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It is the purpose of this note to ameliorate Lévy's result concerning the uniform continuity of Wiener process. Let $\varphi(h)$ be a continuous and monotone increasing function which tends to zero with $h$. After P. Lévy we say that a function $f(t)$ verifies "Hölder's weak condition" relative to $\boldsymbol{\rho}(t)$, if there exists a positive number $\varepsilon$ such that $\left|t^{\prime}-t\right|$ $=h \leqq \varepsilon$ yields the relation

$$
\left|f\left(t^{\prime}\right)-f(t)\right| \leq \varphi(h)
$$

Let us put

$$
\varphi_{c}(h)=\{h(2 \log 1 / h+c \log \log 1 / h)\}^{1 / 2} .
$$

Then we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem. If $c>5$, Wiener process $\{X(t, \omega) ; 0 \leqq t \leqq 1\}^{1)}$ verifies "Hölder's weak condition" relative to $\varphi_{c}(t)$ and if $c<-1$, it does not verify the condition, with probability one.

Proof. Let us put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(h)=\operatorname{Pr}\left\{|\triangle X(t)|>\varphi_{c}(h)\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\triangle X(t)$ is the difference of $X(t+h)$ and $X(t)$. Since $\triangle X(t)$ is a normal random variable satisfying the conditions $E(\triangle X(t))=0$ and $V(\triangle X(t))=h^{2)}$ we have the following asymptotic relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(h) / h \sim(1 / \pi)^{1 / 2}(\log 1 / h)^{-(c+1) / 2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c<-1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(h) / h \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } \quad h \rightarrow 0, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-(1-\alpha(1 / n))^{n} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]The left side of (4) is equal to the probability that there exists at least one $k(k=0,1,2, \cdots, n-1)$, such that $|X((k+1) / n)-X(k / n)|$ is larger than $\varphi_{c}(1 / n)$. So (4) proves the second part of the theorem.

To prove the first part of the theorem we put $c=5+\varepsilon$ with a positive number $\varepsilon$. We shall define $\alpha_{n, m, l}$ as the probability that the event

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X\left((m+l) / 2^{n}\right)-X\left(l / 2^{n}\right)\right|>\varphi_{c}\left(m / 2^{n}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds,

$$
n=1,2,3, \cdots, \quad m=1,2, \cdots, n, \quad l=0,1,2, \cdots, 2^{n}-1
$$

Since $\alpha_{n, m, l}$ is independent of $l$, we may omit $l$ hereafter. Then we have for a sufficiently large $n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n, 1} \leqq \alpha_{n, 2} \leqq \cdots \leqq \alpha_{n, n}=\alpha\left(n / 2^{n}\right)=O(1) /\left(n^{2+\varepsilon / 2} 2^{n}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n} n 2^{n} \alpha\left(n / 2^{n}\right)=O(1) \sum_{n} n^{-(1+\varepsilon / 2)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha(h)$ is monotone increasing function for small $h(>0)$ the $n$-th term of the left side of (7) is not less than the probability that there exists at least one pair ( $m, l$ ) for which (5) holds. Since the right side of (7) converges, we can see, by Borel-Cantelli's theorem, that there exists $n(\omega)$ such that $n>n(\omega)$ implies the following inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|X\left((m+l) / 2^{n}\right)-X\left(l / 2^{n}\right)\right| & \leqq \varphi_{c}\left(m / 2^{n}\right),  \tag{8}\\
m & =1,2, \cdots, n, \\
l & =0,1,2, \cdots, 2^{n}-1,
\end{align*}
$$

with probability one. Thus the first part of the theorem is true for the special cases that both $t$ and $t^{\prime}$ take the values of the form $k / 2^{n}$. If $t=k / 2^{n}$ and $t<t^{\prime}<t+1 / 2^{n}(n>n(\omega) \geqq 2)$, we may write $t^{\prime}-t$ as

$$
t^{\prime}-t=\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{\nu} / 2^{n+\nu},
$$

where $\varepsilon_{\nu}=0$ or 1 . Using the monotony of $\varphi_{c}(h)$ for small $h(>0)$, by (8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X\left(t^{\prime}\right)-X(t)\right| \leqq \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{\nu} \varphi_{c}\left(1 / 2^{n+\nu}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqq \varphi_{c}\left(1 / 2^{n+l}\right) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty}\left(2 \nu / 2^{v}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq C \varphi_{c}\left(t^{\prime}-t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $l$ is the smallest $\nu$ for which $\varepsilon_{\nu}=1$ and $C$ is a constant. In the same way we can see that (9) holds also for the cases of $t^{\prime}=k / 2^{n}$ and $t^{\prime}-1 / 2^{n}<t<t^{\prime}(n>n(\omega) \geqq 2)$.

For any pair of $\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)$, if $\left(t_{\nu}-t\right)$ is sufficiently small, there exists $n$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n+1) / 2^{n+1}<t^{\prime}-t \leqq n / 2^{n}, \quad n>n(\omega) \geqq 2 . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we may take $t_{1}$ and $t_{1}^{\prime}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k / 2^{n}<t \leqq t_{1}=(k+1) / 2^{n}<t_{1}^{\prime}=k^{\prime} / 2^{n} \leqq t^{\prime}<\left(k^{\prime}+1\right) / 2^{n} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (10) and (11) it follows that (8) holds for ( $t_{1}, t_{1}^{\prime}$ ) and (9) holds for $\left(t, t_{1}\right)$ and $\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|X\left(t^{\prime}\right)-X(t)\right| & \leqq\left|X\left(t^{\prime}\right)-X\left(t_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right|+\left|X\left(t_{1}^{\prime}\right)-X\left(t_{1}\right)\right|+\left|X\left(t_{1}\right)-X(t)\right|  \tag{12}\\
& \leqq \varphi_{c}\left(t^{\prime}-t\right)+2 C \varphi_{c}\left(1 / 2^{n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

But we have, by (10),

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{c}\left(1 / 2^{n}\right)= & \left\{2^{\left.-n\left(2 \log 2^{n}+c \log \log 2^{n}\right)\right\}^{1 / 2}}\right.  \tag{13}\\
\leqq & {\left[2\left(t^{\prime}-t\right) / n\left\{2 \log \left(n /\left(t^{\prime}-t\right)\right)\right.\right.} \\
& \left.\left.+c \log \log \left(n /\left(t^{\prime}-t\right)\right\}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{c}\left(1 / 2^{n}\right) \leqq\left[2\left(t^{\prime}-t\right) / n\left\{4 \log \left(1 /\left(t^{\prime}-t\right)\right)\right.\right. & +c \log \log \left(1 /\left(t^{\prime}-t\right)\right)  \tag{14}\\
+ & c \log 2\}]^{1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

as $\left(t^{\prime}-t\right)$ is less than $1 / n$. Moreover, by (10), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \log 2-\log n \leqq \log \left(1 /\left(t^{\prime}-t\right)\right)<(n+1) \log 2-\log n \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(1 /\left(t^{\prime}-t\right)\right) / n=o\left\{\left(\log \log \left(1 /\left(t^{\prime}-t\right)\right)\right)\right\} \quad \text { as } \quad\left(t^{\prime}-t\right) \rightarrow 0 . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (12), (14) and (16) we obtain for a sufficiently small ( $t^{\prime}-t$ )

$$
\left|X\left(t^{\prime}\right)-X(t)\right| \leqq \varphi_{c+\varepsilon / 2}\left(t^{\prime}-t\right)
$$

Since the above discussion is available for $c^{\prime}=5+\varepsilon / 2$, (17) proves the first part of the theorem.
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[^0]:    1) $\omega$ is the probability parameter.
    2) $E$ and $V$ denote the expectation and the variance respectively.
