Tôhoku Math. Journ. 25 (1973), 487-498.

## SOME CRITICAL MAPPINGS

Dedicated to Professor Shigeo Sasaki on his 60th birthday

## Yosio Mutō

(Received February 17, 1973)

Let (N, h) and (M, g) be connected compact orientable Riemannian manifolds of dimension n and m respectively, where  $n \ge m$ . Let  $\mu$  be a differentiable mapping of rank m everywhere. There exists on M an m-form  $\varphi$  naturally induced from the metric g. The pull back  $\psi$  of  $\varphi$ is a closed m-form on N and the integral

$$J[\mu] = \int_N (\psi, \psi) dV_N$$

is a functional of the mapping  $\mu$ . A critical point  $\overline{\mu}$  of  $J[\mu]$  is called in the present paper a critical mapping. The purpose of the present paper is to study some properties of such critical mappings.

Let  $(M_1, f)$ ,  $(M_2, g)$ , and  $(M_3, h)$  be connected compact orientable Riemannian manifolds where dim  $M_1 = \dim M_2 \leq \dim M_3$ . If  $\mu_{12}: M_2 \to M_1$ and  $\mu_{23}: M_3 \to M_2$  are critical mappings, then  $\mu_{13} = \mu_{12}\mu_{23}$  is a critical mapping of  $M_3$  onto  $M_1$ . If a critical mapping  $\mu$  is homeomorphic, its inverse  $\mu^{-1}$  is also a critical mapping. When a set of Riemannian manifolds  $\{(M_\lambda, g_\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of the same dimension is given where each manifold is connected, compact and orientable, the set of homeomorphic critical mappings forms a groupoid. Some examples of critical mappings are also given.

1. The functional  $J[\mu]$  and its critical point. Let us consider connected compact orientable Riemannian manifolds (M, g) and (N, h) of dimension m and n respectively, such that  $n \ge m$  and admitting differentiable mappings  $\mu: N \to M$  of rank m everywhere. Local coordinates in M are denoted by  $x^h$  and those in N by  $y^k$ . We use indices  $h, i, j, \dots = 1, \dots, m$  for M and indices  $\kappa, \lambda, \mu, \dots = 1, \dots, n$  for N. The metric tensors of M and N are denoted by  $g_{ji}$  and  $h_{\mu\lambda}$  respectively. When a mapping  $\mu$  is expressed locally by  $x^h = x^h(y^1, \dots, y^n)$ , we get connecting tensors

$$B^{h}_{\kappa}=rac{\partial x^{h}}{\partial y^{\kappa}}\ ,\qquad B^{h_{1}\cdots h_{p}}_{\kappa_{1}\cdots\kappa_{p}}=B^{h_{1}}_{\kappa_{1}}\cdots B^{h_{p}}_{\kappa_{p}}$$

of the mapping. The *m*-form

$$\sqrt{\det(g_{ji})}dx^1\cdots dx^m$$

is a closed form on M and

$$B^{[1\cdots m]}_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_m}\sqrt{\det{(g_{ji})}}dy^{\lambda_1}\cdots dy^{\lambda_m}$$

is its pull back with respect to the mapping  $\mu$ . From this *m*-form let us define a functional  $J[\mu]$  by

(1.1) 
$$J[\mu] = \int_{N} B^{[1\cdots m]}_{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{m}} B^{[1\cdots m]}_{\lambda_{1}\cdots\lambda_{m}} h^{\mu_{1}\lambda_{1}}\cdots h^{\mu_{m}\lambda_{m}} \det (g_{ji}) dV_{N} ,$$
$$dV_{N} = \sqrt{\det (h_{\mu\lambda})} dy^{1}\cdots dy^{n} .$$

Let us consider the space  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}(N, M)$  of all such mappings  $\mu$ . Let  $\mu_0$  be a point of  $\mathfrak{M}$  and let  $\mathscr{C}: [0, 1] \to \mathfrak{M}$  be a curve  $\{\mu(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ in  $\mathfrak{M}$  where  $\mu(0) = \mu_0$  and  $\mu(t)$  is expressed locally by differentiable functions  $x^{\hbar}(y^1, \dots, y^n; t)$ . For this curve  $\mathscr{C}$  we define  $\eta^{\hbar} = Dx^{\hbar}$  by

$$Dx^h = \left(rac{\partial x^h}{\partial t}
ight)_{t=0}$$

and  $DJ[\mu]$  by

$$DJ[\mu] = \left(rac{dJ[\mu(t)]}{dt}
ight)_{t=0}$$

If  $\mu_0$  is such that for all such curves  $\mathcal{C} J[\mu]$  vanishes, then  $\mu_0$  is a critical point  $\overline{\mu}$  of the functional  $J[\mu]$  defined by (1.1).

A critical point of  $J[\mu]$  is called a critical mapping with respect to the integral  $J[\mu]$ . Let us define a necessary and sufficient condition of a critical mapping  $\mu$  in tensor form.

For this purpose we use the connecting tensor  $H_{\mu\lambda}{}^{h}$  defined as the van der Waerden-Bortolotti derivative of  $B_{\lambda}^{h}$ , namely

(1.2) 
$$H_{\mu\lambda}{}^{h} = \partial_{\mu}B_{\lambda}^{h} + \left\{ egin{matrix} h \\ ji \end{bmatrix} B_{\mu\lambda}{}^{ji} - \left\{ egin{matrix} \kappa \\ \mu\lambda \end{bmatrix} B_{\kappa}^{h}$$

where  $\begin{pmatrix} h \\ ji \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} \kappa \\ \mu \lambda \end{pmatrix}$  are the Christoffels of  $g_{ji}$  and  $h_{\mu\lambda}$  respectively.

As we have

$$DJ[\mu] = \int_{N} D[B^{[1\cdots m]}_{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{m}} B^{[1\cdots m]}_{\lambda_{1}\cdots\lambda_{m}} h^{\mu_{1}\lambda_{1}\cdots} h^{\mu_{m}\lambda_{m}} \det(g_{ji})] dV_{N}$$

and

$$egin{aligned} DB^h_{\kappa} &= \partial_{\kappa} \gamma^h \ , \ DB^{[1 \dots m]}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_m} &= m (DB^{[1}_{\lfloor \mu_1}) B^{2 \dots m]}_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_m]} \ &= m (\partial_{\lfloor \mu_1} \gamma^{[1}) B^{2 \dots m]}_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_m]} \ , \ Dg &= g g^{ji} \gamma^k \partial_k g_{ji} \ , \end{aligned}$$

where  $g = \det(g_{ji})$ , we get

$$egin{aligned} DJ[\mu] &= \int_{N} [2m(\partial_{[\mu_1} \eta^{[1]}) B^{2\dots m]}_{\mu_2\dots \mu_m}] B^{[1\dots m]}_{\lambda_1\dots \lambda_m} h^{\mu_1\lambda_1} \cdots h^{\mu_m\lambda_m} g \ &+ B^{[1\dots m]}_{\mu_1\dots \mu_m} B^{[1\dots m]}_{\lambda_1\dots \lambda_m} h^{\mu_1\lambda_1} \cdots h^{\mu_m\lambda_m} g g^{ji} \eta^k \partial_k g_{ji}] d\, V_N \;. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\partial_{[\mu_1} B^{2...m}_{\mu_2...\mu_m]}$  always vanish, we get from the above expression

$$DJ[\mu] = \int_{N} FdV_{N}$$

where

$$egin{aligned} F&=&-2m\eta^{[1}B^{2\dots m]}_{[\mu_{2}\dots \mu_{m}}(\partial_{\mu_{1}]}B^{[1\dots m]}_{\lambda_{1},\dots,\lambda_{m}})h^{\mu_{1}\lambda_{1}}\cdots h^{\mu_{m}\lambda_{m}}g\ &-&2m\eta^{[1}B^{2\dots m]}_{[\mu_{2}\dots \mu_{m}}(\partial_{\mu_{1}]}(h^{\mu_{1}\lambda_{1}}\cdots h^{\mu_{m}\lambda_{m}}))B^{[1\dots m]}_{[\lambda_{1}\dots \lambda_{m}]}g\ &-&2m\eta^{[1}B^{2\dots m]}_{[\mu_{2}\dots \mu_{m}}B^{k}_{\mu_{1}]}g^{ji}(\partial_{k}g_{ji})B^{[1\dots m]}_{\lambda_{1}\dots \lambda_{m}}h^{\mu_{1}\lambda_{1}}\cdots h^{\mu_{m}\lambda_{m}}g\ &-&m\eta^{[1}B^{2\dots m]}_{[\mu_{2}\dots \mu_{m}}(\partial_{\mu_{1}]}h_{\omega\nu})h^{\omega\nu}B^{[1\dots m]}_{\lambda_{1}\dots \lambda_{m}}h^{\mu_{1}\lambda_{1}}\cdots h^{\mu_{m}\lambda_{m}}g\ &+&B^{[1\dots m]}_{\mu_{1}\dots \mu_{m}}B^{[1\dots m]}_{\lambda_{1}\dots \lambda_{m}}h^{\mu_{1}\lambda_{1}}\cdots h^{\mu_{m}\lambda_{m}}g^{ji}\eta^{k}\partial_{k}g_{ji}\ . \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$egin{aligned} \partial_{\mu}B^{[1,\ldots,m]}_{\lambda_{1}}&=\partial_{\mu}B^{[1,\ldots,m]}_{[\lambda_{1},\ldots,\lambda_{m}]}\ &=m(\partial_{\mu}B^{[1}_{[\lambda_{1}]})B^{2,\ldots,m]}_{\lambda_{2},\ldots,\lambda_{m}]}\ &=mH_{\mu[\lambda_{1}}{}^{[1}B^{2,\ldots,m]}_{\lambda_{2},\ldots,\lambda_{m}]}+\ miggl\{ \kappa\ \mu[\lambda_{1}\ \end{pmatrix}B^{[1\,2,\ldots,m]}_{|\kappa|\lambda_{2},\ldots,\lambda_{m}]}\ &-B^{k}_{\mu}iggl\{ j\ kj iggr\}B^{[1\,\ldots,m]}_{\lambda_{1},\ldots,\lambda_{m}} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (1.2) and the identity

$$miggl\{egin{aligned} 1\kj \end{pmatrix}\!B^{[j]2\cdots m]}_{[\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_m]} &= iggl\{egin{aligned} j\kj \end{pmatrix}\!B^{[1\cdots m]}_{[\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_m]} \,.$$

We also have

$$\begin{split} & m \eta^{[1} B^{2 \dots m]}_{[\mu_2 \dots \mu_m} B^k_{\mu_1]} \\ &= \eta^1 B^{2 \dots m}_{[\mu_2 \dots \mu_m} B^k_{\mu_1]} - \sum_{t=2}^m \eta^t B^{[2 \dots 1 \dots m]}_{[\mu_2 \dots \mu_m]} B^k_{\mu_1]} \\ &= \eta^k B^{[1 \dots m]}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_m} \,. \end{split}$$

Substituting these identities into the expression of F we get

$$F = -2m^{2}\gamma^{[1}B_{[\mu_{2}\cdots\mu_{m}]}^{2\cdotsm]}H_{\mu_{1}][\lambda_{1}}^{[1}B_{\lambda_{2}\cdots\lambda_{m}]}^{2\cdotsm]}h^{\mu_{1}\lambda_{1}}\cdots h^{\mu_{m}\lambda_{m}}g$$

$$-2m^{2}\gamma^{[1}B_{[\mu_{2}\cdots\mu_{m}]}^{2\cdotsm]}\binom{\kappa}{\mu_{1}][\lambda_{1}}B_{[\kappa\lambda_{2}\cdots\lambda_{m}]}^{[12\cdotsm]}h^{\mu_{1}\lambda_{1}}\cdots h^{\mu_{m}\lambda_{m}}g$$

$$+2\gamma^{k}B_{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{m}}^{[1\cdotsm]}\binom{j}{kj}B_{\lambda_{1}\cdots\lambda_{m}}^{[1\cdotsm]}h^{\mu_{1}\lambda_{1}}\cdots h^{\mu_{m}\lambda_{m}}g$$

$$-2m^{2}\gamma^{[1}B_{[\mu_{2}\cdots\mu_{m}]}^{2\cdotsm}(\partial_{\mu_{1}]}h^{\mu_{1}\lambda_{1}})h^{\mu_{2}\lambda_{2}}\cdots h^{\mu_{m}\lambda_{m}}B_{[\lambda_{1}\cdots\lambda_{m}]}^{[1\cdotsm]}g$$

$$-B_{[\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{m}]}^{[1\cdotsm]}B_{\lambda_{1}\cdots\lambda_{m}}^{1\cdotsm]}h^{\mu_{1}\lambda_{1}}\cdots h^{\mu_{m}\lambda_{m}}g^{ji}\gamma^{k}(\partial_{k}g_{ji})g$$

$$-2m\gamma^{[1}B_{[\mu_{2}\cdots\mu_{m}]}^{2\cdotsm}\binom{\omega}{\mu_{1}]\omega}B_{\lambda_{1}\cdots\lambda_{m}}^{[1\cdotsm]}h^{\mu_{1}\lambda_{1}}\cdots h^{\mu_{m}\lambda_{m}}g$$

Since we have

$$\begin{cases} \kappa \\ \mu[\lambda_{1}] B_{[\iota|\lambda_{1}]}^{[1,\dots,m]} B_{[\iota|\lambda_{2}\dots\lambda_{m}]}^{[1,\dots,m]} h^{[\mu_{1}|\lambda_{1}]} \cdots h^{\mu_{m}|\lambda_{m}} \\ = \begin{cases} \lambda_{1} \\ \mu\alpha \end{cases} B_{\lambda_{1}\dots\lambda_{m}}^{[1,\dots,m]} h^{[\mu_{1}|\alpha|} h^{\mu_{2}|\lambda_{2}|} \cdots h^{\mu_{m}|\lambda_{m}} \\ = \begin{cases} \lambda_{1} \\ \mu\alpha \end{cases} B_{\lambda_{1}\dots\lambda_{m}}^{[1,\dots,m]} h^{[\mu_{1}|\alpha|} h^{\mu_{2}|\lambda_{2}|} \cdots h^{\mu_{m}|\lambda_{m}} \\ = \begin{cases} \lambda_{1} \\ \mu\alpha \end{cases} B_{\lambda_{1}\dots\lambda_{m}}^{[1,\dots,m]} h^{[\mu_{1}|\alpha|} h^{\mu_{2}|\lambda_{2}|} \cdots h^{\mu_{m}|\lambda_{m}} \\ = \begin{cases} \lambda_{1} \\ \mu\alpha \end{cases} B_{\lambda_{1}\dots\lambda_{m}}^{[1,\dots,m]} h^{[\mu_{1}|\alpha|} h^{\mu_{2}|\lambda_{2}|} \cdots h^{\mu_{m}|\lambda_{m}} \\ = \begin{cases} \lambda_{1} \\ \mu\alpha \end{cases} B_{\lambda_{1}\dots\lambda_{m}}^{[1,\dots,m]} h^{[\mu_{1}|\alpha|} h^{\mu_{2}|\lambda_{2}|} \cdots h^{\mu_{m}|\lambda_{m}|} \\ = \begin{cases} \lambda_{1} \\ \mu\alpha \end{cases} B_{\lambda_{1}\dots\lambda_{m}}^{[1,\dots,m]} h^{[\mu_{1}|\alpha|} h^{\mu_{2}|\lambda_{2}|} \cdots h^{\mu_{m}|\lambda_{m}|} \\ = 2m^{2} \gamma^{[1} B_{\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{m}}^{[2,\dots,m]} \left\{ \lambda_{1} \\ \mu_{1} \right\} B_{\lambda_{1}\dots\lambda_{m}}^{[1,\dots,m]} h^{\mu_{1}\alpha} h^{\mu_{2}\lambda_{2}|} \cdots h^{\mu_{m}\lambda_{m}} \\ + 2m^{2} \gamma^{[1} B_{\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{m}}^{[2,\dots,m]} \left\{ \lambda_{1} \\ \mu_{1} \right\} h^{\mu_{1}\alpha} h^{\mu_{2}\lambda_{2}|} \cdots h^{\mu_{m}\lambda_{m}} B_{\lambda_{1}\dots\lambda_{m}}^{[1,\dots,m]} \\ + 2m^{2} \gamma^{[1} B_{\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{m}}^{[2,\dots,m]} \left\{ \lambda_{1} \\ \mu_{1} \right\} h^{\mu_{1}\alpha} h^{\mu_{2}\lambda_{2}|} \cdots h^{\mu_{m}\lambda_{m}} B_{\lambda_{1}\dots\lambda_{m}}^{[1,\dots,m]} \\ = 2m^{2} \gamma^{[1} B_{\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{m}}^{[2,\dots,m]} \left\{ \mu_{1} \\ \mu_{1} \right\} h^{\mu_{1}\lambda} h^{\mu_{2}\lambda_{2}|} \cdots h^{\mu_{m}\lambda_{m}} B_{\lambda_{1}\dots\lambda_{m}}^{[1,\dots,m]} \\ = 2m \gamma^{[1} B_{\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{m}}^{[2,\dots,m]} \left\{ \mu_{1} \\ \mu_{2} \\ \omega\alpha \end{matrix} \right\} h^{\mu_{1}\lambda} h^{\mu_{2}\lambda_{2}|} \cdots h^{\mu_{m}\lambda_{m}} B_{\lambda_{1}\dots\lambda_{m}}^{[1,\dots,m]} \\ - 2m \sum_{i=2}^{m} \gamma^{[1} B_{\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{m}]}^{[2,\dots,m]} \left\{ \mu_{1} \\ \mu_{1$$

But the second term in the last member vanishes because of  $\begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_t \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \alpha \mu_t \end{pmatrix}$ and we get

as the sum of the second and the fourth terms in the expression of F in (1.3). This cancels the last term. Moreover, it is easy to see that the third term cancels the fifth term.

Hence we have

$$F = -2m^2\eta^{[1}B_{[\mu_2\cdots\mu_m}^{2\cdots\mu_m}H_{\mu_1][\lambda_1}^{[1}B_{\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_m]}^{2\cdots\mu_m]}h^{\mu_1\lambda_1}\cdots h^{\mu_m\lambda_m}g$$
 .

A critical mapping is characterized by the vanishing of F for all  $\eta^k$ . Thus  $\mu$  is a critical mapping if and only if

(1.4) 
$$\eta^{[j_1}B^{j_2\cdots j_m]}_{\mu_2\cdots \mu_m}H_{\mu_1\lambda_1}{}^{[i_1}B^{i_2\cdots i_m]}_{\lambda_2\cdots \lambda_m}h^{[\mu_1[\lambda_1}\cdots h^{\mu_m]\lambda_m]}=0$$

is satisfied by every vector field  $\eta^h$  of M.

Let us define  $H^{jih}$ ,  $H^{h}$ ,  $'h^{ji}$  and  $'h_{ji}$  by

$$egin{array}{lll} H^{jih} &= B^{ji}_{\mu\lambda}H^{\mu\lambda h} = B^{ji}_{\omega
u}h^{\omega\mu}h^{
u\lambda}H_{\mu\lambda}{}^h \;, \qquad H^h &= H_{\mu\lambda}{}^h h^{\mu\lambda} = H^{\omega}{}_{\omega}{}^h \;, \ & 'h^{ji} &= B^{ji}_{\mu\lambda}h^{\mu\lambda} \;, \qquad 'h_{ji}'h^{jh} = \delta^h_i \;. \end{array}$$

As we have

$$m\eta^{[j_1}B^{j_2\cdots j_m]}_{\mu_2\cdots \mu_m}H_{\mu_1\lambda_1}{}^{[i_1}B^{i_2\cdots i_m]}_{\lambda_2\cdots \lambda_m}h^{[\mu_1[\lambda_1}\cdots h^{\mu_m]\lambda_m]}=\eta^{[j_1}B^{j_2\cdots j_m]}_{\mu_2\cdots \mu_m}H_{\mu_1\lambda_1}{}^{[i_1}B^{i_2\cdots i_m]}_{\lambda_2\cdots \lambda_m}\ imes \left(h^{\mu_1\lambda_1}h^{\mu_2\lambda_2}\cdots h^{\mu_m\lambda_m}-\sum_{t=2}^m h^{\mu_1\lambda_t}h^{\mu_2\lambda_2}\cdots h^{\mu_t\lambda_1}\cdots h^{\mu_m\lambda_m}
ight),$$

we can write (1.4) in the form

$$\begin{split} \eta^{[j_1} H^{[i_1'} h^{j_2 i_2} \cdots h^{j_m]i_m]} \\ &- \sum_{t=2}^m \eta^{[j_1} H^{[i_t j_t i_1'} h^{j_2 i_2} \cdots h^{j_{t-1} i_{t-1}'} h^{j_{t+1} i_{t+1}} \cdots h^{j_m]i_m]} = 0 \end{split},$$

hence

(1.5) 
$$\eta^{[j_1}H^{[i_1'}h^{j_2i_2}\cdots h^{j_m]i_m]} + (m-1)\eta^{[j_1}H^{[i_1j_2i_2'}h^{j_3i_3}\cdots h^{j_m]i_m]} = 0.$$

Since  $i_1, \dots, i_m$  and  $j_1, \dots, j_m$  run only the range  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ , an equality of the form

$$A^{[j_1[i_1j_2i_2'}h^{j_3i_3}\cdots h^{j_m]i_m]}=0$$

is equivalent to

$$A^{j_1i_1j_2i_2}({}^{\prime}h_{j_1i_1}{}^{\prime}h_{j_2i_2}-{}^{\prime}h_{j_2i_1}{}^{\prime}h_{j_1i_2})=0\;.$$

Hence we get from (1.5)

$$\eta^{j}H^{i\prime}h_{ji}+\eta^{j}(H^{_{kli}}-H^{_{ilk}})'h_{jk}'h_{_{li}}=0$$
 ,

and we can conclude that  $\mu$  is a critical mapping if and only if  $\mu$  satisfies

(1.6) 
$$H^{i} - H^{kji'}h_{kj} + H^{ikj'}h_{kj} = 0.$$

Thus we have obtained the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. Let (M, g) and (N, h) be connected compact orientable Riemannian manifolds of dimension m and n respectively, where  $n \ge m$ , and  $\mu: N \to M$  be a differentiable mapping of rank m everywhere. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\mu$  to be a critical mapping is that  $\mu$  satisfies the equations

(1.7) 
$$H_{\mu\lambda}{}^{h}h^{\mu\lambda} - H_{\omega\nu}{}^{h}h^{\omega\mu}h^{\nu\lambda}B_{\mu\lambda}{}^{ji\prime}h_{ji} + H_{\omega\nu}{}^{j}h^{\omega\mu}h^{\nu\lambda}B_{\mu\lambda}{}^{hk\prime}h_{kj} = 0.$$

2. Some special cases. Let us consider the case where dim  $M = \dim N = m$ . Then we can define  $B_{i}^{\kappa}$  by  $B_{i}^{h}B_{i}^{\kappa} = \delta_{i}^{h}$  and get

$${}^{\prime}h_{ji}=B_{ji}^{\mu\lambda}h_{\mu\lambda}$$
 ,  $\qquad B_{\mu\lambda}^{ji}{}^{\prime}h_{ji}=h_{\mu\lambda}$  .

Hence we have in this special case

$$H_{\omega\nu}{}^{h}h^{\omega\mu}h^{\nu\lambda}B^{ji\prime}_{a\lambda}h_{ji} = H_{\omega\nu}{}^{h}h^{\omega\nu}$$
 .

This proves the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. Let (M, g) and (N, h) be connected compact orientable Riemannian manifolds of the same dimension m and  $\mu: N \to M$  be a differentiable mapping of rank m everywhere. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\mu$  to be a critical mapping is that  $\mu$  satisfies the equations

$$H_{\mu\lambda}{}^{h}B_{h}^{\lambda}=0.$$

Let us assume that there exists the inverse  $\mu^{-1}: M \to N$  of  $\mu$ . Then  $B_{h}^{r}$  plays the same role in  $\mu^{-1}$  as  $B_{k}^{h}$  does in  $\mu$  and the connecting tensor

$$H_{ji^{\kappa}}=\partial_{j}B_{i}^{\kappa}+iggl\{{\kappa}\ \mu\lambdaiggr\}B_{ji}^{\mu\lambda}-iggl\{{h}\ jiiggr\}B_{h}^{\mu\lambda}$$

satisfies

$$B^{jih}_{\mu\lambda\kappa}H_{ji}^{\kappa}=-H_{\mu\lambda}^{h}$$
.

This proves that  $H_{ji}{}^{\kappa}B_{\kappa}^{i}$  vanishes if and only if  $H_{\mu\lambda}{}^{h}B_{\lambda}^{\lambda}$  vanishes. Thus we have the

## CRITICAL MAPPINGS

COROLLARY 2.2. If a differentiable mapping  $\mu: N \to M$  admits the inverse mapping  $\mu^{-1}: M \to N$ , one is a critical mapping if and only if the other is a critical mapping.

Let us consider connected compact orientable Riemannian manifolds  $(M_1, f), (M_2, g), (M_3, h)$  of the same dimension m and assume that there exist critical mappings  $\mu_{12}: M_2 \to M_1$  and  $\mu_{23}: M_3 \to M_2$ . Let us use  $u^{\alpha}, x^{h}$  and  $y^{\kappa}$  for the local coordinates in  $M_1, M_2$ , and  $M_3$  respectively. For the mapping  $\mu_{12}$  we have

$$B^{lpha}_{\hbar} = rac{\partial u^{lpha}}{\partial x^{\hbar}} \,, \qquad H_{ji}{}^{lpha} = \partial_{j}B^{lpha}_{i} + iggl\{ lpha \ \gamma eta iggl\} B^{\gamma eta}_{ji} - iggl\{ eta \ ji iggr\} B^{lpha}_{\hbar} \,,$$

and for the mapping  $\mu_{23}$  we have

$$B^{h}_{\kappa}=rac{\partial x^{h}}{\partial y^{\kappa}}$$
 ,  $H_{\mu\lambda}{}^{h}=\partial_{\mu}B^{h}_{\lambda}+iggl\{ h\ ji iggr\} B^{ji}_{\mu\lambda}-iggl\{ \kappa\ \mu\lambda iggr\} B^{h}_{\kappa}$  ,

where  $\begin{cases} \alpha \\ \gamma \beta \end{cases}$ ,  $\begin{cases} h \\ ji \end{cases}$ , and  $\begin{cases} \kappa \\ \mu \lambda \end{cases}$  are the Christoffels derived from  $f_{\gamma \beta}$ ,  $g_{ji}$ , and  $h_{\mu\lambda}$  respectively. If we define  $B^h_{\alpha}$  and  $B^s_h$  by

 $B^h_eta B^lpha_h = \delta^lpha_eta$  ,  $B^h_\lambda B^\kappa_h = \delta^\kappa_\lambda$  ,

we have

(2.2) 
$$H_{ji}{}^{\alpha}B_{\alpha}^{i}=0, \qquad H_{\mu\lambda}{}^{h}B_{h}^{\lambda}=0.$$

Let us consider the mapping  $\mu_{13} = \mu_{12}\mu_{23}$  of  $M_3$  onto  $M_1$ . The connecting tensor of this mapping is

$$B^{\alpha}_{\kappa}=\frac{\partial u^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\kappa}}=B^{\alpha}_{i}B^{i}_{\kappa}$$

and we get

hence

(2.3) 
$$H_{\mu\lambda}^{\ \alpha} = H_{ji}^{\ \alpha} B_{\mu\lambda}^{ji} + H_{\mu\lambda}^{\ h} B_{h}^{\alpha} .$$

Then we immediately obtain

$$egin{aligned} H_{\mu\lambda}{}^lpha B_lpha^\lambda &= H_{ji}{}^lpha B_{\mu\lambda}{}^{ji}B_k^\lambda B_lpha^k &+ H_{\mu\lambda}{}^h B_h^lpha B_k^\lambda B_lpha^k \ &= B_\mu^j H_{ji}{}^lpha B_lpha^i &+ H_{\mu\lambda}{}^h B_h^\lambda \ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (2.2). This proves that, if  $\mu_{12}$  and  $\mu_{23}$  are critical mappings, then  $\mu_{12}\mu_{23}$  is also a critical mapping.

Now let us consider a set of Riemannian manifolds  $\{(M_{\lambda}, g_{\lambda}), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  of the same dimension where each manifold is connected, compact and orientable. For any  $\kappa, \lambda \in \Lambda$  we denote the set of homeomorphic critical mappings  $M_{\kappa} \to M_{\lambda}$  by  $G_{\lambda,\kappa}$ .  $G_{\lambda,\lambda}$  contains the identity mapping  $e_{\lambda}: M_{\lambda} \to M_{\lambda}$ , but  $e_{\kappa}$ and  $e_{\lambda}$  are distinguished if  $\kappa \neq \lambda$ . Then from the above results we see that the union of  $G_{\lambda,\kappa}$  for all  $\kappa, \lambda \in \Lambda$  forms a groupoid. Thus we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.3. When a set of Riemannian manifolds  $\{(M_{\lambda}, g_{\lambda}), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of the same dimension is given where each manifold is connected, compact and orientable, the set of homeomorphic critical mappings forms a groupoid.

In this theorem we have assumed that all Riemannian manifolds are of the same dimension. This assumption is essential. We consider now a case where  $\mu_{12}: M_2 \to M_1$  and  $\mu_{23}: M_3 \to M_2$  are critical mappings and  $m_1 =$ dim  $M_1, m_2 =$  dim  $M_2, m_3 =$  dim  $M_3$  satisfy  $m_1 = m_2 < m_3$ . Then we have (1.7) and  $H_{ji} \,^{\alpha} B^i_{\alpha} = 0$ . If we consider the mapping  $\mu_{13} = \mu_{12} \mu_{23}$  we get (2.3) for this mapping too. Then we can prove that  $\mu_{13}$  is also a critical mapping.

For this purpose we define  $h^{\gamma\beta}$  and  $h_{\gamma\beta}$  by

 ${}^{\prime\prime}h^{{}_{\tau}{}_{eta}}=B^{{}_{\tau}{}_{eta}}_{\mu\lambda}h^{\mu\lambda}$ ,  ${}^{\prime\prime}h_{{}_{\tau}{}_{eta}}{}^{\prime\prime}h^{{}_{\tau}lpha}=\delta^{lpha}_{eta}$  .

Then we get

$$egin{array}{ll} H_{\mu\lambda}{}^lpha h^{\mu\lambda} &= H_{ji}{}^lpha B_{\mu\lambda}{}^{ji} h^{\mu\lambda} + H_{\mu\lambda}{}^h h^{\mu\lambda} B_h^lpha \ &= H_{ji}{}^lpha' h^{ji} + H_{\mu\lambda}{}^h h^{\mu\lambda} B_h^lpha \ , \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} -H_{\omega\nu}{}^{\alpha}h^{\omega\mu}h^{\nu\lambda}B_{\mu\lambda}^{\gamma\beta}{}^{\gamma\beta}{}^{\prime\prime}h_{\gamma\beta} \\ &= -(H_{ji}{}^{\alpha}B_{\omega\nu}{}^{ji}h^{\omega\mu}h^{\nu\lambda} + H_{\omega\nu}{}^{h}B_{h}^{\alpha}h^{\omega\mu}h^{\nu\lambda})B_{lk}^{\gamma\beta}B_{\mu\lambda}{}^{lk\prime\prime}h_{\gamma\beta} \\ &= -H_{ji}{}^{\alpha\prime}h^{jl\prime}h^{ik\prime}h_{lk} - H_{\omega\nu}{}^{h}h^{\omega\mu}h^{\nu\lambda}B_{\mu\lambda}{}^{lk\prime}h_{lk}B_{h}^{\alpha} \\ &= -H_{ji}{}^{\alpha\prime}h^{ji} - H_{\omega\nu}{}^{h}h^{\omega\mu}h^{\nu\lambda}B_{\mu\lambda}{}^{ji}h_{ji}B_{h}^{\alpha} , \\ H_{\omega\nu}{}^{\gamma}h^{\omega\mu}h^{\nu\lambda}B_{\mu\lambda}{}^{\alpha\epsilon\prime\prime}h_{\epsilon\gamma} \\ &= H_{lk}{}^{\gamma}B_{\mu\nu}{}^{lk}h^{\omega\mu}h^{\nu\lambda}B_{\mu\lambda}{}^{kj}B_{hj}{}^{\alpha\epsilon\prime\prime}h_{\epsilon\gamma} + H_{\omega\nu}{}^{j}B_{j}{}^{\gamma}h^{\omega\mu}h^{\nu\lambda}B_{\mu\lambda}{}^{hk}B_{hk}{}^{\alpha\epsilon\prime\prime}h_{\epsilon\gamma} \\ &= H_{lk}{}^{\gamma\prime}h^{lh\prime}h^{kj}B_{j}{}^{\epsilon\prime\prime}h_{\epsilon\gamma}B_{h}^{\alpha} + H_{\omega\nu}{}^{j}h^{\omega\mu}h^{\nu\lambda}B_{\mu\lambda}{}^{hk\prime}h_{kj}B_{h}^{\alpha} \\ &= H_{lk}{}^{\gamma}B_{r}{}^{\prime\prime}h^{lk\prime}B_{h}^{k} + H_{\omega\nu}{}^{j}h^{\omega\mu}h^{\nu\lambda}B_{\mu\lambda}{}^{hk\prime}h_{kj}B_{h}^{\alpha} . \end{split}$$

As the first term in the last member vanishes, we get

$$H_{\mu\lambda}{}^{\alpha}h^{\mu\lambda} - H_{\omega\nu}{}^{\alpha}h^{\omega\mu}h^{\nu\lambda}B_{\mu\lambda}{}^{\gamma\beta}{}^{\prime\prime}h_{\gamma\beta} + H_{\omega\nu}{}^{\gamma}h^{\omega\mu}h^{\nu\lambda}B_{\mu\lambda}{}^{\alpha\varepsilon}{}^{\prime\prime}h_{\varepsilon\gamma} = 0$$

by virtue of (1.7).

Thus we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.4. Let there be three connected compact orientable Riemannian manifolds  $(M_1, f)$ ,  $(M_2, g)$ , and  $(M_3, h)$  admitting critical mappings  $\mu_{12}: M_2 \rightarrow M_1$  and  $\mu_{23}: M_3 \rightarrow M_2$ . If dim  $M_1 = \dim M_2$  and dim  $M_2 < \dim M_3$ , the mapping  $\mu_{13} = \mu_{12}\mu_{23}$  is a critical mapping.

If we want to prove only the Theorem 2.3, we can use the following property of a critical mapping.

If dim  $N = \dim M = m$ , the connecting tensor  $B_{\epsilon}^{h}$  of a critical mapping  $\mu: (N, h) \rightarrow (M, g)$  satisfies

(2.4) 
$$B_{[\iota_1\cdots\iota_m]}^{[\iota_1\cdots\iota_m]}\frac{\sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{h}} = \text{const}.$$

Conversely, if (2.4) is satisfied,  $\mu$  is a critical mapping.

(2.4) is proved by taking the partial derivatives and using (2.1).

3. Infinitesimal transformations of a Riemannian manifold. Let us take a one-parameter group of transformations  $\mu(t)$  of a connected compact orientable Riemannian manifold (M, g). Then we have a case of N = M, h = g. If  $\mu(t)$  takes a point P into  $Q = \mu(t)P$  and the local coordinates of P and Q are respectively denoted by  $x^{h}(P)$  and  $x^{h}(Q)$ ,  $\partial x^{h}(Q)/\partial x^{i}(P)$  plays the role of  $B_{\kappa}^{h}$  and

$$rac{\partial^2 x^h(Q)}{\partial x^j(P)\partial x^i(P)}+iggl\{ h \ lk iggr\}_{Q} rac{\partial x^l(Q)}{\partial x^j(P)} rac{\partial x^k(Q)}{\partial x^i(P)}-iggr\{ k \ ji iggr\}_{P} rac{\partial x^h(Q)}{\partial x^k(P)}$$

plays the role of  $H_{\mu\lambda}^{h}$ . Hence the transformations  $\mu(t)$  are critical mappings of (M, g) onto (M, g) if and only if

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{\partial x^{i}(P)}{\partial x^{k}(Q)} \left[ \frac{\partial^{2} x^{k}(Q)}{\partial x^{j}(P) \partial x^{i}(P)} + \left\{ \frac{h}{lk} \right\}_{Q} \frac{\partial x^{l}(Q)}{\partial x^{j}(P)} \frac{\partial x^{k}(Q)}{\partial x^{i}(P)} - \left\{ \frac{k}{ji} \right\}_{P} \frac{\partial x^{h}(Q)}{\partial x^{k}(P)} \right] = 0$$

is satisfied.

Let  $v^{i}$  be a vector field on M generating the group  $\mu(t)$ . Then we get

$$\delta^i_h \! \left[ rac{\partial^2 v^h}{\partial x^j \partial x^i} + \partial_k \! \left\{ \! egin{array}{c} h \\ ji \end{array} \! 
ight\} \! v^k + \left\{ \! egin{array}{c} h \\ ki \end{array} \! 
ight\} \! \partial_j v^k + \left\{ \! egin{array}{c} h \\ jk \end{array} \! 
ight\} \! \partial_i v^k - \left\{ \! egin{array}{c} k \\ ji \end{array} \! 
ight\} \! \partial_k v^h \! 
ight] = 0$$

from (3.1). But this is equivalent to

$$abla_{\,_{j}}\!arphi_{\,_{i}}\!v^{i}=0$$
 ,

hence  $V_i v^i = C$ . On the other hand, we have always

$$\int_{M} \nabla_{i} v^{i} dV_{M} = 0 .$$

Hence we get

 $\nabla_i v^i = 0$  .

Thus we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. A one-parameter group of transformations of a connected compact orientable Riemannian manifold (M, g) generated by a vector field  $v^{h}$  is a group of critical mappings if and only if  $v^{h}$  satisfies  $V_{i}v^{i} = 0$ . The set of all such vector fields forms a Lie algebra.

4. Examples.

1°. Coclosed mappings. Let  $\mu: (N, h) \to (M, g)$  be a coclosed mapping [1]. Then

(4.1) 
$$-H_{\omega\nu}{}^{h}h^{\omega\mu}B^{i}_{\mu} + H_{\omega\nu}{}^{i}h^{\omega\mu}B^{h}_{\mu} = B^{k}_{\nu}P_{k}{}^{ih}, H_{\mu\lambda}{}^{h}h^{\mu\lambda} = -P_{k}{}^{kh}$$

are compatible. From (4.1) we obtain

 $-H_{\omega\nu}{}^{k}h^{\omega\mu}h^{\nu\lambda}B^{ij}_{\mu\lambda}{}^{i}h_{ij} + H_{\omega\nu}{}^{i}h^{\omega\mu}h^{\nu\lambda}B^{kj\prime}_{\mu\lambda}{}^{i}h_{ji} = P_{k}{}^{ik}B^{kj}_{\nu\lambda}h^{\nu\lambda'}h_{ij} = P_{k}{}^{kk} = -H_{\mu\lambda}{}^{k}h^{\mu\lambda},$ which proves that  $\mu$  is then a critical mapping.

A geodesic mapping is a mapping where  $H_{\mu\lambda}^{h}$  vanishes. Hence this is a coclosed mapping [1] and also a critical mapping.

2°. A critical mapping  $\mu: (N, h) \to (M, g)$  where dim  $N - \dim M = 1$ . In this case a vector field  $\xi^{\epsilon}$  of (N, h) is determined by

$$B^h_\kappa \xi^\kappa = 1 \;, \qquad h_{\mu\lambda} \xi^\mu \xi^\lambda = 1 \;.$$

Let  $\xi_{\lambda}$  be defined by  $\xi_{\lambda} = h_{\lambda \kappa} \xi^{\kappa}$  and let  $(B_{i}^{\kappa}, \xi^{\kappa})$  be the inverse matrix of  $(B_{\lambda}^{\kappa}, \xi_{\lambda})$ , namely such that

$$B_i^{\kappa}\xi_{\kappa}=0$$
,  $B_i^{\kappa}B_{\kappa}^{h}=\delta_i^{h}$ .

Then we have

$${}^{\prime}h_{ji}=B_{ji}^{\mu\lambda}h_{\mu\lambda}$$
 ,  $B_{i}^{\kappa}B_{\lambda}^{i}=\delta_{\lambda}^{\kappa}-\hat{\xi}^{\kappa}\hat{\xi}_{\lambda}$  ,

The condition that  $\mu$  is a critical mapping is written in the form

$$H_{\mu\lambda}{}^{h}h^{\mu\lambda}-H_{\omega
u}{}^{h}h^{\omega\mu}h^{
u\lambda}B^{ji}_{\mu\lambda}B^{
ho\sigma}_{ji}h_{
ho\sigma}+H_{\omega
u}{}^{j}h^{\omega\mu}h^{
u\lambda}B^{hk}_{\mu\lambda}B^{
ho\sigma}_{kj}h_{
ho\sigma}=0~.$$

As we have

$$H_{\omega\nu}{}^{h}h^{\omega\mu}h^{
u\lambda}(\delta^{
ho}_{\mu}-\xi_{\mu}\xi^{
ho})(\delta^{\sigma}_{\lambda}-\xi_{\lambda}\xi^{\sigma})h_{
ho\sigma}=H_{\omega\nu}{}^{h}h^{\omega
u}-H_{\omega
u}{}^{h}\xi^{\omega}\xi^{
u}$$

and

$$H_{\omega
u}{}^j h^{\omega\mu} h^{
u\lambda} B^h_\mu B^\sigma_j (\delta^
ho_\lambda^
ho - \xi_\lambda \xi^
ho) h_{
ho\sigma} = H_{\omega
u}{}^j h^{\omega\mu} B^h_\mu B^
u_j \,,$$

we get

(4.2) 
$$H_{\mu\lambda}{}^{h}\xi^{\mu}\xi^{\lambda} + H_{\mu\lambda}{}^{j}h^{\mu\kappa}B_{\kappa}{}^{h}B_{j}^{\lambda} = 0$$

as a necessary and sufficient condition of a critical mapping.

3°. Projection of a fibred Riemannian manifold  $\tilde{M}$  with an invariant Riemannian metric h onto the base manifold  $(M^*, g)$ . Let  $\tilde{M}$  be a fibred Riemannian manifold with  $S^1$  as the type fibre and with an invariant Riemannian metric h [2]. The base manifold  $(M^*, g)$  is assumed to be a compact orientable Riemannian manifold. We denote the projection by  $\pi$ . For this mapping the vector field  $\xi^*$  determined by

$$B^h_{\kappa} {arepsilon}^\kappa = 0$$
 ,  $h_{\mu \lambda} {arepsilon}^\mu {arepsilon}^\lambda = 1$ 

is a Killing vector field of  $\widetilde{M}$ . If  $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$  denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to the metric h, we get  $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mu}\xi_{\lambda} + \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda}\xi_{\mu} = 0$  and  $\xi^{\mu}\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mu}\xi^{\lambda} = 0$ .

As  $h_{\mu\lambda}$  is an invariant metric, we have

$$(4.3) B^{ji}_{\mu\lambda}h^{\mu\lambda} = g^{ji}.$$

We have also

$$H_{\mu\lambda}{}^h\xi^\mu\xi^\lambda=0$$

by virtue of  $B^h_{\kappa}\xi^{\kappa} = 0$  and  $(\xi^{\mu}\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mu}\xi^{\lambda})B^h_{\lambda} = 0.$ 

On the other hand, applying van der Waerden-Bortolotti differentiation to (4.3) we get

$$H_{_{
u}\mu^{\,\,j}}B^{\,\,i}_{\lambda}h^{\mu\lambda}+\,H_{_{
u}\lambda^{\,\,i}}B^{j}_{\mu}h^{\mu\lambda}=arV_{_{\,\,
u}}g^{ji}=0$$

and consequently

$$0 = H_{\nu\mu}{}^{j}B_{\lambda}^{i}h^{\mu\lambda}B_{j}^{\rho}B_{i}^{\sigma}h_{\rho\sigma} = H_{\nu\mu}{}^{j}h^{\mu\lambda}B_{j}^{\rho}h_{\rho\sigma}(\delta_{\lambda}^{\sigma} - \xi_{\lambda}\xi^{\sigma}) = H_{\nu\mu}{}^{j}B_{j}^{\mu}.$$

Hence  $\pi$  satisfies (4.2) and is a critical mapping among all mappings  $\mu : \tilde{M} \to M^*$ .

4°. A critical mapping  $\mu: (N, h) \to (M, g)$  where dim  $N = \dim M$ . Let the local coordinates of N and M be chosen such that the point P of N and the point  $\mu P$  of M have the same coordinates  $x^{h}$ . Then we have

$$B^h_{\kappa}=\delta^h_{\kappa}$$
 ,  $H_{ji}{}^h=\left\{egin{smallmatrix}h\ji
ight\}_g-\left\{egin{smallmatrix}h\ji
ight\}_h
ight\}$ 

where  ${\binom{h}{ji}}_{g}$  and  ${\binom{h}{ji}}_{h}$  are the Christoffels derived respectively from g and h. From (2.1) we get

$$\left\{egin{array}{c} i \ ji 
ight\}_{g} = \left\{egin{array}{c} i \ ji 
ight\}_{h}$$

as a necessary and sufficient condition for a critical mapping. This result also proves Theorem 2.3.

## References

- [1] Y. MUTŌ, On coclosed mappings, Hokkaido Math. J. 1 (1972), 218-227.
- K. Yano AND S. ISHIHARA, Fibred spaces with invariant Riemannian metric, Ködai Math. Sem. Rep. 19 (1967), 317-360.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS Yokohama National University Yokohama, Japan