

ON EXCEPTIONAL VALUES OF ENTIRE AND MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

SHRI KRISHNA SINGH

(Received February 10, 1961)

1. Let $F(z)$ be a meromorphic function and let $T(r, F)$ be its Nevanlinna characteristic function. Let $N(r, a) = N(r, F - a)$; $N(r, F) = N(r, \infty)$ have the usual meaning in the Nevanlinna theory.

Define

$$\delta(a) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a)}{T(r, F)},$$

$$\Delta(a) = 1 - \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a)}{T(r, F)}.$$

If $\delta(a) > 0$ we say that a is an exceptional value for $F(z)$ in the sense of Nevanlinna (e. v. N); and if $\Delta(a) > 0$ we call a as an e. v. V (exceptional value in the sense of Valiron).

2. Let $f(z)$ be an entire function and let

$$\mu(r, f) = \mu(r) = \text{Min}_{|z|=r} |f(z)|.$$

It is clear that if 0 is an asymptotic value for $f(z)$ then $\mu(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. We show that the converse is not true. We prove:

THEOREM 1. *For an entire function $f(z)$, the minimum modulus $\mu(r)$ tending to zero does not imply that 0 is an asymptotic value.*

LEMMA *If 0 is an e. v. N for the entire function $f(z)$ then $\mu(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.*

PROOF. In the terminology of Nevanlinna

$$m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) = m(r, 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \left| \frac{1}{f(re^{i\theta})} \right| d\theta.$$

Hence
$$m(r, 0) \leq \log^+ \frac{1}{\mu(r)}.$$

But
$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r, 0)}{T(r, f)} > 0,$$

because 0 is an e. v. N, so

$$T(r, f) \leq A \log^+ \frac{1}{\mu(r)} \quad \text{for } r \geq r_0,$$

and the lemma follows because

$$T(r, f) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, let

$$f_1(z) = \prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z^2}{\nu^{4/3}} \right),$$

$$f_2(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n [(-1)^n z]$$

where
$$\phi_n(z) = \left(1 + \frac{iz}{r_n} \right)^{\lambda_n} \exp \left(\frac{-\lambda_n iz r_n}{r_n} \right)$$

$$r_n = 2^{2 \cdot 8^{n-1}}, \lambda_n = 8r_n^{3/2}.$$

Define
$$f(z) = f_1(z)f_2(z).$$

Then $f(z)$ is an entire function of order $3/2$ for which $\delta(0) > 0$ see A. A. Goldberg [1].

Thus 0 is an e.v.N for $f(z)$, so $\mu(r, f) \rightarrow 0$ by the lemma. But 0 is not an asymptotic value for $f(z)$.

3. THEOREM 2. *Let $F(z)$ be a meromorphic function of order ρ ($0 < \rho < \infty$); and let $\rho(r)$ be Lindelöf proximate order relative to $T(r, F)$. Let $n(r, a_i)$ be the number of zeros of $F(z) - a_i$ in $|z| \leq r$; all the a_i being different ($0 \leq |a_i| \leq \infty$; in case $a_i = \infty$, $n(r, a_i) = n(r, \infty)$ is the number of poles). Then*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{n(r, a_i)}{r^{\rho(r)}} \geq (q - 2)\rho$$

where q is an integer ≥ 3 .

PROOF. Let
$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{n(r, a_i)}{r^{\rho(r)}} = k$$

and if possible let $k < (q - 2)\rho$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^q n(r, a_i) < (k + \varepsilon)r^{\rho(r)} \quad \text{for } r \geq r_0.$$

Hence
$$\sum_{i=1}^q N(r, a_i) + O(\log r) < (k + \varepsilon) \int_{r_0}^r t^{\rho(t)-1} dt \sim \frac{k + \varepsilon}{\rho} r^{\rho(r)}$$

$$= \frac{k + \varepsilon}{\rho} T(r, F)$$

for a sequence of values of r .

Further from the second theorem of Nevanlinna

$$(q - 2)T(r, F) < \sum_{i=1}^q N(r, a_i) + O(\log r).$$

Hence for an infinity of values of r we have

$$(q - 2)T(r, F) < \frac{k + \varepsilon}{\rho} T(r, F) + O(\log r),$$

and since ε is arbitrarily small $(q - 2)\rho \leq k$. This gives a contradiction. Hence the result follows.

4. Let $f(z)$ be an entire function and let $\rho_1(a)$ be the exponent of convergence of the zeros of $f(z) - a$. If $\rho_1(a) < \rho$ we say that a is an e.v.B for $f(z)$.

If
$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{n(r, a)\phi(r)} > 0$$

for a positive non-decreasing function $\phi(x)$ such that

$$\int_A^\infty \frac{dx}{x\phi(x)} < \infty,$$

then a is defined to be an e.v.E, see S.M.Shah [2]. Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of order ρ ($0 < \rho < \infty$) and let $\rho(r)$ be proximate order relative to $\log M(r, f)$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(r) &\rightarrow \rho \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty, \\ r\rho'(r) \log r &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty, \\ \log M(r, f) &\leq r^{\rho(r)} \text{ for } r \geq r_0 \end{aligned}$$

and $\log M(r, f) = r^{\rho(r)}$ for a sequence of values of r . Valiron has proved that for a class of entire functions of finite non-zero order if

$$\frac{n(r, a)}{r^{\rho(r)}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

then $\frac{n(r, x)}{r^{\rho(r)}}$ lies between two positive constants for every $x \neq a$.

Hence it is reasonable to define a as an exceptional value in some sense if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r, a)}{r^{\rho(r)}} = 0. \quad (1)$$

We shall call a as an e. v. L (in the sense of Lindelöf) if (1) holds.

THEOREM 3. (i) *If a is an e. v. B then a is an e. v. L also but the converse is not true.*

(ii) *If a is an e. v. L then a is e. v. V with $\Delta(a) = 1$.*

(iii) *If a is e. v. E then a is e. v. L also but the converse is not true.*

PROOF. (i) Let a be e. v. B then

$$n(r, a) = O(r^c) \quad c < \rho$$

so
$$\frac{n(r, a)}{r^{\rho(r)}} < Ar^{c-\rho(r)} \quad \text{for } r \geq r_0.$$

Further
$$\rho(r) > \frac{\rho + c}{2} \quad \text{for } r \geq r_0.$$

Hence
$$\frac{n(r, a)}{r^{\rho(r)}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

so a is e. v. L.

That the converse is not true can be seen from the function

$$f(z) = \prod_2^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n(\log n)^2} \right).$$

Here
$$M(r, f) \sim \frac{r}{\log r}, \quad n(r, 0) \sim \frac{r}{(\log r)^2}.$$

Set
$$\rho(r) = 1 - \frac{\log \log r}{\log r}.$$

Then it can easily be seen that $\rho(r)$ is a proximate order relative to $\log M(r, f)$.

Here $\rho = \rho_1(0) = 1,$

so 0 is not e. v. B.

But

$$\frac{n(r, 0)}{r^{\rho(r)}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

and $\frac{n(r, x)}{r^{\rho(r)}}$ lies between two positive constants for all $x \neq 0$ and thus 0 is e. v. L.

(ii) Let a be e. v. L then

$$n(r, a) < \varepsilon r^{\rho(r)} \text{ for } r \geq r_0,$$

$$N(r, a) < \varepsilon \int_{r_0}^r t^{\rho(t)-1} dt \sim \frac{\varepsilon r^{\rho(r)}}{\rho},$$

$$\begin{aligned} N(2r, a) &< \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} (2r)^{\rho(2r)} \sim \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} 2^{\rho} r^{\rho(r)} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} 2^{\rho} \log M(r, f) \text{ for a sequence of values of } r \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} 2^{\rho} T(2r, f). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a)}{T(r, f)} = 0.$

So a is e. v. V with $\Delta(a) = 1.$

We omit the proof of the first part of (iii). That the converse is not true can again be seen from the same example

$$f(z) = \prod_2^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n(\log n)^2} \right).$$

Here $\frac{\log M(r, f)}{n(r, 0)\phi(r)} \sim \frac{\frac{r}{\log r}}{\frac{r}{(\log r)^2} \phi(r)} = \frac{\log r}{\phi(r)}.$

But since
$$\int_A^\infty \frac{dx}{x\phi(x)} < \infty$$

so $\log x = o(\phi(x))$ when $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence
$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{n(r, 0)\phi(r)} = 0.$$

Hence a fortiori

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{n(r, 0)\phi(r)} = 0.$$

So 0 is not e. v. E though it is e. v. L.

5. Nevanlinna [3] has proved that if $F(z)$ is a meromorphic function of order $\rho < 1/2$ for which

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a)}{T(r, F)} = 0 \quad (2)$$

then
$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, x)}{T(r, F)} = 1 \quad (3)$$

for every $x \neq a$ ($0 \leq |x| \leq \infty$).

Of course (3) is not true for every meromorphic function of order $< 1/2$ for every x . For instance if $f(z)$ is an entire function of order $< 1/2$ then $F(z) = f(z)/(z - a)$ will be a meromorphic function of order $< 1/2$ for which

$$\frac{N(r, \infty)}{T(r, F)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

We give a method to construct a class of meromorphic functions of any given order for which (3) holds for every x ($0 \leq |x| \leq \infty$). We prove:

THEOREM 4. *Given any ρ ($0 < \rho < \infty$), there exists a meromorphic function of order ρ for which (3) holds for every x ($0 \leq |x| \leq \infty$).*

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^{\lambda_n}$ be an entire function of order ρ for which

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n} < \infty,$$

and let as usual

$$\mu(r, f) = \text{Min}_{|z|=r} |f(z)|,$$

then $\mu(r, f) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ through a sequence $r = r_n$; see A. J. Macintyre and P. Erdős [4].

Set
$$F(z) = \frac{1}{f(z)} + z, \tag{4}$$

then $T(r, F) = T(r, f) + O(\log r)$.

Let a be any number such that $0 \leq |a| < \infty$. Then for $|z| = r_n$ we have uniformly as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$F(z) - a = z - a + o(1).$$

Hence

$$m\left(r, \frac{1}{F-a}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \left| \frac{1}{F-a} \right| d\theta = 0$$

for $r = r_n$ and $n > n_0$.

Hence
$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, F-a)}{T(r, F)} = 1.$$

Also $m(r, F) = \log r + o(1) = o(T(r, F))$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ through the sequence $r = r_n$. Hence

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, F)}{T(r, F)} = 1.$$

This proves (3) for every finite or infinite x .

REMARK. If the meromorphic function is of order $< 1/2$, the construction is still easier, since in (4) any entire function $f(z)$ of order $< 1/2$ will serve the purpose, because by a well known theorem of Wiman for such an entire function $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mu(r, f) = \infty$. We also remark that by choosing a suitable entire function

$f(z)$ for which the sequence r_n is sufficiently dense we could have even achieved

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, x)}{T(r, F)} = 1$$

for every x ($0 \leq |x| \leq \infty$).

Finally we prove:

THEOREM 5. *For every meromorphic function $F(z)$ of order ρ ($0 \leq \rho < \infty$),*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r, a)}{T(r, F)} \geq \rho$$

provided that a is not e. v. V for $F(z)$.

We omit the proof.

I take this opportunity to thank Professor W. K. Hayman for his valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. GOLDBERG, An example of an entire function of finite order with a non-asymptotic defective value (Russian), *Uzgorod Gos. Univ. Nauch. Zap.* 18(1957) 191-194. (see also *Math. Review* 20, No. 1(1959), Review no. 111).
- [2] S. M. SHAH, Exceptional values of entire and meromorphic functions, *Duke Math. J.*, 19(1952), 585-594.
- [3] R. NEVANLINNA, *Le théorème de Picard-Borel et la théorie des fonctions méromorphes*, Paris, 1929, p. 55.
- [4] A. J. MACINTYRE and P. ERDÖS, Integral functions with gap power series, *Proc. Edin. Math. Soc.*, Series 2, 10, Part II(1954), 62-70.

KARNATAK UNIVERSITY
DHARWAR (INDIA)