

Convergence of Aluthge iteration in semisimple Lie groups

This paper is dedicated to Professor Frank Uhlig on the occasion
of his retirement from Auburn University in 2013

By Tin-Yau TAM and Mary Clair THOMPSON

(Received Sep. 8, 2012)
(Revised Sep. 29, 2012)

Abstract. We extend the λ -Aluthge sequence convergence theorem of Antezana, Pujals and Stojanoff in the context of real noncompact connected semisimple Lie groups.

1. Introduction.

Given $0 < \lambda < 1$, the λ -Aluthge transform of $X \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ [7]:

$$\Delta_\lambda(X) := P^\lambda U P^{1-\lambda}$$

has been extensively studied, where $X = UP$ is the polar decomposition of X , that is, U is unitary and P is positive semidefinite. The notion can be extended to Hilbert space operators [6], [7]; see [9], [10], [16], [18], [19] for some recent research.

Define

$$\Delta_\lambda^m(X) := \Delta_\lambda(\Delta_\lambda^{m-1}(X)), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}$$

with $\Delta_\lambda^1(X) := \Delta_\lambda(X)$ and $\Delta_\lambda^0(X) := X$ so that we have the λ -Aluthge sequence $\{\Delta_\lambda^m(X)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$. It is known that $\{\Delta_\lambda^m(X)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges if $n = 2$ [8], if the eigenvalues of X have distinct moduli [12], and if X is diagonalizable [2], [3], [4]. Very recently Antezana, Pujals and Stojanoff [5] proved the following interesting result using ideas and techniques from dynamical systems and differential geometry.

THEOREM 1 ([5, Theorem 6.1]). *Let $X \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$.*

1. *The sequence $\{\Delta_\lambda^m(X)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a normal matrix $\Delta_\lambda^\infty(X) \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$.*
2. *The function $\Delta_\lambda^\infty : \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ defined by $X \mapsto \Delta_\lambda^\infty(X)$ is continuous.*

The convergence problem for $\mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ is reduced to $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ [1] and can be further reduced to $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ since $\Delta_\lambda(cX) = c\Delta_\lambda(X)$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Not much is known about the limit $\Delta_\lambda^\infty(X)$. For $X \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ with equal eigenvalue moduli [17],

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22E46.

Key Words and Phrases. Aluthge transform, Aluthge iteration, semisimple Lie group, Cartan decomposition.

$$\Delta_\lambda^\infty(X) = \frac{\operatorname{tr} X}{2} I_2 + \frac{\sqrt{4 - \operatorname{tr} X^2}}{2\sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(XX^*) + 2\det X - \operatorname{tr} X^2}}(X - X^*).$$

Our goal is to extend Theorem 1 to Lie groups with the right properties.

2. Main Results.

Let G be a real noncompact connected semisimple Lie group, and let \mathfrak{g} be its Lie algebra, with $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ a fixed Cartan decomposition of \mathfrak{g} . Let $K \subset G$ be the connected subgroup with Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} . Set $P := \exp \mathfrak{p}$. The Cartan decomposition [14, p.362] asserts that the map

$$K \times \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow G, \quad (k, X) \mapsto k \exp X \tag{2.1}$$

is a diffeomorphism [14, p.362]. In particular $G = KP$ and every element $g \in G$ can be uniquely written as $g = kp$, where $k \in K, p \in P$. Given $0 < \lambda < 1$, the λ -Aluthge transform of $\Delta_\lambda : G \rightarrow G$ is defined as

$$\Delta_\lambda(g) := p^\lambda k p^{1-\lambda},$$

where $p^\lambda := \exp(\lambda X) \in P$ if $p = \exp X$ for some $X \in \mathfrak{p}$. The map $(0, 1) \times G \rightarrow G$ defined by $(\lambda, g) \mapsto \Delta_\lambda(g)$ is smooth; thus $\Delta_\lambda : G \rightarrow G$ is smooth [13]. We define

$$\Delta_\lambda^m(g) := \Delta_\lambda(\Delta_\lambda^{m-1}(g)),$$

with $\Delta_\lambda^1(g) := \Delta_\lambda(g)$ and $\Delta_\lambda^0(g) := g$ so that we have the *generalized λ -Aluthge sequence* $\{\Delta_\lambda^m(g)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$. Clearly $\Delta_\lambda(g) = p^\lambda g (p^\lambda)^{-1}$ so that all members of the Aluthge sequence are in the same conjugacy class.

An element $g \in G$ is said to be *normal* if $kp = pk$, where $g = kp$ ($k \in K$ and $p \in P$) is the Cartan decomposition of g . It is known that the center Z of G is contained in K [11, p.252]. So $g \in G$ is normal if and only if zg is normal for all $z \in Z$.

Equip \mathfrak{g} once and for all with an inner product [14, p.360] such that the operator $\operatorname{Ad} k \in \operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ on \mathfrak{g} is orthogonal for all $k \in K$, and $\operatorname{Ad} p \in \operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ is positive definite for all $p \in P$. Notice that $\operatorname{Ad} G = (\operatorname{Ad} K)(\operatorname{Ad} P)$ is the polar decomposition of $\operatorname{Ad} G \subset \operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$.

- LEMMA 2. (1) *The element $g \in G$ is normal if and only if $\operatorname{Ad} g \in \operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ is normal.*
 (2) *Let $0 < \lambda < 1$. An element $g \in G$ is normal if and only if g is invariant under Δ_λ .*

PROOF. (1) One implication is trivial. For the other implication, consider $g = kp$ such that $\operatorname{Ad} g$ is normal, i.e., $\operatorname{Ad}(kp) = \operatorname{Ad}(pk)$. Since $\ker \operatorname{Ad} = Z \subset K$ [11, p.130], $kp = pkz$ where $z \in Z$, i.e., $kpk^{-1} = zp$. Now $kpk^{-1} \in P$ because \mathfrak{p} is invariant under $\operatorname{Ad} k$ for all $k \in K$. By the uniqueness of Cartan decomposition, $z = 1$ and $kpk^{-1} = p$, i.e., $kp = pk$.

(2) Suppose that $g = kp$ is normal, where $k \in K, p = \exp X \in P$ and $X \in \mathfrak{p}$, i.e., $kp = pk$. Then $kpk^{-1} = p$ so that $\exp(\operatorname{Ad}(k)X) = \exp X$. Since $\operatorname{Ad}(k)\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}$ and the map (2.1) is a diffeomorphism, we have $\operatorname{Ad}(k)X = X$. Thus $\operatorname{Ad}(k)(tX) = tX$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ so

that $kp^tk^{-1} = p^t$, i.e., $kp^t = p^tk$. As a result $\Delta_\lambda(g) = p^\lambda kp^{1-\lambda} = g$. Conversely if $g = kp$ is invariant under Δ_λ , then $p^\lambda kp^{1-\lambda} = kp$, i.e., $p^\lambda k = kp^\lambda$. So $\exp(\text{Ad}(k)\lambda X) = \exp(\lambda X)$ where $\exp X = p$. Using the diffeomorphism (2.1) $\text{Ad}(k)\lambda X = \lambda X$ so that $\text{Ad}(k)X = X$ and thus $kp = pk$. \square

LEMMA 3. *Let G be a noncompact connected semisimple Lie group and $g \in G$, and let $\varphi : G \rightarrow G$ be a diffeomorphism such that $\varphi(cg) = c\varphi(g)$ for each $c \in Z$, where Z is the center of G . If $\{\text{Ad} \varphi^m(g)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to L so that $\text{Ad}^{-1}(L)$ contains some fixed point ℓ of φ , then $\{\varphi^m(g)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to an element $\varphi^\infty(g) \in G$.*

PROOF. If $\{\text{Ad} \varphi^m(g)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges, then the limit L is of the form $\text{Ad} \ell$ for some $\ell \in G$ since $\text{Ad} G$ is closed in $\text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ [11, p.132]. We may assume that ℓ is a fixed point of φ . Since (G, Ad) is a covering group of $\text{Ad} G$ [11, p.272], there is a (local) homeomorphism, induced by Ad , between neighborhoods U of ℓ and $\text{Ad} U$ of $\text{Ad} \ell$. Thus there is a sequence $\{g_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset U$ converging to ℓ and $\text{Ad} g_m = \text{Ad} \varphi^m(g)$. Since $\ker \text{Ad} = Z$, there is a sequence $\{z_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \in Z$ such that $g_m = z_m \varphi^m(g)$, and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} z_m \varphi^m(g) = \ell. \tag{2.2}$$

Apply φ on (2.2) to have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} z_m \varphi^{m+1}(g) = \varphi(\ell) = \ell.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} z_{m+1} z_m^{-1} = 1,$$

where $1 \in G$ denotes the identity element. The converging sequence $\{z_{m+1} z_m^{-1}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is contained in the center Z which is discrete [11, p.116]. So $z_{m+1} = z_m = z$ (say) for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\{\varphi^m(g)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\varphi^\infty(g) := \ell z^{-1}$. \square

Our main result is

THEOREM 4. *Let G be a real connected noncompact semisimple Lie group, and let $g \in G$. Let $0 < \lambda < 1$.*

- (1) *The λ -Aluthge sequence $\{\Delta_\lambda^m(g)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a normal $\Delta_\lambda^\infty(g) \in G$.*
- (2) *The map $\Delta_\lambda^\infty : G \rightarrow G$ defined by $g \mapsto \Delta_\lambda^\infty(g)$ is continuous.*

PROOF. (1) By [13],

$$\text{Ad}(\Delta_\lambda^m(g)) = \Delta_\lambda^m(\text{Ad}(g)), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{2.3}$$

where Δ_λ on the left is the Aluthge transform of $g \in G$ with respect to the Cartan decomposition $G = KP$ and that on the right is the matrix Aluthge transform of $\text{Ad}(g) \in \text{Ad} G \subset \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ with respect to the polar decomposition. By Theorem 1 $\{\Delta_\lambda^m(\text{Ad}(g))\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$

converges to a normal $\text{Ad } \ell$ for some $\ell \in G$ since $\text{Ad } G$ is closed in $\text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ [11, p.132]; so does $\{\text{Ad}(\Delta_\lambda^m(g))\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$. Since ℓ is normal by Lemma 2, ℓ is fixed by Δ_λ . Moreover central elements factor out of Δ_λ so that Lemma 3 applies immediately, i.e., $\{\Delta_\lambda^m(g)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to the normal $\Delta_\lambda^\infty(g) := \ell z^{-1} \in G$.

(2) By 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}(\Delta_\lambda^\infty(g)) &= \text{Ad} \left(\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_\lambda^m(g) \right) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad}(\Delta_\lambda^m(g)) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_\lambda^m(\text{Ad}(g)) = \Delta_\lambda^\infty(\text{Ad}(g)). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\text{Ad} \circ \Delta_\lambda^\infty = \Delta_\lambda^\infty \circ \text{Ad}. \quad (2.4)$$

The $\Delta_\lambda^\infty : \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ on the right of (2.4) is continuous by Theorem 1(b), thus $\text{Ad} \circ \Delta_\lambda^\infty$ is continuous. Since $\text{Ad } G \cong G/Z$ [11, p.129], $\text{Ad} : G \rightarrow \text{Ad } G$ on the left of (2.4) is an open map [11, p.123], [15, p.97]. Hence $\Delta_\lambda^\infty : G \rightarrow G$ is continuous. \square

References

- [1] J. Antezana, P. Massey and D. Stojanoff, λ -Aluthge transforms and Schatten ideals, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **405** (2005), 177–199.
- [2] J. Antezana, E. Pujals and D. Stojanoff, Iterated Aluthge transforms: a brief survey, *Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina*, **49** (2008), 29–41.
- [3] J. Antezana, E. Pujals and D. Stojanoff, Convergence of the iterated Aluthge transform sequence for diagonalizable matrices. II, λ -Aluthge transform, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, **62** (2008), 465–488.
- [4] J. Antezana, E. Pujals and D. Stojanoff, Convergence of the iterated Aluthge transform sequence for diagonalizable matrices, *Adv. Math.*, **216** (2007), 255–278.
- [5] J. Antezana, E. Pujals and D. Stojanoff, The iterated Aluthge transforms of a matrix converge, *Adv. Math.*, **226** (2011), 1591–1620.
- [6] A. Aluthge, On p -hyponormal operators for $0 < p < 1$, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, **13** (1990), 307–315.
- [7] A. Aluthge, Some generalized theorems on p -hyponormal operators, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, **24** (1996), 497–501.
- [8] T. Ando and T. Yamazaki, The iterated Aluthge transforms of a 2-by-2 matrix converge, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **375** (2003), 299–309.
- [9] M. Chō, I. B. Jung and W. Y. Lee, On Aluthge transforms of p -hyponormal operators, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, **53** (2005), 321–329.
- [10] C. Foiaş, I. B. Jung, E. Ko and C. Pearcy, Complete contractivity of maps associated with the Aluthge and Duggal transforms, *Pacific J. Math.*, **209** (2003), 249–259.
- [11] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces*, Pure Appl. Math., **80**, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [12] H. Huang and T.-Y. Tam, On the convergence of Aluthge sequence, *Oper. Matrices*, **1** (2007), 121–141.
- [13] H. Huang and T.-Y. Tam, Aluthge iteration in semisimple Lie group, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **432** (2010), 3250–3257.
- [14] A. W. Knap, *Lie Groups Beyond an Introduction*, Progr. Math., **140**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.
- [15] A. A. Sagle and R. E. Walde, *Introduction to Lie Groups and Lie Algebras*, Pure Appl. Math. (Amst.), **51**, Academic Press, New York, 1973.

- [16] T.-Y. Tam, λ -Aluthge iteration and spectral radius, [Integral Equations Operator Theory](#), **60** (2008), 591–596.
- [17] T. Takasaki, Basic indexes and Aluthge transformation for 2 by 2 matrices, [Math. Inequal. Appl.](#), **11** (2008), 615–628.
- [18] D. Wang, Heinz and McIntosh inequalities, Aluthge transformation and the spectral radius, [Math. Inequal. Appl.](#), **6** (2003), 121–124.
- [19] T. Yamazaki, An expression of spectral radius via Aluthge transformation, [Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.](#), **130** (2002), 1131–1137.

Tin-Yau TAM
Auburn University
Auburn, AL USA
E-mail: tamtiny@auburn.edu

Mary Clair THOMPSON
Auburn University
Auburn, AL USA
E-mail: mct0006@auburn.edu

Current address:
Lafayette College
Easton, PA USA
E-mail: thompsmc@lafayette.edu