

ZASSENHAUS ALGEBRAS

JOSHUA BUCKNER AND MANFRED DUGAS

ABSTRACT. Let K be a field and A a K -algebra. Let $\widehat{A} = \{\varphi \in \text{End}_K(A) : \varphi(X) \subseteq X \text{ for all left ideals } X \text{ of } A\}$ be the ring of all K -linear transformations of the K -vectorspace A that leave all left ideals invariant. For many classes of finite dimensional algebras A we determine if $\widehat{A} = A$. In the last section, we consider the case of Leavitt path algebras.

1. Introduction. In 1967, Hans Zassenhaus published the following, remarkable

Theorem 1 [10]. *Let R be a ring with identity such that R^+ , the additive group of R , is a free Abelian group of finite rank. Then there exists an Abelian group M such that $R = R \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z} \subseteq M \subseteq R \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ and $\text{End}(M) = R$, i.e., any homomorphism of M is the multiplication from the left by some element $r \in R$.*

This result was generalized to a larger class of rings in [7] and recently in [8]. The key to the proof is the construction of a (manageable) family \mathcal{F} of left ideals of the ring R such that

$$\text{End}(R, \mathcal{F}) = \{\varphi \in \text{End}(R^+) : \varphi(X) \subseteq X \text{ for all } X \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

consists only of left multiplications by elements of R , i.e., $R = \text{End}(R, \mathcal{F})$. Such a family \mathcal{F} of left ideals was called a Zassenhaus family in [8]. Of course, the ring R has a Zassenhaus family of left ideals, if and only if the family of all left ideals is a Zassenhaus family, if and only if the family of all principal left ideals is a Zassenhaus family. It is easy to see that the ring $T = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbf{Q} \right\}$ has no Zassenhaus

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification*. Primary 16P10, Secondary 16S50.
Keywords and phrases. Finite dimensional algebras, Zassenhaus algebras, Leavitt path algebras.

Received by the editors on October 30, 2008, and in revised form on March 5, 2009.

family of left ideals. One could say that rings with Zassenhaus families of left ideals have, in some sense, “enough” left ideals.

Given a ring R , we define

$$\widehat{R} = \{\varphi \in \text{End}(R^+) : \varphi(X) \subseteq X \text{ for all left ideals } X \text{ of } R\}.$$

(Of course this notion can also be defined for right ideals [8]. Here we use left ideals, which allows us to write maps on the left). If $sR = \{0\}$ for $s \in R$ implies $s = 0$ ($1 \in R$ is sufficient for that), then the ring \widehat{R} contains a copy of R , i.e., all left multiplications by elements of R . This allows one to iterate the \widehat{R} construction by transfinite induction. We have an example in [5], where this transfinite, ascending chain of rings never terminates. The only other examples we have at this time have the property that $\widehat{\widehat{R}} = \widehat{R}$, i.e., $\widehat{}$ acts as some kind of “closure operator.”

In this paper we want to restrict our attention to algebras $A = A_K$ over a field K . We will adjust our definitions to this new situation. Let $\text{End}_K(A_K)$ denote the ring of all linear transformations of the K -vector space A_K . We define

$$\widehat{A_K} = \{\varphi \in \text{End}_K(A) : \varphi(X) \subseteq X \text{ for all (algebra) left ideals } X \text{ of } A\}.$$

In this paper, disregarding the notations in [8], we call the algebra A_K a *Zassenhaus algebra*, if $\widehat{A_K} = A_K$. Note that $\widehat{A_K}$ has an identity even if A_K does not. Moreover, if $1 \in A_K$, then A_K is naturally embedded in

$$\widehat{A_K} = A_K \oplus \{\varphi \in \widehat{A_K} : \varphi(1) = 0\}.$$

Here we identify $a \in A$ with the map $(a \cdot) : A \rightarrow A$ where $(a \cdot)(x) = ax$ for all $x \in A$.

From now on, we will suppress the subscript K . All examples of K -algebras A that we know are either Zassenhaus algebras or \widehat{A} is a Zassenhaus algebra, i.e., $\widehat{A} = \widehat{\widehat{A}}$. The purpose of this paper is to compute \widehat{A} , where A is some K -algebra. We are only able to obtain partial results.

Here is an easy example: Let D be a division ring of dimension n over K . Then D has only the trivial left ideals and \widehat{D} is simply the

ring of $n \times n$ matrices over K , and thus, if $n > 1$, is not equal to D . It was shown in [5] that in this case $\widehat{\widehat{D}} = \widehat{D}$.

Suppose that A is finite dimensional, and let $J(A)$ denote the Jacobson radical of A . The case when $J(A) = \{0\}$, i.e., A is a semi-simple algebra is easy to settle. This was done in [5] and we recall the result in Section 4. In Section 2, we deal with local algebras, i.e., $A/J(A)$ is a division ring. In that case if $A \neq K$ and K are infinite, then A is never a Zassenhaus algebra, but \widehat{A} is a Zassenhaus algebra. The case when $A/J(A)$ is a ring direct product of division rings, i.e., A is a reduced algebra [9], appears to be quite difficult to deal with. We can only show that the reduced algebras that arise as path algebras of certain quivers are Zassenhaus algebras. If A is primary, i.e., $A/J(A)$ is a simple algebra, not a division ring, then A is Zassenhaus, as follows from earlier results. In Section 4 we use a representation of Artinian algebras as checkered matrix rings, cf. [3], to get some more general results. In our last section, we recall the definition of the Leavitt path algebra $L_K(\Gamma)$ over a directed graph Γ , cf. [1] and compute $\widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$. These algebras, which attracted a lot of attention recently, see for example [1, 2], are somewhat similar to path algebras over quivers, but more involved. We will prove the following result that illustrates that the " $\widehat{}$ -construction" frequently behaves like some "closure operator."

Theorem 2. *Let K be an infinite field and $\Gamma = (V, E)$ a row finite, oriented graph. Then $\widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$, with the finite topology, is a complete topological ring such that $\{\mathcal{O}_H : H \text{ a finite subset of } V\}$ is a basis of that topology and $L_K(\Gamma)$ is a dense subring of $\widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$, which is a Zassenhaus algebra. Moreover, if Γ is finite, then $\widehat{L_K(\Gamma)} = L_K(\Gamma)$.*

2. Local algebras. Let K be a field and R a finite dimensional K -algebra. Recall that R is called a local K -algebra if $R/J(R) \cong D$, where D is a division ring and $J(R)$ is the Jacobson radical of R . Recall that $J(R)$ is a nilpotent ideal of R . We need some preliminary observations.

Proposition 1. *Let K be a field, $E = \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(K)$ and $H = \text{Mat}_{m \times n}(K)$ and $\beta \in \text{Hom}_K(E, H)$ such that $\beta(x) \in Hx$ for all $x \in E$. Then there exists some $B \in H$ such that $\beta(x) = Bx$ for all $x \in E$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon^{(ij)}$ be the matrix with a 1 in the (i, j) -position and 0's everywhere else. Then there exists an $\ell_{\alpha i} \in K$ such that $\beta(\varepsilon^{(i1)}) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \ell_{\alpha i} \varepsilon^{(\alpha 1)}$. Let $1 < j \leq n$. Then there exists an $\ell_{\alpha ij} \in K$ such that $\beta(\varepsilon^{(ij)}) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \ell_{\alpha ij} \varepsilon^{(\alpha j)}$.

Now $\beta(\varepsilon^{(i1)} + \varepsilon^{(ij)}) = A(\varepsilon^{(i1)} + \varepsilon^{(ij)})$ for some matrix $A = (a_{i\alpha}) \in H$.

Thus $\sum_{\alpha=1}^m \ell_{\alpha i} \varepsilon^{(\alpha 1)} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \ell_{\alpha ij} \varepsilon^{(\alpha j)} = A(\varepsilon^{(i1)} + \varepsilon^{(ij)}) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m a_{\alpha i} \varepsilon^{(\alpha 1)} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^m a_{\alpha j} \varepsilon^{(\alpha j)}$.

This implies that $\ell_{\alpha i} = a_{\alpha i} = \ell_{\alpha ij}$ for all $1 < j \leq n$. Now let $x = \sum_{i,j} x_{ij} \varepsilon^{(ij)} \in E$.

Then $\beta(x) = \sum_{i,j} x_{ij} \beta(\varepsilon^{(ij)}) = \sum_{i,j,\alpha} x_{ij} \ell_{\alpha i} \varepsilon^{(\alpha j)} = (\sum_i \ell_{\alpha i} x_{ij})_{\alpha,j} = Bx$ where $B = (\ell_{\alpha i}) \in H$. \square

Proposition 2. *Let K be a field and W a finite dimensional K -vector space such that $\dim_K(W) < |K|$. Let T be a K -subalgebra of $\text{End}_K(W)$ such that $1 \in T$. Then T is additively generated by non-singular elements x of T , i.e., $t \in T$ and $tx = 0$ implies $t = 0$.*

Proof. Let $t \in T$ be such that 0 is an eigenvalue of t . Then there exists some $0 \neq \mu \in K$ such that μ is not an eigenvalue of t . Let $s = t - \mu 1$. Then $s \in T$ and 0 is not an eigenvalue of the linear transformation s . This means that s is invertible in $\text{End}_K(V)$ and it follows that s is non-singular in T . This means that $t = s + \mu 1$ and both summands are non-singular elements of T . \square

Proposition 3. *Let K be a field and V, W finite dimensional K -vector spaces such that $\dim_K(W) < |K|$. Let $E = \text{End}_K(V)$, $H = \text{Hom}_K(V, W)$, and $1 \in T$ any subalgebra of $\text{End}_K(W)$. Let $R = \begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ H & T \end{bmatrix}$. Then R is a Zassenhaus K -algebra.*

Proof. Note that $RR = \begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ H & T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ H & T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} EE & 0 \\ HE+TH & TT \end{bmatrix} \subseteq R$, which shows that R is a K -algebra. Now we list some left ideals of R

$$(1) \text{ For } 0 \neq \varepsilon \in E \text{ define } J_\varepsilon = R \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E\varepsilon & 0 \\ H\varepsilon & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$(2) \text{ For } \delta \in T \text{ define } L_\delta = R \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T\delta \end{bmatrix}.$$

(3) For $h \in H$, define $L_h = R \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ h & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ Th & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, and finally

(4) For $h \in H, \delta \in T$, define $J_{h,\delta} = R \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ h & \delta \end{bmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ xh & x\delta \end{bmatrix} : x \in T \right\}$.

Now let $\varphi : R \rightarrow R$ be a K -linear map leaving all left ideals of R invariant. Then φ is represented by maps $\alpha : E \rightarrow E, \beta : E \rightarrow H, \eta : H \rightarrow H$, and $\theta : T \rightarrow T$ such that $\varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha(x) & 0 \\ \beta(x) + \eta(y) & \theta(z) \end{bmatrix}$ for all $x \in E, y \in H, z \in T$. The invariance of the left ideal in (1) and the fact that E is a Zassenhaus algebra by [4, Theorem 1] imply that there is some $e \in E$ such that $\alpha(x) = ex$ for all $x \in E$. The invariance of the ideals in (1) implies that $\beta(x) \in Hx$ for all $x \in E$. By Proposition 1 we get that there exists some $h_0 \in H$ such that $\beta(x) = h_0x$ for all $x \in E$.

We now inspect the ideals in (4). We have: $\varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ h & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \eta(h) & \theta(1) \end{bmatrix} \in J_{h,1}$ for all $h \in H$. This implies $\eta(h) = \theta(1)h$ for all $h \in H$.

Let $\delta \in T$ be a non-singular element of T . Then

$$\varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ h & \delta \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \theta(1)h & \theta(\delta) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{h,\delta}h & t_{h,\delta}\delta \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $t_{h,\delta} \in T$ and all $h \in H$. This implies that $(t_{a,\delta} - t_{b,\delta})\delta = 0$ for all $a, b \in H$. Since δ is non-singular, we infer $t_{a,\delta} - t_{b,\delta} = 0$ for all $a, b \in H$ and $t_{a,\delta} = t_\delta \in T$ depends only on $\delta \in T$. We now have: $\varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ h & \delta \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \theta(1)h & \theta(\delta) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_\delta h & t_\delta \delta \end{bmatrix}$ and thus $(\theta(1) - t_\delta)h = 0$ for all $h \in H = \text{Hom}_K(V, W)$. Since $\theta(1) - t_\delta \in T \subseteq \text{End}_K(W)$, we infer $\theta(1) = t_\delta$ for all $\delta \in T$. This shows that $\theta = \theta(1) \cdot$, since, by Proposition 2, T is additively generated by non-singular elements.

We conclude that φ is the multiplication from the left by $\begin{bmatrix} e & 0 \\ h & \theta(1) \end{bmatrix} \in R$. This shows that R is a Zassenhaus algebra. \square

Lemma 1. *Let K be a field and $1 \in R$ a K -algebra such that $R/J(R)$ is a division ring and $J(R)$ is nilpotent. Then $R = C \oplus J(R)$ as K -vectorspaces and each non-zero element of C is a unit of R .*

Proof. Let $0 \neq c \in C$. Then there exists a $d \in R$ such that $dc, cd \in 1 + J(R)$. Since $J(R)$ is nilpotent, dc and cd are units in R . This shows that c has a left inverse and a right inverse in R and thus is a unit in R . \square

We can now prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 3. *Let K be an infinite field, and let R be a finite dimensional, local K -algebra. If $R \neq K$, then R is not a Zassenhaus algebra, but \hat{R} is a Zassenhaus algebra.*

Proof. Let $R = C \oplus J(R)$ as K -vectorspaces and L a left ideal of R such that $L \not\subseteq J(R)$. Then there exists some $0 \neq c \in C$ and $j \in J(R)$ such that $c + j \in L$ and, by Lemma 1, c is a unit in R . Then $d = c^{-1}(c + j) \in (1 + J(R)) \cap L$ is a unit in R . This shows that $L = R$. Thus every proper ideal of R is contained in $J(R)$.

Let $T = \{\varphi \in \text{End}_K(J(R)) : \varphi(X) \subseteq X \text{ for all left ideals } X \subseteq J(R) \text{ of } R\}$. It is now obvious that

$$\hat{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{End}_K(C) & 0 \\ \text{Hom}_K(C, J(R)) & T \end{bmatrix}.$$

By Proposition 3, \hat{R} is a Zassenhaus algebra. If $\dim_K(C) > 1$, then $\text{End}_K(C)$ has non-trivial idempotent elements, but R does not. This shows that $R \subsetneq \hat{R}$ in this case. Assume $\dim_K(C) = 1$, i.e., $C = K$. Then $\dim_K(\hat{R}) = \dim_K(R) + \dim_K(T)$. Thus $R \subsetneq \hat{R}$ unless $T = \{0\}$, i.e., $J(R) = 0$ and thus $R \cong D$ is a division ring, which is not a Zassenhaus K -algebra unless $R = K$. This shows that K is the only local, finite dimensional K -algebra that is a Zassenhaus algebra. \square

In some situations we can say a little more.

Let N be a nilpotent ring, $N^n \neq 0 = N^{n+1}$. Define $\mathfrak{a}_r(N) = \{x \in N : Nx = 0\} \trianglelefteq N$. Of course, $N^n \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_r(N)$.

Claim 1. *Let $0 \neq L \trianglelefteq_\ell N$. Then $L \cap \mathfrak{a}_r(N) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Assume $L \cap \mathfrak{a}_r(N) = 0$. Let $0 \neq s_1 \in L$. Then $Ns_1 \neq 0$, and there is some $s_2 \in N$ such that $0 \neq s_2s_1 \in L$. Assume that we already have elements $s_{k-1}, \dots, s_3, s_2 \in N$ such that $s_{k-1} \cdots s_3s_2s_1 = t \neq 0$. Then $0 \neq t \in L$ and thus not in $\mathfrak{a}_r(N)$. Thus there is some $s_k \in N$ such that $s_k t \neq 0$. For $k = n + 1$ we get a contradiction, since N is nilpotent. \square

Let D be some division ring such that the nilpotent ring N is a D - D -bimodule. Then the direct sum $S = D \oplus N$ is a ring with $J(S) = N$.

Let $m \in N, m \notin \mathfrak{a}_r(N)$, and $0 \neq \delta \in Nm \cap \mathfrak{a}_r(N)$. Then $S(m + \delta) = D(m + \delta) \oplus Nm$.

To prove this, let $0 \neq d \in D, n \in N$ such that $d(m + \delta) = nm$.

Then $(d - n)m = -d\delta$ and $m = (d - n)^{-1}d\delta \in \mathfrak{a}_r(N)$, a contradiction. We will also need

Proposition 4. *Let $1 \in R$ be a ring and M a free R -module of rank at least 2 and $\varphi \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{Z}}(M)$ such that $\varphi(x) \in Rx$ for all $x \in M$. Then there is some $a \in R$ such that $\varphi(x) = ax$ for all $x \in M$.*

Proof. Let B be a basis of M , and let b_1, b_2 be two distinct elements of B . Then there are $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in R$ such that $\varphi(b_1) = r_1b_1, \varphi(b_2) = r_2b_2$, and $\varphi(b_1 + b_2) = r_3(b_1 + b_2)$. Since B is a basis, we infer $r_1 = r_3 = r_2$, and it follows that there exists some $a \in R$ such that $\varphi(b) = ab$ for all $b \in B$. Now let $s \in R$. Then $\varphi(b_1 + sb_2) = ab_1 + t(sb_2) = r(b_1 + sb_2)$ for some $r, t \in R$. We infer that $a = r$ and $ts = as$. This shows that $\varphi(sb) = a(sb)$ for all $s \in R, b \in B$, which implies that $\varphi(x) = ax$ for all $x \in M$. \square

Proposition 5. *Let K be a field and D a division ring that is also a K -algebra. Let $S = D \oplus N$ be a ring with $N^2 = \{0\}$ and N a D - D -bimodule. Then $\widehat{S} \cong \begin{bmatrix} \text{End}_K(D) & 0 \\ \text{Hom}_K(D, N) & D \end{bmatrix}$ if $\text{do}_D(N) > 1$.*

If $\dim_D(N) = 1$, then $\widehat{S} \cong \begin{bmatrix} \text{End}_K(D) & 0 \\ \text{End}_K(D) & \text{End}_K(D) \end{bmatrix}$. In either case, $\widehat{\widehat{S}} = \widehat{S}$.

Proof. If $\dim_D(N) = 1$, then N is the only non-trivial left ideal of S . If $\dim_K(N) > 1$, then every non-trivial left ideal of S is contained in N and every left D -subspace of ${}_D N$ is a left ideal of S . The rest now follows easily from the above. \square

Theorem 4. *Let the K -algebra D be a division ring and $S = D \oplus N$ a finite dimensional K -algebra such that $J(S) = N$ with $N^n = 0 \neq N^{n-1}$*

and $\dim_D(N) \geq 2$. Moreover, assume that

(*) $A = \mathfrak{a}_r(N) \not\subseteq Nm$ for all $m \in N$.

Then $\hat{S} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{End}_K(D) & 0 \\ \text{Hom}_K(D, N) & T \end{bmatrix}$, where T is a K -algebra of the form $T = D \oplus M$ with $M^{n-1} = \{0\}$.

Proof. Since each element in $S - N$ is a unit of S , each proper left ideal J of S is contained in N . This shows that \hat{S} has the proposed form, where $T = \{\varphi \in \text{End}_K(N) : \varphi(x) \in Sx \text{ for all } x \in N\}$. Now let $A = \mathfrak{a}_r(N) \supseteq N^n$. Note that a subset J of $\mathfrak{a}_r(N)$ is a left ideal of S if and only if J is a subspace of the left D -vector space N . Now let $\varphi \in T$. By Proposition 4, there is some $d \in D$ such that $\varphi \upharpoonright_A = d$ is the multiplication by $d \in D$. Now let $m \in N - A$ and $a \in A - Nm$. Then $S(m + a) = D(m + a) + N(m + a) = D(m + a) \oplus Nm$. Thus $\varphi(m + a) = d_1(m + a) + ym$ for some $d_1 \in D$ and $y \in N$. Moreover, $\varphi(m) = d_2m + zm$ for some $z \in N$, and thus $d_2m + zm + da = d_1(m + a) + ym$ and $(d_2 - d_1)m \equiv (d_1 - d)a \pmod{Nm}$. This implies $(d_2 - d_1)m \in Nm$ and thus $d_1 = d_2$. This shows that $(d_1 - d)a \in Nm$. If $d_1 - d \neq 0$, we get that $a \in Nm$, a contradiction to our choice of a . Thus, for $\psi = \varphi - d$ we have $\psi(x) \in Nx$ for all $x \in N$ and it follows that $\psi^{n-1} = 0$.

Note that $A \not\subseteq N^2$ implies the condition (*) posed on A in this Theorem. \square

Example 1. We would like to point out a natural example for algebras S that satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 4. Pick a natural number n and a division K -algebra D . Let S be the ring of all lower triangular $n \times n$ -matrices over D with the same element $d \in D$ down on the main diagonal. Then $J(S)$ is the set of all lower triangular matrices with only zeros on the main diagonal. Define

$$\psi = (a_{ij}) \in S \text{ by } a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (i, j) = (n, n-1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $S = D \oplus J(S)$ and $J(S)\psi = \{0\}$, i.e., $\psi \in \mathfrak{a}_r(J(S))$ but $\psi \notin (J(S))^2$. Now Theorem 4 tells the structure of \hat{S} .

3. Reduced algebras. Recall that the finite dimensional K -algebra R is reduced [9, page 101], if $R/J(R) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n D_i$ is a ring direct sum of

division rings D_i . Unfortunately, this case seems to be quite difficult. We restrict our attention to path algebras over quivers. Recall that a quiver $Q = (V, E)$ is an oriented graph where V is the set of vertices and E is the set of edges (arrows). For $e \in E$, the element $t(e) \in V$ is the tail of e and $h(e) \in V$ is the head of e . A path $\pi = e_n e_{n-1} \cdots e_2 e_1$ in Q is a sequence of edges e_i such that $t(e_{i+1}) = h(e_i)$ for all $1 \leq i < n$ and $n \geq 1$. (We follow the custom in the topic that paths run from the right to the left.) The number n is the length of the path π . Define $h(\pi) = h(e_n)$ and $t(\pi) = t(e_1)$. Let Π be the set of all paths in Q . The path algebra of the quiver Q over the field K is the vector space $KQ = \bigoplus_{v \in V} Kv \oplus \bigoplus_{\pi \in \Pi} K\pi$ with a multiplication defined by the following relations:

For $\pi, \sigma \in \Pi$, we have

$$\pi \cdot \sigma = \begin{cases} \pi\sigma & \text{if } t(\pi) = h(\sigma) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $\pi\sigma$ is the concatenation of the two paths. Moreover, for $v \in V$, $e \in E$ we have

$$ve = \begin{cases} e & \text{if } v = h(e) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad ev = \begin{cases} e & \text{if } v = t(e) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Finally,

$$uv = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } u = v \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The path π is closed if $t(\pi) = h(\pi)$. A loop is an edge e with $t(e) = h(e)$. We will always assume that Q is finite, i.e., the sets V and E are finite. In that case, it is well known that the K -algebra KQ has finite K -dimension if and only if Q is acyclic, i.e., there are no closed paths (loops) in Q . Moreover, $1 = \sum_{v \in V} v$ is the identity of KQ and V is a complete set of pairwise orthogonal idempotents in KQ . We are able to show

Theorem 5. *Let K be a field and Q a finite quiver. Then the following hold:*

(a) *If K is an infinite field, then KQ is a Zassenhaus algebra.*

(b) If K is any field and Q has no loops, then KQ is a Zassenhaus algebra.

(c) If K is any field and Q is acyclic, then KQ is a reduced Zassenhaus algebra.

Proof. To show (b), let $\varphi \in \widehat{KQ}$ be such that $\varphi(1) = 0$. We will show that $\varphi = 0$. Let $v \in V$. Then $KQ = (KQ)v \oplus (KQ)(1 - v)$ and $\varphi(-v) = \varphi(1 - v) \in (KQ)v \cap (KQ)(1 - v) = \{0\}$. This shows that $\varphi(v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$. Now let $e \in E$ and $u = h(e)$. Then $\varphi(e) = \varphi(u + e) \in (KQ)(u + e) \cap (KQ)e$. Let π be some path. Then $\pi e \neq 0 \neq \pi u$ if and only if $t(\pi) = u$ and the two products are both 0 otherwise. Assume $t(\pi) = h(e) = u$ and thus $\pi(u + e) = \pi + \pi e \neq 0$. If some linear combination $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i(\pi_i + \pi_i e) \in (KQ)e$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i \pi_i \in (KQ)e$, and it follows that $\pi_i = \sigma_i e$ for some $\sigma_i \in \Pi$. But then $\pi_i e = \sigma_i e e \neq 0$, and thus $h(e) = t(e)$ and e is a loop. This shows that $(KQ)(u + e) \cap (KQ)e = \{0\}$, and it follows that $\varphi(e) = 0$ for all $e \in E$. Now let $\mu = \lambda e_1$ be a path of length $n \geq 2$ and λ a path of length $n - 1$. By induction hypothesis, we may assume that $\varphi(\lambda) = 0$, and thus $\varphi(\lambda + \mu) = \varphi(\mu) \in (KQ)(\lambda + \mu) \cap (KQ)\mu$. As before, assume that $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i \pi_i(\lambda + \mu) \in (KQ)\mu$. Note that

$$\pi_i(\lambda + \mu) = \pi_i(\lambda + \lambda e_1) = \begin{cases} \pi_i \lambda + \pi_i \mu & \text{if } t(\pi_i) = h(\mu) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This shows that $\pi_i \lambda = \sigma_i \mu$ for some $\sigma_i \in \Pi$, which implies that $\lambda = \lambda_i e_1$ for some $\lambda_i \in \Pi$. It follows that $\mu = \lambda_i e_1 e_1 \neq 0$, which, as before, shows that the edge e_1 is a loop. Thus, $(KQ)(\lambda + \mu) \cap (KQ)\mu = \{0\}$, and it follows that $\varphi(\mu) = 0$ for all $\mu \in \Pi$.

To prove (a), we need one more step. Assume e is a loop and $t(e) = v = h(v)$. Then $1 \in K[e]$, the subalgebra of KQ generated by e over K , is just a polynomial ring. Let k_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ be distinct elements in the infinite field K such that $k_1 = 0$. Let $g_n = (k_1 + e)(k_2 + e) \cdots (k_n + e) \in K[e]$. By induction over n , we will prove that $\cap_{1 \leq i \leq n} (k_i + e)KQ = g_n KQ$. If $n = 1$, there is nothing to show. Note that $\cap_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} (k_i + e)KQ = g_n KQ \cap (k_{n+1} + e)KQ$. Clearly, g_n and $k_{n+1} + e$ are relatively prime in $K[e]$, and by the Euclidean algorithm there are $\alpha, \beta \in K[e]$ such that $1 = g_n \alpha + (k_{n+1} + e)\beta$. Let $y \in \cap_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} (k_i + e)KQ$. Then there are $a, b \in KQ$ such that

$y = g_n a = (k_{n+1} + e)b$. It follows that $y = g_n \alpha y + (k_i + e)\beta y = g_n \alpha (k_{n+1} + e)a + (k_{n+1} + e)\beta g_n b = g_{n+1}(\alpha a + \beta b) \in g_{n+1}KQ$. It is now easy to see that $\cap_{i \in \mathbf{N}}(k_i + e)KQ = \{0\}$. Assume that w is an element in that intersection. Let m be the maximal number of occurrences of the edge e in a representation of the element w . Now $w \in g_n KQ$ for $n > m$ in which e^n occurs. (Note that if π is some path then $g_n \pi = 0 \Leftrightarrow e\pi = 0 \Leftrightarrow e^j \pi = 0$ for all j .) Now if $\varphi \in \widehat{KQ}$ such that $\varphi(1) = 0$, then $\varphi(e) = \varphi(k_i + e) \in \cap_{i \in \mathbf{N}}(k_i + e)KQ = \{0\}$. Thus $\varphi(e) = 0$ for all loops e as well, and the rest follows as in the proof of (b).

To show (c), let $J = \sum_{e \in E}(KQ)e$. If $\pi \in \Pi$ is a path such that some edge $e \in E$ shows up twice, then this path contains a closed path, but Q is assumed to be acyclic. Thus every edge shows up at most once in every path. This shows that $|E|$ is an upper bound for the length of all paths in Q , and therefore J is nilpotent and $(KQ)/J \cong \bigoplus_{v \in V} Kv$ is a ring direct product of copies of the field K . This shows that KQ is a reduced algebra and Zassenhaus by (b). \square

Let Δ be the quiver with a single vertex v and a single loop e ; then $K\Delta$ is isomorphic to the polynomial ring $K[x]$ over K in the single indeterminate x . We have already shown in [5] that $K[x]$ is Zassenhaus if the field K is infinite. On the other hand, if K is the finite field with q elements, then $\varphi : K[x] \rightarrow K[x]$ with $\varphi(f) = f^q$ for all $f \in K[x]$ is a ring endomorphism of $\widehat{K[x]}$, usually referred to as a Frobenius homomorphism. Clearly, $\varphi \in \widehat{K[x]}$ but is not a multiplication by some element in $K[x]$. Therefore, $K[x]$ need not be Zassenhaus algebra, if the field K is finite.

While all finite dimensional path algebras over (acyclic) quivers are reduced Zassenhaus algebras, it is easy to see that there are many reduced algebras that are not Zassenhaus algebras: Let K be an infinite field. Take two copies of a local K -algebra $S \neq K$, and consider the ring direct product $R = S \times S$. Then R is reduced, not local and not a Zassenhaus algebra by Theorem 4. On the other hand, \widehat{R} is a Zassenhaus algebra. We would like to pose the following

Conjecture 1. *Let R be a reduced, finite dimensional K -algebra over the infinite field K . Then \widehat{R} is a Zassenhaus algebra.*

4. Checkered matrix algebras. First we recall the case of a semisimple algebra. This case was covered in [6]. We include it here for the sake of completeness. Let R be a finite dimensional, semisimple K -algebra. It is well known that $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k e_i R_i$ is a ring direct sum of simple algebras R_i and the e_i are central, orthogonal idempotents. Moreover, there are division algebras D_i over K and $n_i \geq 1$ such that $R_i \cong \text{Mat}_{n_i \times n_i}(D_i)$. Note that for any left ideal I of R we have $I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k (I \cap R_i)$. This shows that $\widehat{R} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \widehat{R}_i$ is a ring direct sum and $R = \widehat{R}$ if and only if $R_i = \widehat{R}_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. By [6], R_i is a Zassenhaus algebra if $n_i > 1$. If $n_i = 1$, then $R_i = D_i$ is a Zassenhaus algebra if and only if $D_i = K$. On the other hand, \widehat{D}_i is a Zassenhaus algebra by [5]. This proves:

Theorem 6. *Same notations as above. Then*

- (1) \widehat{R} is a Zassenhaus algebra.
- (2) R is a Zassenhaus algebra if and only if $D_i = K$ whenever $n_i = 1$.
- (3) If K is algebraically closed, then R is always a Zassenhaus algebra.

Other easy cases are primary algebras. Recall that a finite dimensional K -algebra R is called primary if $R/J(R)$ is a simple algebra. By a well-known result, cf. [9, page 98], there exists a local algebra B and $n \geq 1$ such that $R \cong \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(B)$. Note that R is local if and only if $n = 1$. By [5, 6], we have:

Theorem 7. *Let R be a finite dimensional, primary, not local K -algebra. Then R is a Zassenhaus algebra.*

Let K be a field and A a left (or right) Artinian K -algebra. Then there exists a reduced algebra B , the basic algebra of A , and a sequence $\vec{e} = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r)$ of primitive, orthogonal idempotents of B such that $1 = \sum_{i=1}^r e_i$. Moreover, there exists a sequence $\vec{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r)$ of positive integers such that A is isomorphic to a ring of $r \times r$ block matrices $M_{\vec{n}}(B, \vec{e})$ where the (i, j) -block is $\text{Mat}_{n_i \times n_j}(e_i B e_j)$, i.e.,

$$M_{\vec{n}}(B, \vec{e}) = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Mat}_{n_1 \times n_1}(e_1 B e_1) & \text{Mat}_{n_1 \times n_2}(e_1 B e_2) & \cdots & \text{Mat}_{n_1 \times n_r}(e_1 B e_r) \\ \text{Mat}_{n_2 \times n_1}(e_2 B e_1) & \text{Mat}_{n_2 \times n_2}(e_2 B e_2) & \cdots & \text{Mat}_{n_2 \times n_r}(e_2 B e_r) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \text{Mat}_{n_r \times n_1}(e_r B e_1) & \text{Mat}_{n_r \times n_2}(e_r B e_2) & \cdots & \text{Mat}_{n_r \times n_r}(e_r B e_r) \end{bmatrix}.$$

This algebra is called a checkered matrix algebra, cf. [9, page 98]. Note that the algebras mentioned in Theorems 6 and 7 are special cases of checkered matrix algebras. By [3], the following holds:

Theorem 8 [3]. *Let K be a field and A a left (or right) Artinian K -algebra. Then there exists a reduced, left (or right) Artinian algebra B and \vec{e} , \vec{n} as above such that A is isomorphic to $M_{\vec{n}}(B, \vec{e})$. Moreover, B , \vec{e} and \vec{n} are uniquely determined by A .*

Note that B is local if and only if $r = 1$. If $r = 1 = n_1$, then $A \cong B$ is local. If $r = 1 < n_1$, then A is primary, not local, cf. [9, page 98]. Moreover, $e_i B e_i$ is a local algebra for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Our goal is to prove

Theorem 9. *With the notations from above, $M_{\vec{n}}(B, \vec{e})$ is a Zassenhaus algebra if $r \geq 2$ and $n_i \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.*

First we need:

Lemma 2. *Let T, S be rings, $1 \in S$, such that T is also a right S -module. Let $M = \text{Mat}_{m \times m}(T)$, $m \geq 2$, and $R = \text{Mat}_{n \times m}(S)$. Let $\beta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(R, M)$ be such that $\beta(x) \in Mx$ for all $x \in R$. Then there exists some $B \in M$ such that $\beta(x) = Bx$ for all $x \in R$.*

Proof. First, note that M is a right R -module. Let $\varepsilon^{(ik)}$ be the matrix in R which has 1 in the (i, k) -position and 0s everywhere else. For $t \in T$, we use the same notation for the matrix $t\varepsilon^{(ik)} \in M$ that has t in the (i, k) -position and zeros elsewhere. There exist elements $\ell_{\alpha i}^{(**)} \in T$ such that, for $s \in S$, we have $\beta(\varepsilon^{(ik)}) = \sum_{\alpha} \ell_{\alpha i}^{(ki)} \varepsilon^{(\alpha k)}$ and $\beta(s\varepsilon^{(ij)}) = \sum_{\alpha} \ell_{\alpha i}^{(js)} s\varepsilon^{(\alpha j)}$. Moreover, there exists some matrix $A^{(s)} = (a_{\alpha i}^{(s)})_{\alpha i} \in M$ such that $\beta(\varepsilon^{(ik)} + s\varepsilon^{(ij)}) = A^{(s)}(\varepsilon^{(ik)} + s\varepsilon^{(ij)}) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha i}^{(s)} \varepsilon^{(\alpha k)} + \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha i}^{(s)} s\varepsilon^{(\alpha j)} = \sum_{\alpha} \ell_{\alpha i}^{(ki)} \varepsilon^{(\alpha k)} + \sum_{\alpha} \ell_{\alpha i}^{(js)} s\varepsilon^{(\alpha j)}$. Now assume that $1 \leq j \neq k \leq m$. We compare coefficients and get: $a_{\alpha i}^{(s)} = \ell_{\alpha i}^{(k1)}$ and $a_{\alpha i}^{(s)} s = \ell_{\alpha i}^{(js)}$. We infer $\ell_{\alpha i}^{(k1)} s = \ell_{\alpha i}^{(js)}$ for all $s \in T$ and $k \neq j$. This shows that there exists a matrix $B = (\ell_{\alpha i}) \in M$ such that $\beta(s\varepsilon^{(ij)}) = Bs\varepsilon^{(ij)}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, and the conclusion follows. \square

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 9:

Proof. Let $M = M_{\overrightarrow{A}}(B, \overrightarrow{e})$, and assume that $r \geq 2$ and $n_i \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Moreover, let $M_{ij} = \text{Mat}_{n_i \times n_j}(e_i B e_j)$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq r$.

Assume $\varphi \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{Z}}(M)$ such that $\varphi(X) \subseteq X$ for all $X \trianglelefteq_\ell M$. Since $M = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} M_{ij} \varepsilon^{(ij)}$, φ is represented by a matrix $[\varphi_{ij, \alpha \beta}]$ where $\varphi_{ij, \alpha \beta} : M_{\alpha \beta} \rightarrow M_{ij}$. Let $m_{\alpha \alpha} \in M_{\alpha \alpha}$. Note that $M m_{\alpha \alpha} \varepsilon^{(\alpha \alpha)} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_{i \alpha} m_{\alpha \alpha} \varepsilon^{(i \alpha)} \trianglelefteq_\ell M$ for all $1 \leq \alpha \leq r$. This shows that $\varphi_{kj, i \alpha} = 0$ whenever $j \neq \alpha$. Also $\varphi_{k \alpha, i \alpha}(M_{i \alpha} m_{\alpha \alpha}) \subseteq M_{k \alpha} m_{\alpha \alpha}$ for all i, k, α . Especially $\varphi_{i \alpha, \alpha \alpha} : M_{\alpha \alpha} \rightarrow M_{i \alpha}$ such that $\varphi_{i \alpha, \alpha \alpha}(x) \in M_{i \alpha} x$ for all $x \in M_{\alpha \alpha}$. Lemma 2 shows that there is some $t_{i \alpha} \in M_{i \alpha}$ such that $\varphi_{i \alpha, \alpha \alpha}(x) = t_{i \alpha} x$ for all $x \in M_{\alpha \alpha}$.

Now define left ideals $J_{\alpha, \beta, s} = M(\varepsilon^{(\alpha \alpha)} + s \varepsilon^{(\alpha \beta)})$ for all $s \in M_{\alpha \beta}$ and $1 \leq \alpha \neq \beta \leq r$. Note that $J_{\alpha, \beta, s} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_{i \alpha}(\varepsilon^{(i \alpha)} + s \varepsilon^{(i \beta)})$, and we get $\varphi(\varepsilon^{(\alpha \alpha)} + s \varepsilon^{(\alpha \beta)}) = \sum_{i=1}^r t_{i \alpha} \varepsilon^{(i \alpha)} + \sum_{i=1}^r \varphi_{i \beta, \alpha \beta}(s \varepsilon^{(\alpha \beta)}) = \sum_{i=1}^r x_{i \alpha}(\varepsilon^{(i \alpha)} + s \varepsilon^{(i \beta)})$. We infer that $t_{i \alpha} = x_{i \alpha}$ and $\varphi_{i \beta, \alpha \beta}(s \varepsilon^{(\alpha \beta)}) = t_{i \alpha} s \varepsilon^{(i \beta)}$, which shows that $\varphi_{i \beta, \alpha \beta} = t_{i \alpha} \in M_{i \alpha}$ is independent of β . Now $\varphi(1) = \varphi(\sum_{\alpha=1}^r \varepsilon^{(\alpha \alpha)}) = \sum_{\alpha} \varphi(\varepsilon^{(\alpha \alpha)}) = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_i \varphi_{i \alpha, \alpha \alpha}(\varepsilon^{(\alpha \alpha)}) = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_i t_{i \alpha} \varepsilon^{(i \alpha)} = 0$ implies that $t_{i \alpha} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, \alpha \leq r$, which implies that $\varphi = 0$, and we have that M is a Zassenhaus algebra. \square

5. Leavitt path algebras. We want to consider the case of Leavitt path algebras. We adopt the notations commonly used in this topic as in [1]. A directed graph $\Gamma = (V, E, r, s)$ where V is the set of vertices and E is the set of edges of Γ . Moreover, $r : E \rightarrow V$ and $s : E \rightarrow V$ are functions such that the edge (or arrow) e goes from $s(e)$, the source (or tail) of e to $r(e)$, the range (or head) of e . We will always assume that the set $s^{-1}(v)$ is finite, i.e., Γ is row finite. A sequence $\mu = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$ of edges is a path, if $s(e_{i+1}) = r(e_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. (We follow the tradition in this area that paths run from the left to the right.) Let K be a field. We define the Leavitt path algebra $L_K(\Gamma)$ to be the K -algebra generated by the elements of the set $V \cup E \cup E^*$ where $E^* = \{e^* : e \in E\}$, $s(e^*) = r(e)$ and $r(e^*) = s(e)$. The elements of E are called real edges, and the elements of E^* are called ghost edges. We list the familiar relations for the generators of $L_K(\Gamma)$:

(0) For all $u, v \in V$ we have $uv = \delta_{u,v} u$, i.e., the vertices are orthogonal idempotents.

- (1) $s(e)e = e = er(e)$ for all $e \in E$.
- (2) $r(e)e^* = e^* = e^*s(e)$ for all $e \in E$.
- (3) $e^*f = \delta_{e,f}r(e)$ for all $e, f \in E$.
- (4) If $v \in V$ and $s^{-1}(v) \neq \emptyset$, then $v = \sum_{e \in s^{-1}(v)} ee^*$.

Recall that $e \in E$ is a loop if $s(e) = r(e)$. By [2, Corollary 3.7] the algebra $L_K(\Gamma)$ is finite dimensional if and only if Γ is a direct product of full matrix rings over K if and only if Γ is finite and acyclic. Thus all finite dimensional Leavitt path algebras are Zassenhaus algebras. Our goal is to investigate the infinite dimensional case.

By [1, 1.5. Lemma] the K -vector space $L_K(\Gamma)$ is spanned by the vertices of Γ and paths of the form $\pi = e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_\sigma} e_{j_1}^* \cdots e_{j_\tau}^*$ such that $\sigma, \tau \geq 0, \sigma + \tau > 0$ and the e 's are real edges of Γ .

We define $\Delta(e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_\sigma} e_{j_1}^* \cdots e_{j_\tau}^*) = \sigma - \tau$.

Since a Leavitt path algebra has an involution induced by $*$, we may pick sides at our convenience, and we define $\widehat{L_K(\Gamma)} = \{\varphi \in \text{End}_K(L_K(\Gamma)) : \varphi(X) \subseteq X \text{ for all right ideals } X \text{ of } L_K(\Gamma)\}$. We find that right ideals are a little more intuitive in this setting.

Observe that for any edge e we have $e^*e^* = e^*r(e^*)s(e^*)e^* = e^*0e^* = 0$ if e is not a loop.

Note that $L_K(\Gamma)$ is a \mathbf{Z} -graded algebra, cf., [1, 1.7. Lemma]: Define $A_n = \sum \{ke_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_\sigma} e_{j_1}^* \cdots e_{j_\tau}^* : \sigma + \tau > 0, e_{i_s} \in E, e_{j_t}^* \in E^*, k \in K, \sigma - \tau = n\}$. Then $L_K(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} L_n$, where $L_0 = KV + A_0$ and $L_n = A_n$ for $n \neq 0$.

Claim 2. *Let e be an edge (real or ghost) that is not a loop and $u = r(e)$ a vertex. Then $(u + e)L_K(\Gamma) \cap eL_K(\Gamma) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let $x \in L_K(\Gamma)$ be such that $(u + e)x \in eL_K(\Gamma)$ and thus $ux = ey$ for some $y \in L_K(\Gamma)$. Then $0 = e^*ux = e^*ey = u \cdot y$ because $u \neq r(e^*) = s(e)$. Now $0 = e(u \cdot y) = (eu)y = ey$, and it follows that $ux = 0$, and thus $0 = e(ux) = ex$. This shows that $(u + e)x = 0$, and the claim follows for real edges e .

We will show that the same proof works for ghost edges as well, which is not surprising, since $*$ induces an involution.

Let $x \in L_K(\Gamma)$ be such that $(u + e^*)x \in e^*L_K(\Gamma)$ and $r(e^*) = s(e) = u$. Then $ux = e^*y$ for some $y \in L_K(\Gamma)$ and $e^*e^* = 0$. Thus, $e^*x = e^*ux = e^*e^*y = 0$. This implies $ux \in e^*L_K(\Gamma)$, and thus $ux = e^*t$ for some $t \in L_K(\Gamma)$. This implies $ux = u \cdot ux = ue^*t = 0$ since $s(e^*) = r(e) \neq s(e) = u$. This shows $(u + e^*)x = 0$, and the claim follows. \square

Claim 3. *Let $e \in E$ be an edge (real or ghost) such that e is a loop, i.e., $s(e) = v = r(v)$ for some vertex v . Let $K[e]$ denote the K -subalgebra generated by v and e . Then $K[e]$ is isomorphic to the polynomial ring $K[x]$ over K with indeterminate x .*

Proof. There exists the natural K -algebra epimorphism $\gamma : K[x] \rightarrow K[e]$ with $\gamma(1) = v$ and $\gamma(x) = e$. Then $\gamma(1) \in L_0$ and $0 \neq \gamma(x^k) \in L_k$ for all $k > 0$. It follows from the \mathbf{Z} -grading of $L_K(\Gamma)$ that γ is injective as well. \square

Claim 4. *Let k_1, k_2, \dots be distinct elements of K and $e \in E$ a loop with $s(e) = v = r(e)$. Then*

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n (k_i v + e) L_K(\Gamma) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (k_i v + e) \right) L_K(\Gamma).$$

Proof. By induction on n . If $n = 1$, there is nothing to show. Note that $K[e]$ is commutative. Let $n > 1$, and assume that the claim holds for $n - 1$. Let $\pi = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (k_i v + e)$. By Claim 3, $K[e]$ is a polynomial ring and π and $k_n v + e$ are relatively prime in $K[e]$. Thus we have $\alpha, \beta \in K[e]$ such that $\pi\alpha + (k_n v + e)\beta = v$, and note that $\pi(k_n v + e)L_K(\Gamma) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n (k_i v + e)L_K(\Gamma) = \pi L_K(\Gamma) \cap (k_n v + e)L_K(\Gamma)$. Let $w \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n (k_i v + e)L_K(\Gamma)$. Then there exist $c, d \in L_K(\Gamma)$ with $w = \pi c = (k_n v + e)d$. This implies $\pi\alpha d + (k_n v + e)\beta d = vd = \pi\alpha d + \beta(k_n v + e)d = \pi\alpha d + \beta w$ and thus $vd = \pi\alpha d + \beta w = \pi\alpha d + \beta\pi c = \pi\alpha d + \pi\beta c = \pi(\alpha d + \beta c)$. We infer that $w = (k_n v + e)d = (k_n v + e)vd = (k_n v + e)\pi(\alpha d + \beta c) \in \pi(k_n v + e)L_K(\Gamma)$. \square

We now can prove the crucial

Claim 5. (a) *Let k_1, k_2, \dots be distinct non-zero elements of K and $e \in E$ a real loop with $s(e) = v = r(e)$. Then $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty (k_i v + e)L_K(\Gamma) = \{0\}$.*

(b) Let $e^* \in E^*$ be a ghost loop. Let $h_n \in K[e]$ be polynomials in the polynomial ring $K[e]$ such that the polynomials $f_n = eh_n + v$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ are pairwise relatively prime. Then $\cap_{i=1}^\infty (h_n + e^*)L_K(\Gamma) = \{0\}$.

Proof. (a) We will utilize the \mathbf{Z} -grading of $L_K(\Gamma)$ mentioned above. Assume $0 \neq w \in \cap_{i=1}^\infty (k_i v + e)L_K(\Gamma)$. There is some $m \geq 0$ such that $w \in \oplus_{k=-m}^m L_k$. Since w is a linear combination of paths ρ with $\Delta(\rho) = \sigma - \tau$ we may also assume that $\tau < m$ for all the paths used in the representation of w . Choose a natural number $n > 2m$. Then $0 \neq w \in \cap_{i=1}^n (k_i v + e)L_K(\Gamma)$ and

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n (k_i v + e)L_K(\Gamma) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (k_i v + e) \right) L_K(\Gamma)$$

by Claim 4. Let $g_n = \prod_{i=1}^n (k_i v + e)$ and note that $g_n = a_0 v + a_1 e + \cdots + a_{n-1} e^{n-1} + e^n$ for some $a_i \in K$ and $a_0 \neq 0$. Now let $\rho = e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_\sigma} e_{j_1}^* \cdots e_{j_\tau}^*$ be a path such that $s(\rho) = v$ and $(a_0 v + a_1 e + \cdots + a_{n-1} e^{n-1} + e^n)\rho \in \oplus_{k=-m}^m L_k$. This means that $-m \leq n + \Delta(\rho) \leq m$ and $\Delta(\rho) \leq m - n < m - 2m = -m$ follows. On the other hand, $a_0 v \rho = a_0 \rho \in L_{\Delta(\rho)}$ and $\Delta(\rho) < -m$. We infer that

$$w \notin \cap_{i=1}^n (k_i v + e)L_K(\Gamma) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (k_i v + e) \right) L_K(\Gamma)$$

and the claim follows in the case that the loop e is a real edge.

Now we consider the case where e^* is a loop which is a ghost edge with $s(e^*) = r(e) = v = s(e) = r(e^*)$. Here the approach in (a) does not work anymore, since $(k_i v + e^*)L_K(\Gamma) \supseteq (k_i v + e^*)fL_K(\Gamma) = (k_i f + e^* f)L_K(\Gamma) = (k_i f)L_K(\Gamma) = fL_K(\Gamma)$ for any edge $f \neq e$ but with $s(f) = v$. This shows that the intersection we looked at above is not $\{0\}$ at all, but rather large.

We now prove (b), maintaining the notations from above.

Note that $\cap_{i=1}^\infty (h_i + e^*)L_K(\Gamma) = \cap_{i=1}^\infty (e^* e h_i + e^*)L_K(\Gamma) = \cap_{i=1}^\infty e^* (e h_i + v)L_K(\Gamma) = \cap_{i=1}^\infty e^* f_i L_K(\Gamma)$. An argument similar to the one used in the proof of Theorem 4 (a), as well as just above, shows that $\cap_{i=1}^\infty f_i L_K(\Gamma) = \{0\}$. Moreover, for $g_n = f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n$ it follows that $\cap_{i=1}^n f_i L_K(\Gamma) = g_n L_K(\Gamma)$ and if $y \in L_K(\Gamma)$ such that $e^* g_n y =$

0, then $g_n y = 0$ and it easily follows that $\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} (h_i + e^*) L_K(\Gamma) = \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} e^* f_i L_K(\Gamma) = e^* (\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i L_K(\Gamma)) = e^* \{0\} = \{0\}$. \square

We are now ready for the pivotal

Claim 6. *Assume that the field K is infinite. Let $\psi \in \widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$, v a vertex such that $\psi(v) = 0$ and π a path with $r(\pi) = v$. Then $\psi(\pi) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\pi = e_n e_{n-1} \cdots e_2 e_1$ where the e_i are edges or ghost edges. We induct over n . Let $n = 1$ and thus $\pi = e_1 = e$ some real edge. If e is not a loop, then $\psi(e) = \psi(v + e) \in (v + e) L_K(\Gamma) \cap e L_K(\Gamma) = \{0\}$ by Claim 2. Fix S , an infinite set of non-zero elements of K .

Assume that e is a real loop and $r(e) = v = s(e)$. Then $\psi(kv + e) = \psi(e) \in \cap_{k \in S} (kv + e) L_K(\Gamma) = \{0\}$ by Claim 5. This settles the case $n = 1$. By the induction hypothesis, we may assume that for $\pi' = e_{\ell-1} e_{\ell-2} \cdots e_2 e_1$ we have $\psi(\pi') = 0$, where ℓ is some natural number such that $2 \leq \ell \leq n$. For easier notations, set $e = e_{\ell}$. Firstly, assume that e is not a loop. Then $\psi(\pi' + e\pi') = \psi(e\pi') \in (\pi' + e\pi') L_K(\Gamma) \cap e\pi' L_K(\Gamma) = (v + e)(\pi' L_K(\Gamma)) \cap e(\pi' L_K(\Gamma)) \subseteq (v + e) L_K(\Gamma) \cap e L_K(\Gamma) = \{0\}$ by Claim 2. Thus $\psi(e\pi) = 0$ as well. On the other hand, if e is a real loop, then $\psi(k\pi' + e\pi') = \psi(e\pi') \in \cap_{k \in S} (k\pi' + e\pi') L_K(\Gamma) = \cap_{k \in S} (kv + e)\pi' L_K(\Gamma) \subseteq \cap_{k \in S} (kv + e) L_K(\Gamma) = \{0\}$ by Claim 5 (a). This shows that $\psi(e\pi') = 0$ and eventually $\psi(\pi) = 0$.

Now assume that $e_1 = e^*$ is a ghost edge. We use the notations in Claim 5 (b). Note that we know already that $\psi(h_n) = 0$ since $h_n \in K[e]$ and e is a real loop. This implies that $\psi(e^*) = \psi(h_n + e^*) \in \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} (h_i + e^*) L_K(\Gamma) = \{0\}$ by Claim 5 (b). Thus $\psi(e^*) = 0$ and we can induct just as in the case of a real loop to obtain that $\psi(\pi) = 0$. \square

Remark 1. Let R be a subalgebra of the algebra of all linear transformations of some K -vector space V . Let \mathcal{F} be the family of all finite subsets of V . For any $F \in \mathcal{F}$, define $\mathcal{O}_F = \{\varphi \in R : \varphi(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in F\}$. We write the composition of the maps in $\text{End}_K(V)$ such that the sets \mathcal{O}_F are all right ideals of R . The set $\{\mathcal{O}_F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is a basis of the so-called finite topology on R , which turns R into

a Hausdorff topological ring. The completion \overline{R} of R is again a ring of linear transformations of V . Now let A be a K -algebra and $R = \widehat{A} = \{\varphi \in \text{End}_K(A) : \varphi(X) \subseteq X \text{ for all right ideals } X \text{ of } A\}$ equipped with the finite topology. Let $\psi \in \overline{R} = \widehat{\overline{A}}$, be the completion of \widehat{A} . Let X be a right ideal of A and $x \in X$. Then there exists some $\varphi \in \widehat{A}$ such that $\psi(x) = \varphi(x) \in X$. This shows $\psi \in \widehat{A}$ and we have that \widehat{A} is closed in the finite topology. We define $\cdot A = \{\cdot a : a \in A\}$ where $\cdot a : A \rightarrow A$ is the map defined by $\cdot a(x) = xa$ for all $x \in X$. Note that $\cdot A \subseteq \widehat{A}$.

The ring $\widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$ equipped with the finite topology becomes a topological ring. We define $\mathcal{O}_H = \{\varphi \in \widehat{L_K(\Gamma)} : \varphi(v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in H\}$ for any finite set H of vertices of Γ . If $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_H$, then Claim 6 implies that $\varphi(x) = 0$ for any $x \in L_K(\Gamma)$ whose paths end in a vertex $v \in H$. This shows that $\{\mathcal{O}_H : H \text{ a finite set of vertices}\}$ is a basis of the finite topology of $\widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$. For any finite set H of vertices of Γ we define the “local unit” $v_H = \sum_{v \in H} v$. Let $\psi \in \widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$. Then $\psi(v_H) = v_H t_H$ for some $t_H \in L_K(\Gamma)$. Moreover, for $v \in H$, we have $\psi(v) = v s_v$ for some $s_v \in L_K(\Gamma)$. This shows that $v t_H = v s_v$ for all $v \in H$. Define $\varphi_H = \psi - \cdot t_H \in \widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$ and it follows that $\varphi_H \in \mathcal{O}_H$. This shows that $\cdot L_K(\Gamma)$ is dense in $\widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$. Moreover, ψ is the limit of the Cauchy sequence $\{t_H\}_H$. Note that $\text{id}_{L_K(\Gamma)} = \lim\{v_H\}_H$. We have proved most of the following

Theorem 10. *Let K be an infinite field and $\Gamma = (V, E)$ a row finite, oriented graph. Then $\widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$, with the finite topology, is a complete topological ring such that $\{\mathcal{O}_H : H \text{ a finite subset of } V\}$ is a basis of that topology and $\cdot L_K(\Gamma)$ is a dense subring of $\widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$, which is a Zassenhaus algebra. Moreover, if Γ is finite, then $\widehat{L_K(\Gamma)} = L_K(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Now we insist on our convention that maps are written on the right. It is easy to see that $\widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$ is a Zassenhaus algebra. Let $r \in L_K(\Gamma)$ and H be the finite set of vertices in which all the paths (monomials) representing r end. Then we have that $(\cdot r)\widehat{L_K(\Gamma)} = (\cdot r)(L_K(\Gamma) + \mathcal{O}_H) = (\cdot r)L_K(\Gamma)$ by Claim 6, and $\cdot r$ is contained in

$(\cdot r)L_K(\Gamma)$. Let $\eta \in \widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$. Then $\eta((\cdot r)\widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}) = \eta((\cdot r)L_K(\Gamma)) \subseteq (\cdot r)\widehat{L_K(\Gamma)} = (\cdot r)L_K(\Gamma)$, and it follows that $\eta(\cdot L_K(\Gamma)) \subseteq \cdot L_K(\Gamma)$ and moreover $\eta \upharpoonright_{L_K(\Gamma)} \in \widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$ and is continuous in the finite topology because the \mathcal{O}_H are right ideals of $\widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$. By the density of $\cdot L_K(\Gamma)$ in $\widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$ we get that $\eta \in \widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$. We have that every $\psi \in \widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$ is determined by its action on V , the set of vertices of Γ . Moreover, for any $a \in L_K(\Gamma)$, the map $\cdot a$ has the property that $(v)(\cdot a) = va = 0$ for all but finitely many $v \in V$. \square

Remark 2. It is not hard to see that $L_K(\Gamma) = \oplus_{v \in V} vL_K(\Gamma)$ as right $L_K(\Gamma)$ -module and $\widehat{L_K(\Gamma)} = \prod_{v \in V} vL_K(\Gamma)$ is the unrestricted Cartesian product of the K -vectorspaces $vL_K(\Gamma)$. We write $(va_v)_{v \in V} = \sum_{v \in V} \sum va_v$ for any $a_v \in L_K(\Gamma)$. Let $x \in L_K(\Gamma)$. Then $x(va_v)_{v \in V} = x \sum_{v \in V} va_v = \sum_{v \in V} xva_v \in xL_K(\Gamma)$ is a finite sum since the paths used in the presentation of $x \in L_K(\Gamma)$ end only in a finite set of vertices. Moreover, for $\sum_{v \in V} va_v, \sum_{\mu \in V} \mu b_\mu \in \widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$ we have $(\sum_{v \in V} va_v)(\sum_{\mu \in V} \mu a_\mu) = \sum_{v \in V} v(\sum_{\mu \in V} a_v \mu b_\mu)$. The latter sum is finite. This sheds some light on the structure of $\widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$. Note that $\sum_{v \in V} v \in \widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$ is the identity of $\widehat{L_K(\Gamma)}$.

REFERENCES

1. G. Abrams and G.A. Pino, *The Leavitt path algebra of a graph*, J. Algebra **293** (2005), 319–334.
2. G. Abrams, G.A. Pino and M.S. Molina, *Finite dimensional Leavitt path algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **209** (2007), 753–762.
3. R. Brauer and E. Weiss, *Non-commutative rings*, Part I, Harvard Press, Cambridge, 1950.
4. J. Buckner and M. Dugas, *Quasi-localizations of Z* , Israel J. Math. **160** (2007), 349–370.
5. ———, *Left rigid rings*, J. Algebra **309** (2007), 192–206.
6. ———, *Group algebras with Zassenhaus families of right ideals*, Houston J. Math. **35** (2009), 691–703.
7. M.C.R. Butler, *On locally free torsion-free rings of finite rank*, J. London Math. Soc. **43** (1968), 202–216.
8. M. Dugas and R. Göbel, *An extension of Zassenhaus' theorem on endomorphism rings*, Fund. Math. **194** (2007), 239–251.
9. R.S. Pierce, *Associative algebras*, Grad. Texts Math., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

10. H. Zassenhaus, *Orders as endomorphism rings of modules of the same rank*, J. London Math. Soc. **42** (1967), 180–182.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CLAYTON STATE UNIVERSITY, MORROW, GA
30260

Email address: JoshuaBuckner@mail.clayton.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAYLOR UNIVERSITY, WACO, TX 76798

Email address: Manfred_Dugas@baylor.edu