

## On the prolongation of local holomorphic solutions of partial differential equations, III, equations of the Fuchsian type

By Yoshimichi TSUNO

(Received May 2, 1975)

### § 1. Introduction.

In the theory of partial differential equations in the complex  $n$ -dimensional space  $C^n$ , one of interesting problems is the holomorphic continuation of the homogeneous solutions of  $P(z, D)u(z)=0$ . There obtained several results concerning this problem ([2], [3], [5], [7], [8] and others). In our preceding paper [6], we study the holomorphic continuation over the pluri-harmonic surface and the result obtained is the following: If the surface is defined by  $\text{Re } \Phi(z)=0$  for some non-degenerate holomorphic function  $\Phi$ , and the principal part of  $P$ ,  $P_m(z, \text{grad } \Phi)$ , does not vanish identically on the analytic variety  $\{\Phi(z)=0\}$ , then every solution of  $P(z, D)u(z)=0$  in  $\{z|\text{Re } \Phi(z)>0\}$  becomes holomorphic near the boundary.

In this paper we study the case where  $P_m(z, \text{grad } \Phi)$  is identically zero on the set  $\{\Phi(z)=0\}$  but the function  $\Phi(z)$  is not characteristic, that is,  $P_m(z, \text{grad } \Phi)$  does not vanish identically near the boundary. In such case,  $P_m(z, \text{grad } \Phi)$  can be divided by  $\Phi^k$  for some  $k \geq 1$  because the variety  $\{\Phi=0\}$  is irreducible and then the notion of *the differential operator of the Fuchsian type* with respect to  $\Phi$  is naturally introduced (see also M. S. Baouendi and C. Goulaouic [1]). The main result in this paper is roughly expressed as follows: If the operator  $P(z, D)$  is of the Fuchsian type with respect to  $\Phi(z)$  then every homogeneous solution of  $P(z, D)u(z)=0$  in  $\{z|\text{Re } \Phi(z)>0\}$  is holomorphic near the boundary of the function of  $\log \Phi(z)$  and  $z$ .

### § 2. Partial differential operators of the Fuchsian type.

Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in the complex  $n$ -dimensional space  $C^n$  whose boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is defined by the level surface of some *pluri-harmonic function*, and  $P(z, D)$  be a linear partial differential operator of order  $m$  near  $\partial\Omega$  with holomorphic coefficients. We denote its principal part by  $P_m(z, D)$ . Since we study only the local properties of the holomorphic solutions of  $P(z, D)u(z)=0$ , we may

assume without loss of generality that  $\Omega = \{z \in U \mid \operatorname{Re} z_1 > 0\}$  for some neighborhood  $U$  of 0. In our preceding paper [6], we deal with the case where  $P_m(z, N)$  does not vanish identically on the complex hypersurface  $z_1 = 0$  where  $N = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Now in this paper we study the case where  $P_m(z, N)$  vanishes identically on the set  $\{z_1 = 0\}$  but the function  $\Phi(z) = z_1$  is not characteristic, this means that  $P_m(z, N)$  does not vanish identically in  $U$ . We write  $P(z, D) = \sum_{p+|\alpha| \leq m} a_{(p,\alpha)}(z) (\partial/\partial z_1)^p (\partial/\partial z')^\alpha$  where  $z' = (z_2, \dots, z_n)$  and  $\alpha = (\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is a multi-index, and use the terminology in M. S. Baouendi and C. Goulaouic [1]. We say that a differential monomial which may be written as  $c(z_1, z') z_1^l (\partial/\partial z_1)^p (\partial/\partial z')^\alpha$ , where  $c(0, z') \neq 0$ , has the *weight*  $p-l$ . We now consider the following conditions on the differential operator  $P(z, D)$ :

- (i) the coefficient of  $(\partial/\partial z_1)^m$  is  $a(z) z_1^k$  with  $0 \leq k \leq m$  and  $a(z) \neq 0$  in a neighborhood of 0,
- (ii)  $P(z, D)$  can be written as the finite sum of differential monomials each of which has the weight at most  $m-k$ ,
- (iii) each monomial in the principal part  $P_m(z, D)$ , except  $a(z) z_1^k (\partial/\partial z_1)^m$ , has the weight at most  $m-k-1$ .

DEFINITION. A differential operator satisfying the above conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) is said to be of *the Fuchsian type* (with respect to  $z_1$ ).

REMARK 1 (see also [1], Remark 1). These conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) are invariant under the coordinate transformation which preserves the hypersurface  $z_1 = 0$ . Therefore we may say in general that  $P(z, D)$  is of the Fuchsian type with respect to the complex hypersurface  $S$  if and only if, in some local coordinates (which associate to  $S$  the hyperplane  $z_1 = 0$ ), the operator  $P(z, D)$  satisfies (i), (ii) and (iii).

REMARK 2. Our definition of the Fuchsian operator is weaker than that of M. S. Baouendi and C. Goulaouic [1]. They request the Fuchsian operator to satisfy the condition (iii) for *all differential monomial* in  $P(z, D)$  except for the terms  $a_{(k,0)}(z) (\partial/\partial z_1)^k$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ ).

Since we deal only with the homogeneous equation  $P(z, D)u(z) = 0$ , we may assume in general that  $m = k$  and the coefficient of  $(\partial/\partial z_1)^m$  is equal to  $z_1^m$  in the above definition. Then the Fuchsian operator is written in the form

$$(1) \quad P(z, D) = \sum_{p+|\alpha| \leq m} a_{(p,\alpha)}(z) z_1^p \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\right)^p \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z'}\right)^\alpha,$$

and especially its principal part is in the form

$$(2) \quad P_m(z, D) = z_1^m \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\right)^m + z_1 \sum_{\substack{p+|\alpha|=m \\ p < m}} b_{(p,\alpha)}(z) z_1^p \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\right)^p \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z'}\right)^\alpha,$$

where  $a_{(p,\alpha)}(z)$  and  $b_{(p,\alpha)}(z)$  are holomorphic in  $U$ .

Now we take  $U$  as the set

$$(3) \quad U_z(\rho, r) = \{z \mid |z_1| < \rho, |z_j| < r \quad j=2, \dots, n\}$$

for some positive constants  $\rho$  and  $r$ , and set

$$(4) \quad \Omega_z(\rho, r) = \{z \in U_z(\rho, r) \mid \operatorname{Re} z_1 > 0\}.$$

Here we may assume that there exists a constant  $M$  such that

$$(5) \quad |b_{(p,\alpha)}(z)| \leq M$$

in  $U_z(\rho, r)$  for every  $(p, \alpha)$  with  $p + |\alpha| = m$  and  $p < m$ . Then we make the holomorphic transformation of coordinates from  $(z_1, z')$ -variables to  $(t, z')$ -variables as follows:

$$(6) \quad z_1 = e^t.$$

This change of variables is well-known for the Euler equation in the theory of ordinary differential equations and we have the next relations,

$$(7) \quad z_1^k \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \right)^k = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - 1 \right) \dots \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - k + 1 \right) \quad k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Under this transformation the domain  $\Omega$  given by (4) is bi-holomorphically mapped into the following domain,

$$(8) \quad \tilde{\Omega}_{(t,z')}(\log \rho, r) = \{(t, z') \mid \operatorname{Re} t < \log \rho, |\operatorname{Im} t| < \pi/2, |z_j| < r \quad j=2, \dots, n\}$$

and by the relation (7), the Fuchsian operator  $P(z, D)$  given by (1) is transformed to a differential operator  $\tilde{P}(t, z'; \partial/\partial t, \partial/\partial z')$  whose coefficients are holomorphic in

$$(9) \quad \tilde{U}_{(t,z')}(\log \rho, r) = \{(t, z') \mid \operatorname{Re} t < \log \rho, |z_j| < r \quad j=2, \dots, n\}.$$

Then the principal part of  $\tilde{P}(t, z'; \partial/\partial t, \partial/\partial z')$  with respect to  $(\partial/\partial t, \partial/\partial z')$  is, by (2),

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_m(t, z'; \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z'}) \\ = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^m + e^t \sum_{\substack{p+|\alpha|=m \\ p < m}} b_{(p,\alpha)}(e^t, z') \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^p \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z'} \right)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Here we should pay the attention to the following two points:

- (i) under this transformation, the hyperplane  $t=0$  becomes non-characteristic,
- (ii) there exists the term  $e^t$  in every coefficients of differential monomials  $(\partial/\partial t)^p (\partial/\partial z')^\alpha$  ( $p + |\alpha| = m, p < m$ ).

These conditions mean that if  $\operatorname{Re} t$  is sufficiently small, then the characteristic hyperplane becomes "almost" parallel to the  $t$ -axis (see Lemma 1 in the next section), and this is essential in our theory.

### § 3. Prolongation of local holomorphic solutions.

In this section we study the holomorphic continuation of the homogeneous solutions of the Fuchsian partial differential equation  $P(z, D)u(z)=0$ .

We define the bilinear inner product  $\langle, \rangle$  in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  by  $\langle z, \lambda \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \lambda_j$  and the norm of  $z$  by  $|z|^2 = \langle z, \bar{z} \rangle$  and set  $S^{2n-1} = \{\zeta \in \mathbf{C}^n \mid |\zeta| = 1\}$ . The real hyperplane  $H(\zeta, z_0)$  through the point  $z_0$  with the complex normal direction  $\zeta \in S^{2n-1}$  is defined by

$$(11) \quad H(\zeta, z_0) = \{z \mid \operatorname{Re} \langle z - z_0, \zeta \rangle = 0\}.$$

We also denote this by  $H(\zeta)$  when  $z_0$  has no need to be mentioned. The vector  $\zeta \in S^{2n-1}$  is said to be characteristic with respect to  $P(z, D)$  at  $z_0$  if  $\zeta$  satisfies the equation  $P_m(z_0, \zeta) = 0$ . For an open set  $V$  in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  and a differential operator  $P(z, D)$  in  $V$ , we denote by  $\operatorname{Car}_P(V)$  the closure in  $S^{2n-1}$  of all vectors that are characteristic for some point in  $V$ . Then we have the next theorem.

**THEOREM 1** (J.M. Bony and P. Schapira [3] Théorème 2.1, see also L. Hörmander [4], Theorem 5.3.3). *Let  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  be two open convex sets in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  such that  $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$  and let  $P(z, D)$  be a differential operator in  $\Omega_2$ . We assume that every hyperplane  $H(\zeta)$  with  $\zeta \in \operatorname{Car}_P(\Omega_2)$  which intersects  $\Omega_2$  also meets  $\Omega_1$ . Then every  $u(z)$  holomorphic in  $\Omega_1$  and satisfying the equation  $P(z, D)u(z) = 0$  becomes holomorphic in  $\Omega_2$ .*

We remark that the function  $u(z)$  extended holomorphically to that on  $\Omega_2$  satisfies also the equation  $P(z, D)u(z) = 0$  in  $\Omega_2$  by the theorem of identity.

We now study the vector  $\zeta$  in  $\operatorname{Car}_{\tilde{P}}(\tilde{U}_{(t, z')})(\log \rho, r)$  for the operator  $\tilde{P}(t, z'; \partial/\partial t, \partial/\partial z')$ , where  $\tilde{U}_{(t, z')}(log \rho, r)$  is given by (9) and the principal part of  $\tilde{P}(t, z'; \partial/\partial t, \partial/\partial z')$  is given by (10) in the preceding section. Then we have the following lemma.

**LEMMA 1.** *For any number  $C > 0$ , there exists  $\tau$  ( $\tau < \log \rho$ ) such that*

$$C|\zeta_1| \leq |\zeta_2| + \cdots + |\zeta_n|$$

for any  $\zeta \in \operatorname{Car}_{\tilde{P}}(\tilde{U}_{(t, z')})(\tau, r)$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $\zeta$  be any vector that is characteristic with respect to  $\tilde{P}$  at some point in  $\tilde{U}_{(t, z')}(r, \tau)$ . Then by (5) and (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta_1|^m &\leq e^{-\sum_{\substack{p+\alpha=m \\ p < m}} |b_{(p, \alpha)}|} |\zeta_1^p \zeta'^\alpha| \\ &\leq M e^{-\{(|\zeta_1| + \cdots + |\zeta_n|)^m - |\zeta_1|^m\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\{(1+M^{-1}e^{-\tau})^{1/m}-1\}|\zeta_1|\leq|\zeta_2|+\dots+|\zeta_n|,$$

and this inequality completes the proof because the coefficient of  $|\zeta_1|$  tends to infinity as  $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$ .

We next study the relation between open convex sets and hyperplanes. Let  $\mathcal{A}(a, b)$  ( $a, b$  real) be an open convex set in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  with the variable  $t$  defined by

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{A}(a, b) = \{t \mid \operatorname{Re} t < a, |\operatorname{Im} t| < b\}.$$

Using this notation, we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t, z')}(a, r) = \{(t, z') \mid t \in \mathcal{A}(a, \pi/2), |z_j| < r \quad j=2, \dots, n\}.$$

Furthermore we set  $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t, z')}(a, b, r)$  the convex hull of the set  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t, z')}(a, r)$  and the set  $\{(t, z') \mid t \in \mathcal{A}(a, b), z'=0\}$ . We remark that  $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$  obtained above is an open convex set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $\zeta \in S^{2n-1}$  be any vector satisfying the inequality

$$C|\zeta_1| \leq |\zeta_2| + \dots + |\zeta_n|$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ . Then if the hyperplane  $H(\zeta)$  intersects  $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t, z')}(a, \pi/2 + Cr, r)$ , it also meets  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t, z')}(a, r)$ .

PROOF. Since  $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t, z')}(a, \pi/2 + Cr, r)$  is the convex hull of  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t, z')}(a, r)$  and the set  $\{(t, z') \mid t \in \mathcal{A}(a, \pi/2 + Cr), z'=0\}$ , the hyperplane  $H(\zeta)$  which intersects  $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$  must also meet  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$  or  $\{(t, z') \mid t \in \mathcal{A}(a, \pi/2 + Cr), z'=0\}$ . Thus for the proof of this lemma it is sufficient to show that the hyperplane  $H(\zeta)$  meets  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$  if there is a point  $(\alpha + i\beta, 0, \dots, 0)$  ( $\alpha, \beta$  real) in  $H(\zeta)$  such that  $\alpha < a$  and  $|\beta| < \pi/2 + Cr$ .

We now write  $\zeta_j = \xi_j + i\eta_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, n$ ) ( $\xi_j, \eta_j$  real) and  $t = x + iy$  ( $x, y$  real). Then  $H(\zeta)$  is the set of all points  $(x + iy, z_2, \dots, z_n)$  satisfying

$$(13) \quad \xi_1(x - \alpha) - \eta_1(y - \beta) = -\operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=2}^n \zeta_j z_j.$$

If we take  $x_0 = \alpha$  and  $|y_0| < \pi/2$  such that  $|y_0 - \beta| < Cr$ , then by the assumption we have

$$|\xi_1(x_0 - \alpha) - \eta_1(y_0 - \beta)| < C|\zeta_1|r \leq r(|\zeta_2| + \dots + |\zeta_n|).$$

On the other hand the right hand side of (13) can take any value whose absolute value is less than  $r(|\zeta_2| + \dots + |\zeta_n|)$  at some point  $(z_2, \dots, z_n)$  satisfying  $|z_j| < r$  ( $j=2, \dots, n$ ). Thus there exists a point  $(t_0, z'_0) = (x_0 + iy_0, z_2^{(0)}, \dots, z_n^{(0)})$  in  $H(\zeta)$  which is also contained in  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$ . This completes the proof.

Now we have the following main theorem.

THEOREM 2. Let  $\tilde{P}(t, z'; \partial/\partial t, \partial/\partial z')$  be a differential operator in the domain  $\tilde{U}_{(t, z')}(a, r)$  given by (9) with the principal part given by (10). Then for any positive number  $C$  there exists  $\tau$  ( $\tau < \log \rho$ ) such that every  $u(t, z')$  holomorphic

in  $\tilde{\Omega}_{(t,z')}(\tau, r)$  and satisfying the equation  $\check{P}(t, z'; \partial/\partial t, \partial/\partial z')u(t, z')=0$  becomes holomorphic in  $\hat{\Omega}_{(t,z')}(\mathcal{A}(\tau, \pi/2+Cr), r)$ .

PROOF. For a given number  $C$  we take  $\tau$  by Lemma 1 such that

$$C|\zeta_1| \leq |\zeta_2| + \cdots + |\zeta_n|$$

for any  $\zeta \in \text{Car}_{\mathbb{P}}(\check{U}_{(t,z')}(\tau, r))$ . We then apply Theorem 1 with  $\Omega_1 = \tilde{\Omega}_{(t,z')}(\tau, r)$  and  $\Omega_2 = \hat{\Omega}_{(t,z')}(\mathcal{A}(\tau, \pi/2+Cr), r)$  and, using Lemma 2, we get this theorem.

Since for any number  $\varepsilon$  ( $0 < \varepsilon < Cr$ ) there exists  $\rho$  ( $0 < \rho < \min(\exp \tau, r)$ ) such that the set  $\{(t, z') \mid \text{Re } t < \log \rho, |\text{Im } t| < \pi/2 + Cr - \varepsilon, |z_j| < \rho \ j=2, \dots, n\}$  is contained in  $\hat{\Omega}_{(t,z')}(\mathcal{A}(\tau, \pi/2+Cr), r)$ , we can now restate the above theorem as follows.

**THEOREM 2<sup>bis</sup>.** *Let  $P(z, D)$  be a differential operator of the Fuchsian type with respect to  $z_1$  in a neighborhood  $U$  of 0 in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then for any positive number  $C$  we can choose  $r > 0$  such that every  $u(z)$  holomorphic in  $\Omega = \{z \in U \mid \text{Re } z_1 > 0\}$  and satisfying the equation  $P(z, D)u(z) = 0$  becomes holomorphic with respect to the variables  $(\log z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$  in the following domain*

$$\begin{cases} |z_j| < r & (j=1, 2, \dots, n) \\ |\arg z_1| < C. \end{cases}$$

### References

- [ 1 ] M.S. Baouendi and C. Goulaouic, Cauchy problems with characteristic initial hypersurface, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **26** (1973), 455-475.
- [ 2 ] P. Pallu de La Barrière, Existence et prolongement des solutions holomorphes des équations aux dérivées partielles, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **279** (1974), 947-949.
- [ 3 ] J.M. Bony et P. Schapira, Existence et prolongement des solutions holomorphes des équations aux dérivées partielles, *Invent. Math.*, **17** (1972), 95-105.
- [ 4 ] L. Hörmander, *Linear partial differential operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1963.
- [ 5 ] Y. Tsuno, On the prolongation of local holomorphic solutions of partial differential equations, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **26** (1974), 523-548.
- [ 6 ] Y. Tsuno, On the prolongation of local holomorphic solutions of partial differential equations, II, prolongation across the pluri-harmonic hypersurface, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **28** (1976), 304-306.
- [ 7 ] Y. Tsuno, On the prolongation of local holomorphic solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **27** (1975), 454-466. Its summary is published in *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **50** (1974), 702-705.
- [ 8 ] M. Zerner, Domaines d'holomorphic des fonctions vérifiant une équation aux dérivées partielles. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **272** (1971), 1646-1648.

Yoshimichi TSUNO

Department of Mathematics  
Hiroshima University

Present address:

Department of Mathematics  
Okayama University  
Tsushima, Okayama  
Japan