

# On delta invariants and indices of ideals

By Toshinori KOBAYASHI

(Received June 17, 2017)  
(Revised Dec. 3, 2017)

**Abstract.** Let  $R$  be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring with a canonical module. We consider Auslander’s (higher) delta invariants of powers of certain ideals of  $R$ . Firstly, we shall provide some conditions for an ideal to be a parameter ideal in terms of delta invariants. As an application of this result, we give upper bounds for orders of Ulrich ideals of  $R$  when  $R$  has Gorenstein punctured spectrum. Secondly, we extend the definition of indices to the ideal case, and generalize the result of Avramov–Buchweitz–Iyengar–Miller on the relationship between the index and regularity.

## 1. Introduction.

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring with a canonical module. The Auslander  $\delta$ -invariant  $\delta_R(M)$  for a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$  is defined to be the rank of maximal free summand of the minimal Cohen–Macaulay approximation of  $M$ . For an integer  $n \geq 0$ , the  $n$ -th  $\delta$ -invariant is defined by Auslander, Ding and Solberg [2] as  $\delta_R^n(M) = \delta_R(\Omega_R^n M)$ , where  $\Omega_R^n M$  denotes the  $n$ -th syzygy module of  $M$  in the minimal free resolution.

On these invariants, combining the Auslander’s result (see [2, Corollary 5.7]) and Yoshino’s one [13], we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1** (Auslander, Yoshino). *Let  $d > 0$  be the Krull dimension of  $R$ . Consider the following conditions.*

- (a)  $R$  is a regular local ring.
- (b) There exists  $n \geq 0$  such that  $\delta^n(R/\mathfrak{m}) > 0$ .
- (c) There exist  $n > 0$  and  $l > 0$  such that  $\delta^n(R/\mathfrak{m}^l) > 0$ .

*Then, the implications (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) hold. The implication (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) holds if  $\text{depth gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) \geq d - 1$ .*

Here we denote by  $\text{gr}_I(R)$  the associated graded ring of  $R$  with respect to an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . In this paper, we characterize parameter ideals in terms of (higher)  $\delta$ -invariants as follows.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring with a canonical module  $\omega$ , having infinite residue field  $k$  and Krull dimension  $d > 0$ . Let  $I$  be an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$  such that  $I/I^2$  is a free  $R/I$ -module. Consider the following conditions.*

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13A30, 13C14, 16G50.

*Key Words and Phrases.* Cohen–Macaulay approximation, delta invariant, Ulrich ideal.

- (a)  $\delta(R/I) > 0$ .
- (b)  $I$  is a parameter ideal of  $R$ .
- (c) There exists  $n \geq 0$  such that  $\delta^n(R/I) > 0$ .
- (d) There exist  $n > 0$  and  $l > 0$  such that  $\delta^n(R/I^l) > 0$ .

Then, the implications (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d) hold. The implication (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) holds if  $\text{depth gr}_I(R) \geq d - 1$  and  $I^i/I^{i+1}$  is a free  $R/I$ -module for any  $i > 0$ . The implication (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) holds if  $I \subset \text{tr}(\omega)$ .

Here  $\text{tr}(\omega)$  is the trace ideal of  $\omega$ . that is, the image of the natural homomorphism  $\omega \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(\omega, R) \rightarrow R$  mapping  $x \otimes f$  to  $f(x)$  for  $x \in \omega$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(\omega, R)$ . This result recovers Theorem 1.1 by letting  $I = \mathfrak{m}$ .

On the other hand, Ding [4] studies the  $\delta$ -invariant of  $R/\mathfrak{m}^l$  with  $l \geq 1$  and defines the index  $\text{index}(R)$  of  $R$  to be the smallest integer  $l$  such that  $\delta(R/\mathfrak{m}^l) = 1$ . Extending this, we define the index of an ideal.

DEFINITION 1.3. For an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , we define the *index*  $\text{index}(I)$  of  $I$  to be the infimum of integers  $l \geq 1$  such that  $\delta_R(R/I^l) = 1$ .

For example, we have  $\text{index}(\mathfrak{m}) = \text{index}(R)$ .

Taking into account the argument of Ding [5] on indices of rings, Avramov, Buchweitz, Iyengar and Miller [3, Lemma 1.5] showed the following equality.

THEOREM 1.4 (Avramov–Buchweitz–Iyengar–Miller). Assume that  $R$  is a Gorenstein local ring and  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$  is Cohen–Macaulay. Then  $\text{index}(R) = \text{reg}(\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)) + 1$ .

The other main aim of this paper is to prove the following result.

THEOREM 1.5. Let  $R$  be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring having a canonical module and Krull dimension  $d > 0$ , and  $I$  be an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$  such that  $\text{gr}_I(R)$  is a Cohen–Macaulay graded ring and  $I^l/I^{l+1}$  is  $R/I$ -free for  $1 \leq l \leq \text{index } I$ . Then we have  $\text{index } I \geq \text{reg}(\text{gr}_I(R)) + 1$ . The equality holds if  $I \subset \text{tr}(\omega)$ .

Note that this theorem recovers Theorem 1.4 by letting  $I = \mathfrak{m}$ .

There are some examples of ideals which satisfy the whole conditions in Theorem 1.2 and 1.5. One of them is the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  in the case where  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$  is Cohen–Macaulay (for example,  $R$  is a hypersurface or a localization of a homogeneous graded Cohen–Macaulay ring.)

Other interesting examples are Ulrich ideals. These ideals are defined in [6] and many examples of Ulrich ideals are given in [6] and [7]. We shall show in Section 3 that Ulrich ideals satisfy the assumptions of Theorems 1.2 and 1.5. We have an application of Theorem 1.2 concerning Ulrich ideals as follows.

COROLLARY 1.6. Let  $I$  be an Ulrich ideal of  $R$  that is not a parameter ideal. Assume that  $R$  is Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum. Then  $I \not\subset \mathfrak{m}^{\text{index}(R)}$ . In particular,

the supremum of set of integers  $n$  satisfying  $I \subset \mathfrak{m}^n$  for any Ulrich ideal  $I$  that is not a parameter ideal is finite.

We prove this result in Section 3.

**2. Proofs.**

Throughout this section, let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension  $d > 0$  with a canonical module  $\omega$ , and assume that  $k$  is infinite. We recall some basic properties of the Auslander  $\delta$ -invariant.

For a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ , a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{p} M \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.0.1}$$

is called a Cohen–Macaulay approximation of  $M$  if  $X$  is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay  $R$ -module and  $Y$  has finite injective dimension over  $R$ . We say that the sequence (2.0.1) is minimal if each endomorphism  $\phi$  of  $X$  with  $p \circ \phi = p$  is an automorphism of  $X$ . It is known (see [1], [8]) that a minimal Cohen–Macaulay approximation of  $M$  exists and is unique up to isomorphism.

If the sequence (2.0.1) is a minimal Cohen–Macaulay approximation of  $M$ , then we define the (Auslander)  $\delta$ -invariant  $\delta(M)$  of  $M$  as the maximal rank of a free direct summand of  $X$ . We denote by  $\delta^n(M)$  the  $\delta$ -invariant of  $n$ -th syzygy  $\Omega^n M$  of  $M$  in the minimal free resolution for  $n \geq 0$ .

We prepare some basic properties of delta invariants in the next Lemma; see [10, Corollary 11.28].

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be finitely generated  $R$ -modules.*

- (1) *If there exists a surjective homomorphism  $M \rightarrow N$ , then  $\delta(M) \geq \delta(N)$ .*
- (2) *The equality  $\delta(M \oplus N) = \delta(M) + \delta(N)$  holds true.*

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $N$  be a maximal Cohen–Macaulay  $R$ -module. Then  $\delta^1(N) = 0$ . In particular,  $\delta^n(M) = 0$  for  $n \geq d + 1$  and any finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ .*

PROOF. Suppose that  $\delta^1(N) > 0$ . Then  $\Omega^1 N$  has a free direct summand. Let  $\Omega^1 N = X \oplus R$ . There is a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow X \oplus R \xrightarrow{(\sigma, \tau)^T} R^{\oplus m} \xrightarrow{\pi} N \rightarrow 0$ . According to [12, Lemma 3.1], there exist exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\tau} R^{\oplus m} \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0, \tag{2.2.1}$$

$$0 \rightarrow R^{\oplus m} \rightarrow A \oplus B \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.2.2}$$

for some  $R$ -modules  $A, B$ . By the sequence (2.2.2),  $B$  is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay  $R$ -module. In view of (2.2.1),  $B$  is a free  $R$ -module provided that  $B$  has finite projective dimension. Then, the sequence (2.2.1) splits and  $\tau$  has a left inverse map. This contradicts that the map  $\pi$  is minimal. □

We now remark on  $\delta$ -invariants under reduction by a regular element. The following lemma is shown in [9, Corollary 2.5].

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $x \in \mathfrak{m}$  be a regular element on  $M$  and  $R$ . If  $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  is a minimal Cohen–Macaulay approximation of  $M$ , then*

$$0 \rightarrow Y/xY \rightarrow X/xX \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow 0$$

*is a minimal Cohen–Macaulay approximation of  $M/xM$  over  $R/(x)$ . In particular, it holds that  $\delta_R(M) \leq \delta_{R/(x)}(M/xM)$ .*

In the proofs of our theorems, the following lemma plays a key role. We remark that in the case  $I = \mathfrak{m}$ , similar statements are shown in [5] and [13].

LEMMA 2.4. *Let  $l > 0$  be an integer,  $I$  be an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$  and  $x \in I \setminus I^2$  be an  $R$ -regular element. Assume that  $I^i/I^{i+1}$  is a free  $R/I$ -module for any  $1 \leq i \leq l$  and the multiplication map  $x : I^{i-1}/I^i \rightarrow I^i/I^{i+1}$  is injective for any  $1 \leq i \leq l$ , where we set  $I^0 = R$ . Then the following hold.*

- (1)  $xI^i = (x) \cap I^{i+1}$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq l$ .
- (2)  $I^i/I^{i+1} \cong I^{i-1}/I^i \oplus I^i/(xI^{i-1} + I^{i+1})$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$ .
- (3)  $I^i/xI^i \cong I^{i-1}/I^i \oplus I^i/xI^{i-1}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$ .
- (4)  $(I^i + (x))/xI^i \cong R/I^i \oplus I^i/xI^{i-1}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$ .
- (5)  $(I^i + (x))/x(I^i + (x)) \cong R/(I^i + (x)) \oplus I^i/xI^{i-1}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$ .

PROOF. (1): We prove this by induction on  $i$ . If  $i = 0$ , there is nothing to prove. Let  $i > 0$ . The injectivity of  $x : I^{i-1}/I^i \rightarrow I^i/I^{i+1}$  shows that  $xI^{i-1} \cap I^{i+1} = xI^i$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $xI^{i-1} = (x) \cap I^i$ . Thus it is seen that

$$\begin{aligned} xI^i &= (x) \cap I^i \\ &= (x) \cap I^i \cap I^{i+1} = (x) \cap I^{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

(2): As  $R/I$  is an Artinian ring, the injective map  $x : I^{i-1}/I^i \rightarrow I^i/I^{i+1}$  of free  $R/I$ -modules is split injective. We can also see that the cokernel of this map is  $I^i/(xI^{i-1} + I^{i+1})$ . Therefore we have an isomorphism  $I^i/I^{i+1} \cong I^{i-1}/I^i \oplus I^i/(xI^{i-1} + I^{i+1})$ .

(3): We have the following natural commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I^{i-1}/I^i & \xrightarrow{x} & I^i/xI^i & \longrightarrow & I^i/xI^{i-1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I^{i-1}/I^i & \xrightarrow{x} & I^i/I^{i+1} & \longrightarrow & I^i/(xI^{i-1} + I^{i+1}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

We have already seen in (2) that the second row is a split exact sequence, and thus the first row is also a split exact sequence. Therefore we have an isomorphism  $I^i/xI^i \cong I^{i-1}/I^i \oplus I^i/xI^{i-1}$ .

(4): The cokernel of the multiplication map  $x : R/I^i \rightarrow (I^i + (x))/xI^i$  is  $(I^i + (x))/(x) = I^i/((x) \cap I^i)$ , which coincides with  $I^i/xI^{i-1}$  by (1). Consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & I^{i-1}/I^i & \xrightarrow{x} & I^i/xI^i & \longrightarrow & I^i/xI^{i-1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \iota_1 & & \downarrow \iota_2 & & \downarrow = & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & R/I^i & \xrightarrow{x} & (I^i + (x))/xI^i & \longrightarrow & I^i/xI^{i-1} & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Here  $\iota_1, \iota_2$  are the natural inclusions. The first row is a split exact sequence as in (3). Therefore the second row is also a split exact sequence and we have an isomorphism  $(I^i + (x))/xI^i \cong R/I^i \oplus I^i/xI^{i-1}$ .

(5): The cokernel of the multiplication map  $x : R/(I^i + (x)) \rightarrow (I^i + (x))/x(I^i + (x))$  is  $(I^i + (x))/(x) = I^i/xI^{i-1}$ . We can get the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & R/I^i & \xrightarrow{x} & (I^i + (x))/xI^i & \longrightarrow & I^i/xI^{i-1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow \pi_2 & & \downarrow = & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & R/(I^i + (x)) & \xrightarrow{x} & (I^i + (x))/x(I^i + (x)) & \longrightarrow & I^i/xI^{i-1} & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Here  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  are the natural surjections. Then we can prove (5) in a manner similar to (4). □

In the case that the dimension  $d$  is at most 1, the  $\delta$ -invariants mostly vanish.

LEMMA 2.5. *Assume  $d \leq 1$  and  $I$  is an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$ . If  $\delta(I) > 0$ , then  $I$  is a parameter ideal of  $R$ .*

PROOF. Since  $d \leq 1$ , the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $I$  is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay  $R$ -module. Therefore the condition  $\delta(I) > 0$  provides that  $I$  has a free direct summand. We have  $I = J + (x)$  and  $J \cap (x) = 0$  for some ideal  $J$  and  $R$ -regular element  $x \in I$ . Let  $y \in J$ . Then  $xy \in J \cap (x) = 0$ . Since  $x$  is  $R$ -regular, the equality  $xy = 0$  implies  $y = 0$ . This shows that  $J = 0$  and  $I = (x)$ . □

Now we can prove Theorem 1.2.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): If  $I$  is a parameter ideal, then  $\Omega^d(R/I) = R$  and hence  $\delta^d(R/I) = 1 > 0$ .

(a), (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): Assume that  $\delta(R/I) > 0$ . Then the inequality  $\delta(I) > 0$  also holds because  $I/I^2$  is a free  $R/I$ -module and thus there is a surjective homomorphism  $I \rightarrow R/I$ . Therefore we only need to prove the implication (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) in the case  $n > 0$ . We show the implication by induction on the dimension  $d$ .

If  $d = 1$ , then  $n = 1$  by Lemma 2.2. Using Lemma 2.5, it follows that  $I$  is a parameter ideal.

Now let  $d > 1$ . Take  $x \in I \setminus \mathfrak{m}I$  to be an  $R$ -regular element. Then the image of  $x$  in the free  $R/I$ -module  $I/I^2$  forms a part of a free basis over  $R/I$ . This provides that the map  $x : R/I \rightarrow I/I^2$  is injective. We see from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{R/(x)}^{n-1}(I/xI) &= \delta_{R/(x)}(\Omega_{R/(x)}^{n-1}(I/xI)) & (2.5.1) \\ &= \delta_{R/(x)}(\Omega_R^{n-1}(I) \otimes_R R/(x)) \\ &\geq \delta_R(\Omega_R^{n-1}I) = \delta_R^n(R/I) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 (3) to  $i = 1$ , we have an isomorphism  $I/xI \cong R/I \oplus I/(x)$  and hence we obtain an equality

$$\delta_{R/(x)}^{n-1}(I/xI) = \delta_{R/(x)}^{n-1}(R/I) + \delta_{R/(x)}^{n-1}(I/(x)).$$

It follows from (2.5.1) that  $\delta_{R/(x)}^{n-1}(R/I) > 0$  or  $\delta_{R/(x)}^{n-1}(I/(x)) > 0$ . Note that the ideal  $\bar{I} := I/(x)$  of  $\bar{R} := R/(x)$  satisfies the same condition as (c), that is, the module  $\bar{I}/\bar{I}^2$  is free over  $\bar{R}/\bar{I} = R/I$ , because  $\bar{I}/\bar{I}^2 = I/((x) + I^2)$  is a direct summand of  $I/I^2$  by Lemma 2.4 (2). By the induction hypothesis, the ideal  $\bar{I}$  is a parameter ideal of  $\bar{R}$ . Then we see that  $I$  is also a parameter ideal of  $R$ .

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d): This implication is trivial.

Next we prove by induction on  $d$  the implication (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) when  $\text{depth gr}_I(R) \geq d-1$  and  $I^i/I^{i+1}$  is a free  $R/I$ -module for any  $i > 0$ . If  $d = 1$ , then  $\delta(I^l) > 0$  by Lemma 2.2. By Lemma 2.5, it follows that  $I^l$  is a parameter ideal. Set  $(y) := I^l$ . Taking a minimal reduction  $(t)$  of  $I$ , we have  $I^{m+1} = tI^m$  for any  $m \gg 0$ . Setting  $m = pl$ , we obtain that  $I \cong y^p I = I^{m+1} = tI^m = (ty^p)$ . This shows that  $I$  is a parameter ideal.

Assume  $d > 1$ . Since  $k$  is infinite, there is an element  $x \in I \setminus I^2$  such that the initial form  $x^* \in G$  is a non-zerodivisor of  $G$ . The  $G$ -regularity of  $x^*$  yields that the map  $x : I^{i-1}/I^i \rightarrow I^i/I^{i+1}$  is injective for every  $i \geq 1$ . We see from Lemma 2.3 that  $\delta_{R/(x)}^{n-1}(I^l/xI^l) \geq \delta_R^n(R/I^l) > 0$  in the same way as (2.5.1). Applying Lemma 2.4 (3), we get an isomorphism  $I^l/xI^l \cong I^{l-1}/I^l \oplus I^l/xI^{l-1}$  and then we see that

$$\delta_{R/(x)}^{n-1}(I^l/xI^l) = \delta_{R/(x)}^{n-1}(I^{l-1}/I^l) + \delta_{R/(x)}^{n-1}(I^l/xI^{l-1}).$$

Since  $I^{l-1}/I^l$  is a free  $R/I$ -module, we have  $\delta_{R/(x)}^{n-1}(R/I) > 0$  or  $\delta_{R/(x)}^{n-1}(I^l/xI^{l-1}) > 0$ . In the case that  $\delta_{R/(x)}^{n-1}(R/I) > 0$ , we already showed that  $I$  is a parameter ideal. So we may assume that  $\delta_{R/(x)}^{n-1}(I^l/xI^{l-1}) > 0$ . The equality  $xI^{l-1} = I^l \cap (x)$  in Lemma 2.4 (1) shows that the image  $\bar{I}^l$  of  $I^l$  in  $R/(x)$  coincides with  $I^l/xI^{l-1}$ . Thus it holds that  $\delta_{R/(x)}^{n-1}(\bar{I}^l) = \delta_{R/(x)}^{n-1}(I^l/xI^{l-1}) > 0$ . We also note that  $\bar{I}^i/\bar{I}^{i+1}$  is free over  $\bar{R}/\bar{I}$  by Lemma 2.4 (3). By the induction hypothesis,  $\bar{I}$  is a parameter ideal of  $R/(x)$ . This implies that  $I$  is also a parameter ideal of  $R$ .

Finally, the implication (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) follows from the proof of [10, Theorem 11.42].  $\square$

Next, to prove Theorem 1.5, we start by recalling the definition of regularity; see

[11, Definition 3].

DEFINITION 2.6. Let  $A$  be a positively graded homogeneous ring and  $M$  be a finitely generated graded  $A$ -module. Then the (*Castelnuovo-Mumford*) *regularity* of  $M$  is defined by  $\text{reg}_A(M) = \sup\{i + j \mid H_{A_+}^i(M)_j \neq 0\}$ .

Here we state some properties of regularity.

REMARK 2.7. Let  $A$  and  $M$  be the same as in the definition above.

- (1) Let  $a \in A$  be a homogeneous  $M$ -regular element of degree 1. Then we have  $\text{reg}_{A/(a)}(M/aM) = \text{reg}_A(M)$ .
- (2) If  $A$  is an artinian ring, then  $\text{reg}(M) = \max\{p \mid M_p \neq 0\}$ .

Now let us state the proof of Theorem 1.5.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5. Since  $k$  is infinite, there exists a regular sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  of  $R$  in  $I$  such that the sequence of initial forms  $x_1^*, \dots, x_d^*$  makes a homogeneous system of parameters of  $\text{gr}_I(R)$ . Then the equality  $\text{gr}_I(R)/(x_1^*, \dots, x_d^*) = \text{gr}_{I'}(R')$  holds, where  $R' = R/(x_1, \dots, x_d)$  and  $I' = I/(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ . It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{reg}(\text{gr}_I(R)) &= \text{reg}(\text{gr}_{I'}(R')) \\ &= \max\{p \mid \text{gr}_{I'}(R')_p \neq 0\} \\ &= \max\{p \mid \text{gr}_I(R)_p \not\subset (x_1^*, \dots, x_d^*)\} \\ &= \max\{p \mid I^p \not\subset (x_1, \dots, x_d)\}. \end{aligned}$$

To show the inequality  $\text{index}(I) \geq \text{reg}(\text{gr}_I(R)) + 1$ , it is enough to check that  $I^p \subset (x_1, \dots, x_d)$  if  $p = \text{index}(I)$ . We prove this by induction on  $d$ .

Let  $\bar{R}$  be the quotient ring  $R/(x_1)$  and  $\bar{I}$  be the ideal  $I/(x_1)$  of  $\bar{R}$ . Now put  $p = \text{index}(I)$  and we have  $\delta_R(R/I^p) > 0$  by definition. Since there is a surjection from  $J := I^p + (x)$  to  $R/I^p$  by Lemma 2.4 (4),  $\delta_{\bar{R}}(J)$  is greater than 0. Lemma 2.3 yields that  $\delta_{\bar{R}}(J/x_1J) \geq \delta_R(J) > 0$ . Using Lemma 2.4 (5), we obtain an isomorphism  $J/x_1J \cong R/J \oplus I^p/x_1I^{p-1}$ , and hence  $\delta_{\bar{R}}(J/x_1J) = \delta_{\bar{R}}(R/J) + \delta_{\bar{R}}(I^p/x_1I^{p-1})$ . Therefore we see that  $\delta_{\bar{R}}(R/J) > 0$  or  $\delta_{\bar{R}}(I^p/x_1I^{p-1}) > 0$ . Now assume that  $d = 1$ . If  $\delta_{\bar{R}}(I^p/x_1I^{p-1}) > 0$ , then  $I^p/x_1I^{p-1} = \bar{R}$  since  $I^p/x_1I^{p-1} = I^p/(x_1) \cap I^p$  is an ideal of the Artinian ring  $\bar{R}$  and we apply Lemma 2.5. Therefore  $I^p = R$  and this is a contradiction. So we get  $\delta_{\bar{R}}(R/J) > 0$ . In this case,  $R/J$  must have an  $\bar{R}$ -free summand. This shows that  $J = (x_1)$  and  $I^p \subset (x_1)$ .

Next we assume that  $d > 1$ . By Theorem 1.2,  $\delta_{\bar{R}}(I^p/x_1I^{p-1}) = 0$ . So we have  $\delta_{\bar{R}}(R/J) > 0$ . Then  $R/J = R/(I^p + (x_1)) = \bar{R}/\bar{I}^p$  hold. By the induction hypothesis,  $\bar{I}^p \subset (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)/(x_1)$ . Hence we get  $I^p \subset (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ .

It remains to show that  $\text{index}(I) = \text{reg}(\text{gr}_I(R)) + 1$  if  $I \subset \text{tr}(\omega)$ . We only need to prove that  $I^p \subset (x_1, \dots, x_d)$  implies  $\delta(R/I^p) > 0$ . This immediately follows from the inequalities  $\delta(R/I^p) \geq \delta(R/(x_1, \dots, x_d))$  and  $\delta(R/(x_1, \dots, x_d)) > 0$  by applying Theorem 1.2 (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) to the ideal  $(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ . □

### 3. Examples.

In this section,  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ , and  $d$  are the same as in the previous section. Let  $I$  be an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$ . To begin with, let us recall the definition of Ulrich ideals.

DEFINITION 3.1. We say that  $I$  is an Ulrich ideal of  $R$  if it satisfies the following.

- (1)  $\text{gr}_I(R)$  is a Cohen–Macaulay ring with  $a(\text{gr}_I(R)) \leq 1 - d$ .
- (2)  $I/I^2$  is a free  $R/I$ -module.

Here we denote by  $a(\text{gr}_I(R))$  the  $a$ -invariant of  $a(\text{gr}_I(R))$ . Since  $k$  is infinite, the condition (1) of Definition 3.1 is equivalent to saying that  $I^2 = QI$  for some minimal reduction  $Q$  of  $I$ .

Next, we prove that Ulrich ideals satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.2 and 1.5.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let  $I$  be an Ulrich ideal of  $R$ . Then  $I^l/I^{l+1}$  is a free  $R/I$ -module for any  $l \geq 1$ .*

PROOF. By definition,  $I/I^2$  is free over  $R/I$ . Take a minimal reduction  $Q$  of  $I$ . Consider the canonical exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I^l/Q^l \rightarrow Q^{l-1}/Q^l \rightarrow Q^{l-1}/I^l \rightarrow 0$$

of  $R/Q$ -modules. Then  $Q^{l-1}/Q^l$  is a free  $R/Q$ -module and

$$Q^{l-1}/I^l = Q^{l-1}/IQ^{l-1} = R/I \otimes_{R/Q} Q^{l-1}/Q^l$$

is a free  $R/I$ -module. Therefore

$$I^l/Q^l = \Omega_{R/Q}((R/I)^{\oplus m}) = \Omega_{R/Q}(R/I)^{\oplus m} = (I/Q)^{\oplus m}$$

for some  $m$ . Since  $I/Q$  is free over  $R/I$ ,  $I^l/Q^l$  is also a free  $R/I$ -module. We now look at the canonical exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow Q^l/I^{l+1} \rightarrow I^l/I^{l+1} \rightarrow I^l/Q^l \rightarrow 0$  of  $R/I$ -modules. Then as we already saw,  $I^l/Q^l$  and  $Q^l/I^{l+1}$  are both free over  $R/I$ . Thus the sequence is split exact and  $I^l/I^{l+1}$  is a free  $R/I$ -module. □

Now we give the proof of Corollary 1.6.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.6. It follows from [4, Theorem 1.1] that  $\text{index}(R)$  is finite number. Since  $I$  is not a parameter ideal, we have  $\delta(R/I) = 0$  by Theorem 1.2. If  $I \subset \mathfrak{m}^{\text{index}(R)}$ , then we have a surjective homomorphism  $R/I \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}^{\text{index}(R)}$  and thus  $\delta(R/I) \geq \delta(R/\mathfrak{m}^{\text{index}(R)}) > 0$ . This is a contradiction. □

To end this section, we give an example of an ideal showing that the condition  $I/I^2$  is free over  $R/I$  does not imply that  $I^l/I^{l+1}$  is free over  $R/I$  for any  $l \geq 1$ .

EXAMPLE 3.3. Let  $S = k[[x, y]]$  be the formal power series ring in two variables,  $\mathfrak{n}$  be the maximal ideal of  $S$ ,  $L = (x^4)S$ ,  $J = (x^2, y)S$ ,  $R = S/L$  be the quotient ring of  $S$  by  $L$  and  $I$  be the ideal  $J/L$  of  $R$ . Then  $I/I^2$  is free over  $R/I$  but  $I^2/I^3$  is not so.

PROOF. We note that  $J$  is a parameter ideal of  $S$  and therefore  $J^l/J^{l+1}$  is free over  $S/J$  for any  $l \geq 1$ . Since  $I^2 = (J^2+L)/L = J^2/L$ , we have  $I/I^2 = (J/L)/(J^2/L) \cong J/J^2$  which is free over  $S/J \cong R/I$ . On the other hand, we have  $l_R(I^2/I^3) = l_S(J^2/(J^3+L)) = 4$ ,  $l_R(R/I) = l_S(S/J) = 2$  and  $\mu_R(I^2) = 3$ , here we denote by  $l_A(M)$  the length of  $A$ -module  $M$  for a commutative ring  $A$  and by  $\mu_A(M)$  the number of minimal generator of  $M$ . Thus  $l_R(I^2/I^3) \neq \mu_R(I^2)l_R(R/I)$ . This shows that  $I^2/I^3$  is not free over  $R/I$ .  $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The author is grateful to his supervisor Ryo Takahashi for giving him helpful advice throughout the paper. The author also thanks the referee for his/her kind and helpful advice.

## References

- [ 1 ] M. Auslander and R. O. Buchweitz, The homological theory of maximal Cohen–Macaulay approximations, *Mem. Soc. Math. France*, **38** (1989), 5–37.
- [ 2 ] M. Auslander, S. Ding and Ø. Solberg, Liftings and weak liftings of modules, *J. Algebra*, **156** (1993), 273–317.
- [ 3 ] L. L. Avramov, R.-O. Buchweitz, S. B. Iyengar and C. Miller, Homology of perfect complexes, *Adv. in Math.*, **223** (2010), 1731–1781.
- [ 4 ] S. Ding, A note on the index of Cohen–Macaulay local rings, *Comm. Algebra*, **21** (1993), 53–71.
- [ 5 ] S. Ding, The associated graded ring and the index of a Gorenstein local ring, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **120** (1994), 1029–1033.
- [ 6 ] S. Goto, K. Ozeki, R. Takahashi, K. Watanabe and K. Yoshida, Ulrich ideals and modules, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **156** (2014), 137–166.
- [ 7 ] S. Goto, K. Ozeki, R. Takahashi, K. Watanabe and K. Yoshida, Ulrich ideals and modules over two-dimensional rational singularities, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **221** (2016), 69–110.
- [ 8 ] M. Hashimoto and A. Shida, Some remarks on index and generalized Loewy length of a Gorenstein local ring, *J. Algebra*, **187** (1997), 150–162.
- [ 9 ] K. Yoshida, A note on minimal Cohen–Macaulay approximations, *Communications in Algebra*, **24** (1996), 235–246.
- [ 10 ] G. J. Leuschke and R. Wiegand, Cohen–Macaulay Representations, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, **181**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [ 11 ] A. Ooishi, Castelnuovo’s regularity of graded rings and modules, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **12** (1982), 627–644.
- [ 12 ] R. Takahashi, Syzygy modules with semidualizing or G-projective summands, *J. Algebra*, **295** (2006), 179–194.
- [ 13 ] Y. Yoshino, On the higher delta invariants of a Gorenstein local ring, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **124** (1996), 2641–2647.

Toshinori KOBAYASHI  
 Graduate School of Mathematics  
 Nagoya University  
 Furocho, Chikusaku, Nagoya  
 Aichi 464-8602, Japan  
 E-mail: m16021z@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp