

## A cohomology for Lie algebras

By U. SHUKLA

(Received Dec. 8, 1965)

### 1. Introduction.

Dixmier [1] has proposed a cohomology for Lie rings (that is, Lie algebras over the ring of integers). In this paper we propose a cohomology for Lie algebras over a ring in which the element 2 is invertible. First we construct a complex over a Lie algebra and then define a cohomology. We then show that the 0-cohomology module is isomorphic to the submodule of invariant elements of the module of coefficients, the 1-cohomology module is the module of crossed homomorphisms of the Lie algebra into the module of coefficients modulo the principal homomorphisms, and the 2-cohomology module is in one-one correspondence with the set of equivalence classes of special (or singular) extensions of the Lie algebra with the module of coefficients as kernel. While trying to interpret the 3-cohomology module the task of showing that every element of it is indeed an obstruction becomes too difficult and it has not been possible to accomplish it.

There is a great similarity between the constructions and proofs given in this paper and those given in [2], but they do need working out since the structure of a Lie algebra, thanks to the Jacobi identity, is not as simple as that of an associative algebra and one cannot be sure of the truth of a theorem without a comprehensive proof. Those definitions which have not been given here formally can be obtained from [2] with obvious changes (e. g. for an associative algebra substitute a Lie algebra).

### 2. Definition of cohomology.

Let  $K$  be a commutative ring with unit element 1 ( $\neq 0$ ) such that there exists an element  $k \in K$  for which  $2k=1$ . Throughout this paper we shall consider Lie algebras over the ring  $K$ . A differential graded Lie algebra over the ring  $K$  is a graded  $K$ -module  $U = \sum_{n \geq 0} U_n$  together with (i) a  $K$ -homomorphism  $U \otimes_K U \rightarrow U$  given by  $u_i \otimes u_j \rightarrow [u_i, u_j]$ , where  $u_i \in U_i$ ,  $u_j \in U_j$  and  $[u_i, u_j] \in U_{i+j}$ , satisfying the following relations:

$$(2.1) \quad [u, u] = 0, \quad \text{where } u \in U \text{ is homogeneous element of even degree ;}$$

$$(2.2) \quad [u_i, u_j] = (-1)^{ij+1}[u_j, u_i], \text{ where } u_i \in U_i, u_j \in U_j;$$

$$(2.3) \quad (-1)^{ki}[u_i, [u_j, u_k]] + (-1)^{ij}[u_j, [u_k, u_i]] + (-1)^{jk}[u_k, [u_i, u_j]] = 0,$$

where  $u_i \in U_i, u_j \in U_j, u_k \in U_k$ ; and (ii)  $K$ -homomorphism  $d: U \rightarrow U$  such that

$$(2.4) \quad dd = 0, d(U_n) \subset U_{n-1}, d[u_i, u_j] = [du_i, u_j] + (-1)^i[u_i, du_j],$$

where  $u_i \in U_i, u_j \in U_j$ . We denote the restriction of  $d$  to  $U_n$  by  $d_n$ . (Actually since there exists an element  $k \in K$  such that  $2k = 1$  the relation (2.1) follows from (2.2) but we shall find it convenient to retain it separately.)

A (left)  $U$ -representation of  $U$  is a  $K$ -module  $M$  together with a  $K$ -homomorphism  $U \otimes_K M \rightarrow M$  given by  $u \otimes m \rightarrow u \cdot m$ , where  $u \in U, m \in M$  such that

$$u_i \cdot (u_j \cdot m) - (-1)^{ij}u_j \cdot (u_i \cdot m) = [u_i, u_j] \cdot m,$$

where  $u_i \in U_i, u_j \in U_j$  and  $m \in M$ . For brevity we call  $M$  a (left)  $U$ -module.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra. We shall construct a differential graded Lie algebra  $U = \sum_{n \geq 0} U_n$  and a homomorphism of differential graded Lie algebras  $\varepsilon: U \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  (the differential and the grading in  $\mathfrak{g}$  being trivial) such that

- (i) the sequence of  $K$ -modules  $\dots \rightarrow U_n \xrightarrow{d_n} U_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow U_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} U_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0$  is exact, and
- (ii) there is a map  $\sigma: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow U_0$  for which  $\sigma([x, y]) = [\sigma(x), \sigma(y)]$ , where  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\varepsilon\sigma = \text{identity map}$ .

Let  $X_0$  be a set in one-to-one correspondence with  $\mathfrak{g}$  and let a multiplication be defined in  $X_0$  such that the product of any two elements in  $X_0$  is the element in  $X_0$  which corresponds to the product of their images in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $K(X_0)$  be the  $K$ -free module with  $X_0$  as base. The multiplication in  $X_0$  induces on  $K(X_0)$  the structure of a non-associative algebra. The one-to-one correspondence  $X_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  induces a  $K$ -homomorphism of non-associative algebras  $\bar{\varepsilon}: K(X_0) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ . The inverse map  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow X_0$  gives a map  $\bar{\sigma}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow K(X_0)$  such that  $\bar{\varepsilon}\bar{\sigma} = \text{identity map}$ . We define sets  $X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots$  by induction over  $n$ . Suppose we have defined the sets  $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n$  and an exact sequence of  $K$ -modules

$$K(X_n) \xrightarrow{\bar{d}_n} K(X_{n-1}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K(X_1) \xrightarrow{\bar{d}_1} K(X_0) \xrightarrow{\bar{\varepsilon}} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0$$

such that (i)  $K(X_p)$  is a  $K$ -free module with  $X_p$  as base ( $0 \leq p \leq n$ ) and (ii)  $X_p$  is a set in one-to-one correspondence with the kernel  $N_{p-1}$  of the  $K$ -homomorphism  $\bar{d}_{p-1}: K(X_{p-1}) \rightarrow K(X_{p-2})$  for  $2 \leq p \leq n$ , while  $X_1$  is a set in one-to-one correspondence with the kernel  $N_0$  of the  $K$ -homomorphism  $\bar{\varepsilon}: K(X_0) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $X_{n+1}$  be a set in one-to-one correspondence with the kernel  $N_n$  of the  $K$ -homomorphism  $\bar{d}_n: K(X_n) \rightarrow K(X_{n-1})$ . Let  $K(X_{n+1})$  be the  $K$ -free module with  $X_{n+1}$  as base. The kernel  $N_n$  being a  $K$ -submodule of  $K(X_n)$  the bijective map

$X_{n+1} \rightarrow N_n$  induces a  $K$ -homomorphism  $K(X_{n+1}) \rightarrow N_n$  which when composed with the inclusion map  $N_n \rightarrow K(X_n)$  gives a  $K$ -homomorphism  $\bar{d}_{n+1}: K(X_{n+1}) \rightarrow K(X_n)$  such that the sequence

$$K(X_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\bar{d}_{n+1}} K(X_n) \xrightarrow{\bar{d}_n} K(X_{n-1}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K(X_1) \xrightarrow{\bar{d}_1} K(X_0) \xrightarrow{\bar{\varepsilon}} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

The direct sum  $\sum_{n \geq 0} K(X_n)$  is a  $K$ -free differential graded module. We shall define inductively maps

$$X_i \times X_j \rightarrow X_{i+j} \quad (i \geq 0, j \geq 0)$$

(the image of  $(x_i, x_j)$  being denoted by  $[x_i, x_j]$ ) which when extended by  $K$ -linearity give to  $\sum_{n \geq 0} K(X_n)$  the structure of a  $K$ -free non-associative differential graded algebra. For  $i=0, j=0$  the map  $X_0 \times X_0 \rightarrow X_0$  has already been defined. Suppose that the maps have been defined for  $i+j \leq n$  such that

$$(2.5) \quad \bar{d}_{i+j}[x_i, x_j] = [\bar{d}_i x_i, x_j] + (-1)^i [x_i, \bar{d}_j x_j].$$

We take  $\bar{d}_0 = 0$ . In order to define the map for  $i+j = n+1$ , consider the expression

$$[\bar{d}_i x_i, x_j] + (-1)^i [x_i, \bar{d}_j x_j] \in K(X_n).$$

It is annulled by  $\bar{d}_n$  and so belongs to  $N_n$ . The element in  $X_{n+1}$  which corresponds to it under the one-to-one correspondence  $X_{n+1} \rightarrow N_n$  is defined to be the product  $[x_i, x_j]$ . By this definition the relation (2.5) is true for  $i+j = n+1$ . We observe that  $K(X_n)$  is not only a  $K$ -free module but also a  $K(X_0)$ -module.

Let  $X$  be the sum set  $\sum_{n \geq 0} X_n$ . Then  $\sum_{n \geq 0} K(X_n) = K(X)$ , the  $K$ -free module with  $X$  as base; indeed it is a  $K$ -free differential graded non-associative algebra. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be the two-sided ideal generated by the following elements

$\bar{\sigma}(0), [x_{2p}, x_{2p}], [x_i, x_j] + (-1)^{ij} [x_j, x_i],$  and  $(-1)^{ki} [x_i, [x_j, x_k]] + (-1)^{ij} [x_j, [x_k, x_i]] + (-1)^{jk} [x_k, [x_i, x_j]],$  where  $x_{2p} \in X_{2p} (p \geq 0), x_i \in X_i, x_j \in X_j, x_k \in X_k.$  The quotient algebra  $U = K(X)/\mathfrak{p}$  is a differential graded Lie algebra. If  $U_n$  denotes the image of  $K(X_n)$  under the canonical map  $K(X) \rightarrow K(X)/\mathfrak{p}$ , we have  $U = \sum_{n \geq 0} U_n$  with maps  $d_n: U_n \rightarrow U_{n-1} (n \geq 1), d_0 = 0$  induced by  $\bar{d}_n (n \geq 0).$  The homomorphism  $\bar{\varepsilon}: K(X_0) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  yields a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\varepsilon: U_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  and the map  $\bar{\sigma}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow K(X_0)$  gives a map  $\sigma: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow U_0$  which is such that  $\sigma([x, y]) = [\sigma(x), \sigma(y)]$  for  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\varepsilon \sigma = \text{identity map}.$  We can also define maps  $s_0: \text{Ker } \varepsilon \rightarrow U_1$  and  $s_{n-1}: \text{Ker } d_{n-1} \rightarrow U_n (n > 1)$  with the help of the bijective maps  $X_n \rightarrow N_{n-1} (n \geq 1)$  such that  $d_1 s_0$  and  $d_n s_{n-1}$  are identity maps.

Let us define with Dixmier [1, p. 63] the algebra  $G(U)$  of the graded  $K$ -module  $U$ . We recall that  $G(U)$  is the (associative) quotient algebra of the

tensor algebra (over  $K$ ) of  $U$  by the two-sided ideal generated by the elements of the form

$$u \otimes v + (-1)^{\alpha\beta} v \otimes u, \quad \text{where } u \in U_\alpha, v \in U_\beta;$$

and  $w \otimes w$ , where  $w$  is a homogeneous element of *even* degree in  $U$ . Every element of  $G(U)$  is a  $K$ -linear combination of the elements of the form  $\langle u_1 | \dots | u_n \rangle$ ,  $u_i \in U_{\alpha_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , where  $\langle u_1 | \dots | u_n \rangle$  denotes the image of  $u_1 \otimes \dots \otimes u_n$  in  $G(U)$ . The image of the unit element 1 of  $K$  in  $G(U)$  is denoted by  $\langle \rangle$ . In particular  $\langle u \rangle$  denotes the image in  $G(U)$  of the homogeneous element  $u$  of  $U$ . Indeed  $U$  can also be identified with its image in  $G(U)$ . We say that the element  $\langle u_1 | \dots | u_n \rangle$  is of *degree*  $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$  and *order*  $n$ . We define the *total degree* of  $\langle u_1 | \dots | u_n \rangle$  in  $G(U)$  to be the sum of the degree and the order, namely,  $n + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ . We note that  $G(U)$  possesses a unit element, namely,  $\langle \rangle$  which is taken to be of zero degree and zero order. If  $u$  (resp.  $v$ ) is a homogeneous element of  $G(U)$  of degree  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\beta$ ) and order  $\alpha'$  (resp.  $\beta'$ ) we have

$$\langle v | u \rangle = (-1)^{\alpha\beta + \alpha'\beta'} \langle u | v \rangle.$$

If  $U^+$  denotes the sum of  $U_n$  for  $n$  even and  $U^-$  denotes the sum of  $U_n$  for  $n$  odd, then

$$G(U) = E(U^+) \otimes_K S(U^-),$$

where  $E(U^+) = G(U^+)$  is the exterior algebra of the  $K$ -module  $U^+$  and  $S(U^-) = G(U^-)$  is the symmetric algebra of the  $K$ -module  $U^-$ .

Let  $M$  be a (left)  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. The  $K$ -linear combination of the elements of the form  $\langle u_1 | \dots | u_n \rangle$ ,  $u_i \in U_{\alpha_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  form a sub- $K$ -module of  $G(U)$  which we denote by  $U_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}$ . For  $n = 0$  we take  $K$  instead of  $U_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}$ . Let

$$\text{Hom}_K(G(U), M) = \sum_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)} \text{Hom}_K(U_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}, M)$$

the sum being taken over all finite monotonic increasing sequences of non-negative integers  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  including the case  $n = 0$ . The degree, the order and the total degree in  $G(U)$  induce degree, order and total degree in  $\text{Hom}_K(G(U), M)$ . We define a differential  $\delta$  in  $\text{Hom}_K(G(U), M)$  such that for  $f \in \text{Hom}_K(G(U), M)$  we have

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta f \langle u_1 | \dots | u_n \rangle &= (-1)^{n+1} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{i-1}} f \langle u_1 | \dots | du_i | \dots | u_n \rangle \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} (\varepsilon u_i) f \langle u_i | \dots | \hat{u}_i | \dots | u_n \rangle \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{ij}} f \langle [u_i, u_j] | u_1 | \dots | \hat{u}_i | \dots | \hat{u}_j | \dots | u_n \rangle \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon_{ij} = \sum_{p < q} (\alpha_p \alpha_q + 1)$ ,  $p \in \{1, \dots, i-1, i+1, \dots, j-1\}$ ,  $q \in \{i, j\}$  and  $\hat{u}_i$  means that  $u_i$  has to be omitted.

It can be verified that  $\delta\delta f = 0$ . Indeed we can write  $\delta = \delta_1 + \delta_2$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 f \langle u_1 | \dots | u_n \rangle &= (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{i-1}} f \langle u_1 | \dots | du_i | \dots | u_n \rangle, \\ \delta_2 f \langle u_1 | \dots | u_n \rangle &= (-1)^{n+1} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} (\varepsilon u_i) f \langle u_1 | \dots | \hat{u}_i | \dots | u_n \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{ij}} f \langle [u_i, u_j] | u_1 | \dots | \hat{u}_i | \dots | \hat{u}_j | \dots | u_n \rangle \right] \end{aligned}$$

and verify that  $\delta_1^2 = 0$ ,  $\delta_2^2 = 0$  and  $\delta_1 \delta_2 = -\delta_2 \delta_1$ .

DEFINITION. The graded cohomology module  $H^*(\text{Hom}_K(G(U), M))$  is called the cohomology module of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with coefficients in the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $M$ . We write

$$H^n(\mathfrak{g}, M) = H^n(\text{Hom}_K(G(U), M)).$$

### 3. Interpretations of $H^0(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ and $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ .

We write  $\sigma(x) = (x)$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $s_1(n) = (n)$  for  $n \in \text{Ker } d_1$ , etc.. An element  $n \in \text{Ker } \varepsilon$  is of the form  $\sum_i k_i(x_i)$ , where  $k_i \in K$ ,  $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\sum_i k_i x_i = 0$ . An element  $n \in \text{Ker } d_1$  is of the form  $\sum_j k_j(n_j)$ , where  $k_j \in K$ ,  $n_j \in \text{Ker } \varepsilon$  and  $\sum_j k_j n_j = 0$ .

A 0-cochain is an element of  $\text{Hom}_K(K, M)$  and so may be identified with an element of  $M$ . If  $f \in M$ , then  $\delta f \in \text{Hom}_K(U, M)$  and

$$(3.1) \quad \delta f \langle (x) \rangle = x \cdot f, \text{ where } x \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ and } (x) \in U_0.$$

To avoid cumbersome notation we shall write  $\langle x \rangle$  instead of  $\langle (x) \rangle$ ,  $\langle x_1 | x_2 \rangle$  instead of  $\langle (x_1) | (x_2) \rangle$  etc.. If  $f$  is a 0-cocycle, we have  $x \cdot f = 0$  for every  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ . A 0-coboundary being the zero element of  $M$  it follows that  $H^0(\mathfrak{g}, M)$  is isomorphic to the sub- $K$ -module of  $M$  consisting of the invariant elements of  $M$ .

A 1-cochain is an element  $f \in \text{Hom}_K(U_0, M)$  and  $\delta f \in \text{Hom}_K(U_{0,0}, M) + \text{Hom}_K(U_1, M)$  such that

$$(3.2) \quad \delta f \langle x_1 | x_2 \rangle = -x_1 f \langle x_2 \rangle + x_2 f \langle x_1 \rangle + f \langle [x_1, x_2] \rangle,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \delta f \langle n \rangle = \sum_i k_i f \langle x_i \rangle,$$

where  $x_1, x_2, x_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $k_i \in K$  and  $\sum_i k_i x_i = 0$ . It should be noted that we have made use of the relation  $[\sigma x_1, \sigma x_2] = \sigma[x_1, x_2]$  in expressing the coboundary  $\delta f$  over  $\langle x_1 | x_2 \rangle$ . If  $f$  is a 1-cocycle and if  $\varphi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M$  is the restriction of

$f: U_0 \rightarrow M$  to  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we have

$$(i) \quad \varphi([x_1, x_2]) = x_1\varphi(x_2) - x_2\varphi(x_1)$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \sum_i k_i x_i = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_i k_i \varphi(x_i) = 0.$$

Moreover, if  $f = \delta g$  where  $g \in M$ , then

$$\varphi(x) = xg, \quad \text{where } x \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Hence  $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, M)$  is the  $K$ -module of the crossed homomorphisms of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into  $M$  reduced modulo the principal homomorphisms.

#### 4. Interpretation of $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ .

A 2-cochain is an element  $f \in \text{Hom}_K(U_{0,0}, M) + \text{Hom}_K(U_1, M)$ . Then  $\delta f \in \text{Hom}_K(U_{0,0,0}, M) + \text{Hom}_K(U_{0,1}, M) + \text{Hom}_K(U_2, M)$ . We have

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta f \langle x_1 | x_2 | x_3 \rangle &= x_1 f \langle x_2 | x_3 \rangle - x_2 f \langle x_1 | x_3 \rangle + x_3 f \langle x_1 | x_2 \rangle - f \langle [x_1, x_2] | x_3 \rangle \\ &\quad + f \langle [x_1, x_3] | x_2 \rangle - f \langle [x_2, x_3] | x_1 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.2) \quad \delta f \langle x | n \rangle = - \sum_i k_i f \langle x | x_i \rangle - x f \langle n \rangle + f \langle [x, n] \rangle;$$

$$(4.3) \quad \delta f \langle n' \rangle = \sum_j k'_j f \langle n_j \rangle,$$

where  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $n = \sum_i k_i(x_i)$ ,  $k_i \in k$ ,  $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\sum_i k_i x_i = 0$  and  $n' = \sum_j k'_j(n_j)$ ,  $k'_j \in k$ ,  $n_j \in \ker \varepsilon$  such that  $\sum_j k'_j n_j = 0$ .

If  $f$  is a 2-cocycle, it determines two maps

$$\gamma_1: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M$$

$$\gamma_2: N_0 \rightarrow M$$

satisfying the following identities.

$$(4.4) \quad \gamma_1(x, x) = 0$$

$$(4.5) \quad \gamma_1(x_1, x_2) = -\gamma_1(x_2, x_1),$$

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} x_1 \gamma_1(x_2, x_3) - x_2 \gamma_1(x_1, x_3) + x_3 \gamma_1(x_1, x_2) - \gamma_1([x_1, x_2], x_3) \\ + \gamma_1([x_1, x_3], x_2) - \gamma_1([x_2, x_3], x_1) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_i k_i \gamma_1(x, x_i) = -x \gamma_2(n) + \gamma_2([x, n]),$$

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_j k'_j \gamma_2(n_j) = 0,$$

where  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x, x_i, n$  and  $n_j$  are as before.

Let  $\mathcal{E}_f$  be the set of all pairs  $(m, x)$ , where  $m \in M$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ . We define addition, multiplication and scalar multiplication by elements of  $K$  as follows:

$$(4.9) \quad (m_1, x_1) + (m_2, x_2) = (m_1 + m_2 + \gamma_2(x_1, x_2), x_1 + x_2);$$

$$(4.10) \quad [(m_1, x_1), (m_2, x_2)] = (x_1 m_2 - x_2 m_1 + \gamma_1(x_1, x_2), [x_1, x_2]);$$

$$(4.11) \quad k(m, x) = (km + \gamma_2(k, x), kx),$$

where by  $\gamma_2(x_1, x_2)$  we mean  $\gamma_2((x_1 + x_2) - (x_1) - (x_2))$  and by  $\gamma_2(k, x)$  we mean  $\gamma_2((kx) - k(x))$ ;  $x_1, x_2, x \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $m \in M$ ,  $k \in K$ . After proving the associative law for the addition defined above the relations (4.9) and (4.11) can be combined into a single relation

$$(4.12) \quad \sum_i k_i(m_i, x_i) = (\sum_i k_i m_i + \gamma_2(n), \sum_i k_i x_i),$$

where  $k_i \in K$ ,  $m_i \in M$ ,  $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $n = (\sum_i k_i x_i) - \sum_i k_i(x_i) \in N_0$ .

We shall show that with these operations  $\mathcal{E}_f$  is a Lie algebra. We have to verify the following relations.

1.  $\xi + \eta = \eta + \xi$ ,
2.  $(\xi + \eta) + \zeta = \xi + (\eta + \zeta)$ ,
3.  $[\xi, \eta + \zeta] = [\xi, \eta] + [\xi, \zeta]$ ,
4.  $[\xi + \eta, \zeta] = [\xi, \zeta] + [\eta, \zeta]$ ,
5.  $[\xi, \xi] = 0$ ,
6.  $[\xi, [\eta, \zeta]] + [\eta, [\zeta, \xi]] + [\zeta, [\xi, \eta]] = 0$ ,
7.  $[k\xi, \eta] = k[\xi, \eta]$ ,
8.  $[\xi, k\eta] = k[\xi, \eta]$ ,
9.  $k_1(k_2\xi) = (k_1 k_2)\xi$ ,
10.  $k(\xi + \eta) = k\xi + k\eta$ ,
11.  $(k_1 + k_2)\xi = k_1\xi + k_2\xi$ ,

where  $\xi, \eta, \zeta \in \mathcal{E}_f$  and  $k, k_1, k_2 \in K$ .

Let  $\xi = (m_1, x_1)$ ,  $\eta = (m_2, x_2)$  and  $\zeta = (m_3, x_3)$ , where  $m_1, m_2, m_3 \in M$  and  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathfrak{g}$ . The relation  $\xi + \eta = \eta + \xi$  is trivially verified. To verify (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{(m_1, x_1) + (m_2, x_2)\} + (m_3, x_3) &= (m_1 + m_2 + \gamma_2(x_1, x_2), x_1 + x_2) + (m_3, x_3) \\ &= (m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + \gamma_2(x_1, x_2) + \gamma_2(x_1 + x_2 + x_3), x_1 + x_2 + x_3) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (m_1, x_1) + \{(m_2, x_2) + (m_3, x_3)\} &= (m_1, x_1) + (m_2 + m_3 + \gamma_2(x_2, x_3), x_2 + x_3) \\ &= (m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + \gamma_2(x_2, x_3) + \gamma_2(x_1, x_2 + x_3), x_1 + x_2 + x_3). \end{aligned}$$

We have to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma_2((x_1+x_2)-(x_1)-(x_2))+\gamma_2((x_1+x_2+x_3)-(x_1+x_2)-(x_3)) \\ & = \gamma_2((x_2+x_3)-(x_2)-(x_3))+\gamma_2((x_1+x_2+x_3)-(x_1)-(x_2+x_3)) \end{aligned}$$

But this follows from (4.8) by taking

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 &= (x_1+x_2)-(x_1)-(x_2), & n_2 &= (x_1+x_2+x_3)-(x_1+x_2)-(x_3), \\ n_3 &= (x_2+x_3)-(x_2)-(x_3), & n_4 &= (x_1+x_2+x_3)-(x_1)-(x_2+x_3) \end{aligned}$$

and  $k'_1=1, k'_2=1, k'_3=-1, k'_4=-1$ .

To verify (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(m_1, x_1), (m_2, x_2)+(m_3, x_3)] &= [(m_1, x_1), (m_2+m_3+\gamma_2(x_2, x_3), x_2+x_3)] \\ &= (x_1m_2+x_1m_3+x_1\gamma_2(x_2, x_3)-x_2m_1-x_3m_1+\gamma_1(x_1, x_2+x_3), [x_1, x_2+x_3]) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [(m_1, x_1), (m_2, x_2)]+[(m_1, x_1), (m_3, x_3)] \\ &= (x_1m_2-x_2m_1+\gamma_1(x_1, x_2), [x_1, x_2])+(x_1m_3-x_3m_1+\gamma_1(x_1, x_3), [x_1, x_3]) \\ &= (x_1m_2-x_2m_1+x_1m_3-x_3m_1+\gamma_1(x_1, x_2)+\gamma_1(x_1, x_3) \\ & \quad +\gamma_2([x_1, x_2], [x_1, x_3]), [x_1, x_2]+[x_1, x_3]). \end{aligned}$$

We have to show that

$$x_1\gamma_2(x_2, x_3)+\gamma_1(x_1, x_2+x_3)=\gamma_1(x_1, x_2)+\gamma_1(x_1, x_3)+\gamma_2([x_1, x_2], [x_1, x_3])$$

or what is the same thing

$$\gamma_1(x_1, x_2+x_3)-\gamma_1(x_1, x_2)-\gamma_1(x_1, x_3)=-x_1\gamma_2(x_2, x_3)+\gamma_2([x_1, x_2], [x_1, x_3]).$$

But this follows from (4.7) by taking  $x=x_1$  and  $n=(x_2+x_3)-(x_2)-(x_3)$ . The relation (4) can be verified in a similar manner.

The relation (5) follows from the fact that  $\gamma_1(x, x)=f\langle x|x\rangle=0$ . To verify Jacobi's identity we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} [(m_1, x_1), [(m_2, x_2), (m_3, x_3)]] &= [(m_1, x_1), (x_2m_3-x_3m_2+\gamma_1(x_2, x_3), [x_2, x_3])] \\ &= (x_1x_2m_3-x_1x_3m_2-[x_2, x_3]m_1+x_1\gamma_1(x_2, x_3) \\ & \quad +\gamma_1(x_1, [x_2, x_3]), [x_1, [x_2, x_3]]). \end{aligned}$$

Permuting circularly and adding we see that Jacobi's identity is satisfied if

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1\gamma_1(x_2, x_3)+x_2\gamma_1(x_3, x_1)+x_3\gamma_1(x_1, x_2)+\gamma_1(x_1, [x_2, x_3])+ \\ & \quad \gamma_1(x_2, [x_3, x_1])+\gamma_1(x_3, [x_1, x_2])+\gamma_2(n)=0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$n=(0)-([x_1, [x_2, x_3]])-([x_2, [x_3, x_1]])-([x_3, [x_1, x_2]])=0$$

since  $(0)=\sigma(0)\in\mathfrak{p}$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
& ([x_1, [x_2, x_3]]) + ([x_2, [x_3, x_1]]) + ([x_3, [x_1, x_2]]) \\
&= [\sigma x_1, [\sigma x_2, \sigma x_3]] + [\sigma x_2, [\sigma x_3, \sigma x_1]] + [\sigma x_3, [\sigma x_1, \sigma x_2]] \in \mathfrak{p}.
\end{aligned}$$

This means  $\gamma_2(n) = 0$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_1\gamma_1(x_2, x_3) + x_2\gamma_1(x_3, x_1) + x_3\gamma_1(x_1, x_2) + \gamma_1(x_1, [x_2, x_3]) + \gamma_1(x_2, [x_3, x_1]) \\
&+ \gamma_1(x_3, [x_1, x_2]) = x_1\gamma_1(x_2, x_3) - x_2\gamma_1(x_1, x_3) + x_3\gamma_1(x_1, x_2) - \gamma_1([x_1, x_2], x_3) \\
&+ \gamma_1([x_1, x_3], x_2) - \gamma_1([x_1, x_2], x_3) = 0 \text{ by virtue of (4.6).}
\end{aligned}$$

To verify (7) we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& [k(m_1, x_1), (m_2, x_2)] = [(km_1 + \gamma_2(k, x_1), kx_1), (m_2, x_2)] \\
&= (kx_1m_2 - kx_2m_1 - x_2\gamma_2(k, x_1) + \gamma_1(kx_1, x_2), [kx_1, x_2])
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& k[(m_1, x_1), (m_2, x_2)] = k(x_1m_2 - x_2m_1 + \gamma_1(x_1, x_2), [x_1, x_2]) \\
&= (kx_1m_2 - kx_2m_1 + k\gamma_1(x_1, x_2) + \gamma_2(k, [x_1, x_2]), k[x_1, x_2]).
\end{aligned}$$

So we have to show that

$$-x_2\gamma_2(k, x_1) + \gamma_1(kx_1, x_2) = k\gamma_1(x_1, x_2) + \gamma_2(k, [x_1, x_2])$$

or what is the same thing

$$\gamma_1(kx_1, x_2) - k\gamma_1(x_1, x_2) = x_2\gamma_2(k, x_1) + \gamma_2(k, [x_1, x_2])$$

that is

$$-k\gamma_1(x_2, x_1) + \gamma_1(x_2, kx_1) = -x_2\gamma_2((kx_1) - k(x_1)) + \gamma_2(-([kx_1, x_2]) + k([x_1, x_2])).$$

This is a consequence of (4.7) by taking  $x = x_2$  and  $n = (kx_1) - k(x_1)$ .

The relation (8) can be verified in a similar manner.

The relations (9), (10) and (11) can be verified in a straight-forward fashion. We have shown in this way that  $\mathcal{E}_f$  is a Lie algebra, the element  $(0, 0)$  being the zero of  $\mathcal{E}_f$ . If we define  $\alpha: M \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_f$  and  $\beta: \mathcal{E}_f \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  by  $\alpha(m) = (m, 0)$  and  $\beta(m, x) = x$ , we have an exact sequence of Lie algebras

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_f \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $M$  has the trivial multiplicative structure. We observe that

$$[(m_1, x_1), (m, 0)] = (x_1m, 0)$$

showing that the exact sequence induces on  $M$  the given  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structure.

Let  $f'$  be a 2-cocycle which is cohomologous to  $f$ . This means  $f' = f + \delta g$ , where  $g$  is a 1-cochain. Let  $\mathcal{E}_{f'}$  be the Lie algebra determined by the 2-cocycle  $f'$ . Since  $g$  is a 1-cochain, it gives a map  $\phi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M$ , which is the restriction of  $g$  to  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We define a map  $\phi: \mathcal{E}_f \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{f'}$  by putting

$$\phi(m, x) = (m + \phi(x), x)$$

where  $m \in M$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\sum_i k_i(m_i, x_i)) &= \phi(\sum_i k_i m_i + \gamma_2(n), \sum_i k_i x_i) \\ &= (\sum_i k_i m_i + \gamma_2(n) + \phi(\sum_i k_i x_i), \sum_i k_i x_i), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_i k_i \phi(m_i x_i) = \sum_i k_i (m_i + \phi(x_i), x_i) = (\sum_i k_i m_i + \sum_i k_i \phi(x_i) + \gamma'_2(n), \sum_i k_i x_i),$$

where

$$n = (\sum_i k_i x_i) - \sum_i k_i (x_i) \in N_0, m_i \in M, x \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

But  $\gamma'_2(n) - \gamma_2(n) = \delta g(n) = \phi(\sum_i k_i x_i) - \sum_i k_i \phi(x_i)$  by virtue of (3.3). Therefore

$$\phi(\sum_i k_i(m_i, x_i)) = \sum_i k_i \phi(m_i, x_i),$$

where  $m_i \in M$ ,  $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Again,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi[(m_1, x_1), (m_2, x_2)] &= \phi(x_1 m_2 - x_2 m_1 + \gamma_1(x_1, x_2), [x_1, x_2]) \\ &= (x_1 m_2 - x_2 m_1 + \gamma_1(x_1, x_2) + \phi([x_1, x_2]), [x_1, x_2]), \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} [\phi(m_1, x_1), \phi(m_2, x_2)] &= [(m_1 + \phi(x_1), x_1), (m_2 + \phi(x_2), x_2)] \\ &= (x_1 m_2 - x_2 m_1 + x_1 \phi(x_2) - x_2 \phi(x_1) + \gamma'_1(x_1, x_2), [x_1, x_2]), \end{aligned}$$

where  $m_1, m_2 \in M$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Since by (3.2)

$$\gamma'_1(x_1, x_2) - \gamma_1(x_1, x_2) = \delta g(x_1 | x_2) = -x_1 \phi(x_2) + x_2 \phi(x_1) + \phi([x_1, x_2]),$$

it follows that

$$\phi[(m_1, x_1), (m_2, x_2)] = [\phi(m_1, x_1), \phi(m_2, x_2)].$$

We have now shown that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism of Lie algebras. It is easy to verify that  $\phi$  is bijective.

Conversely, suppose

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of Lie algebras, where  $M$  is an abelian Lie algebra. Let  $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  be a map such that  $\beta\rho = \text{identity map}$ , and  $\rho(-x) = -\rho(x)$  where  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ . This is possible since there exists an element  $k \in K$  for which  $2k = 1$ . Let us define two maps

$$\gamma_1: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M,$$

and

$$\gamma_2: N_0 \rightarrow M$$

by the relations

$$(4.13) \quad \gamma_1(x_1, x_2) = \rho([x_1, x_2]) - [\rho(x_1), \rho(x_2)],$$

and

$$(4.14) \quad \gamma_2(n) = \sum_i k_i \rho(x_i),$$

where  $x_1, x_2, x_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $k_i \in K$  and  $n = \sum_i k_i(x_i) \in N_0$  such that  $\sum_i k_i x_i = 0$ . We observe that the relations (4.4) and (4.5) are satisfied in view of the choice of  $\rho$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1 \gamma_1(x_2, x_3) - x_2 \gamma_1(x_1, x_3) + x_3 \gamma_1(x_1, x_2) - \gamma_1([x_1, x_2], x_3) + \gamma_1([x_1, x_3], x_2) \\ & - \gamma_1([x_2, x_3], x_1) = [\rho(x_1), \rho([x_2, x_3]) - [\rho(x_2), \rho(x_3)]] \\ & - [\rho(x_2), \rho([x_1, x_3]) - [\rho(x_1), \rho(x_3)]] + [\rho(x_3), \rho([x_1, x_2]) - [\rho(x_1), \rho(x_2)]] \\ & - \rho([x_1, x_2], x_3) + [\rho([x_1, x_2]), \rho(x_3)] \\ & + \rho([x_1, x_3], x_2) - [\rho([x_1, x_3]), \rho(x_2)] - \rho([x_2, x_3], x_1) \\ & + [\rho([x_2, x_3]), \rho(x_1)] = \rho([x_1, [x_2, x_3]]) + \rho([x_2, [x_3, x_1]]) \\ & + \rho([x_3, [x_1, x_2]]) = \gamma_2(m), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$m = ([x_1, [x_2, x_3]]) + ([x_2, [x_3, x_1]]) + ([x_3, [x_1, x_2]])$$

with  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $m \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\gamma_2(m) = 0$ . Therefore the relation (4.6) is satisfied.

Again,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_i k_i \gamma_1(x, x_i) + x \gamma_2(n) - \gamma_2([x, n]) \\ & = \sum_i k_i \rho([x, x_i]) - \sum_i k_i [\rho(x), \rho(x_i)] + [\rho(x), \sum_i k_i \rho(x_i)] \\ & - \sum_i k_i \rho([x, x_i]) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $n = \sum_i k_i(x_i)$  such that  $\sum_i k_i x_i = 0$ ,  $k_i \in K$ ,  $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Therefore the relation (4.7) is satisfied. The relation (4.8) is trivially satisfied.

After the usual arguments we have

**THEOREM 1.** *There exists a natural one-to-one correspondence between the two-dimensional cohomology module  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, M)$  and the set of equivalence classes of the special extensions of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with kernel  $M$  which induce over  $M$  the given  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structure.*

### 5. On $H^3(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a Lie algebra, let  $D(\mathfrak{h})$  denote the Lie algebra of derivations of  $\mathfrak{h}$  and let  $I(\mathfrak{h})$  denote the ideal of  $D(\mathfrak{h})$  consisting of the inner derivations of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Consider the homomorphism of Lie algebras  $\mu: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow D(\mathfrak{h})$  which maps every element of  $\mathfrak{h}$  into the inner derivation of  $\mathfrak{h}$  induced by it. The kernel of this homomorphism is the centre  $C_{\mathfrak{h}}$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  and the image is  $I(\mathfrak{h})$ . So we have an exact sequence of Lie algebras

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow C_{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{\mu} D(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow D(\mathfrak{h})/I(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow 0.$$

We call  $D(\mathfrak{h})/I(\mathfrak{h})$  the Lie algebra of exterior derivations of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . The centre  $C_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is a  $D(\mathfrak{h})/I(\mathfrak{h})$ -module for the operation  $\bar{D}c = Dc$ , where  $c \in C_{\mathfrak{h}}$ ,  $\bar{D} \in D(\mathfrak{h})/I(\mathfrak{h})$  and  $D$  is an element of  $D(\mathfrak{h})$  belonging to the coset  $\bar{D}$ .

Consider an exact sequence of Lie algebras

$$(5.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\alpha\mathfrak{h}$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ , the map  $e \rightarrow ade$ , where  $ade$  denotes the inner derivation of  $\mathcal{E}$  induced by the element  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  gives a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\nu: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow D(\mathfrak{h})$ . Since  $\alpha\mathfrak{h}$  is mapped into  $I(\mathfrak{h})$ ,  $\nu$  induces a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$(5.3) \quad \theta: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow D(\mathfrak{h})/I(\mathfrak{h}).$$

Conversely, suppose we are given Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  and a homomorphism of Lie algebras  $\theta: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow D(\mathfrak{h})/I(\mathfrak{h})$ . Does there exist a Lie algebra  $\mathcal{E}$  and an exact sequence of Lie algebras of the type (5.2) such that the induced homomorphism (5.3) is the same as the given homomorphism  $\theta$ ? We note that  $\theta$  gives to  $C_{\mathfrak{h}}$  a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structure. We propose to associate with  $\theta$  an element of  $H^3(\mathfrak{g}, C_{\mathfrak{h}})$  called the *obstruction* of  $\theta$  and we shall answer the question in terms of the obstruction of  $\theta$ .

Let  $\sigma: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow D(\mathfrak{h})$  be a map such that  $\sigma(x)$  is an element of the coset  $\theta(x)$ , where  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\sigma(-x) = -\sigma(x)$ . Since  $\theta$  is a homomorphism of Lie algebras, we have

$$(5.4) \quad \sigma([x_1, x_2]) - [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2)] = \mu\gamma_1(x_1, x_2),$$

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_i k_i \sigma(x_i) = \mu\gamma_2(n),$$

where  $x_1, x_2, x_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $k_i \in K$ ,  $n = \sum_i k_i x_i \in N_0$  so that  $\sum_i k_i x_i = 0$ , and  $\mu\gamma_1(x_1, x_2)$  and  $\mu\gamma_2(n)$  are the inner derivations of  $\mathfrak{h}$  induced by the elements  $\gamma_1(x_1, x_2)$  and  $\gamma_2(n)$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . The elements  $\gamma_1(x_1, x_2)$  and  $\gamma_2(n)$  are not well-determined but the inner derivations  $\mu\gamma_1(x_1, x_2)$  and  $\mu\gamma_2(n)$  are well-determined.

We define a 3-cochain of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with values in  $C_{\mathfrak{h}}$  by the relations

$$(5.6) \quad f\langle x_1 | x_2 | x_3 \rangle = \sigma(x_1)\gamma_1(x_2, x_3) - \sigma(x_2)\gamma_1(x_1, x_3) + \sigma(x_3)\gamma_1(x_1, x_2) \\ - \gamma_1([x_1, x_2], x_3) + \gamma_1([x_1, x_3], x_2) - \gamma_1([x_2, x_3], x_1),$$

$$(5.7) \quad f\langle x | n \rangle = -\sum_i k_i \gamma_1(x, x_i) - \sigma(x)\gamma_2(n) + \gamma_2([x, n]),$$

$$(5.8) \quad f\langle n' \rangle = \sum_j k'_j \gamma_2(n_j),$$

where  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $n = \sum_i k_i x_i \in N_0$  so that  $\sum_i k_i x_i = 0$  and  $n' = \sum_j k'_j(n_j) \in N_1$  so that  $\sum_j k'_j n_j = 0$ ,  $n_j \in \ker \varepsilon$ .

The second member of each of the above three relations belongs to  $C_{\mathfrak{h}}$ , because if we apply  $\mu$  to each one of them and calculate their values we get zero. We call  $f$  an *obstruction* of  $\theta$ .

PROPOSITION 1. *An obstruction  $f$  of  $\theta$  is a 3-cocycle and any two obstructions of  $\theta$  are cohomologous. If  $f$  is an obstruction of  $\theta$ , then a 3-cocycle which is cohomologous to  $f$  is also an obstruction.*

PROOF. The maps  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  define a "2-cochain"  $h$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with values in  $\mathfrak{h}$ , but with this difference that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is not a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Also the relations (5.6), (5.7) and (5.8) are similar to the relations (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) respectively and we may write  $f = \delta h$  bearing in mind that  $h$  is a "2-cochain" of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with values in  $\mathfrak{h}$ , which is not a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. If  $\mathfrak{h}$  were a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module we could at once infer that  $\delta f = \delta \delta h = 0$ ; but since we do not have

$$\sigma([x_1, x_2]) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2)] \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(\sum_i k_i x_i) = \sum_i k_i \sigma(x_i),$$

where  $x_1, x_2, x_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we shall have to verify that in the expressions for  $\delta f$  the terms which involve

$$\sigma([x_1, x_2]) - [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2)] \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(\sum_i k_i x_i) - \sum_i k_i \sigma(x_i)$$

cancel out, the other terms getting cancelled as in the identity  $\delta \delta = 0$  for 2-cochains.

We observe that

$$\delta f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(U_{0,0,0,0}, C_{\mathfrak{h}}) + \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(U_{0,0,1}, C_{\mathfrak{h}}) + \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(U_{0,2}, C_{\mathfrak{h}}) \\ + \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(U_{1,1}, C_{\mathfrak{h}}) + \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(U_3, C_{\mathfrak{h}}).$$

It is a matter of straightforward verification that

$$\delta f\langle x_1 | x_2 | x_3 | x_4 \rangle = 0, \quad \delta f\langle x_1 | x_2 | n \rangle = 0, \quad \delta f\langle x_1 | n' \rangle = 0, \quad \delta f\langle n_1 | n_2 \rangle = 0, \quad \delta f\langle n'' \rangle = 0,$$

where  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $n, n_1, n_2 \in N_0$ ,  $n' \in N_1$ ,  $n'' \in N_2$ . Hence  $f$  is a 3-cocycle.

In order to show that two obstructions of  $\theta$  are cohomologous we note

that  $f$  depends upon the choice of  $\sigma$  and  $h = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ . First we shall show that if we choose a second map  $\sigma' : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow D(\mathfrak{h})$  such that  $\sigma'(x)$  is an element of the coset  $\theta(x)$ , where  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\sigma'(-x) = -\sigma'(x)$ , we can choose  $h$  in such a way that  $f$  remains the same. Indeed  $\sigma' - \sigma$  has its values in  $\mu\mathfrak{h}$  since  $\sigma'(x)$  and  $\sigma(x)$  belong to the same coset  $\theta(x)$ , where  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Let us write

$$\sigma'(x) = \sigma(x) + \mu\tau(x),$$

where  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\tau(x) \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Then using (5.4) and (5.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'([x_1, x_2]) - [\sigma'(x_1), \sigma'(x_2)] &= \mu\gamma_1(x_1, x_2) + \mu\{\tau([x_1, x_2]) \\ &\quad - [\tau(x_1), \sigma(x_2)] - [\sigma(x_1), \tau(x_2)] - [\tau(x_1), \tau(x_2)]\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_i k_i \sigma'(x_i) = \mu\gamma_2(n) + \mu(\sum_i k_i \tau(x_i)),$$

where  $n = \sum_i k_i(x_i) \in N_0$ . We choose

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'_1(x_1, x_2) &= \gamma_1(x_1, x_2) + \tau([x_1, x_2]) - [\tau(x_1), \sigma(x_2)] \\ &\quad - [\sigma(x_1), \tau(x_2)] - [\tau(x_1), \tau(x_2)], \\ \gamma'_2(n) &= \gamma_2(n) + \sum_i k_i \tau(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

If  $f'$  is the 3-cocycle determined by  $\sigma'$  and  $(\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2)$ , then straightforward calculations of  $f'\langle x_1 | x_2 | x_3 \rangle$ ,  $f'\langle x | n \rangle$  and  $f'(n')$  show that  $f' = f$ .

If, however, we keep  $\sigma$  fixed and choose  $h' = (\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2)$  instead of  $h = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  such that  $\mu h' = \mu h$ , then  $h' - h = g$  has values in  $C_{\mathfrak{h}}$  and so is a 2-cochain of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with values in  $C_{\mathfrak{h}}$ . If  $f'$  is the 3-cocycle determined by  $h'$  (and  $\sigma$ ), then

$$f' = \delta h' = \delta(h + g) = f + \delta g$$

showing that the two obstructions  $f$  and  $f'$  are cohomologous.

Finally, given an obstruction  $f$  determined by  $\sigma$  and  $h$  and a 3-cocycle  $f'$  cohomologous to  $f$  we have  $f' = f + \delta g$ , where  $g$  is a 2-cochain with values in  $C_{\mathfrak{h}}$ . Choose  $h' = h + g$ . This choice is permissible since  $\mu h' = \mu h + \mu g = \mu h$ . Then  $f' = f + \delta g = \delta h + \delta g = \delta(h + g) = \delta h'$  showing that  $f'$  is also an obstruction. This proves the proposition completely.

The cohomology class  $\xi_{\theta}$  of  $H^3(\mathfrak{g}, C_{\mathfrak{h}})$  determined by  $f$  is called the *obstruction* of  $\theta$ . We are now in a position to answer the question raised at the beginning of this section.

**THEOREM 2.** *A homomorphism  $\theta : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow D(\mathfrak{h})/I(\mathfrak{h})$  is induced by an extension of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with kernel  $\mathfrak{h}$  if and only if the obstruction  $\xi_{\theta} = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Let

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0$$

be an extension which induces  $\theta$ . Let  $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  be a map such that  $\rho(-x) = -\rho(x)$ , where  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , such that  $\beta\rho = \text{identity}$ . We take  $\sigma: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow D(\mathfrak{h})$  by composing  $\rho$  with  $\nu: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow D(\mathfrak{h})$ .

Then we can choose  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1(x_1, x_2) &= \rho([x_1, x_2]) - [\rho(x_1), \rho(x_2)] \\ \gamma_2(n) &= \sum_i k_i \rho(x_i), \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $n \in \text{Ker } \varepsilon$ . We note that the restriction of  $\nu$  to  $\mathfrak{h}$  is  $\mu$ . If we now substitute these values of  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  in (5.6), (5.7) and (5.8), we find  $f=0$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\xi_\theta = 0$ . Then by virtue of Proposition 1 we can choose  $\sigma$  and  $h = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  such that  $f=0$ . Consider the set  $\mathcal{E}$  consisting of element of the form  $(a, x)$  where  $a \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and define the operations as follows

$$\sum_i k_i (a_i, x_i) = (\sum_i k_i a_i + \gamma_2(n), \sum_i k_i x_i),$$

$$[(a_1, x_1), (a_2, x_2)] = (a_1 a_2 + \sigma(x_1) a_2 - \sigma(x_2) a_1 + \gamma_1(x_1, x_2), [x_1, x_2]),$$

where  $x_1, x_2, x_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $k_i \in K$ ,  $n = (\sum_i k_i x_i) - \sum_i k_i (x_i) \in N_0$ . It can be easily verified that these operations satisfy the eleven identities of a Lie algebra, since  $f=0$ . We observe that  $(0, 0)$  is the zero of the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{E}$  and that  $\theta$  is induced by the extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0,$$

given by  $\alpha(a) = (a, 0)$  and  $\beta(a, x) = x$ , where  $a \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

REMARK. In order to give a complete interpretation of  $H^3(\mathfrak{g}, M)$  it remains to prove the following theorem: Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and let  $M$  be a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Let  $f$  be a 3-cocycle of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with values in  $M$ . Then there exists a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  having  $M$  as centre and a homomorphism  $\theta: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow D(\mathfrak{h})/I(\mathfrak{h})$  which induces on  $M$  the given  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structure such that  $f$  is an obstruction of  $\theta$ .

University of Bombay

### References

- [1] J. Dixmier, Homologie des anneaux de Lie, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., 74 (1957), 25-83.
- [2] U. Shukla, Cohomologie des algèbres associatives, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., 78 (1961), 163-209.