

On the annihilators of formal local cohomology modules

Shahram REZAEI

(Received November 12, 2016; Revised April 22, 2017)

Abstract. Let \mathfrak{a} denote an ideal in a commutative Noetherian local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a non-zero finitely generated R -module of dimension d . Let $d := \dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M)$. In this paper we calculate the annihilator of the top formal local cohomology module $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)$. In fact, we prove that $\text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)) = \text{Ann}_R(M/U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M))$, where

$$U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M) := \cup\{N : N \leq M \text{ and } \dim(N/\mathfrak{a}N) < \dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M)\}.$$

We give a description of $U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ and we will show that

$$\text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)) = \text{Ann}_R(M / \cap_{\mathfrak{p}_j \in \text{Assh}_R M \cap V(\mathfrak{a})} N_j),$$

where $0 = \cap_{j=1}^n N_j$ denotes a reduced primary decomposition of the zero submodule 0 in M and N_j is a \mathfrak{p}_j -primary submodule of M , for all $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Also, we determine the radical of the annihilator of $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)$. We will prove that

$$\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M))} = \text{Ann}_R(M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)),$$

where $G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ denotes the largest submodule of M such that $\text{Assh}_R(M) \cap V(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M))$ and $\text{Assh}_R(M)$ denotes the set $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}M : \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = \dim M\}$.

Key words: attached primes, local cohomology, annihilator.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R is a commutative Noetherian ring with identity, \mathfrak{a} is an ideal of R and M is a non-zero finitely generated R -module. Recall that the i -th local cohomology module of M with respect to \mathfrak{a} is defined as

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) := \varinjlim_{n \geq 1} \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}^n, M).$$

For basic facts about commutative algebra see [7] and [11]; for local cohomology refer to [6].

Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a commutative Noetherian local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a non-zero finitely generated R -module. For each $i \geq 0$; $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) := \varprojlim_n H_m^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)$ is called the i -th formal local cohomology of M with respect to \mathfrak{a} . The basic properties of formal local cohomology modules are found in [1], [5], [9], [12] and [14].

In [14] Schenzel investigated the structure of formal local cohomology modules and gave the upper and lower vanishing and non-vanishing to these modules. In particular, he proved that $\text{Sup}\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \neq 0\} = \dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M)$. Thus $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\dim(M)}(M) \neq 0$ if and only if $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = \dim M$ (cf. [14, 4.5]).

For an R -module M and an ideal \mathfrak{a} , the cohomological dimension of M with respect to \mathfrak{a} is defined as $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) := \max\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \neq 0\}$. For more details see [8]. For any ideal \mathfrak{a} of R , the radical of \mathfrak{a} , denoted by $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$, is defined to be the set $\{x \in R : x^n \in \mathfrak{a} \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

A non-zero R -module M is called secondary if its multiplication map by any element a of R is either surjective or nilpotent. A secondary representation for an R -module M is an expression for M as a finite sum of secondary modules. If such a representation exists, we will say that M is representable. A prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R is said to be an attached prime of M if $\mathfrak{p} = (N :_R M)$ for some submodule N of M . If M admits a reduced secondary representation, $M = S_1 + S_2 + \cdots + S_n$, then the set of attached primes $\text{Att}_R(M)$ of M is equal to $\{\sqrt{0} :_R S_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ (see [10]).

Recall that $\text{Assh}_R(M)$ denotes the set $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M : \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = \dim M\}$. It is well known that $\text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\dim M}(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R(M) : \mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}\}$ (cf. [5, Theorem 3.1]).

There are many results about annihilators of local cohomology modules. For example see [2], [3] and [4]. The following theorem is a main result of [2] about the annihilators of the top local cohomology modules.

Theorem 1.1 ([2, Theorem 2.3]) *Let R be a Noetherian ring and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R . Let M be a non-zero finitely generated R -module such that $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \dim M$. Then $\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\dim M}(M) = \text{Ann}_R(M/T_R(\mathfrak{a}, M))$, where*

$$T_R(\mathfrak{a}, M) := \cup\{N : N \leq M \text{ and } \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, N) < \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M)\}.$$

Note that, for a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) , we have $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{m}, M) = \dim M$ (cf. [8]). Thus

$$T_R(\mathfrak{m}, M) := \cup\{N : N \leq M \text{ and } \dim N < \dim M\},$$

which is the largest submodule of M such that $\dim(T_R(\mathfrak{m}, M)) < \dim(M)$.

Here, by using the above main result, we obtain some results about annihilators of top formal local cohomology modules. In Section 2, at first we define a new notation $U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ and we prove the following Theorem which is a main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.2 *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) \neq 0$. Then*

$$\text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) = \text{Ann}_R M / U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M),$$

where $U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M) := \cup\{N : N \leq M \text{ and } \dim(N/\mathfrak{a}N) < \dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M)\}$.

In Section 3, we obtain the radical of the annihilator of top formal local cohomology module $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\dim M}(M)$. For this we define notation $G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ and we obtain the following main result.

Theorem 1.3 *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) \neq 0$. Then*

$$\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)} = \text{Ann}_R M / G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M),$$

where $G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ denotes the largest submodule of M such that $\text{Assh}_R(M) \cap V(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M))$.

2. Annihilators of the top formal local cohomology modules

Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = d$. In this section, we will calculate the annihilator of the formal local cohomology module $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)$. Note that the assumption $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = d$ implies that $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) \neq 0$ by (cf. [14, 4.5]).

Definition 2.1 Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R and M be a non-zero finitely generated R -module. We denote by $U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ the largest submodule of M such that $\dim(U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)/\mathfrak{a}U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)) < \dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M)$. One can check that

$$U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M) := \cup\{N : N \leq M \text{ and } \dim(N/\mathfrak{a}N) < \dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M)\}.$$

The following lemma is needed in this section.

Lemma 2.2 *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R . Let M be a finitely generated R -module of finite dimension d such that $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = d$. Then*

- i) $M/U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ has no non-zero submodule of dimension less than d ;
- ii) $\text{Ass}_R(M/U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)) = \text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)$;
- iii) $\text{Ass}_R U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \text{Ass}_R M - \text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)$;
- iv) $\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M) \simeq \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M/U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)) \simeq H_m^d(M/U(\mathfrak{a}, M))$.

Proof. Let $U := U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)$.

i) Suppose that L is a submodule of M such that $U \subseteq L \subseteq M$ and $\dim(L/U) < d$. We will show that $U = L$. By [14, Theorem 1.1] and [14, Theorem 3.11], the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow L \rightarrow L/U \rightarrow 0$$

induces an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(U) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(L) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(L/U) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\dim(L/U) < d$ we have $\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(L/U) = 0$. On the other hand, by Definition 2.1 $\dim(U/\mathfrak{a}U) < d$ and so $\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(U) = 0$. Thus the above long exact sequence implies that $\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(L) = 0$. Hence $\dim(L/\mathfrak{a}L) < d$. Since $U \subseteq L$, it follows from the maximality of U that $U = L$.

ii) The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/U \rightarrow 0$$

induces an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(U) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M/U) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\dim(U/\mathfrak{a}U) < d$, by definition 2.1 we have $\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(U) = 0$. So by using the above long exact sequence we conclude that $\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M) \cong \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M/U)$. Therefore $\text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M) = \text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M/U) \subseteq \text{Ass } M/U$ by [5, Theorem 3.1].

Now we show that $\text{Ass } M/U \subseteq \text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M) = \text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M/U)$. Note that by (i) $\dim M/U = d$ and by [5, Theorem 3.1] $\text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M/U) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in$

$\text{Ass}_R M/U : \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d$ and $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$ }.

If $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass} M/U$ then there exists a submodule K of M such that $U \subsetneq K \leq M$ and $R/\mathfrak{p} \simeq K/U \leq M/U$. By (i) $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d$ and so it suffices to show that $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. If not, $\dim R/(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p}) < \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d$. Thus $\dim((K/U)/\mathfrak{a}(K/U)) = \dim((R/\mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{a}(R/\mathfrak{p})) = \dim(R/(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p})) < d$. Hence $\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(K/U) = 0$. But the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow K \rightarrow K/U \rightarrow 0$$

induces an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(U) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(K) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(K/U) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(U) = \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(K/U) = 0$ by the above long exact sequence we have $\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(K) = 0$. Thus $\dim(K/\mathfrak{a}K) < d$. But $U \subsetneq K$ and so from the maximality of U we get a contradiction. Therefore $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and the proof is complete.

iii) Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R U$. Then there exists a submodule L of U such that $R/\mathfrak{p} \simeq L \leq U$. Thus

$$\dim R/(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p}) = \dim((R/\mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{a}(R/\mathfrak{p})) \leq \dim(U/\mathfrak{a}U) < \dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = d.$$

Now, if $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)$ then $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d$. Hence $\dim R/(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p}) = d$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $\text{Ass}_R U \subseteq \text{Ass}_R M - \text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)$. On the other hand,

$$\text{Ass}_R M - \text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R M \subseteq \text{Ass}_R U \cup \text{Ass}_R M/U.$$

But by (ii) $\text{Ass}_R M/U = \text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)$. Thus $\text{Ass}_R M - \text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R U$. Therefore $\text{Ass}_R M - \text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M) = \text{Ass}_R U$.

iv) Since $\text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$, it follows that $\text{Ass}(M/U) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ by (ii). Thus $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M/U)} \mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{(0 : (M/U))}$. This yields that M/U is an \mathfrak{a} -torsion R -module. Hence by [5, Lemma 2.1], $\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M/U) \cong H_m^d(M/U)$. But in the proof of (ii) we saw that $\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M/U) \cong \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)$. Therefore $\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M) \cong H_m^d(M/U)$. \square

Now we can prove the following main result.

Theorem 2.3 *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = d$. Then*

$$\text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M) = \text{Ann}_R M/U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M).$$

Proof. Let $U := U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)$. By Lemma 2.2 (iv), $\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M) \cong \mathbf{H}_\mathfrak{m}^d(M/U)$. Thus $\text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)) = \text{Ann}_R(\mathbf{H}_\mathfrak{m}^d(M/U))$. But by Theorem 1.1 we have

$$\text{Ann}_R(\mathbf{H}_\mathfrak{m}^d(M/U)) = \text{Ann}_R((M/U)/T_R(\mathfrak{m}, M/U)).$$

Since $T_R(\mathfrak{m}, M/U) = 0$ by Lemma 2.2 (i), we conclude that

$$\text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M) = \text{Ann}_R(\mathbf{H}_\mathfrak{m}^d(M/U)) = \text{Ann}_R M/U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M),$$

as required. \square

Proposition 2.4 *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = d$. Then*

$$\mathbf{V}(\text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)) = \text{Supp}_R(M/U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)).$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.3,

$$\mathbf{V}(\text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)) = \mathbf{V}(\text{Ann}_R M/U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)) = \text{Supp}_R(M/U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)),$$

as required. \square

Theorem 2.5 *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a complete local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = d$. Then*

$$\text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M) = \text{Min Supp}_R(M/U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)) = \text{Ass}_R M/U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M).$$

Proof. By [13, Theorem 2.11 (ii)] $\text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M) = \text{Min } \mathbf{V}(\text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M))$. Now the result follows by Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 2.2 (ii). \square

The next Theorem gives us a description of $U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)$.

Theorem 2.6 *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = d$. Then*

$$U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}_j \in \text{Assh}_R M \cap \mathbf{V}(\mathfrak{a})} N_j,$$

where $0 = \bigcap_{j=1}^n N_j$ denotes a reduced primary decomposition of the zero submodule 0 in M and N_j is a \mathfrak{p}_j -primary submodule of M , for all $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. Set $N := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}_j \in \text{Assh}_R M \cap V(\mathfrak{a})} N_j$. At first we show that $\dim(N/\mathfrak{a}N) < d$. By [14, Lemma 2.7] $\text{Ass}_R M/N = \text{Assh}_R M \cap V(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\text{Ass}_R N = \text{Ass}_R M - \text{Assh}_R M \cap V(\mathfrak{a})$. If $\dim N/\mathfrak{a}N = d$ then there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R N \cap V(\mathfrak{a})$ such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d$. Thus $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R M \cap V(\mathfrak{a})$ and so $\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Ass}_R N$. Since $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R N$ and $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d$ we have $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R N$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $\dim(N/\mathfrak{a}N) < d$ and so $N \subseteq U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ by Definition 2.1.

Now we prove the reverse inclusion. To do this, suppose that there exists $x \in U$ such that $x \notin N$. Thus there exists an integer $t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $x \notin N_t$ and $\mathfrak{p}_t \in \text{Assh}_R M \cap V(\mathfrak{a})$. On the other hand, there exists an integer k such that $(\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R Rx})^k x = 0$. Thus $(\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R Rx})^k x \subseteq N_t$. Since $x \notin N_t$ and N_t is a \mathfrak{p}_t -primary submodule, it follows that $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R Rx} \mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R Rx} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_t$. Thus there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R Rx \subseteq \text{Ass}_R U$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_t$. Then, as $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R M$ and $\dim R/\mathfrak{p}_t = \dim M$ it follows that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_t$. Hence $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R M \cap V(\mathfrak{a}) = \text{Att } \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)$. Now Lemma 2.2 (iii) implies that $\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Ass}_R U$ which is a contradiction, because of $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R Rx \subseteq \text{Ass}_R U$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.7 *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = d$. Then*

$$\text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)) = \text{Ann}_R(M / \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}_j \in \text{Assh}_R M \cap V(\mathfrak{a})} N_j),$$

where $0 = \bigcap_{j=1}^n N_j$ denotes a reduced primary decomposition of the zero submodule 0 in M and N_j is a \mathfrak{p}_j -primary submodule of M , for all $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. The result follows from Theorems 2.3 and 2.6. \square

3. The radical of the annihilators of the top formal local cohomology modules

Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = d$. The aim of this section will be to determine the radical of $\text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M))$.

Definition 3.1 Let M be a non-zero finitely generated R -module of finite dimension. We denote by $G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ the largest submodule of M such that $\text{Assh}_R(M) \cap V(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M))$.

Lemma 3.2 Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R . Let M be a finitely generated R -module of finite dimension d such that $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = d$. Then $\dim(M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)) = d$.

Proof. Since $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = d$ we have $\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M) \neq 0$. Thus $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)) = \text{Assh}_R M \cap V(\mathfrak{a}) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R M \cap V(\mathfrak{a})$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M))$. Thus $\text{Supp}_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \text{Supp}_R(M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M))$ and so $d = \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \leq \dim(M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M))$. On the other hand, $\dim(M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)) \leq \dim M = d$. Therefore $d = \dim(M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M))$, as required. \square

Lemma 3.3 Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = d$. Then

$$U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $G := G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)$. It suffices to show that for any non-zero submodule L/G of M/G we have $\dim((L/G)/\mathfrak{a}(L/G)) = \dim((M/G)/\mathfrak{a}(M/G))$. It is easy to see that $\text{Assh}_R(M) \cap V(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(M/G) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R L/G \cup \text{Ass}_R M/L$. If $\text{Assh}_R(M) \cap V(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(M/L)$ then since $G \subsetneq L$ from the maximality of G we get a contradiction. Thus there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R(M) \cap V(\mathfrak{a})$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R L/G$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \dim((R/\mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{a}(R/\mathfrak{p})) &\leq \dim((L/G)/\mathfrak{a}(L/G)) \leq \dim((M/G)/\mathfrak{a}(M/G)) \\ &\leq \dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R M$, $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) = d$. Also, $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a})$ and so $\dim((R/\mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{a}(R/\mathfrak{p})) = \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) = d$. It follows that

$$d \leq \dim((L/G)/\mathfrak{a}(L/G)) \leq \dim((M/G)/\mathfrak{a}(M/G)) \leq d.$$

Therefore $\dim((L/G)/\mathfrak{a}(L/G)) = \dim((M/G)/\mathfrak{a}(M/G))$, as required. \square

Lemma 3.4 Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = d$. Then

$$\text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_a^d(M) = \text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_a^d(M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)).$$

Proof. Let $G := G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)$. By definition 3.1 $\text{Assh}_R M \cap V(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(M/G)$. Thus, by using Lemma 3.2 we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R M : \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = \dim M\} \cap V(\mathfrak{a}) \\ & \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R M/G : \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = \dim M/G\} \cap V(\mathfrak{a}) \end{aligned}$$

and so $\text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_a^d(M) \subseteq \text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_a^d(M/G)$. On the other hand, the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/G \rightarrow 0$$

induces an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_a^d(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_a^d(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_a^d(M/G) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M/G)) \subseteq \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M))$. Therefore $\text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_a^d(M) = \text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_a^d(M/G)$, the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 3.5 *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = d$. Then*

$$\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M))} = \text{Ann}_R(M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)).$$

Proof. Let $G := G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)$. Let $x \in \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(M/G)}$. There exists an integer n such that $x^n M \subseteq G$. Thus Lemma 3.4 implies that

$$\text{Att}_R((\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M))) = \text{Att}_R((\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M/G))) = \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M/(x^n M + G))).$$

Since $\text{Supp}_R(M/(x^n M + G)) = \text{Supp}_R(M/(xM + G))$ by [5, Corollary 3.2] we have $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M/(x^n M + G))) = \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M/(xM + G)))$. Hence

$$\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M)) = \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M/(xM + G))).$$

But $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M/(xM + G))) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(M/(xM + G))$. Thus

$$\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M)) = \text{Assh}_R M \cap V(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(M/(xM + G)).$$

By definition of G we conclude that $xM + G \subseteq G$. Therefore $xM \subseteq G$ and $x \in \text{Ann}_R(M/G)$, the proof is complete. \square

The following result is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.6 *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = d$. Then*

$$\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)} = \text{Ann}_R M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M).$$

Proof. Let $G := G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)$. By Lemma 3.4 and [6, 7.2.11] we have $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)} = \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M/G)}$. But by Lemma 3.2 $\dim(M/G) = d$ and so by Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 3.3,

$$\text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M/G) = \text{Ann}_R((M/G)/U_R(\mathfrak{a}, M/G)) = \text{Ann}_R M/G.$$

Now Lemma 3.5 implies that $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M/G)} = \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R M/G} = \text{Ann}_R M/G$. Thus $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)} = \text{Ann}_R M/G$, as required. \square

Corollary 3.7 *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = \dim M$. Then*

$$\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M))} \mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann}_R M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M).$$

Proof. It follows by [6, 7.2.11] and Theorem 3.6. \square

In the next result, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for the equality of the attached prime sets of the two top formal local cohomology modules.

Proposition 3.8 *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R . Let M and N be two finitely generated R -modules of dimension d such that $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = \dim(N/\mathfrak{a}N) = d$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M) &= \text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(N) \text{ if and only if} \\ \text{Supp}_R(M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)) &= \text{Supp}_R(N/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, N)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $\text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M) = \text{Att}_R \mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(N)$ then $\text{Ann}_R M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \text{Ann}_R N/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, N)$ by Corollary 3.7 and so $V(\text{Ann}_R(M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M))) = V(\text{Ann}_R(N/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, N)))$.

$G_R(\mathfrak{a}, N))$). Thus $\text{Supp}_R(M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)) = \text{Supp}_R(N/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, N))$.

Conversly, if $\text{Supp}_R(M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)) = \text{Supp}_R(N/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, N))$ then by [5, Corollary 3.2] we have $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, M))) = \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(N/G_R(\mathfrak{a}, N)))$. Therefore Lemma 3.4 implies that $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)) = \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(N))$, as required. \square

Acknowledgment The author would like to thank the referee for his/her useful suggestions.

References

- [1] Asgharzadeh M. and Divaani-Aazar K., *Finiteness properties of formal local cohomology modules and Cohen-Macaulayness*. Comm. Algebra **39** (2011), 1082–1103.
- [2] Atazadeh A., Sedghi M. and Naghipour R., *On the annihilators and attached primes of top local cohomology modules*. Archiv der Math. **102** (2014), 225–236.
- [3] Bahmanpour K., *Annihilators of local cohomology modules*. Comm. Alg. **43** (2015), 2509–2515.
- [4] Bahmanpour K., Azami J. and Ghasemi G., *On the annihilators of local cohomology modules*. J. Alg. **363** (2012), 8–13.
- [5] Bijan-Zadeh M. H. and Rezaei Sh., *Artinianness and attached primes of formal local cohomology modules*. Algebra Colloquium (2) **21** (2014), 307–316.
- [6] Brodmann M. and Sharp R. Y., *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom, 1998.
- [7] Bourbaki N., *Commutative Algebra*, Addison-wesley, 1972.
- [8] Divaani-Aazar K., Naghipour R. and Tousi M., *Cohomological dimension of certain algebraic varieties*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **130** (2002), 3537–3544.
- [9] Eghbali M., *On Artinianness of formal local cohomology, colocalization and coassociated primes*. Math. Scand. (1) **113** (2013), 5–19.
- [10] Macdonald I. G., *Secondary representation of modules over a commutative ring*. Symposia Mathematica **11** (1973), 23–43.
- [11] Matsumura H., *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [12] Rezaei Sh., *Minimaxness and finiteness properties of formal local cohomology modules*. Kodai Math. J. (2) **38** (2015), 430–436.
- [13] Rezaei Sh., *Some results on top local cohomolgy and top formal local cohomology modules*. Comm. in Alg. **45** (2017), 1935–1940.

- [14] Schenzel P., *On formal local cohomology and connectedness*. J. Algebra (2) **315** (2007), 894–923.

Shahram REZAEI
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Payame Noor University
Tehran, Iran
E-mail: Sha.Rezaei@gmail.com