



GENERALIZATION OF SÄLÄGEAN OPERATOR FOR CERTAIN ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

ALAWIAH IBRAHIM¹, SHIGEYOSHI OWA^{2*}, MASLINA DARUS³
AND YAYOI NAKAMURA⁴

This paper is dedicated to Professor Josip E. Pečarić

Submitted by M. Joita

ABSTRACT. For analytic functions f in the open unit disc \mathbb{U} , a generalization operator $D^\lambda f(z)$ of Sălăgean operator is introduced. Some properties for $D^\lambda f(z)$ are discussed in the present paper.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, Sălăgean[5] has defined the following operator $D^n f(z)$ by

- (i) $D^0 f(z) = f(z)$
- (ii) $D^1 f(z) = Df(z) = z f'(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k z^k$,
- (iii) $D^n f(z) = D(D^{n-1} f(z)) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^k$, $(n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$.

Date: Received: 8 February 2008; Accepted: 9 April 2008.

* Corresponding author.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Sălăgean operator, analytic function, starlike function.

In view of the Sălăgean operator, we introduce

$$D^\lambda f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^\lambda a_k z^k, \quad (\lambda \in \Re)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then for any real $\lambda \in \Re$ we see that

$$D^{\lambda+1} f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\lambda+1} a_k z^k = z(D^\lambda f(z))'$$

and

$$D^{\lambda-1} f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\lambda-1} a_k z^k = \int_0^z \frac{D^\lambda f(t)}{t} dt.$$

It is easy to see that

$$D^{\lambda_1+\lambda_2} f(z) = D^{\lambda_2}(D^{\lambda_1} f(z)) = D^{\lambda_1}(D^{\lambda_2} f(z))$$

for any real λ_1 and λ_2 .

To discuss our new problem, we have to recall here the following lemma by Jack [1] (also by Miller and Mocanu [3]).

Lemma 1.1. *Let $w(z)$ be non-constant and analytic in \mathbb{U} with $w(0) = 0$. If $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z| = r$ at the point $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$, then we have $z_0 w(z_0)' = k w(z_0)$ where $k \geq 1$ is real.*

2. PROPERTIES OF THE OPERATOR $D^\lambda f(z)$

Our first result for the operator $D^\lambda f(z)$ is contained in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\left| \frac{D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right|^\alpha \left| z \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} \right)' \right|^\beta < \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^\beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (2.1)$$

for some real α, β with $\alpha + 2\beta \geq 0$ and for any real λ , then

$$\Re \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. Let us define $w(z)$ by

$$\frac{D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} = \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} \quad (w(z) \neq 1).$$

Then $w(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} and $w(z) = 0$.

Since

$$z \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} \right)' = \frac{2zw'(z)}{(1 - w(z))^2},$$

we obtain that

$$\left| \frac{D^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right|^\alpha \left| z \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} \right)' \right|^\beta = \left| \frac{2w(z)}{1-w(z)} \right|^\alpha \left| \frac{2zw(z)'}{(1-w(z))^2} \right|^\beta < \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^\beta$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. If there exists a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that $\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1$, then Lemma 1.1 gives us that $w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$ and $z_0 w'(z_0) = k e^{i\theta}$ ($k \geq 1$).

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{D^{\lambda+1}f(z_0)}{D^\lambda f(z_0)} - 1 \right|^\alpha \left| z_0 \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1}f(z_0)}{D^\lambda f(z_0)} \right)' \right|^\beta &= \left| \frac{2e^{i\theta}}{1-e^{i\theta}} \right|^\alpha \left| \frac{2ke^{i\theta}}{(1-e^{i\theta})^2} \right|^\beta \\ &= \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta} k^\beta}{|1-e^{i\theta}|^{\alpha+2\beta}} \geq \left(\frac{k}{2} \right)^\beta \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^\beta \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$, which contradicts the condition of the theorem. This show that there is no $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that $|w(z_0)| = 1$. Therefore $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ which implies that

$$\Re \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Noting that if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is starlike in \mathbb{U} which is equivalent to

$$\Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then

$$|a_k| \leq k \quad (k = 2, 3, 4, \dots)$$

and equality holds true for Koebe function f given by $f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$ which is the extremal function for the class of starlike functions in \mathbb{U} .

Thus we have

Corollary 2.2. *If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the inequality (2.1) for some real α, β with $\alpha + 2\beta \geq 0$ and for any real λ , then*

$$|a_k| \leq k^{1-\lambda} \quad (k = 2, 3, 4, \dots).$$

Equality holds true for Koebe function.

By the Marx-Strohhäcker theorem ([2], [6]), we know that if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then

$$\Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

If we define the function $F(z)$ by $F(z) = D^{\lambda-1}f(z)$, then $zF'(z) = D^\lambda f(z)$ and $zF'(z) + z^2F''(z) = D^{\lambda+1}f(z)$. Therefore, we have

Corollary 2.3. *If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the inequality (2.1) for some real α, β with $\alpha + 2\beta \geq 0$ and for any real λ , then*

$$\Re \left(\frac{D^\lambda f(z)}{D^{\lambda-1} f(z)} \right) > \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

The result is sharp for the function f given by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{1-\lambda} z^k$$

which is equivalent to

$$D^\lambda f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}.$$

Next we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. *If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\left| \frac{D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right|^\alpha \left| z \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} \right)' \right|^\beta < \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^\beta (1-\gamma)^{\alpha+\beta} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (2.2)$$

for some real α, β, γ with $\alpha + 2\beta \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, then

$$\Re \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} \right) > \gamma \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. Defining the function $w(z)$ by

$$\frac{D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} = \frac{1 + (1-2\gamma)w(z)}{1-w(z)} \quad (w(z) \neq 1),$$

we see that $w(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} and $w(0) = 0$. Note that

$$z \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} \right)' = \frac{2(1-\gamma)zw'(z)}{(1-w(z))^2}.$$

Thus we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right|^\alpha \left| z \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} \right)' \right|^\beta &= \left| \frac{2(1-\gamma)w(z)}{1-w(z)} \right|^\alpha \left| \frac{2(1-\gamma)zw'(z)}{(1-w(z))^2} \right|^\beta \\ &< \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^\beta (1-\gamma)^{\alpha+\beta} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned}$$

If there exists a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that $\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1$, then $w(z)$ satisfies $w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$ and $z_0 w'(z_0) = k e^{i\theta}$ ($k \geq 1$) by Lemma 1.1.

This gives us that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{D^{\lambda+1}f(z_0)}{D^\lambda f(z_0)} - 1 \right|^\alpha \left| z_0 \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1}f(z_0)}{D^\lambda f(z_0)} \right)' \right|^\beta &= \left| \frac{2(1-\gamma)e^{i\theta}}{1-e^{i\theta}} \right|^\alpha \left| \frac{2(1-\gamma)ke^{i\theta}}{(1-e^{i\theta})^2} \right|^\beta \\
&= \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta} k^\beta (1-\gamma)^{\alpha+\beta}}{|1-e^{i\theta}|^{\alpha+2\beta}} \\
&\geq \left(\frac{k}{2} \right)^\beta (1-\gamma)^{\alpha+\beta} \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^\beta (1-\gamma)^{\alpha+\beta} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})
\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the condition of the theorem. This show that there is no $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that $|w(z_0)| = 1$. Therefore $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

Thus we conclude that

$$\Re \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} \right) > \gamma \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Noting that if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \gamma \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=2}^k (j-2\gamma)}{(k-1)!} \quad (k = 2, 3, 4, \dots)$$

and equality holds true for the functions f given by

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\gamma)}}$$

which is the extremal function for the class of starlike of order γ in \mathbb{U} (cf. Robertson[4]).

In view of the above, we give direct corollary as follows:

Corollary 2.5. *If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the inequality (2.2) for some real α, β, γ with $\alpha + 2\beta \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, then*

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=2}^k (j-2\gamma)}{k^\lambda (k-1)!} \quad (k = 2, 3, 4, \dots).$$

Equality holds true for the function f given by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=2}^k (j-2\gamma)}{k^\lambda (k-1)!} z^k$$

which is equivalent to

$$D^\lambda f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\gamma)}}.$$

Finally, we derive the following:

Theorem 2.6. *If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\left| \frac{D^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right|^\alpha \left| z \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} \right)' \right|^\beta < \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} \right)^\beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some real α , β , and $\gamma = \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}$, then

$$\Re \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. Defining the function $w(z)$ by

$$\frac{D^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} = \left(\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} \right)^\gamma \quad (w(z) \neq 1)$$

with $\gamma = \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}$, we see that $w(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} and $w(0) = 0$. Noting that

$$z \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} \right)' = \frac{2\gamma zw'(z)}{(1-w(z))^2} \left(\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} \right)^{\gamma-1},$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{D^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right|^\alpha \left| z \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} \right)' \right|^\beta &= \left| \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} \right|^{\alpha\beta+\beta(\gamma-1)} \left| \frac{2\gamma zw'(z)'}{(1-w(z))^2} \right|^\beta \\ &= \left| \frac{2\gamma zw'(z)'}{(1-w(z))^2} \right|^\beta \\ &< \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} \right)^\beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \end{aligned}$$

since $\gamma = \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}$. Now, suppose that there exists a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that $\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1$. Then, by Lemma 1.1, we have that $w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$ and $z_0 w'(z_0) = k e^{i\theta}$ ($k \geq 1$).

This gives us that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{D^{\lambda+1}f(z_0)}{D^\lambda f(z_0)} - 1 \right|^\alpha \left| z_0 \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1}f(z_0)}{D^\lambda f(z_0)} \right)' \right|^\beta &= \left| \frac{2\gamma k e^{i\theta}}{(1 - e^{i\theta})^2} \right|^\beta \\
&= \frac{2^\beta k^\beta \gamma^\beta}{|(1 - e^{i\theta})^2|^\beta} \\
&\geq \left(\frac{k\gamma}{2} \right)^\beta \\
&\geq \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} \right)^\beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})
\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the condition of the theorem. This show that there is no $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that $|w(z_0)| = 1$. Therefore, we conclude that $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$, that is, that

$$\Re \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{D^\lambda f(z)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Acknowledgements: The work presented here was fully supported by eScience-Fund: 04-01-02-SF0425, MOSTI, Malaysia and completed at Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia when the second author has visited in Malaysia.

REFERENCES

1. I.S. Jack, *Functions starlike and convex of order α* , J. London Math. Soc., **3** (1971), 469–474.
2. A. Marx, *Untersuchungen uber schlichte Abbildungen*, Math. Ann., **107** (1932/33), 40–67.
3. S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, *Second-order differential inequalities in complex plane*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **65** (1978), 289–305.
4. M.S. Robertson, *On the theory of univalent functions*, Ann. Math., **37** (1936), 374–408.
5. G.S. Sălăgean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math., 1013, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983, 362–372.
6. E. Strohhäcker, *Beitrage zur Theorie der schlichten Funktionen*, Math. Z., **37** (1933), 356–380.

^{1,3} SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITI KEBANGSAAN MALAYSIA, 43600 BANGI, SELANGOR D. EHSAN, MALAYSIA.

E-mail address: ¹alabra@ukm.my, ³maslina@ukm.my

^{2,4} DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KINKI UNIVERSITY, HIGASHI-OSAKA, OSAKA 577-8502, JAPAN.

E-mail address: ²owa@math.kindai.ac.jp, ⁴yayoi@math.kindai.ac.jp