z}(p)\right|^{2}(d z \wedge \overline{d z})_{p}$ for every $\alpha \in H(M$;
the assertion follows from Proposition 2.5 (i) and Lemma 3.4 (i).
Let $p \in M$. From the definition we deduce the following :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (When } n=0 \text { or } 1, \mu_{n}(p ; X) \neq 0 \text { for every } X \subseteq T_{p}(M)-\{0\}  \tag{3.7}\\
& \text { (if and only if }\left(C_{n}\right)_{p} \text { holds true; } \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { When } n \geqq 2, \mu_{n}(p ; X) \neq 0 \text { for every } X \in T_{p}(M)-\{0\} \\
\text { if }\left(C_{p}\right)_{p} \text { holds true. }
\end{array}\right. \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

To study the $\mu_{n}$ more precisely, we record a lemma which is valid for any pre-Hilbert space $H$. We denote by $G\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ the Gramian of a system $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ in $H$ (especially, $G(\phi)=1$ ), and denote by $G_{2}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ the ( $i, j$ )cofactor of the Gram-matrix of $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ (especially, $G_{11}\left(x_{1}\right)=1$ ).

Lemma 3.8. Let $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)\left(n \in Z_{+}\right)$be a linearly independent system an a pre-Hilbert space $H$, and let $x_{n+1} \in H$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max \left\{\left|\left(y, x_{n+1}\right)\right|^{2} ; y \in\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}^{\perp},\|y\|=1\right\} \\
& =G\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n+1}\right) / G\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and the latter comncides with $\left\|y^{(n)}\right\|^{2}$, where

$$
y^{(n)}:=G\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} G_{n+1, j}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n+1}\right) x_{j}
$$

Furthermore, when $y^{(n)} \neq 0$, the above maximum is attained by $y$ if and only if $y=e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} y^{(n)} /\left\|y^{(n)}\right\|$ for some real $\theta$.

Definition 3.9. Let $K$ be the Bergman form of $M$, and let $z$ be a coordinate. Then $\left.K\right|_{U_{2} \times \overline{U_{z}}}=K_{z \times \bar{z}} d z \wedge d \bar{z}$. We consider the function $k_{z}$ on $U_{z}$ given by

$$
k_{z}(p):=K_{z: i}(p, \bar{p}) \quad\left(p \in U_{z}\right) .
$$

which we call the Bergman function of $M$ relative to $z$.
Definition 3.10. Let $\varphi$ and $\Phi$ be as in (0.3.3) and (0.3.4), respectively. For a coordinate $z$ in $M$, we set:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{z, 2 j}:=\partial_{\bar{\phi}(2)}^{2} \partial_{\bar{T}(j)}^{2} \cdot k_{z}, \\
& \left.L_{2}\left(j_{1}, \cdots, \jmath_{n}\right):=\left[k_{z, ~ 2}\right]_{j}\right]_{j=j_{1}, \cdots, j_{n},}, \\
& L_{2}\left(\jmath_{1}, \cdots, \jmath_{n}\right)_{s, i}:=\operatorname{det}\left[k_{z, 2}\right]_{j=1}^{2=j_{1}, \cdots, \cdots, j_{n}^{n}, b_{2},} \\
& K_{z, \bar{i}}(p):=\bar{\partial} \overline{\partial_{(i)}} . K(\cdot, \bar{p}) / d \bar{z}_{\bar{p}} \in H(M) \quad\left(p \in U_{z}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from Proposition 2.5 (ii) that $k_{z, i j}=\left(K_{z, j}, K_{z, i}\right)$ on $U_{z}$. This means that the matrix $L_{z}\left(j_{1}, \cdots, j_{n}\right)(p)$ is the transpose of the Gram-matrix of the system ( $K_{z, \overline{\gamma_{1}}}, \cdots, K_{z, \overline{\gamma_{n}}}$ ) in $H(M)$ for every $p \in U_{z}$. Combining this with Lemma 3.4 (ii) and Lemma 3.8, we obtain the following two results.

Proposition 3.11. Let $z$ be a coordinate around $p \in M$, and let $n \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{+}$. Then $L_{z}(1, \cdots, \varphi(n))(p) \in P s(\varphi(n), C)$ (see (0.1.1)), and the following four conditions are mutually equivalent:
(a) Conditions $\left(C_{j}\right)_{p}(j=0, \cdots, n)$ hold true.
(b) The system $\left(K_{z, \mathrm{i}}(p), \cdots, K_{z, \overline{\varphi(n)}}(p)\right)$ in $H(M)$ is linearly independent.
(c) $L_{z}(1, \cdots, \varphi(n))(p) \in P(\varphi(n), C)$.
(d) $\operatorname{det} L_{z}(1, \cdots, \varphi(n))(p)>0$.

Theorem 3.12. Let $z$ be a coordinate in $M$ and let $f_{n, z}$ be the function on $U_{z} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$, defined by

$$
\mu_{n}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right)=f_{n, z}(p, v)(d z \wedge d \bar{z})_{p}, \quad(p, v) \in U_{z} \times C^{m} .
$$

Then, for every $p \in U_{z}$ and any maximal linearly independent subset $\left\{K_{z, \overline{j_{1}}}(p), \cdots\right.$, $\left.K_{z, \overline{n_{l}}}(p)\right\}$ of $\left\{K_{z, \mathrm{i}}(p), \cdots K_{z, \overline{\varphi(n-1)}}(p)\right\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{n, 2}(p, v)=\operatorname{det} L_{2}\left(\jmath_{1}, \cdots, \jmath_{l}\right)(p)^{-1} \\
& \quad \times \sum_{\varphi(n-1)<s, t \leq \varphi(n)} C_{\Phi(s)} C_{\Phi(t)} v^{\phi(s)} \bar{v}^{\mathcal{D}(t)} L_{2}\left(\jmath_{1}, \cdots, \jmath_{l}\right)_{s, t}(p)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $C_{A}=n!/ n_{1}!\cdots n_{m}!, v^{A}=v^{a_{1}} \cdots v^{a_{n}}$ for $A=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ and $v=\left(v^{1}, \cdots, v^{m}\right)$, where $n_{\nu}$ is the cardinarity of the set $\left\{0 ; a_{j}=\nu\right\}$.

Corollary 3.13. (Kobayashi [10; Theorem 2.2]). For $p \in M$,

$$
K(p, \bar{p})=\max \{\alpha(p) \wedge \overline{\alpha(p)} ; \alpha \in H(M),\|\alpha\|=1\} .
$$

If $K(p, \bar{p}) \neq 0$, the above maximum is attained by $\alpha$ if and only if $\alpha=$ $e^{\vee-10} k_{z}(p)^{-1} K(\cdot, \bar{p}) / d \bar{z}_{\bar{p}}$ for some real $\theta$.

Proof. The first assertion follows from Theorem 3.12 with $n=0$, and the latter from Lemma 3.8 with $n=0$.
$\S 4$. The biholomorphic invariant $\mu_{0, n}$. In this section we suppose that $M$ satisfies condition ( $C_{0}$ ), i.e. $M$ satisfies condition (A.1) of Kobayashi [10] (see Remark 3.3). For every $n \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{+}$and every $X \in T_{p}(M)$, the ( $n, n$ )-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n}(p ; X)=\max \left\{X^{n} \cdot \alpha(p) \wedge \overline{X^{n}} \cdot \alpha(\bar{p}) ; \alpha \in H_{n}(p),\|\alpha\|=1\right\} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

at $p$ has been defined in Proposition 3.7. When $n=0$, by Corollary 3.13 together with (3.7), we have

$$
\mu_{0}(p ; X)=k_{z}(p)(d z \wedge \bar{d} \bar{z})_{p}, \quad k_{z}(p)>0 .
$$

Definition 4.1. For every $n \in \boldsymbol{N}$, we let $\mu_{0, n}:=\mu_{n} / \mu_{0}$. Thus it follows that $\mu_{0, n}$ is a well-defined $[0,+\infty)$-valued function on the tangent bundle $T(M)$, for which, by (4.1), it possesses the property that for every $X \in T_{p}(M)$ and every
$\xi \in C, \mu_{0, n}(p ; \xi X)=|\xi|^{2 n} \mu_{0, n}(p ; X)$.
Theorem 4.2. The function $\mu_{0, n}$ on $T(M)$ is a biholomorphic invariant, i.e. $\mu_{0, n}(p ; X)=\mu_{0, n}\left(f(p) ; f_{*} X\right) \quad((p ; X) \in T(M))$ for every biholomorphic mapping $f$ from $M$ onto the complex manifold $f(M)$.

Proof. Let $M^{\prime}:=f(M)$ and let $q:=f(p)$. The mapping $f$ induces an isometry $f^{*}$ of the Hilbert space $H\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ onto $H(M)$ so that $f^{*} H_{n}(q)=H_{n}(p)$. Let ( $w, U_{w}$ ) be a chart of $M^{\prime}$ around $q$. Then, the function $z:=\left.w \circ f\right|_{U_{z}}$ with $U_{z}$ : $=f^{-1}\left(U_{w}\right)$ is a coordinate around $p$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{a}=w^{a} \circ f \quad \text { on } U_{z} \quad(a=1, \cdots, m) . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $X=\left\langle\partial_{v}^{2}\right\rangle_{p} \in T_{p}(M)$. Thus, by (4.2), $f_{*} X=\left(\partial_{v}^{w}\right\rangle_{q}$. Furthermore, by induction on $n$ and by virtue of (4.2), we obtain, for every $\alpha \in H_{n}(q)$,

$$
\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)^{n} \cdot\left(f^{*} \alpha\right)_{z}=\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)^{n} \cdot\left(\alpha_{w} \circ f\right)=\left(\left(\partial_{v}^{w}\right)^{n} \cdot \alpha_{w}\right) \circ f \quad \text { on } U_{z} .
$$

Evaluating the above formula at the point $p$, we obtain that $\left(\partial_{b}^{z}\right)^{n} \cdot\left(f^{*} \alpha\right)_{z}(p)$ $=\left(\partial_{v}^{w}\right)^{n} . \alpha_{w}(q)$ for every $\alpha \in H_{n}(q)$. It follows from (4.1) that

$$
\mu_{n}(p ; X) /(d z \wedge \overline{d z})_{p}=\mu_{n}\left(q ; f_{*} X\right) /(d w \wedge \overline{d w})_{q} .
$$

The desired assertion follows now from Definition 4.1.
Remark 4.3. Let $C(p ; X)$ be the Carathéodory metric on $M$. Suppose that $\left(C_{0}\right)_{p}$ holds and $C(p ; X)>0$ for some $(p ; X) \in T(M)$. Then the same argument as in the proof in [6; Theorem 1] implies that $C(p ; X)^{2 n}<(n!)^{-2} \mu_{0, n}(p ; X)$ for every $n \in \boldsymbol{N}$.

Now, making use of Theorem 3.13, we have

$$
\mu_{0,1}(p ; X)=\partial_{v}^{z} \vec{\partial}_{v}^{z} \cdot \log k_{z}(p), \quad X=\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p} \in T_{p p}(M) .
$$

With the aid of the above formula, one can extend $\mu_{0,1}$ to a unique hermitian pseudo-metric $g$ on $M$ such that $g(X, \bar{X})=\mu_{0,1}(p ; X), X \in T_{p}(M)$. This pseudometric is given by

$$
\left.g\right|_{v_{2}}=2 \sum_{a, b} \partial_{a}^{z} \overline{\partial_{b}^{z}} \cdot \log k_{z} d z^{a} \cdot d \bar{z}^{b}
$$

and is called the Bergman pseudo-metric on $M$. We note that the Bergman pseudo-metric $g$ becomes an ordinary metric if and only if $M$ satisfies condition $\left(C_{1}\right)$ (see $\left.(3.7)_{1}\right)$, i.e. $M$ satisfies condition (A.2) of Kobayashi [10] (see Remark 3.3).

Assume now that $M$ satisfies condition $\left(C_{1}\right)$. It follows from Theorem 3.12 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{0,2}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{0}^{z}\right)_{p}\right)=k_{z}(p)^{-1} P_{z}(p)^{-1} Q_{z}(p, v) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
P_{z}:=\operatorname{det} L_{z}(1, \cdots, \varphi(1))
$$

and

$$
Q_{2}(\cdot, v):=\sum_{\varphi(1)<\varepsilon, t \leq \varphi(2)} C_{\Phi(s)} C_{\phi(t)} v^{\Phi(s)} \bar{v}^{\Phi(t)} L_{2}(1, \cdots, \varphi(1))_{s, t}
$$

The following theorem was stated in Fuks [8; p. 525]. For the sake of completeness we give another proof which may have its own interest.

Theorem 4.4. Suppose $M$ satisfies conditions $\left(C_{0}\right)$ and $\left(C_{1}\right)$. Let $\operatorname{Sec}(p ; \cdot)$ be the curvature quartic form, at $p \in M$, of the Bergman metric $g$ on $M$ (see Definitwon 1.1). Then,

$$
\mu_{02}(p ; X)=2 g(X, \bar{X})^{2}-\operatorname{Sec}(p ; X), \quad X \in T_{p}(M)
$$

Proof. Set $g_{z, a \bar{b}}:=\hat{\partial}_{a}^{z} \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial} \cdot \log k_{z}, \quad G_{z}:=\left(g_{z, a \bar{b}}\right), \quad\left(g_{z}^{\bar{b} a}\right):=G_{z}^{-1} . \quad$ We compute $\mu_{0,2}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right)$ with the aid of formula (4.3). We first note that

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{z}=k_{z}^{m+3} \operatorname{det} G_{z}, \\
Q_{z}(\cdot, v)=k_{z}^{m+1} \operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
G_{z} & x_{z, v}^{*} \\
x_{z, v} & \sigma_{z, v}
\end{array}\right],
\end{gathered}
$$

where $x_{z, v}$ and $\sigma_{z, v}$ are $C^{m}$-valued and $C$-valued functions on $U_{z}$, respectively, given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{z, v}:=\left(\partial_{b}^{z} \cdot\left(\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)^{2} \cdot k_{z} / k_{z}\right)\right)_{b} \\
\sigma_{2, v}:=\left(k_{z}\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)^{2}\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)^{2} \cdot k_{z}-\left|\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)^{2} \cdot k_{z}\right|^{2}\right) / k_{z}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows that

$$
\mu_{0, z}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right)=\sigma_{z}(p)-x_{z, v}(p) G_{z}(p)^{-1} x_{z, v}(p)^{*}
$$

The desired formula is now obtained from Definition 1.1 (see also [10; p. 275]), and the proof is complete.

Corollary 4.5. (Fuks [8; Theorem 1], Kobayashi [10; Theorem 4.4]). Suppose $M$ satusfies conditions $\left(C_{0}\right)$ and $\left(C_{1}\right)$. Then the holomorphic sectional curvature of the Bergman metrac on $M$ as at most 2. Let $p \in M$ be fixed. The holomorphic sectional curvature is less than 2 for every direction at $p$ if condition $\left(C_{2}\right)_{p}$ holds.

Remark 4.6. Concerning the last corollary, the following facts are shown in [2] by means of examples:
(i) There exists a simply connected domain $M$ in $C^{2}$ such that conditions $\left(C_{0}\right)$ and $\left(C_{1}\right)$ hold true, and such that the holomorphic sectional curvature of the Bergman metric on $M$ is identically 2.
(ii) For every real number $\xi$ with $\xi<2$, there exists a pseudo-convex bounded Reinhardt domain $M$ in $C^{2}$ such that the holomorphic sectional curvature of the Bergman metric on $M$ is greater than $\xi$ for some direction.
§5. Hessian quartic form of the Bergman metric. We first recall the $n$-th order Bergman metric introduced in [6]. Let a coordinate $z$ in $M$ be fixed. For $n \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{+}$and $(p, v) \in U_{2} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$, we set

$$
H_{n}^{2}(p, v):=\left\{\alpha \in H(M) ;\left(\partial_{v}^{2}\right)^{\prime} \cdot \alpha(p)=0 \quad(j=1, \cdots, n-1)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\lambda_{n}^{z}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right):=\max \left\{\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)^{n} \cdot \alpha(p) \wedge\left(\overline{\left.\partial_{v}^{z}\right)^{n} \cdot \alpha(p)} ; \alpha \in H_{n}^{2}(p, v),\|\alpha\|=1\right\}\right.
$$

(see Definition 3.6). Referring to Definition 3.2, we have

$$
H_{n}^{2}(p, v) \begin{cases}=H_{n}(p), & n=0,1  \tag{5.1}\\ \supset H_{n}(p), & n \geqq 2 .\end{cases}
$$

In particular,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{0}^{z}(p ; \cdot)=\mu_{0}(p ; \cdot)=k_{z}(p)(d z \wedge \widetilde{d z})_{p}  \tag{5.2}\\
\lambda_{1}^{z}(p ; \cdot)=\mu_{1}(p ; \cdot)
\end{array}\right.
$$

on $T_{p}(M)$. When $M$ satisfies condition $\left(C_{0}\right)$, we may consider the $[0,+\infty)$-valued function $\lambda_{0, n}^{z}$ on $\bigcup_{p \in U_{z}} T_{p}(M)$ for every $n \in N$, given by $\lambda_{0, n}^{z}=\lambda_{n}^{z} / \lambda_{0}^{z}$. The function $\lambda_{0, n}^{2}$ is called in [6] the $n$-th order Bergman metric of M. It follows from (5.1) and (5.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0,1}^{2}=\mu_{0,1}, \quad \lambda_{0, n}^{2} \geqq \mu_{0, n} \quad(n \geqq 2) . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given a vector $v \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$, consider the functions $R_{n}(n=-1,0,1, \cdots)$ on $U_{z}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}:=\operatorname{det}\left[\left(\partial_{v}^{2}\right)^{2} \overline{\left(\partial_{\hat{v}}^{2}\right)^{2}}, k_{z}\right]_{j=0, \cdots, \cdots, n}^{l=0, \cdots,} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Wronskian of functions $\overline{\left(\partial_{v}^{2}\right)} \cdot k_{z}(\jmath=0,1, \cdots, n)$ with respect to $\hat{\partial}_{v}^{z}$ (especially, $R_{-1}=1$ ).

We now recall the Jacobi's formula concerning determinants.
Lemma 5.1. Let $A=\left(\xi_{\imath j}\right) \in M(n, \boldsymbol{C})$, and let $A_{\imath j}$ be its $(i, j)$-cofactor. Then $\operatorname{det} A \operatorname{det}\left(\xi_{2 j}\right)_{\jmath=1,1, \cdots, n-2}^{2=1}=A_{n n} A_{n-1, n-1}-A_{n, n-1} A_{n-1, n}$.

This lemma leads to the following recursive formula for the Wronskians $R_{n}$ in (5.4).

Lemma 5.2. Let $z$ be a coordinate in $M$, and let $v \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$. Then, for every $n \in N$,

$$
R_{n} R_{n-2}=R_{n-1} \partial_{v}^{2} \overline{\partial_{v}^{2}} \cdot R_{n-1}-\left|\partial_{v}^{2} \cdot R_{n-1}\right|^{2}
$$

on $U_{3}$.
Proof. Let $\left(R_{n}\right)_{i}$, be the $(2, j)$-cofactor of the $H(n+1, C)$-valued function
$\left[\left(\partial_{v}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\overline{\partial_{e}^{2}}\right)^{n}, k_{z}\right]_{j=0}^{l=0, \cdots, n}, n$. It follows from Lemma 5.1, since $R_{n}$ is hermitian, that

$$
R_{n} R_{n-2}=\left(R_{n}\right)_{n n}\left(R_{n}\right)_{n+1, n+1}-\left|\left(R_{n}\right)_{n, n+1}\right|^{2} .
$$

Moreover, from the derivation properties of the Wronskians we also have $\left(R_{n}\right)_{n n}=R_{n-1},\left(R_{n}\right)_{n, n+1}=-\hat{\partial}_{v}^{z} . R_{n-1}$, and $\left(R_{n}\right)_{n+1, n+1}=\partial_{v}^{z} \bar{\partial}_{v}^{2} . R_{n-1}$. The proof is now complete.

From Lemma 3.8 together with (5.2) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0, n}^{z}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{p}^{z}\right)_{p}\right)=k_{z}(p)^{-1} R_{n-1}(p)^{-1} R_{n}(p) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $R_{n-1}(p) \neq 0$ (cf. [6; p. 51]).
Theorem 5.3. Assume, in addition to the assumptions of Lemma 5.2, that $M$ sat:sfies condition $\left(C_{j}\right)(\jmath=0, \cdots, n-1)$. Set

$$
\lambda_{0, j}(p):=\lambda_{0, \gamma}^{z}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right), \quad p \in C_{z}^{z} \quad(\jmath=1, \cdots, n) .
$$

Tto

$$
\lambda_{0, n}=\lambda_{0, n-1}\left(n \lambda_{0,1}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \hat{\partial}_{v}^{z} \bar{\partial}_{v}^{z} \cdot \log \lambda_{0, \gamma}\right)
$$

on $U_{i}$. where $\lambda_{0,0}=1$.
Proof. By assumption and Lemma 5.2 we have

$$
R_{n} R_{n-2}=\left(R_{n-1}\right)^{2} \partial_{v}^{2} \bar{\partial}_{v}^{i} \cdot \log R_{i l-1} .
$$

It follows from (5.5) that

$$
\lambda_{0, n}=\dot{\lambda}_{0, n-1} \hat{\theta}_{0}^{2} \dot{\hat{o}_{v}^{2}} \cdot \log R_{n-1}
$$

a:d that

$$
R_{n-1}=\left(k_{z}\right)^{n} \lambda_{v, 1} \cdots \lambda_{v, n-1} .
$$

The desired result now follows by observing that $\lambda_{0,1}=\partial_{\imath}^{2} \partial_{v}^{c} \cdot \log k_{z}$.
As a consequence of this theorem we find an intimate relationship between the quantity $\lambda_{0,2}^{z}$ and the Hessian quartic form of the Bergman metric.

Corollary 5.4. Suppose that $M$ satısfies conditions $\left(C_{0}\right)$ and $\left(C_{1}\right)$. Let $z$ be a coordinate on $M$, and let $\operatorname{Hess}^{2}(\cdot ; \cdot)$ be the Hessian quartic form of the Bergman metric $g$ on $M$, relative to $z$ (see Defintion 1.3). Then, for $(p, v) \in U_{z} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$,

$$
\lambda_{0,2}^{z}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right)=2 g\left(\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p},\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right)^{2}-\operatorname{Hess}^{z}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right\rangle
$$

Combining Theorem 4.3 with Corollary 5.4, we obtain, for $(p, v) \in U_{z} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sec}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right)-\operatorname{Hess}^{2}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right)=\lambda_{0,2}^{z}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right)-\mu_{0,2}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right) \geq 0 . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The latter inequality follows from Proposition 1.5 or (5.3).
Proposition 5.5. Suppose that $M$ satisfies conditions $\left(C_{0}\right)$ and $\left(C_{1}\right)$. Let $z$ be a coordinate in $M$ and let $\operatorname{Sec}(\cdot ; \cdot)\left(r e s p . \operatorname{Hess}^{2}(\cdot ; \cdot)\right)$ be the curvature quartic
form (resp. Hessian quartic form relalive to $z$ ) of the Bergman metric $g$ on $M$. Let $(p, v) \in U_{2} \times C^{m}$ be fixed. Then, the left hand side of (5.6) vanishes if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{v}^{z}\left(k_{2}, \overline{\partial_{a}^{2}}, k_{2}, \partial_{\partial}^{\bar{z}}, k_{2}\right)(p)=0 \quad(a, b \in\{1, \cdots, m\}), \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{v}^{z}\left(f_{0}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)$ is the Wronskian of functions $f_{0}, \cdots, f_{n}$ on $U_{z}$ with respect to $\partial_{v}^{z}$. Condition (5.7) is equivalent to

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(k_{z}, \partial_{v}^{z}, k_{z},\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)^{2} \cdot k_{z}\right)  \tag{5.8}\\
\left(\overline{\partial_{a}^{z}}, k_{z}, \overline{\partial_{a}^{z}} \partial_{v}^{z} \cdot k_{z}, \overline{\partial_{a}^{z}}\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)^{2} \cdot k_{z}\right)_{a=1, \ldots, m}
\end{array}\right](p) \leqq 2 .
$$

Proof. We suppress the dependence on $z$. Set $g_{a \bar{b}}:=\partial_{a} \overline{\partial_{b}} \cdot \log k$ and $G:=$ $\left(g_{a \bar{b}}\right)$. From Proposition 1.5 it follows that equality in (5.6) holds if and only if $v \partial_{v} . G(p)=\xi G(p)$ for some scalar $\xi \in C$. The latter is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{v}\left(\bar{\partial}_{a} \partial_{v} . \log k, \overline{\partial_{b}} \partial_{v} \cdot \log k\right)(p)=0 \quad(a, b \in\{1, \cdots, m\}) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, using Lemma 5.1 with $n=3$ and standard properties of Wronskians, we arrive at the following identity :

$$
W_{v}\left(k, \overline{\partial_{a}}, k, \overline{\partial_{b}} \cdot k\right)=k^{3} W_{v}\left(\overline{\partial_{a}} \partial_{v} \cdot \log k, \overline{\partial_{b}} \partial_{v} \cdot \log k\right)
$$

It follows that condition (5.9) is equivalent to (5.7).
It remains to show the equivalence of conditions (5.7) and (5.8). Clearly, (5.8) implies (5.7). Assume now that (5.7) holds and $v \neq 0$. Consider the vectors $x:=\left(k, \partial_{v}, k,\left(\partial_{v}\right)^{2} . k\right)(p), y:=\overline{\partial_{v}} .\left(k, \partial_{v} . k,\left(\partial_{v}\right)^{2} . k\right)(p), y_{a}:=\overline{\partial_{a}} .\left(k, \partial_{v}, k,\left(\partial_{v}\right)^{2} . k\right)(p)$ $(a=1, \cdots, m)$ in $C^{3}$. Because of condition $\left(C_{1}\right)_{p}$ which guarantees that $W_{v}\left(k, \bar{\partial}_{v} . k\right)(p) \neq 0$, the set $\{x, y\}$ is linearly independent. It follows, since $y=$ $\sum v^{a} y_{a}$, that there exists an $a_{0} \in\{1, \cdots, m\}$ such that $\left\{x, y_{a_{0}}\right\}$ is linearly independent. Therefore, (5.7) implies that every $y_{a}$ is spanned by $x$ and $y_{a_{0}}$, and hence condition (5.8) holds. The proof is now complete.

We note that condition (5.7) holds true trivially when $m=1$.
Example 5.6. Suppose that $M=\left\{\left(\xi^{1}, \xi^{2}\right) \in C^{2} ;\left|\xi^{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\xi^{2}\right|^{2 / s}<1\right\}$ for some positive real number $s$, and that the coordinate $z$ is the inclusion mapping of $M$ into $C^{2}$. The Bergman function $k=k_{z}$ of $M$ is given by

$$
k\left(\xi^{1}, \xi^{2}\right)=c \frac{\left(1-\left|\xi^{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{s}-r\left|\xi^{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(\left(1-\left|\xi^{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{s}-\left|\xi^{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{3}\left(1-\left|\xi^{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2-s}},
$$

where $c:=(1+s) / \pi^{2}=\operatorname{vol}(M)^{-1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=r(s):=(1-s) /(1+s) \quad(-1<r<1) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. Bergman [4; p. 21]). Assume that the point $p$ under consideration is ( $0, \xi^{2}$ ) with $\left|\xi^{2}\right|<1$. As in [3] (not Definition 3.10), we use the convenient variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
t:=\frac{1-\left|\xi^{2}\right|^{2}}{1-r\left|\xi^{2}\right|^{2}} \quad(0<t \leqq 1), \quad \text { or } \quad\left|\xi^{2}\right|^{2}=\frac{1-t}{1-r t}, \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the notation $k_{a}:=\hat{\partial}_{a}^{z}, k, k_{a \bar{b}}:=\partial_{a}^{z} \partial_{b}^{z}, k$, etc. Then, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{1} / k=0, \quad k_{2} / k=x_{1} \bar{\xi}^{2}  \tag{5.12}\\
k_{11} / k=k_{12} / k=0, \quad k_{22} / k=x_{2}\left(\bar{\xi}^{2}\right)^{2} \\
k_{1 \mathrm{i}} / k=x_{3}, \quad k_{1 \bar{z}} / k=0, \quad k_{2 \overline{2}} / k=x_{4} \\
k_{1 \overline{1}} / k=0, \quad k_{1 \overline{1}} / k=x_{5} \xi^{2}, \quad k_{2 \overline{2}} / k=x_{6} \xi^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and their corresponding conjugated formulas, where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}:=(1-r t)(3-r t) /(1-r) t \\
x_{2}:=6(1-r t)^{2}(2-r t) /(1-r)^{2} t^{2} \\
x_{3}:=\left(3+r t^{2}\right) /(1+r) t \\
x_{4}:=(1-r t)\left(12-9(1+r) t+(5+r) r t^{2}\right) /(1-r)^{2} t^{2} \\
x_{5}:=2(1-r t)\left(6-3 r t+r t^{2}\right) /(1+r)(1-r) t^{2} \\
x_{6}:=12(1-r t)^{2}\left(5-(3+5 r) t+(2+r) r t^{2}\right) /(1-r)^{2} t^{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using (5.12), we find that condition (5.7) is equivalent to

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & x_{1} \xi^{2} \bar{v}^{2} & x_{2}\left(\xi^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\bar{v}^{2}\right)^{2}  \tag{5.13}\\
0 & x_{3} \bar{v}^{2} & 2 x_{5} \xi^{2} \bar{v}^{1} \bar{v}^{2} \\
x_{1} \bar{\xi}^{2} & x_{4} \bar{v}^{2} & x_{6} \xi^{2}\left(\bar{v}^{2}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right|=0 .
$$

If $v^{1} v^{2} \xi^{2}=0$, condition (5.13) holds true trivially. Suppose that $v^{1} v^{2} \xi^{2} \neq 0$. Then (5.13) is equivalent to

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\left|\xi^{2}\right|^{-2} & x_{1} & x_{2}  \tag{5.14}\\
0 & x_{3} & 2 x_{5} \\
x_{1} & x_{4} & x_{6}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

Using the values of $x$, together with (5.11), and noting that $1-r t>0$ and $t>0$, we find that (5.14) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left\{9+9(1-r) t-18 r t^{2}-(1-9 r) r t^{3}+r^{2} t^{4}\right\}=0 . \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of Sturm's method, we can see that the factor in the brace of (5.15) is positive for every $(r, t) \in(-1,1] \times(0,1]$ (for Sturm's method, cf., e. g., Isaacson and Keller [9; pp. 126-129]) ; therefore, (5.15) holds if and only if $r=0$, or by (5.10), if and only if $s=1$. Note that the domain $M$ with $s=1$ is the unit ball in $C^{2}$.

Summing up the above arguments, we obtain the following assertion.
Proposition 5.7. Suppose that $M$ and $z$ are as in Example 5.6 with $s \neq 1$. Let Sec and Hess ${ }^{2}$ be as in Proposition 5.5, and let $X=\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}$ with $v=\left\langle v^{1}, v^{2}\right) \in C^{2}$ and $p=\left(0, \xi^{2}\right) \in M$. Then, $\operatorname{Sec}(p ; X)-\operatorname{Hess}^{2}(p ; X)=\lambda_{0,2}^{z}(p ; X)-\mu_{0,2}(p ; X)$ is positive if and only if $v^{1} v^{2} \xi^{2} \neq 0$.

It was shown in [6] (see also [5]) that the quantity $\lambda_{0, n}^{2}$ possesses a certain biholomorphic invariance. This invariance, however, is not an invariance in the ordinary sense and it does not guarantee that for $n \geqq 2, \lambda_{0, n}^{2}$ can be regarded as a global function on the tangent bundle $T(M)$ of $M$. In fact, as the following corollary of Proposition 5.7 shows, $\lambda_{0,2}^{z}$ does depend, in general, on the coordinate $z$.

Corollary 5.8. Let $M, z$, $_{\text {Hess }}{ }^{2}$ be as in Proposition 5.5 with $m=\operatorname{dim} M \geqq 2$. The quantıtıes $\lambda_{0,2}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{Hess}^{2}$, in general, depend on $z$, i.e. they camot be considered as global functions on the tangent bundle $T(M)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to find a manifold $M$ that satisfies $\left(C_{0}\right)$ and $\left(C_{1}\right)$, and in which there exist two coordinates $z$ and $w$ with $U_{z} \cap U_{w} \neq \phi$ such that $\lambda_{\dot{b}, 2}^{z}(p ; X) \neq \lambda_{0,2}^{w}(p ; X)$ for some $p \in U_{z} \cap U_{w}$ and $X=\left(\partial_{v}^{2}\right)_{p}=\left(\partial_{v}^{w}\right)_{p} \in T_{p}(M)$.

For this, we take as $M$ the domain considered in Example 5.6, and as $z$ the inclusion mapping of $M$ into $C^{2}$. We also take $p=\left(0, \xi^{2}\right) \in M$ and $v=\left(v^{1}, v^{2}\right) \in C^{2}$ so that $v^{1} v^{2} \xi^{2} \neq 0$. Lemma 1.6 guarantees the existence of a coordinate $w$ around $p$, for which $\operatorname{Hess}^{w}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v^{\prime}}^{w}\right)_{p}\right)=\operatorname{Sec}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{w^{\prime}}^{w}\right)_{p}\right)$ with $\left(\partial_{v^{\prime}}^{w}\right)_{p}=\left(\partial_{\tau}^{z}\right)_{p}$. Then, by (5.6) and Proposition 5.7 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Hess}^{2}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{z}\right)_{p}\right)<\operatorname{Hess}^{w}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v^{w}}^{w}\right)_{p}\right), \\
& \lambda_{i, \varepsilon}^{z}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v}^{2}\right)_{p}\right)>2_{0,2}^{w}\left(p ;\left(\partial_{v^{v}}^{w}\right)_{p}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
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