

CAPACITIES OF SETS AND HARMONIC ANALYSIS ON THE GROUP 2^ω

MASAKO KOBAYASHI

(Received October 1, 1968)

1. Introduction. In this paper we shall work on the dyadic group 2^ω which consists of all sequences $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$, $x_i = 0$ or 1 , where addition is defined coordinatewise mod 2. The topology is the product topology which is the same as that given by an invariant metric $\delta(x, y)$, where if $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$ are in 2^ω , then

$$\delta(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n - y_n| / 2^n.$$

After this we shall write $|x - y|$ instead of $\delta(x, y)$.

In particular, we first define the Rademacher function $\varphi_0(\bar{x})$ by $\varphi_0(\bar{x}) = 1$ if $0 \leq \bar{x} < 1/2$, $\varphi_0(\bar{x}) = -1$ if $1/2 \leq \bar{x} < 1$, and $\varphi_0(\bar{x}) = \varphi_0(\bar{x} + 1)$ for real \bar{x} . Next, we define $\varphi_n(\bar{x}) = \varphi_0(2^n \bar{x})$ for every nonnegative integer n . Then the Walsh function $\psi_n(\bar{x})$ is defined by setting $\psi_0(\bar{x}) = 1$, $\psi_n(\bar{x}) = \varphi_{n_1}(\bar{x}) \cdots \varphi_{n_r}(\bar{x})$ where $n = 2^{n_1} + \cdots + 2^{n_r}$ and the n_i are uniquely determined by $n_{i+1} < n_i$. As is well known, $\{\psi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ form a complete orthonormal system and every function $f(\bar{x})$ which is integrable on $(0, 1)$ may be expanded in a Walsh-Fourier series;

$$f(\bar{x}) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \psi_n(\bar{x}), \text{ where } a_n = \int_0^1 f(\bar{x}) \psi_n(\bar{x}) d\bar{x}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

$\varphi_n(x)$ is defined on 2^ω with $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ by setting $\varphi_n(x) = 1$ if $x_{n+1} = 0$, $\varphi_n(x) = -1$ if $x_{n+1} = 1$. $\psi_n(x)$ is defined on 2^ω by setting $\psi_0(x) = 1$, $\psi_n(x) = \varphi_{n_1}(x) \cdots \varphi_{n_r}(x)$ where as before $n = 2^{n_1} + \cdots + 2^{n_r}$ and the n_i are uniquely determined. We note that $\{\psi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ gives us the full set of characters of 2^ω . N. J. Fine in his paper on the Walsh functions, [3], shows that the natural map $\lambda : 2^\omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$\lambda(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n / 2^n$$

is continuous, one-to-one except for a countable set, preserves Haar measure and

carries the characters of 2^ω onto the Walsh functions.

The main purposes of this paper depend on the note [1] by L. H. Harper. As regard terminology and notations we shall follow it as a rule. In order to facilitate progress we set up some results of L. H. Harper which are needed in the sequel.

For $x \in 2^\omega$, let $\{x\} = 2^{-n}$, where n is the number of zeroes in x preceding the first one ($\{0\} = 0$). Then

$$(1.1) \quad |x| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n 2^{-n} \leq \{x\} \leq 2|x|.$$

Fix $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Let

$$(1.2) \quad K(x) = \{x\}^{-\alpha} \text{ if } 0 < \alpha < 1 \text{ or } \log 1/\{x\} \text{ if } \alpha = 0.$$

(All logarithms shall be taken to the base two.)

Then K is continuous except at zero and nonnegative so that a potential theory with respect to K is valid.

If E is a closed subset of 2^ω , then $\mathfrak{M}(E)$ is the set of all nonnegative, Borel measures of norm one on 2^ω supported on E . Fix $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, let $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}(E)$ and form the energy integral

$$(1.3) \quad I(\nu) = \int_{2^\omega} \int_{2^\omega} K(x-y) d\nu(x) d\nu(y).$$

Then there are two cases: Either $I(\nu) = +\infty$ for all ν in $\mathfrak{M}(E)$ or

$$(1.4) \quad V = \inf I(\nu) < +\infty, \quad \nu \in \mathfrak{M}(E).$$

E is said to be of capacity zero if $I(\nu) = +\infty$ for all ν in $\mathfrak{M}(E)$, or if $V < +\infty$, the capacity of E is

$$(1.5) \quad C \equiv V^{-1/\alpha} \text{ if } 0 < \alpha < 1 \text{ or } C \equiv 2^{-V} \text{ if } \alpha = 0.$$

$$(1.6) \quad U(x; \nu) = \int_{2^\omega} K(x-y) d\nu(y)$$

is the potential function associated with ν . The following two statements are standard results in potential theory (See [8] and [9]).

(1.7) If E is of positive capacity, then there exists a unique μ in $\mathfrak{M}(E)$ such that $I(\mu) = V$.

(1.8) The potential function $U(x; \mu)$, of the equilibrium distribution has the following properties ;

(i) $U(x; \mu) \geq V$ except for a set which is of measure zero with respect to every measure of finite energy.

(ii) $U(x; \mu) \leq V$ for all x in the support of μ .

(iii) $U(x; \mu)$ is bounded on 2^ω .

The n th Dirichlet kernel for the Walsh functions is defined by

$$(1.9) \quad D_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi_k(x).$$

If $f(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \psi_n(x)$, then partial sums can be written as

$$(1.10) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \psi_k(x) = \int_{2^\omega} f(x+t) D_n(t) dt.$$

The size of $D_n(x)$ is given by

$$(1.11) \quad |D_n(x)| \leq \frac{2}{|x|} \quad (0 < |x| < 1).$$

Moreover, for some constants A and B independent of x and n ,

$$(1.12) \quad (2^{1-\alpha} - 1) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{2^{k(1-\alpha)}} D_{2^k}(x) \leq AK(x) + B.$$

Let $[n]$ denote the greatest power of 2 in n ($[0] = 1$ for convenience) then we have

$$(1.13) \quad \frac{1}{[k]^{1-\alpha}} |D_k(x)| \leq 2K(x).$$

Henceforth, the letter A will be reserved to denote positive constant independent of x and n , which is not always the same number.

Now we arrive at the main theorem of L. H. Harper :

THEOREM. *Let $f(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \psi_n(x)$ be such that*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2 [n]^{1-\alpha} < \infty, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1.$$

Then if $s_n(x; f) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \psi_k(x)$ diverges on a closed set E , the α -capacity of E is zero.

This is a variant of the results for the trigonometric series which are summarized in Chapter 4 of Kahane-Salem [8].

Recently, in connection with the result of L. H. Harper, Professor Sh. Yano proposed the following problem : Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \psi_n(x)$ be the Walsh-Fourier series of a function $f(x) \in L^p(2^\omega)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and let $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{a_k \psi_k(x)}{[k]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}}}$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) diverge on a closed set E . Then what can we say about the α -capacity of E ?

In the present paper, we shall give some partial answers to the above problem. Main results are as follows :

THEOREM I. *Suppose that $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \psi_n(x)$ is the Walsh-Fourier series of a function $f(x) \in L^p(2^\omega)$. Then if $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{a_k \psi_k(x)}{[k]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}}}$ diverges on a closed set E , the α -capacity of E is zero.*

For the case $p=2$, this is reduced to Harper's theorem mentioned above.

THEOREM II. *Suppose that $p > 2$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \psi_n(x)$ is the Walsh-Fourier series of a function $f(x) \in L^p(2^\omega)$. Then if $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{a_k \psi_k(x)}{[k]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}}}$ diverges on a closed set E , the $\alpha + \varepsilon$ -capacity of E is zero, where ε is any positive number.*

In both theorems, the trigonometric-Fourier series analogues have already been established in [6].

The author gratefully acknowledges the help and guidance of Professor Sh. Yano in the preparation of this paper.

2. In order to prove Theorem I and II, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \psi_n(x)$ be the Walsh-Fourier series of a function $f(x) \in L^p(2^\omega)$, where $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then

$$\int_{2^\omega} |\sigma_n(x; f) - f(x)|^p dx \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

where
$$\sigma_n(x; f) = \frac{s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n}{n}, \quad s_i = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} a_j \psi_j(x).$$

(For the case $p = 1$, the result was proved in [2].)

PROOF. Let $p(x)$ be a Walsh polynomial, that is, a linear combination $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} c_k \psi_k(x)$ such that

$$\int_{2^\omega} |f(x) - p(x)|^p dx < \varepsilon^p.$$

For $p(x)$, we can show that

$$|\sigma_n(x; p) - p(x)| = o(1)$$

in essentially the same way as Fine proved Theorem XVII in [3]. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\int_{2^\omega} |\sigma_n(x; f) - f(x)|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \left[\int_{2^\omega} |\sigma_n(x; f) - \sigma_n(x; p)|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad + \left[\int_{2^\omega} |\sigma_n(x; p) - p(x)|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left[\int_{2^\omega} |f(x) - p(x)|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left[\int_{2^\omega} |\sigma_n(x; f - p)|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} + o(1) + \left[\int_{2^\omega} |f(x) - p(x)|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq 3 \left[\int_{2^\omega} |f(x) - p(x)|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

since for any $h(x) \in L^p(2^\omega)$, by Minkowski's inequality,

$$\left[\int_{2^\omega} |\sigma_n(x; h)|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left[\int_{2^\omega} \left| \int_{2^\omega} h(t) K_n(x+t) dt \right|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[\int_{2^\omega} \left| \int_{2^\omega} h(x+t) K_n(t) dt \right|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \int_{2^\omega} |K_n(t)| \cdot \left[\int_{2^\omega} |h(x+t)|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \\
&\leq 2 \left[\int_{2^\omega} |h(x)|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \psi_j(x) \right)$$

and it is known ([5]) that

$$\int_{2^\omega} |K_n(x)| dx \leq 2.$$

This proves the lemma.

LEMMA 2. If $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \psi_k(x)$ satisfies

$$\int_{2^\omega} |\sigma_n(x) - \sigma_m(x)|^p dx \rightarrow 0 \quad (m, n \rightarrow \infty), \text{ where } 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

there exists a function $f(x) \in L^p(2^\omega)$ such that $f(x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \psi_k(x)$.

For the case $p=1$, the result was proved in [2] and we can easily extend it for any p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, so we omit the proof.

LEMMA 3. Let $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \psi_k(x)$ be the Walsh-Fourier series of a function $f(x) \in L^p(2^\omega)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then there exist $g(x) \in L^p(2^\omega)$ and a function $Q(n)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, which is positive, nondecreasing and tending to infinity with n , while $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k Q(k) \psi_k(x)$ is the Walsh-Fourier series of the function $g(x)$.

For the case $p=1$, the result was proved by R. Salem in [4]. By the aids of Lemma 1, 2 and Minkowski's inequality, the assertion for p , $1 < p < \infty$, is proved in an entirely similar way.

3. Proof of Theorem I. Suppose the α -capacity of E is not zero. Then we have an equilibrium distribution μ for E and constant M such that

$$(1) \quad \int_{2^\omega} K(x+t)d\mu(t) = U(x; \mu) \leq M$$

on 2^ω .

From Lemma 3 we can find a function $g(x) \in L^p(2^\omega)$ and $Q(n)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where $Q(n)$ is positive, nondecreasing and tending to infinity with n , such that $\sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k Q(k) \psi_k(x)$ is the Walsh-Fourier series of $g(x)$. Then the partial sums

$$(2) \quad S_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{a_k Q(k) \psi_k(x)}{[k]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}}}$$

of the series $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{a_k Q(k) \psi_k(x)}{[k]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}}}$ are unbounded on E . For, if not,

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} s_n(x; f) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{a_k \psi_k(x)}{[k]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}}} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{a_k Q(k) \psi_k(x)}{[k]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}}} \cdot \frac{1}{Q(k)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{a_j Q(j) \psi_j(x)}{[j]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}}} \left[\frac{1}{Q(k)} - \frac{1}{Q(k+1)} \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{a_j Q(j) \psi_j(x)}{[j]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}}} \cdot \frac{1}{Q(n-1)} \quad (\text{by Abel}) \end{aligned}$$

and so $s_n(x; f)$ would converge. Define

$$(4) \quad E^+ = \{x \in 2^\omega; \overline{\lim} S_n(x) = +\infty\}, \quad E^- = \{x \in 2^\omega; \underline{\lim} S_n(x) = -\infty\}.$$

Either $\mu(E^+) > 0$ or $\mu(E^-) > 0$, so without loss of generality we assume the former. Also for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ let $n(x) \equiv$ the least $k \leq n$ such that

$$(5) \quad S_k(k) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} S_j(x).$$

Then $S_{n(x)}(x) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} S_j(x)$ is a Borel measurable function, $S_{n(x)}(x) \geq a_0 Q(0)$ and goes to $+\infty$ for all x in E^+ . The upshot of all this then is that

$$(6) \quad I = \int_{2^\omega} S_{n(x)}(x) d\mu(x) \rightarrow +\infty \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty).$$

However, if $p=1$,

$$(7) \quad S_{n(x)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n(x)-1} \frac{a_k Q(k) \psi_k(x)}{[k]^{1-\alpha}} = \int_{\omega} g(t) \sum_{k=0}^{n(x)-1} \frac{\psi_k(x+t)}{[k]^{1-\alpha}} dt,$$

where

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n(x)-1} \frac{\psi_k(x+t)}{[k]^{1-\alpha}} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n(x)-2} \left(\frac{1}{[k]^{1-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{[k+1]^{1-\alpha}} \right) \sum_{j=0}^k \psi_j(x+t) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{[n(x)-1]^{1-\alpha}} \sum_{j=0}^{n(x)-1} \psi_j(x+t) \quad (\text{by partial summation}) \\ &= (2^{1-\alpha} - 1) \sum_{k=0}^{\log[n(x)-1]} \frac{1}{2^{k(1-\alpha)}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} \psi_j(x+t) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{[n(x)-1]^{1-\alpha}} \sum_{j=0}^{n(x)-1} \psi_j(x+t). \end{aligned}$$

Then applying relations (1.12) and (1.13) to the first part and the second part respectively we can find constants A and B such that

$$(9) \quad \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n(x)-1} \frac{\psi_k(x+t)}{[k]^{1-\alpha}} \right| \leq AK(x+t) + B.$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} I &= \int_{2^\omega} S_{n(x)}(x) d\mu(x) \leq \int_{2^\omega} \int_{2^\omega} |g(t)| \cdot [AK(x+t) + B] dt d\mu(x) \\ &= B \int_{2^\omega} |g(t)| dt + A \int_{2^\omega} |g(t)| \cdot \left[\int_{2^\omega} K(x+t) d\mu(x) \right] dt \\ &\leq (B + AM) \int_{2^\omega} |g(t)| dt < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

But this contradicts (6) so that the assumption that E is of positive α -capacity must be false.

Now we consider the case $1 < p < 2$. We express I in the following way;

$$(11) \quad I = \int_{2^\omega} S_{n(x)}(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{2^\omega} g(t) \left[\int_{\omega} G_{n(x)}(x+t) d\mu(x) \right] dt$$

where

$$G_{n(x)}(x+t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n(x)-1} \frac{\psi_k(x+t)}{[k]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}}}.$$

From Hölder's inequality it follows that

$$(12) \quad I \leq \left[\int_{2^\omega} |g(t)|^p dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left[\int_{2^\omega} \left| \int_{2^\omega} G_{n(x)}(x+t) d\mu(x) \right|^q dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Since $\int_{2^\omega} |g(t)|^p dt < \infty$, it is enough to estimate

$$(13) \quad I' = \int_{2^\omega} \left| \int_{2^\omega} G_{n(x)}(x+t) d\mu(x) \right|^q dt.$$

Here we prove that

$$(14) \quad |G_n(x)| \leq A|x|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}-1}, \quad \text{where } |x| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{2^n} \text{ if } x = (x_1, x_2, \dots).$$

For $n \leq \frac{1}{|x|}$ we have

$$(15) \quad |G_n(x)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{[k]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}}} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{2^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}}}{k^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}}} = O(n^{1-\frac{1-\alpha}{p}}) = O(|x|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}-1}).$$

For $n > \frac{1}{|x|}$,

$$(16) \quad G_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^l \frac{\psi_k(x)}{[k]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}}} + \sum_{k=l+1}^{n-1} \frac{\psi_k(x)}{[k]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}}} = S_1(x) + S_2(x), \quad \text{say,}$$

where l is the integral part contained in $\frac{1}{|x|}$. By the fact proved above, we have $|S_1(x)| < O(|x|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}-1})$. By Abel's transformation,

$$(17) \quad S_2(x) = \sum_{k=l+1}^{n-2} \left(\frac{1}{[k]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}}} - \frac{1}{[k+1]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}}} \right) \sum_{j=0}^k \psi_j(x) + \frac{1}{[n-1]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \psi_j(x) - \frac{1}{[l+1]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}}} \sum_{j=0}^l \psi_j(x).$$

Since

$$(18) \quad \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \psi_j(x) \right| \leq \frac{A}{|x|} \text{ by (1.11),}$$

we have

$$(19) \quad |S_2(x)| \leq \frac{A}{|x|} \cdot |x|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}} = A|x|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}-1}.$$

From (15), (16) and (19) the proof of (14) is completed. (We may prove (14) also applying the relation which is used in the proof of Lemma 1 in [10].)

Returning to the estimation of I' , from (14), we have

$$(20) \quad I' = \int_{2^{\omega}} \left| \int_{\omega} G_n(x)(x+t) d\mu(x) \right|^q dt \leq A \int_{\omega} \left[\int_{\omega} |x+t|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}-1} d\mu(x) \right]^q dt.$$

Remembering the condition for p , we have $q > 2$ and so,

$$(21) \quad \left[\int_{2^{\omega}} |x+t|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}-1} d\mu(x) \right]^q = \left[\int_{2^{\omega}} |x+t|^{\frac{q-2}{q}(-\alpha)} \cdot |x+t|^{\frac{-\alpha-1}{q}} d\mu(x) \right]^q \leq \left[\int_{2^{\omega}} |x+t|^{-\alpha} d\mu(x) \right]^{q-2} \cdot \left[\int_{2^{\omega}} |x+t|^{\frac{-\alpha-1}{2}} d\mu(x) \right]^2.$$

We know from (1.1) and (1.2) that

$$(22) \quad |x+t|^{-\alpha} \leq AK(x+t)+1.$$

Hence we have

$$(23) \quad \left[\int_{2^{\omega}} |x+t|^{-\alpha} d\mu(x) \right]^{q-2} \leq A$$

on 2^{ω} . Consequently

$$(24) \quad I' \leq A \int_{2^{\omega}} \left[\int_{2^{\omega}} |x+t|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}-1} d\mu(x) \right]^2 dt.$$

We define functions $\widehat{G}_{2^p(x)}(x)$ and $G_{2^p(x)-1}(x)$ as follows. Let $\frac{1}{2^p} \leq |x| < \frac{1}{2^{p-1}}$; then we write

$$(25) \quad \widehat{G}_{2^p(x)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^p-1} \frac{\psi_k(x)}{k^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}}, \quad G_{2^p(x)-1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} \frac{\psi_k(x)}{[k]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}}.$$

We denote by $x_p = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ an element of 2^{ω} consisted of zeroes except the p -th number. Then

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{G}_{2^p(x_p)}(x_p) &= \sum_{k=0}^{2^p-1} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}} - \sum_{k=p-1}^{2^p-1} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} (C_k - C_{p-k-1}) \\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} (k+1)\Delta C_k, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_k = \frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}}$ and $\Delta C_k = C_k - C_{k+1}$.

From the fact that

$$\psi_k(x) = \psi_k(x_p), \quad \text{if } \frac{1}{2^p} \leq |x| < \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \text{ and } 0 \leq k < 2^p,$$

we have

$$(27) \quad \widehat{G}_{2^p(x)}(x) = \widehat{G}_{2^p(x_p)}(x_p) \geq \sum_{k=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} (k+1)\Delta C_k, \quad \text{if } \frac{1}{2^p} \leq |x| \leq \frac{1}{2^{p-1}}.$$

We know (See [7, p. 228]) that for any sufficiently large n , say, $n \geq N$, there

exists a constant A such that

$$(28) \quad A \sum_{k=0}^l (k+1) \Delta C_k \geq |x|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}-1}, \text{ if } |x| < \frac{1}{2^n},$$

where l is the integral part contained in $\frac{1}{|x|}$. Therefore, combining this fact with (27), we have

$$(29) \quad A \widehat{G}_{2^{p(x)}}(x) \geq |x|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}-1} \text{ if } p-1 \geq N, \text{ that is, } |x| < \frac{1}{2^N}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} A G_{2^{p(x)-1}}(x) &= A \sum_{k=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} \frac{\psi_k(x)}{[k]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}} = A \sum_{k=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} \frac{1}{[k]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}} \geq A \sum_{k=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}} \\ &> A \widehat{G}_{2^{p(x)}}(x) \geq |x|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}-1}, \text{ if } |x| < \frac{1}{2^N}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $G_{2^{p(x)-1}}(x)$ is a Borel-measurable and nonnegative function. Now we set

$$(31) \quad E_N(t) = \left\{ x \in 2^\omega ; |x+t| < \frac{1}{2^N} \right\}.$$

Then on the complement of $E_N(t)$, we have

$$|x+t| \geq \frac{1}{2^N} \text{ and so } |x+t|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}-1} \leq 2^{N(1-\frac{1-\alpha}{2})}.$$

Therefore, returning to (24), since

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} \left[\int_{2^\omega} |x+t|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}-1} d\mu(x) \right]^2 &\leq \left[\int_{E_N(t)} |x+t|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}-1} d\mu(x) + 2^{N(1-\frac{1-\alpha}{2})} \right]^2 \\ &\leq 2 \left[\int_{E_N(t)} |x+t|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}-1} d\mu(x) \right]^2 + 2^{2N(1-\frac{1-\alpha}{2})+1}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (33) \quad I' &\leq A \int_{2^\omega} \left[\int_{E_N(t)} |x+t|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}-1} d\mu(x) \right]^2 dt + A \\
 &\leq A \int_{2^\omega} \left[\int_{E_N(t)} G_{2^p(x+t)-1}(x+t) d\mu(x) \right]^2 dt + A \\
 &\leq A \int_{2^\omega} \left[\int_{2^\omega} G_{2^p(x+t)-1}(x+t) d\mu(x) \right]^2 dt + A.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (34) \quad I' &\leq A \int_{2^\omega} \int_{2^y} \int_{2^\omega} G_{2^p(x+t)-1}(x+t) \cdot G_{2^p(y+t)-1}(y+t) dt d\mu(x) d\mu(y) + A \\
 &= A \int_{2^\omega} \int_{2^\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{q(x,y)}-1} \frac{\psi_k(x+y)}{[k]^{1-\alpha}} d\mu(x) d\mu(y) + A \\
 &\hspace{15em} (\text{where } q(x,y) = \min(p(x+t), p(y+t))) \\
 &\leq A \int_{2^\omega} \int_{2^\omega} K(x+y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) + A \hspace{5em} (\text{from (1.12) and (1.13)}) \\
 &\leq AM + A.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from (12), we have $I < +\infty$. But this contradicts (6), so that Theorem I is also established for the case $1 < p < 2$.

4. Proof of Theorem II. Suppose $\alpha + \varepsilon$ -capacity of E is not zero. Then we have a positive constant M and an equilibrium distribution μ for E such that

$$\int_{2^\omega} \{x+t\}^{-\alpha-\varepsilon} d\mu(x) \leq M$$

on 2^ω , and so by the relation (1.1), we have

$$(35) \quad \int_{2^\omega} |x+t|^{-\alpha-\varepsilon} d\mu(x) \leq AM$$

on 2^ω . Arguing in an entirely similar way as before, we arrive at (6) :

$$(36) \quad I = \int_{2^\omega} S_{n(x)}(x) d\mu(x) \rightarrow +\infty \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty),$$

On the other hand, since

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{2^\omega} |x+t|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} d\mu(x) &= \int_{2^\omega} |x+t|^{-\frac{\alpha-\varepsilon}{p}} \cdot |x+t|^{\frac{1-p+\varepsilon}{p}} d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \left[\int_{2^\omega} |x+t|^{-\alpha-\varepsilon} d\mu(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left[\int_{2^\omega} |x+t|^{\frac{1-p+\varepsilon}{p} \cdot q} d\mu(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq A \left[\int_{2^\omega} |x+t|^{\frac{q}{p} \varepsilon - 1} d\mu(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} I &= \int_{2^\omega} g(t) \left[\int_{2^\omega} G_{n(x)}(x+t) d\mu(x) \right] dt \\ &\leq A \int_{2^\omega} \left[|g(t)| \int_{2^\omega} |x+t|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} d\mu(x) \right] dt \\ &\leq A \int_{2^\omega} |g(t)| \cdot \left[\int_{2^\omega} |x+t|^{\frac{q}{p} \varepsilon - 1} d\mu(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} dt \\ &\leq A \left[\int_{2^\omega} |g(t)|^p dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left[\int_{\omega} \int_{\omega} |x+t|^{\frac{q}{p} \varepsilon - 1} d\mu(x) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Remembering that $\int_{2^\omega} |g(t)|^p dt < \infty$, it is enough to estimate

$$(39) \quad \int_{2^\omega} \int_{2^\omega} |x+t|^{\frac{q}{p} \varepsilon - 1} d\mu(x) dt.$$

We set

$$(40) \quad E_k = \left\{ t \in 2^\omega; \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} < |x+t| \leq \frac{1}{2^k}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\}$$

Then

$$2^\omega = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} E_k$$

and the measure of E_k is $\frac{1}{2^{k+1}}$. Therefore it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (41) \quad \int_{2^\omega} |x+t|^{\frac{q}{p}\varepsilon-1} dt &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{E_k} |x+t|^{\frac{q}{p}\varepsilon-1} dt \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(k+1)(1-\frac{q}{p}\varepsilon)} \cdot 2^{-(k+1)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2^{\frac{q}{p}\varepsilon}-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have $\int_{2^\omega} |x+t|^{\frac{q}{p}\varepsilon-1} dt \leq A$ on 2^ω and so I is finite which clearly contradicts (36). This completes the proof of Theorem II.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. H. HARPER, Capacities of sets and Harmonic analysis on the group 2^ω , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 126(1967), 301-315.
- [2] G. W. MORGENTHALE, On Walsh-Fourier series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 84(1957), 472-507.
- [3] N. T. FINE, On the Walsh Functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 65(1949), 372-474.
- [4] R. SALEM, Sur les transformations des séries de Fourier, Fund. Math., 33(1945), 108-114.
- [5] SH. YANO, On Walsh Fourier series, Tôhoku Math. J., 3(1951), 223-242.
- [6] SH. YANO, Cesàro Summability of Fourier series, Tôhoku Math. J., 5(1953), 196-197.
- [7] N. K. BARY, A treatise on trigonometric series, Vol II, Pergamon, 1964.
- [8] J. P. KAHANE AND R. SALEM, Ensembles parfaits et séries trigonométriques, Hermann, Paris, 1963.
- [9] B. FUGLEDE, On the theory of potentials in locally compact spaces, Acta Math., 103(1960), 139-215.
- [10] C. WATARI, Multipliers for Walsh Fourier series, Tôhoku Math. J., 16(1964), 239-251.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 TOKYO METROPORITAN UNIVERSITY
 TOKYO, JAPAN