

WEIGHTED SUMS OF CERTAIN DEPENDENT RANDOM VARIABLES

KAZUOKI AZUMA

(Received June 15, 1967)

1. Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, P)$ be a probability space and $(\mathfrak{A}_n)_{n=1,2,\dots}$ be an increasing family of sub σ -fields of \mathfrak{A} (we put $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\phi, \Omega)$). Let $(x_n)_{n=1,2,\dots}$ be a sequence of bounded martingale differences on $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, P)$, that is, $x_n(\omega)$ is bounded almost surely (a.s.) and $E\{x_n | \mathfrak{A}_{n-1}\} = 0$ a.s. for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. It is easily seen that this sequence has the following properties [G] and [M], which have been introduced by Y. S. Chow ([1]) in an analogous form and by G. Alexits ([4]), respectively, and may be of independent interest.

[G] (x_n) is a sequence of martingale differences and there exist non negative constants c_n such that for every real number t

$$E\{\exp(tx_n) | \mathfrak{A}_{n-1}\} \leq \exp(c_n^2 t^2 / 2) \text{ a.s. } (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

For each n , the minimum of those c_n is denoted by $\tau(x_n)$.

$$[M] \quad |x_n(\omega)| \leq K_n \quad \text{a.s. for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

and $E\{x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_k}\} = 0$ for $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k; k = 1, 2, \dots$.

In this note we investigate the asymptotic behavior of the weighted sums of those random variables. In §3 we will deal with the class [M] and in §4 with the class [G] and the uniformly bounded case of martingale differences.

2. Preliminary Lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *If (x_n) is a sequence of random variables for which [M] holds with $K_n = 1$ for all n , then for every real number t*

$$(2. 1) \quad E\left\{\exp\left(t \sum_{k=1}^n b_{nk} x_k\right)\right\} \leq \exp\left(\frac{t^2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n b_{nk}^2\right),$$

where $(b_{nk})_{k=1, 2, \dots, n; n=1, 2, \dots}$ is an arbitrary sequence of real numbers.

PROOF. We may assume that $|b_{nk}| \neq 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Since $|b_{nk} x_k| \leq |b_{nk}|$ a.s. and the exponential function $\exp(tb_{nk} x_k)$ is convex, we have

$$(2. 2) \quad \exp(tb_{nk}x_k) \leq \cosh(t|b_{nk}|) + (x_k/|b_{nk}|) \sinh(t|b_{nk}|) \text{ a.s.}$$

Then, using the property [M], we have

$$\begin{aligned} E \left\{ \exp \left(t \sum_{k=1}^n b_{nk} x_k \right) \right\} &\leq \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh(t|b_{nk}|) \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2m} |b_{nk}|^{2m}}{(2m)!} \\ &\leq \prod_{k=1}^n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2m} |b_{nk}|^{2m}}{2^m m!} \\ &= \exp \left(\frac{t^2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n b_{nk}^2 \right), \quad \text{q.e.d.} \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 1. If (x_n) is a sequence of martingale differences such that $|x_n| \leq K_n$ a.s. for all n , then from (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E \{ \exp(tx_n) | \mathfrak{A}_{n-1} \} &\leq \cosh(tK_n) \quad \text{a.s.} \\ &\leq \exp(t^2 K_n^2 / 2) \quad \text{a.s. for } n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (x_n) has the property [G] with $\tau(x_n) \leq K_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$.

LEMMA 2. If (x_n) is a sequence of random variables for which [G] holds with $\tau(x_n) \leq 1, n = 1, 2, \dots$, then

$$(2. 3) \quad E \{ \exp(tS_n^*) \} \leq 8 \exp \left(\frac{t^2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 \right),$$

where $S_n^*(\omega) = \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m b_k x_k \right|$ and (b_k) is an arbitrary sequence of real numbers.

PROOF. Noting that $\tau(b_n x_n) = |b_n| \tau(x_n) \leq |b_n|$, we have

$$(2. 4) \quad \begin{aligned} E \left\{ \exp \left(t \sum_{k=1}^n b_k x_k \right) \right\} &= E \left\{ \exp \left(t \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k x_k \right) E \left\{ \exp \left(t b_n x_n \right) \middle| \mathfrak{A}_{n-1} \right\} \right\} \\ &\leq \exp \left(\frac{t^2 b_n^2}{2} \right) E \left\{ \exp \left(t \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k x_k \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

.....

$$\leq \exp\left(\frac{t^2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2\right).$$

On the other hand we have ([2], p. 317) for $\alpha > 1$

$$E\{(S_n^*)^\alpha\} \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\right)^\alpha E\{|S_n|^\alpha\},$$

where $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k x_k$, since $(|S_n|)$ is a sequence of non negative submartingale.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} E\{\exp(tS_n^*)\} &\leq E\{\exp(tS_n)\} + E\{\exp(-tS_n)\} \\ &= 2 E\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2j}(S_n^*)^{2j}}{(2j)!}\right\} \\ &\leq 8 E\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2j}S_n^{2j}}{(2j)!}\right\} \\ &\leq 8 \exp\left(\frac{t^2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2\right), \qquad \text{q.e.d.} \end{aligned}$$

3. Let $(a_{nk})_{k=1, 2, \dots, n; n=1, 2, \dots}$ be a sequence of real numbers and put $T_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk} x_k$ and $B_n = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

THEOREM 1. *If (x_n) is a sequence of random variables for which [M] holds with $K_n = 1$ for all n , then*

$$(3. 1) \qquad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|T_n|}{\sqrt{2B_n^2 \log n}} \leq 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

PROOF. Supposing in Lemma 1 that $t = (2(\log n)/B_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $b_{nk} = a_{nk}$ and multiplying both sides by $\exp(-(2 + \varepsilon)\log n)$, where $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain

$$E\left\{\exp\left(\left(\frac{2 \log n}{B_n^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |T_n| - (2 + \varepsilon) \log n\right)\right\} \leq 2 \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1+\varepsilon}$$

so that by the Beppo-Levi theorem

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp \left(\left(\frac{2 \log n}{B_n^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |T_n| - (2+\varepsilon) \log n \right) < \infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Hence,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left(\frac{2 \log n}{B_n^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |T_n| - (2+\varepsilon) \log n \right) < 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

that is,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|T_n|}{\sqrt{2B_n^2 \log n}} \leq \frac{2 + \varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Since ε is an arbitrary positive number, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain (3.1), q.e.d.

COROLLARY 1. Let (x_n) be the same as in Theorem 1 and (a_j) be a sequence of positive numbers. Put $A_n = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j$. If

$$(3. 2) \quad a_n/A_n = o(1/\log n), \quad A_n/\log n \uparrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then

$$(3. 3) \quad (a_n x_1 + a_{n-1} x_2 + \dots + a_1 x_n)/A_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ a.s.}$$

PROOF. Write $a_n^* = a_{k(n)} = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} a_k$, then $1 \leq k(n) \leq n$ and $k(n)$ is increasing. If $k(n) = O(1)$, we have by (3.2) $a_{k(n)}/A_n = o(1/\log n)$ and if $k(n) \rightarrow \infty$, then we have again

$$\frac{a_{k(n)} \log n}{A_n} = \frac{a_{k(n)} \log k(n)}{A_{k(n)}} \frac{A_{k(n)} \log n}{A_n \log k(n)} = o(1).$$

Hence in any case we get $a_n^*/A_n = o(1/\log n)$. Therefore by Theorem 1,

$$\frac{|a_n x_1 + \dots + a_1 x_n|}{A_n} \leq \frac{|a_n x_1 + \dots + a_1 x_n|}{\sqrt{2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \log n}} \sqrt{\frac{2a_n^* \log n}{A_n}}$$

which is $o(1)$, and we get (3.3).

REMARK 2. In (3.3), if we consider $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_nx_n$ instead of $a_nx_1 + a_{n-1}x_2 + \dots + a_1x_n$, we may replace the condition (3.2) by

$$(3. 2)' \quad a_n/A_n = o(1/\log \log A_n), \quad A_n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty .$$

This can be established by the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3 and we omit the proof.

REMARK 3. From Theorem 1 we find that $S_n = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_nx_n = o(\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, when $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^2 < \infty$. For we may take an integer m such that $\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} a_j^2$ is sufficiently small and apply Theorem 1 to $S_n - S_m$ for $n > m$.

COROLLARY 2. Let (x_n) be the same as in Theorem 1 and put

$$(3. 4) \quad \sigma_n^\alpha = \frac{1}{E_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E_{n-k}^\alpha x_{k+1} \quad \text{for } \alpha > -\frac{1}{2}, \quad E_n^\alpha = \binom{n+\alpha}{n}.$$

Then

$$(3. 5) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\sigma_n^\alpha|}{\sqrt{(2/(2\alpha+1))n \log n}} \leq 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

PROOF. Since

$$\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (n-k)^{2\alpha}}{n^{2\alpha}(n/(2\alpha+1))} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n k^{2\alpha}}{n^{2\alpha}(n/(2\alpha+1))} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty ,$$

we have, taking $a_{nk} = (n-k)^\alpha$ in Theorem 1

$$(3. 6) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (1-(k/n)^\alpha)x_{k+1} \right|}{\sqrt{(2/(2\alpha+1))n \log n}} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (n-k)^\alpha x_{k+1} \right|}{\sqrt{n^{2\alpha}(n/(2\alpha+1)) \log n}} \leq 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

On the other hand ([3])

$$E_{n-k}^\alpha/E_n^\alpha = (1 - (k/n)^\alpha) + O(1/n)$$

and therefore, (3.5) follows from (3.6),

q.e.d.

4. Let (a_n) be a sequence of real numbers and put $D_n = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $S_n = a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n$ and $\bar{S}_n = a_nx_1 + a_{n-1}x_2 + \cdots + a_1x_n$.

THEOREM 2. Let (x_n) be a sequence of random variables for which [G] holds with $\tau(x_n) \leq 1$ for all n . If

$$(4.1) \quad a_n^2/D_n^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad D_n^2 \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

then

$$(4.2) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S_n|}{\sqrt{2D_n^2 \log \log D_n^2}} \leq 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

PROOF. Take an arbitrary positive number ε and fix it. Next we define the sequence (n_j) of positive integers as follows: We may choose n_1 by (4.1) such that

$$(4.3) \quad D_{n_1}^2 > \frac{4}{3}$$

$$(4.4) \quad a_n^2/D_n^2 < \frac{1}{3} \quad \text{for } n > n_1.$$

And generally, after n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{k-1} are defined we may choose n_k such that

$$(4.5) \quad D_{n_{k-1}}^2 < D_{n_k}^2 \leq 2D_{n_{k-1}}^2 < D_{n_{k+1}}^2.$$

From (4.4) we get $D_{n_{k+1}}^2/D_{n_k}^2 < 2$ and therefore n_k is well defined. Then from (4.4), (4.5) and (4.3),

$$D_{n_k}^2 = D_{n_{k+1}}^2 - a_{n_{k+1}}^2 \geq \frac{2}{3} D_{n_{k+1}}^2 \geq \frac{4}{3} D_{n_{k-1}}^2,$$

so that

$$(4.6) \quad D_{n_k}^2 > (4/3)^k \quad k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Further, using the Tchebycheff inequality and (2.3),

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P} \left\{ \bigcup_{m=n_{k+1}}^{n_{k+1}} (|S_m| > (1 + \varepsilon) \sqrt{2D_m^2 \log \log D_m^2}) \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\{\max_{n_k < m \leq n_{k+1}} |S_m| > (1 + \varepsilon)\sqrt{2D_{n_k}^2 \log \log D_{n_k}^2}\} \\ &\leq 8 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{2(1 + \varepsilon)^2 D_{n_k}^2 \log \log D_{n_k}^2}{2(D_{n_{k+1}}^2 - D_{n_k}^2)}\right) \\ &\leq 8 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{(1 + 2\varepsilon) \log \log D_{n_k}^2}{(D_{n_{k+1}}^2 / D_{n_k}^2) - 1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.5) and (4.6), the last series is dominated by

$$8 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp(-(1 + 2\varepsilon) \log \log D_{n_k}^2) \leq K \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1/k)^{1+2\varepsilon} < \infty$$

Where K is a positive constant. Therefore (4.2) follows immediately in virtue of the Borel-Cantelli lemma, q.e.d.

COROLLARY 3. *Let (x_n) be the same as in Theorem 2 and (a_n) be a sequence of positive numbers. Put $A_n = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$. If*

$$(4.7) \quad a_n/A_n = o(1/\log \log A_n), \quad A_n \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then

$$(4.8) \quad S_n/A_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ a.s.}$$

This result may be proved along the same line as the proof of Theorem 2, and we omit the detail. If the condition (4.1) is satisfied, the proof may be done as that of Corollary 1. But in general, (4.1) need not follow from (4.7). In fact, we give an example (due to T. Tsuchikura) of sequence (a_n) which satisfies the condition (4.7) but does not (4.1).

Put $p_n = n!$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then, since

$$1p_1^2 + 2p_2^2 + \dots + np_n^2 = (n + 1)! - 1,$$

we have

$$\frac{p_{n+1}^2}{1p_1^2 + 2p_2^2 + \dots + np_n^2 + p_{n+1}^2} > \frac{1}{2} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

and

$$\left[\frac{p_n \log \log (1p_1 + 2p_2 + \dots + (n-1)p_{n-1})}{1p_1 + 2p_2 + \dots + (n-1)p_{n-1}} \right]^2 \leq \frac{n}{(n-1)^2} (\log n)^2 = o(1).$$

Therefore, if we define (a_j) by $a_1 = p_1, a_2 = a_3 = p_2, a_4 = a_5 = a_6 = p_3, \dots$, and generally $a_m = p_n$, for $1 + 2 + \dots + (n-1) + 1 \leq m \leq 1 + 2 + \dots + n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a_m}{A_m} \log \log A_m \\ & \leq \frac{p_n}{1p_1 + 2p_2 + \dots + (n-1)p_{n-1}} \log \log (1p_1 + \dots + (n-1)p_{n-1}) = o(1) \end{aligned}$$

but

$$\frac{a_m^2}{D_m^2} \geq \frac{p_{n+1}^2}{1p_1^2 + 2p_2^2 + \dots + np_n^2 + p_{n+1}^2} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

REMARK 4. In [3] V.F. Gaposhkin showed that the law of iterated logarithm of uniformly bounded independent random variables holds for the Cesàro's summation method. In our case we follow his proof, word by word, starting from Theorem 2 and (2.4) and then we can obtain the following result.

If (x_n) is the same as in Theorem 2 and σ_n^α ($\alpha > 0$) is in (3.4), then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\sigma_n^\alpha|}{\sqrt{(2/(2\alpha + 1))n \log \log n}} \leq 1 \text{ a.s.}$$

THEOREM 3. Let (x_n) be a sequence of martingale differences such that $|x_n| \leq 1$ a.s. and (a_n) be a sequence of positive increasing numbers. If

$$(4.9) \quad a_n/A_n = o(1/\log \log A_n), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then

$$(4.10) \quad \bar{S}_n/A_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ a.s.}$$

PROOF. Give $\varepsilon > 0$ and define (n_j) in the previous manner, that is,

$$(4.11) \quad A_{n_j} > 2(3 + \varepsilon)/(6 + \varepsilon) (> 1)$$

$$(4.12) \quad a_n/A_n < \varepsilon/(6 + \varepsilon) \quad \text{for } n > n_1$$

$$(4.13) \quad a_n(\log \log A_n)/A_n < \varepsilon^2/64 \quad \text{for } n > n_1,$$

and

$$(4.14) \quad A_{n_{k-1}} < A_{n_k} \leq (1 + (\varepsilon/3))A_{n_{k-1}} < A_{n_{k+1}}.$$

We will show that

$$(4.15) \quad 2P\{\bar{S}_{n_{k+1}} > (\varepsilon/2)A_{n_k}\} \geq P\{\max_{n_k < n \leq n_{k+1}} \bar{S}_n > \varepsilon A_{n_k}\}.$$

For this purpose we put the event

$$F_l = \{\bar{S}_{n_{k+1}} < x, \dots, \bar{S}_{l-1} < x, \bar{S}_l \geq x\}, \quad l = n_k + 1, n_k + 2, \dots, n_{k+1}$$

and denote the conditional probability (expectation) with respect the event F_l by $P\{\cdot | F_l\}$ ($E\{\cdot | F_l\}$). We may suppose that $P\{F_l\} > 0$, and then

$$\begin{aligned} E\{\bar{S}_{n_{k+1}} - \bar{S}_l\}^2 | F_l &= E\left\{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{k+1}} a_{n_{k+1}-j+1}x_j - \sum_{j=1}^l a_{l-j+1}x_j\right)^2 \middle| F_l\right\} \\ &= E\left\{\left(\sum_{j=1}^l (a_{n_{k+1}-j+1} - a_{l-j+1})x_j\right)^2 \middle| F_l\right\} + E\left\{\left(\sum_{j=l+1}^{n_{k+1}} a_{n_{k+1}-j+1}x_j\right)^2 \middle| F_l\right\} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^l (a_{n_{k+1}-j+1} - a_{l-j+1})\right)^2 + \left(\sum_{j=l+1}^{n_{k+1}} a_{n_{k+1}-j+1}\right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=l+1}^{n_{k+1}} a_j\right)^2 \\ &\leq (A_{n_{k+1}} - A_{n_k})^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that if $1 \leq i \leq l < j \leq n_{k+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} E\{x_i x_j | F_l\} &= (1/P\{F_l\})E\{x_i x_j I(F_l)\} \\ &= (1/P\{F_l\})E\{x_i I(F_l)E\{x_j | \mathcal{A}_{j-1}\}\} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $I(F_l)$ is the indicator of F_l , and that

$$a_{n_{k+1}-j+1} \geq a_{l-j+1}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, l; n_{k+1} \geq l.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} P\{|\bar{S}_{n_{k+1}} - \bar{S}_l| \geq (\varepsilon/2)A_{n_k} | F_l\} &\leq (4/(\varepsilon^2 A_{n_k}^2))E\{(\bar{S}_{n_{k+1}} - \bar{S}_l)^2 | F_l\} \\ &\leq (4/(\varepsilon^2 A_{n_k}^2))(A_{n_{k+1}} - A_{n_k})^2 \\ &= (4/\varepsilon^2)((A_{n_{k+1}}/A_{n_k}) - 1)^2 \\ &\leq (4/\varepsilon^2)(\varepsilon^2/9) < 1/2, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$P\{\bar{S}_{n_{k+1}} > x - (\varepsilon/2)A_{n_k} | F_l\} \geq 1/2,$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} P\{\bar{S}_{n_{k+1}} > (\varepsilon/2)A_{n_k}\} &= P\{\bar{S}_{n_{k+1}} > \varepsilon A_{n_k} - (\varepsilon/2)A_{n_k}\} \\ &\geq \sum_{l=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} P\{F_l\} P\{\bar{S}_{n_{k+1}} > \varepsilon A_{n_k} - (\varepsilon/2)A_{n_k} | F_l\} \\ &\geq (1/2)P\{\max_{n_k < n \leq n_{k+1}} \bar{S}_n > \varepsilon A_{n_k}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (4.15) has been shown. From (4.15), (2.4), (4.14) and (4.13),

$$\begin{aligned} (4.16) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\{\max_{n_k < n \leq n_{k+1}} \bar{S}_n > \varepsilon A_{n_k}\} &\leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\{\bar{S}_{n_{k+1}} > (\varepsilon/2)A_{n_k}\} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 A_{n_k}^2}{8 \sum_{j=1}^{n_{k+1}} a_j^2}\right) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 A_{n_{k+1}}}{32 a_{n_{k+1}}}\right) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp(-2 \log \log A_{n_{k+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (4.11), (4.12) and (4.14) we obtain

$$A_{n_k} > (2(3 + \varepsilon)/(6 + \varepsilon))^{k-1} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

In conjunction with (4.16) this gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\{\max_{n_k < n \leq n_{k+1}} \bar{S}_n > \varepsilon A_{n_k}\} \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1/k)^2 < \infty,$$

where C is a positive constant. By the same way we can obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\{\min_{n_k < n \leq n_{k+1}} \bar{S}_n < -\varepsilon A_{n_k}\} < \infty,$$

and therefore (4.10) holds in virtue of the Borel-Cantelli lemma, q.e.d.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. S. CHOW, Some convergence theorems for independent random variables, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 37(1966), 1482-1493.
- [2] J. L. DOOB, *Stochastic processes*, Wiley, New York, 1953.
- [3] V. F. GAPOSHKIN, The law of the iterated logarithm for Cesàro's and Abel's methods of summation, *Theor. probability Appl.*, X(1965), 411-420.
- [4] P. RÉVÉSZ, Some remarks on strongly multiplicative systems, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, 16(1965), 441-446.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE,
TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY
SENDAI, JAPAN.