

On representations of the maximal unramified Galois extension of a field of positive characteristic

Hidenori KATSURADA

(Received February 3, 1986, Revised February 19, 1987)

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to give an expression of representations of the Galois group for the maximal unramified extension of a field of positive characteristic. In fact, we express the set of representations in a group theoretic means.

To be more precise, let K be a field containing an algebraically closed field k of positive characteristic p . Let T be the set of all equivalence classes of discrete valuations of K trivial on k whose residue fields are isomorphic to k . For each v of T , let K_v be the completion of K at v and O_v the integer ring in K_v . Put

$$A = \prod_{v \in T} K_v, \quad G_n(A) = \prod_{v \in T} GL_n(K_v),$$

and

$$O = \prod_{v \in T} O_v, \quad C_n = \prod_{v \in T} GL_n(O_v),$$

where GL_n denotes the general linear group of degree n . Let K_T be the maximal Galois extension of K which is unramified at every element of T . Then there is an imbedding i_v of K_T into K_v because the residue field of O_v is algebraically closed. Thus K_T and K can be imbedded into A diagonally; hence, $GL_n(K_T)$ and $GL_n(K)$ can be regarded as subgroups of $G_n(A)$. We use the same symbol i_v to denote the imbedding of $GL_n(K_T)$ into $GL_n(K_v)$ induced by $i_v: K_T \rightarrow K_v$. Put

$$G_n = C_n \backslash G_n(A) / GL_n(K).$$

For each element u of $G_n(A)$, we denote by $[[u]]$ the double coset $C_n u GL_n(K)$. For each commutative ring R of characteristic p , and for each p -power q , we can define an endomorphism f_q of $M_n(R)$ by $(a_{ij}) \mapsto (a_{ij}^q)$. This endomorphism induces a map from G_n into itself, which will be again denoted by f_q . We often write $f = f_q$ if no confusion arises. We denote by

G_n^f the set of all f -fixed points of G_n . For each group G , let $\text{Rep}(G, GL_n(F_q))$ be the set of all $GL_n(k)$ -equivalence classes of representations of G into $GL_n(k)$ whose images are isomorphic to some subgroups of $GL_n(F_q)$. Then our main result in this paper is

THEOREM 1. *Assume that the intersection $O \cap K$ in K coincides with k . Then there exists a bijection ϕ from $\text{Rep}(\text{Gal}(K_T/K), GL_n(F_q))$ onto G_n^f .*

We shall prove this theorem in § 2. When K is a function field, we can express $\text{Rep}(\text{Gal}(K_T/K), GL_n(F_q))$ in terms of adeles using Theorem 1. We shall explain this in more details. Let X be an algebraic variety over k . Assume that X is complete and normal. We take as K the function field of X over k . Then the set T can be regarded as the set of all equivalence classes of valuations of prime divisors on X . Let $GL_n(K)_A$ be the adelization of $GL_n(K)$, and put

$$G'_n = C_n \backslash GL_n(K)_A / GL_n(K).$$

Then the assumptions of Theorem 1 are verified, and we have

THEOREM 2. *The bijection ϕ in Theorem 1 induces a bijection from $\text{Rep}(\text{Gal}(K_T/K), GL_n(F_q))$ onto the set $G_n'^f$ of all f -fixed points of G'_n .*

Now let V_n be the set of all isomorphism classes of locally free sheaves on X of rank n . Then the q -th power absolute Frobenius map on X induces a map f from V_n into itself. Let $\pi_1(X)$ be the algebraic fundamental group of X . Then, as an equivalent statement to Theorem 2, we have

COROLLARY TO THEOREM 2.*) *There exists a bijection from $\text{Rep}(\pi_1(X), GL_n(F_q))$ onto the set V_n^f of all f -fixed points of V_n .*

We shall prove these results in § 3. We remark that this corollary is a slight generalization of a result of H. Lange and U. Stuhler [5], § 1.

§ 2. Proof of Theorem 1

Let k be a field containing a finite field F_q and K a field containing k . For a moment, we do not assume k to be algebraically closed as we have done in § 1. We use the same notations as in the introduction if otherwise mentioned. We denote by \bar{K} the algebraic closure of K , and let K_s be the separable algebraic closure of K . For a subfield L of \bar{K} , put

$$GL_n(L)' = \{a \in GL_n(L) ; a^{-1}f(a) \in GL_n(K)\}.$$

*) This was also announced in Katsurada [3] for the case where K is an algebraic function field of one variable over k .

For each element $a = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ of $GL_n(\bar{K})$, let $K(a)$ be the field generated over K by all components of a . Then for each $a \in GL_n(\bar{K})'$, we have $K(a)^q = K(a)$, where $K(a)^q$ is the image of $K(a)$ under the q -th power homomorphism. Thus $K(a)$ is a separable extension of K (cf. Proposition 1 of Lang [4]). Since $a^{-1}f(a)$ belongs to $GL_n(K)$, we have

$$\sigma(a)^{-1}f(\sigma(a)) = a^{-1}f(a),$$

where $\sigma(a)$ denotes the matrix $(\sigma(a_{ij}))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ for each element σ of the Galois group $Gal(K_s/K)$. Hence we have

$$a\sigma(a)^{-1} = f(a\sigma(a)^{-1}).$$

This shows that $a\sigma(a)^{-1}$ belongs to $GL_n(F_q)$ for each $\sigma \in Gal(K_s/K)$. Hence we can define a map ρ_a from $Gal(K_s/K)$ into $GL_n(F_q)$ by

$$\sigma \longmapsto a\sigma(a)^{-1}.$$

We remark that $K(a)$ is a Galois extension of K , and for each $\sigma \in Gal(K_s/K)$,

$$\rho_a(\sigma) = a\bar{\sigma}(a)^{-1},$$

where $\bar{\sigma}$ denotes the image of σ under the natural surjection $t : Gal(K_s/K) \longrightarrow Gal(K(a)/K)$. Now for all σ, τ of $Gal(K_s/K)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_a(\sigma\tau) &= a(\sigma\tau(a))^{-1} = a(\sigma(\tau(a)))^{-1} = a(\sigma(\rho_a(\tau)^{-1}a))^{-1} \\ &= a(\rho_a(\tau)^{-1}\sigma(a))^{-1} = a\sigma(a)^{-1}\rho_a(\tau) = \rho_a(\sigma)\rho_a(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that ρ_a is a homomorphism from $Gal(K_s/K)$ into $GL_n(F_q)$.

Now for a subfield L of \bar{K} containing K , put

$$\overline{GL_n(L)} = GL_n(k) \backslash GL_n(L) / GL_n(K),$$

and

$$\overline{GL_n(L)}' = \{GL_n(k)aGL_n(K) ; a \in GL_n(L)\}.$$

For each element a of $GL_n(L)$, we denote by $[a]$ the double coset $GL_n(k)aGL_n(K)$. Moreover for each representation ρ of a group in $GL_n(k)$, we denote by $[\rho]$ the $GL_n(k)$ -equivalence class of ρ . We note that two elements a, b of $GL_n(\bar{K})'$ belong to the same double coset if and only if ρ_a and ρ_b are $GL_n(k)$ -equivalent. In fact, if a and b belong to the same double coset, we have

$$b = uav$$

with $u \in GL_n(k)$, $v \in GL_n(K)$. Then, for each element σ of $Gal(K_s/K)$,

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_b(\sigma) &= b\sigma(b)^{-1} = uav\sigma(uav)^{-1} = ua\sigma(a)^{-1}u^{-1} \\ &= u\rho_a(\sigma)u^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus ρ_a and ρ_b are $GL_n(k)$ -equivalent. Conversely, if ρ_a and ρ_b are $GL_n(k)$ -equivalent, there is an element u of $GL_n(k)$ such that

$$\rho_b(\sigma) = u\rho_a(\sigma)u^{-1}$$

for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_s/K)$. Put $v = a^{-1}u^{-1}b$. Then for each σ of $\text{Gal}(K_s/K)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(v) &= \sigma(a^{-1}u^{-1}b) = \sigma(a)^{-1}u^{-1}\sigma(b) = a^{-1}\rho_a(\sigma)u^{-1}\rho_b(\sigma)^{-1}b \\ &= a^{-1}u^{-1}b = v.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, v belongs to $GL_n(K)$. This implies that a and b belong to the same double coset. Thus we can define an injective map ψ from $\overline{GL_n(\bar{K})}'$ into $\text{Rep}(\text{Gal}(K_s/K), GL_n(F_q))$ by

$$[a] \longmapsto [\rho_a].$$

The following proposition is essentially obtained by Inaba [2], but the formulation and the proof are slightly different.

PROPOSITION 3. ψ is bijective.

PROOF. It suffices to show that ψ is surjective. Let $[\rho]$ be an element of $\text{Rep}(\text{Gal}(K_s/K), GL_n(F_q))$ and L_ρ the field corresponding to the kernel of ρ . Then ρ is factored through $\text{Gal}(L_\rho/K)$ like

$$\text{Gal}(K_s/K) \xrightarrow{t} \text{Gal}(L_\rho/K) \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}} GL_n(F_q).$$

Since we have $F_q \subset K$, $\bar{\rho}$ gives an element of the set of 1-cocycles $Z^1(\text{Gal}(L_\rho/K), GL_n(L_\rho))$. Since we have $H^1(\text{Gal}(L_\rho/K), GL_n(L_\rho)) = \{1\}$ (for example, see Serre [6], Chp. X, § 1), there is an element a of $GL_n(L_\rho)$ such that $\bar{\rho}(\sigma) = a\sigma(a)^{-1}$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L_\rho/K)$. Put $\alpha = a^{-1}f(a)$. Then we have $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L_\rho/K)$, that is, α belongs to $GL_n(K)$. Moreover we have $\psi([a]) = [\rho]$. This proves the surjectivity.

Now let k, K be as in § 1. Let ϕ' be the inverse map of ψ . Let $\delta: \text{Gal}(K_s/K) \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(K_T/K)$ be the natural surjection. Then for each element $[\rho]$ of $\text{Rep}(\text{Gal}(K_T/K), GL_n(F_q))$, we can take an element a of $GL_n(K_s)'$ such that $[a] = \phi'([\rho \circ \delta])$. (Recall $GL_n(\bar{K})' = GL_n(K_s)'$). Let ρ_a be the homomorphism from $\text{Gal}(K_s/K)$ into $GL_n(F_q)$ defined above. Then by our

construction, we have $\psi([a]) = [\rho_a]$. Thus two representations $\rho \circ \delta$ and ρ_a are $GL_n(k)$ -equivalent each other. Consequently, a belongs to $GL_n(K_T)$. Thus a belongs to $G_n(A)$, and we have $[[f(a)]] = [[a]]$ in G_n . Since k is contained in O_v for each $v \in T$, we can define a map ϕ from $Rep(Gal(K_T/K), GL_n(F_q))$ into G_n^f by

$$[\rho] \longmapsto [[a]].$$

Then to prove Theorem 1, it suffices to prove

THEOREM 1'. ϕ is bijective.

To prove this, we need the following fact.

LEMMA 4. The map ξ from C_n into itself defined by $u \longmapsto f(u)u^{-1}$ is surjective.

PROOF. Since we have $C_n = \prod_{v \in T} GL_n(O_v)$, it suffices to show that for each $v \in T$, the map

$$GL_n(O_v) \ni u \longmapsto f(u)u^{-1} \in GL_n(O_v)$$

is surjective. Let M be the maximal ideal of O_v . Let b be an element of $GL_n(O_v)$. We claim that we can take a sequence $\{a_i\} (i=0, 1, \dots)$ of $M_n(O_v)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &\in GL_n(O_v), \\ f(a_i) &\equiv ba_i \pmod{M^{i+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$a_{i+1} \equiv a_i \pmod{M^{i+1}}.$$

In fact, since O_v/M is algebraically closed, there is an element a_0 of $GL_n(O_v)$ such that

$$b \equiv f(a_0)a_0^{-1} \pmod{M}$$

(cf. Lang [4]). Assume that a_0, \dots, a_i are elements of $M_n(O_v)$ such that

$$f(a_j) \equiv ba_j \pmod{M^{j+1}},$$

and

$$a_j \equiv a_{j-1} \pmod{M^j}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq i$. Put $a_{i+1} = b^{-1}f(a_i)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(a_{i+1}) - ba_{i+1} &= f(b^{-1}f(a_i)) - bb^{-1}f(a_i) \\ &\equiv f(b^{-1})f(ba_i) - f(a_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{M^{i+2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$a_{i+1} - a_i = b^{-1}(f(a_i) - ba_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{M^{i+1}}.$$

Thus by induction, we obtain a sequence $\{a_i\}$ with the required properties.

Since O_v is a complete ring, this sequence $\{a_i\}$ has a limit a in $M_n(O_v)$. It follows from the construction that we have

$$a \equiv a_0 \pmod{M},$$

and

$$f(a) \equiv ba \pmod{M^i}$$

for $i=1, 2, \dots$. Thus a belongs to $GL_n(O_v)$, and we have $b = f(a)a^{-1}$. This proves the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 1'. First we prove the injectivity of ϕ . Let $[\rho_i]$ ($i=1, 2$) be an element of $\text{Rep}(\text{Gal}(K_T/K), GL_n(F_q))$, and let a_i be an element of $GL_n(K_T)'$ such that $[[a_i]] = \phi([\rho_i])$. Assume that we have

$$[[a_1]] = [[a_2]].$$

Then we have

$$(1) \quad a_i^{-1}f(a_i) \in K,$$

for $i=1, 2$, and we have

$$(2) \quad a_2 = aa_1b$$

with $a \in C_n$, and $b \in GL_n(K)$. Then by (1) and (2), there is an element β of $GL_n(K)$ such that

$$(3) \quad a^{-1}f(a) = a_1\beta a_1^{-1}.$$

Now, as remarked at the beginning of this section, for each $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(a)/K)$, there is an element τ_σ of $GL_n(F_q)$ such that

$$(4) \quad \sigma(a_1) = \tau_\sigma a_1.$$

Thus by (3) and (4), for each element σ of $\text{Gal}(K(a_1)/K)$, we have

$$(5) \quad \sigma(a_1\beta a_1^{-1}) \in C_n.$$

Put $a_1\beta a_1^{-1} = (u_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$. Let $f_{ij}(X)$ be the irreducible polynomial of u_{ij} over K . Then by (5), $f_{ij}(X)$ belongs to $O_v[X]$ for all $v \in T$. Since we have $O \cap K = k$, $f_{ij}(X)$ belongs to $k[X]$. Since k is algebraically closed, u_{ij} belongs to k . This implies that $a^{-1}f(a)$ belongs to $GL_n(k)$. Thus a belongs to $GL_n(k)$ by Corollary to Theorem 1 of [4]. Hence by Proposition 3, we have $[\rho_1] = [\rho_2]$. This shows that ϕ is injective.

Now to prove the surjectivity of ϕ , let $[[a]]$ be an f -fixed point of G_n . Then we have

$$f(a) = uad$$

with $u \in C_n$, $d \in GL_n(K)$. By Lemma 4, there is an element w of C_n such

that

$$f(w)w^{-1} = u.$$

Then $b = w^{-1}a$ satisfies

$$b^{-1}f(b) = d.$$

Now let c be an element of $GL_n(\bar{K})$ such that

$$c^{-1}f(c) = d.$$

Let $T(L)$ be the set of all extensions of elements of T to the field $L = K(c)$. For each element v of $T(L)$, let j_v be the imbedding of $GL_n(L)$ into $GL_n(K_v)$. Then $j_v(c)b^{-1}$ belongs to $GL_n(F_q)$. Thus $j_v(c)$ belongs to $GL_n(K_{v'})$, where v' is the restriction of v to K . This implies that $K(c)$ is contained in K_T , and we have

$$[[c]] = [[a]]$$

in G_n . Put $[\rho] = \psi([c])$. Then there is a homomorphism $\bar{\rho}$ from $Gal(K_T/K)$ into $GL_n(F_q)$ such that $\rho = \bar{\rho} \circ \delta$. Since we have $[c] = \phi'([\bar{\rho} \circ \delta])$, we have $[[a]] = [[c]] = \phi([\bar{\rho}])$ by our construction. This proves the surjectivity of ϕ .

§ 3. Proof of Theorem 2 and its corollary

Now let K be the function field of a complete normal irreducible algebraic variety X over k . To prove Theorem 2, it suffices to show the following fact.

PROPOSITION 5. *Let G_n^f and $G_n'^f$ be as in § 1. Then we have $G_n^f = G_n'^f$.*

PROOF. Clearly we have $G_n'^f \subset G_n^f$. We prove the converse inclusion. Let $[[a]]$ be an element of G_n^f . Then we have $f(a) = uad$ with $u \in C_n$, $d \in GL_n(K)$. Let c an element of $GL_n(\bar{K})$ such that $c^{-1}f(c) = d$. Then c belongs to $GL_n(K_T)$, and we have $[[c]] = [[a]]$ (see the proof of Theorem 1'). Since $K(c)$ is a finite extension of the function field K of a normal variety, we have $i_v(c) \in GL_n(O_v)$ for all except finitely many elements v of T . This shows that c belongs to $GL_n(K)_A$. Hence $[[a]]$ belongs to $G_n'^f$. This proves the assertion.

Proof of Corollary to Theorem 2. First we show that there exists a bijection from $G_n'^f$ onto V_n^f . We use the same symbol P to denote the equivalence class of the valuation of a prime divisor P on X . Fix a vector space V over k together with a basis e_1, \dots, e_n . Let $M_O = \{M_{O,P}\}_P$ be the

family of O_P -lattices in $V \otimes_K K_P$ such that

$$M_{O_P} = O_P e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus O_P e_n$$

for all $P \in T$. We use the same symbol i_P to denote the homomorphism from V into $V \otimes_K K_P$ induced by the imbedding $i_P : K_T \longrightarrow K_P$. Then for each $a = (a_P)$ of $GL_n(K)_A$, we define a presheaf $E(a)$ on X by $\Gamma(U, E(a)) = \{x \in V ; i_P(x) \in a_P^{-1} M_{O_P} \text{ for all } P \subset U\}$, where U is an open subset of X . It is easily checked that $E(a)$ is a locally free sheaf of rank n . Moreover we have $E(a) \cong E(b)$ if we have $[[a]] = [[b]]$ in G'_n . Thus we can define a map θ from G'_n into V_n by

$$[[a]] \longmapsto \text{the isomorphism class of } E(a).$$

It is easily checked that θ is a bijection (cf. § 1 of G. Harder and D. A. Kazhdan [1]). Now by our construction, we have $\theta(G_n^f) = V_n^f$. On the other hand, we have $Gal(K_T/K) \cong \pi_1(X)$. Thus the assertion follows immediately from Theorem 2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author would like to thank the referee for his valuable advice.

References

- [1] G. HARDER and D. A. KAZHDAN, Automorphic forms GL_2 over function fields (after V. G. Drinfeld), Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Math. 33, part 2, AMS (1979), 357-379.
- [2] E. INABA, On generalized Artin Shreier equations, Nat. Sci. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ. 13 (1962), 1-13.
- [3] H. KATSURADA, On unramified $SL_2(F_4)$ -extensions of an algebraic function field, Proc. Japan Acad. 56 (1980), 36-39.
- [4] S. LANG, Algebraic groups over finite fields, Amer. J. of Math. 78 (1965), 553-563.
- [5] H. LANGE and U. STUHLER, Vektorbündel auf Kurven und Darstellungen der algebraischen Fundamentalgruppe, Math. Z. 156 (1977), 73-83.
- [6] J. P. SERRE, Corps locaux, Herman, Paris (1962).

Muroran Institute of Technology