

## ISOMETRIES OF NAKANO SPACE OF VECTOR VALUED FUNCTIONS

NADIA J. GAL AND JAMES E. JAMISON

**ABSTRACT.** The Nakano space  $L^{p(t)}(\mu)$  associated with  $p(t)$  is defined to be the Musielak-Orlicz space  $L_\Phi(\mu)$  such that  $\Phi(u, t) = u^{p(t)}/p(t)$ . We are going to consider the space  $N = L^{p(t)}(\mu, \mathcal{H})$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a separable complex Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and norm  $\|\cdot\|_2$ . For any  $f \in N$ , let

$$M(f) = \int_0^1 \frac{\|f(t)\|_2^{p(t)}}{p(t)} d\mu(t),$$

where  $1 < p_0 \leq p(t) \leq p_\infty < \infty$ . For every  $f \in N$ , the norm of  $f$  on this space is

$$\|f\|_N = \inf \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 : M\left(\frac{f}{\varepsilon}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We are interested in the form of the Hermitian operators and the form of the surjective isometries on this space  $N$ .

**1. Introduction.** Let  $([0, 1], \Sigma, \mu)$  be a nonatomic measure space and  $\mathcal{H}$  a separable complex Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and norm  $\|\cdot\|_2$ .

In the following we are going to consider the space  $N = L^{p(t)}(\mu, \mathcal{H})$ , where  $p(t)$  is a measurable function from  $[0, 1]$  into  $(1, \infty)$  such that  $1 < p_0 \leq p(t) \leq p_\infty < \infty$ . Also, for every vector  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ , we define the constant function  $\mathbf{z}(t) = z$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

We recall that, for a Young function  $\Phi$ ,  $L_\Phi(\mu, \mathcal{H})$  denotes the space of all strongly measurable functions from  $[0, 1]$  to  $\mathcal{H}$  for which

$$M_\Phi(\lambda f) = \int_0^1 \Phi(\lambda \|f(t)\|_2, t) d\mu(t) < \infty,$$

---

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 46B04, 47A05, 47B38, 47B49.

Received by the editors on October 21, 2008.

DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2011-41-4-1195 Copyright ©2011 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

for some  $\lambda > 0$ . The space  $L_\Phi(\mu, \mathcal{H})$  is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{L_\Phi(\mu, \mathcal{H})} = \inf \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 : M_\Phi \left( \frac{f}{\varepsilon} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We note that, for strongly measurable functions (defined as the  $\mu$ -a.e. limit of simple functions of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \chi_{E_i}$ , where  $x_i \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $E_i \in \Sigma$  and  $\chi_E$  is the characteristic function of the set  $E$  of a finite measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  (see [10, page 425])), a function  $f \in L_\Phi(\mu, \mathcal{H})$  if and only if  $\|f(\cdot)\|_2 \in L_\Phi(\mu)$ . Also, the simple functions are dense in  $L_\Phi(\mu, \mathcal{H})$  (see [4, page 363]).

The space  $N = L^{p(t)}(\mu, \mathcal{H})$  is the vector-valued version of the Nakano space  $L^{p(t)}(\mu)$  associated with  $p(t)$  (see [4, page 76]), defined to be the Musielak-Orlicz space  $L_\Phi(\mu)$  such that

$$\Phi(u, t) = \frac{u^{p(t)}}{p(t)}.$$

It can be shown that simple functions are dense in  $N$ , since  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition (see [7, page 214] and [5, page 24]).

For any  $f \in N$ , let

$$M(f) = \int_0^1 \frac{\|f(t)\|_2^{p(t)}}{p(t)} d\mu(t),$$

where  $1 < p_0 \leq p(t) \leq p_\infty < \infty$ . Therefore,

$$N = \{f : M(\lambda f) < \infty, \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\}.$$

For every  $f \in N$ , the norm of  $f$  on this space is

$$\|f\|_N = \inf \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 : M \left( \frac{f}{\varepsilon} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

If we let

$$N_0 = \{f : M(\lambda f) < \infty, \text{ for all } \lambda > 0\},$$

we see that this subspace of  $N$  has nicer properties than the whole space  $N$  (see [2, page 140]), e.g.,  $N_0$  is separable if the measure

space is separable, while  $N$  may be not separable. In addition, since  $\Phi(u, t) = u^{p(t)}/p(t)$  satisfy the  $\Delta_2$  condition, we have  $N_0 = N$ .

On this space  $N$ , we are interested in the form of the Hermitian operators (see [6, page 39]) and the form of the surjective isometries.

## 2. Hermitian operators on $N$ .

**2.1. Preliminary results.** To find the form of the Hermitian operators on  $N$ , first we need to determine a semi-inner product compatible with the norm on  $N$ .

*Remark 1.* For  $f \in N$ , it can be shown that  $M(f/\|f\|_N) = 1$  since otherwise it must be  $M(f/\|f\|_N) < 1$ . In this case, we can assume that there is a positive scalar  $k_0$  such that  $M(k_0 f) = 1$ , so  $1/\|f\|_N < k_0$ . It yields that  $1 < \|k_0 f\|_N \leq M(k_0 f) = 1$ , by [1, page 269]. This contradiction implies that  $M(f/\|f\|_N) = 1$ . Therefore, if  $\|f\|_N = 1$ , we must have  $M(f) = 1$ . The inverse implication follows directly. We then have  $\|f\|_N = 1$  if and only if  $M(f) = 1$ .

**Lemma 2.** *A semi-inner product compatible with the norm on  $N$  is given by*

$$F_g(f) = C(g) \int_0^1 \frac{\langle f(t), g(t) \rangle}{\|g(t)\|_2} \left( \frac{\|g(t)\|_2}{\|g\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t),$$

where

$$C(g) = \frac{\|g\|_N^2}{\int_0^1 \|g(t)\|_2 (\|g(t)\|_2 / \|g\|_N)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t)}$$

and  $g \in N_0$ .

*Proof.* To show that  $F_g(f)$  is a semi-inner product compatible with the norm on  $N$ , we need to check the conditions of the definition of a semi-inner product (see [6, page 31]). It is obvious that  $F_g(g) = \|g\|_N^2$  and for a complex scalar  $\alpha$ ,

$$F_g(\alpha f + h) = \alpha F_g(f) + F_g(h).$$

To show the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, let  $f \in N$  be such that  $\|f\|_N = 1$ , which is equivalent to  $M(f) = 1$  (see the remark above). We also have  $M(g/\|g\|_N) = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |F_g(f)| &\leq \frac{\|g\|_N \int_0^1 |\langle f(t), g(t) \rangle| \|g(t)\|_2 (\|g(t)\|_2 / \|g\|_N)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t)}{\int_0^1 \|g(t)\|_2 / \|g\|_N (\|g(t)\|_2 / \|g\|_N)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t)} \\ &\leq \frac{\|g\|_N \int_0^1 \|f(t)\|_2 (\|g(t)\|_2 / \|g\|_N)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t)}{\int_0^1 (\|g(t)\|_2 / \|g\|_N)^{p(t)} d\mu(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Young's inequality (see [2, page 142]), i.e., for any  $u, v \geq 0$  and any  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$uv \leq \Phi(u, t) + \Psi(v, t),$$

where  $\Psi(v, t) = v^{q(t)}/q(t)$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |F_g(f)| &\leq \frac{\|g\|_N}{\int_0^1 (\|g(t)\|_2 / \|g\|_N)^{p(t)} [(1/p(t)) + (1/q(t))] d\mu(t)} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{\|f(t)\|_2^{p(t)}}{p(t)} + \left( \frac{\|g(t)\|_2}{\|g\|_N} \right)^{(p(t)-1)q(t)} \frac{1}{q(t)} \right] d\mu(t) \\ &= \frac{\|g\|_N \left[ 1 + \int_0^1 (\|g(t)\|_2 / \|g\|_N)^{p(t)} d\mu(t) / q(t) \right]}{1 + \int_0^1 (\|g(t)\|_2 / \|g\|_N)^{p(t)} (1/q(t)) d\mu(t)} \\ &= \|g\|_N = \|g\|_N \|f\|_N. \end{aligned}$$

If we consider any  $f \in N$ , then  $\|f/\|f\|_N\|_N = 1$ ; so by the previous calculations, we have  $|F_g(f/\|f\|_N)| \leq \|g\|_N$ , and therefore  $|F_g(f)| \leq \|g\|_N \|f\|_N$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.* Using one of the well-known inequalities for Musielak-Orlicz functions (see [2, page 142]), which in this case is

$$u^{p(t)} \leq \frac{(2u)^{p(t)}}{p(t)},$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \|g(t)\|_2 \left( \frac{\|g(t)\|_2}{\|g\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t) &= \|g\|_N \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\|g(t)\|_2}{\|g\|_N} \right)^{p(t)} d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \|g\|_N \int_0^1 \left( 2 \frac{\|g(t)\|_2}{\|g\|_N} \right)^{p(t)} \frac{d\mu(t)}{p(t)} \\ &= \|g\|_N M \left( 2 \frac{g}{\|g\|_N} \right) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Using the semi-inner product given above, we now have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $H$  be an arbitrary Hermitian operator on  $N$ , and let  $f_1, f_2 \in N_0$  with disjoint supports  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , respectively, where*

$$N_0 = \{f \in N : M(\lambda f) < \infty, \text{ for all } \lambda > 0\}.$$

*Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_1} \frac{\langle Hf_2(t), f_1(t) \rangle}{\|f_1(t)\|_2} \left( \frac{\|f_1(t)\|_2}{\|f_1 + e^{i\theta} f_2\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t) \\ = \int_{A_2} \frac{\overline{\langle Hf_1(t), f_2(t) \rangle}}{\|f_2(t)\|_2} \left( \frac{\|f_2(t)\|_2}{\|f_1 + e^{i\theta} f_2\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The proof of this lemma is based on the fact that  $F_{f_1 + e^{i\theta} f_2}(H(f_1 + e^{i\theta} f_2))$  is real for all real  $\theta$  and  $f_1, f_2 \in N$ . (We follow the same steps as in [2, page 142].)

Let  $f_1, f_2 \in N_0$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $g = f_1 + e^{i\theta} f_2$ . Then  $g \in N_0$  and  $Hg = Hf_1 + e^{i\theta} Hf_2$ . By the previous lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F_g(Hg)}{C(g)} &= \int_0^1 \frac{\langle Hg(t), g(t) \rangle}{\|g(t)\|_2} \left( \frac{\|g(t)\|_2}{\|g\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{\langle Hf_1(t), g(t) \rangle}{\|g(t)\|_2} \left( \frac{\|g(t)\|_2}{\|g\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + e^{i\theta} \int_0^1 \frac{\langle Hf_2(t), g(t) \rangle}{\|g(t)\|_2} \left( \frac{\|g(t)\|_2}{\|g\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t) \\
& = \int_{A_1} \frac{\langle Hf_1(t), f_1(t) \rangle}{\|f_1(t)\|_2} \left( \frac{\|f_1(t)\|_2}{\|f_1 + e^{i\theta}f_2\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t) \\
& \quad + e^{-i\theta} \int_{A_2} \frac{\langle Hf_1(t), f_2(t) \rangle}{\|f_2(t)\|_2} \left( \frac{\|f_2(t)\|_2}{\|f_1 + e^{i\theta}f_2\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t) \\
& \quad + e^{i\theta} \int_{A_1} \frac{\langle Hf_2(t), f_1(t) \rangle}{\|f_1(t)\|_2} \left( \frac{\|f_1(t)\|_2}{\|f_1 + e^{i\theta}f_2\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t) \\
& \quad + \int_{A_2} \frac{\langle Hf_2(t), f_2(t) \rangle}{\|f_2(t)\|_2} \left( \frac{\|f_2(t)\|_2}{\|f_1 + e^{i\theta}f_2\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Also, since  $H$  is Hermitian,  $F_g(Hg)$  is real. By Tam's lemma (see [9, page 236]), if  $a + be^{i\theta} + ce^{-i\theta} \in \mathbf{R}$  then  $b = \bar{c}$ . Thus, if

$$\begin{aligned}
b &= \int_{A_1} \frac{\langle Hf_2(t), f_1(t) \rangle}{\|f_1(t)\|_2} \left( \frac{\|f_1(t)\|_2}{\|f_1 + e^{i\theta}f_2\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t), \\
c &= \int_{A_2} \frac{\langle Hf_1(t), f_2(t) \rangle}{\|f_2(t)\|_2} \left( \frac{\|f_2(t)\|_2}{\|f_1 + e^{i\theta}f_2\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t),
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{A_1} \frac{\langle Hf_2(t), f_1(t) \rangle}{\|f_1(t)\|_2} \left( \frac{\|f_1(t)\|_2}{\|f_1 + e^{i\theta}f_2\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t) \\
& = \int_{A_2} \frac{\overline{\langle Hf_1(t), f_2(t) \rangle}}{\|f_2(t)\|_2} \left( \frac{\|f_2(t)\|_2}{\|f_1 + e^{i\theta}f_2\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $H$  be an arbitrary Hermitian operator on  $N$ . Then, for any  $z \in \mathcal{H}$  and any measurable set of positive measure  $\sigma$ , with its characteristic function  $\chi_\sigma$ , we have*

$$\text{supp } H(\chi_\sigma z) \subset \sigma$$

and

$$\chi_\sigma H(\mathbf{z}) = H(\chi_\sigma z),$$

where  $\mathbf{z}(t) = z$ , for every  $t$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma$  be a measurable set of positive measure, and assume that  $\text{supp } H(\chi_\sigma z) \subset [0, 1] \setminus \sigma$ , for any  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ . We are going to apply the previous lemma for  $f_1 = \alpha z \chi_\sigma$  and  $f_2 = \beta f$ , where  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\|z\|_2 = 1$  and  $f \in N_0$  with support  $\rho \subset [0, 1] \setminus \sigma$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\sigma} \langle Hf(t), z \rangle \frac{\alpha^{p(t)-2}}{\|\alpha z \chi_\sigma + e^{i\theta} \beta f\|_N^{p(t)-1}} d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_{\rho} \overline{\langle H\chi_\sigma z(t), f(t) \rangle} \frac{\beta^{p(t)-2} \|f(t)\|_2^{p(t)-2}}{\|\alpha z \chi_\sigma + e^{i\theta} \beta f\|_N^{p(t)-1}} d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

This is true for any  $f \in N_0$  with support in  $[0, 1] \setminus \sigma$  and any  $\alpha > 0$ . If we let  $\alpha \rightarrow 0^+$ , the last equality becomes

$$(2.1) \quad 0 = \int_{\rho} \overline{\langle H\chi_\sigma z(t), f(t) \rangle} \frac{\|f(t)\|_2^{p(t)-2}}{\beta \|f\|_N^{p(t)-1}} d\mu(t).$$

Let  $B$  be a set of positive measure in  $\rho$  such that  $\|H(\chi_\sigma z)(t)\|_2 > 0$ , for every  $t \in B$ . This implies that  $B \subset \text{supp } H(\chi_\sigma z)$ . If we let

$$f(t) = \frac{\chi_B(t) H(\chi_\sigma z)(t)}{\|H(\chi_\sigma z)(t)\|_2},$$

then

$$\|f(t)\|_2 = \chi_B(t), \quad M(f) = M(\chi_B) \quad \text{and} \quad M(\lambda f) = M(\lambda \chi_B).$$

This implies that  $\|f\|_N = \|\chi_B\|_N$ . Therefore, (2.1) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\rho} \left\langle H\chi_\sigma z(t), \frac{\chi_B(t) H(\chi_\sigma z)(t)}{\|H(\chi_\sigma z)(t)\|_2} \right\rangle \frac{(\chi_B(t))^{p(t)-2} d\mu(t)}{\beta \|\chi_B\|_N^{p(t)-1}} \\ &= \int_B \frac{\|H(\chi_\sigma z)(t)\|_2}{\beta} d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for any non-negative real-valued function  $g$  such that  $\int_B g(t) d\mu(t) = 0$ ,  $g$  must be zero, otherwise we can see that, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a set of positive measure  $B_\varepsilon \subset B$ , such that  $g > \varepsilon$  on  $B_\varepsilon$ . The following

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_B g(t) d\mu(t) \geq \int_{B_\varepsilon} g(t) d\mu(t) > \int_{B_\varepsilon} \varepsilon d\mu(t) \\ &= \varepsilon \mu(B_\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

leads to a contradiction.

We must have then  $\|H(\chi_\sigma z)(t)\|_2 = 0$  on any set of positive measure  $B \subset [0, 1] \setminus \sigma$ . We conclude that  $\text{supp } H(\chi_\sigma z)$  must be in  $\sigma$ , and since for any  $z \in \mathcal{H}$

$$z = \chi_\sigma z + \chi_{[0,1] \setminus \sigma} z$$

and

$$H(\mathbf{z}) = H(\chi_\sigma z) + H(\chi_{[0,1] \setminus \sigma} z),$$

where  $\mathbf{z}(t) = z$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\chi_\sigma H(\mathbf{z}) = H(\chi_\sigma z). \quad \square$$

**Proposition 6.** *If  $H$  is a Hermitian operator on  $N$ , then, for every  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have*

$$\|H(\mathbf{z})(t)\|_2 \leq \|H\| \|z\|_2 \text{ almost everywhere.}$$

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be a Hermitian operator on  $N$ ,  $\sigma$  a set of positive measure and  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ . The Hermitian operator  $H$  is assumed to be bounded on  $N$ ; therefore, for any positive measure  $\sigma$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(\chi_\sigma z)\|_N &\leq \|H\| \|\chi_\sigma z\|_N, \\ \left\| \frac{H(\chi_\sigma z)}{\|H\| \|\chi_\sigma z\|_N} \right\|_N &\leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(2.2) \quad \int_\sigma \left( \frac{\|H(\mathbf{z})(t)\|_2}{\|H\| \|\chi_\sigma z\|_N} \right)^{p(t)} \frac{d\mu(t)}{p(t)} = M \left( \frac{H(\chi_\sigma z)}{\|H\| \|\chi_\sigma z\|_N} \right) \leq 1,$$

by the previous proposition.

We claim that

$$\|H(\mathbf{z})(t)\|_2 \leq \|H\| \|z\|_2 \text{ almost everywhere.}$$



Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\sigma = \{t \in [0, 1] : (1 + \varepsilon)\|H\|\|z\|_2 < \|H(\mathbf{z})(t)\|_2\}$ . Assume that  $\sigma$  has positive measure. On  $\sigma$ , we have

$$\frac{(1 + \varepsilon)\|z\|_2}{\|\chi_\sigma z\|_N} < \frac{\|H(\mathbf{z})(t)\|_2}{\|H\|\|\chi_\sigma z\|_N},$$

and therefore,

$$(2.3) \quad \int_\sigma \left( \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)\|z\|_2}{\|\chi_\sigma z\|_N} \right)^{p(t)} \frac{d\mu(t)}{p(t)} < \int_\sigma \left( \frac{\|H(\mathbf{z})(t)\|_2}{\|H\|\|\chi_\sigma z\|_N} \right)^{p(t)} \frac{d\mu(t)}{p(t)} \leq 1 \quad \text{by (2.2).}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} M\left(\frac{\chi_\sigma z}{\|\chi_\sigma z\|_N}\right) &= \int_\sigma \left( \frac{\|z\|_2}{\|\chi_\sigma z\|_N} \right)^{p(t)} \frac{d\mu(t)}{p(t)} \\ &< \int_\sigma \left( \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)\|z\|_2}{\|\chi_\sigma z\|_N} \right)^{p(t)} \frac{d\mu(t)}{p(t)} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

But, we know that

$$\left\| \frac{\chi_\sigma z}{\|\chi_\sigma z\|_N} \right\|_N = 1,$$

and therefore we must have

$$M\left(\frac{\chi_\sigma z}{\|\chi_\sigma z\|_N}\right) = 1,$$

which is a contradiction with the previous relation. Therefore  $\mu(\sigma) = 0$ . Since on  $\sigma$

$$\|H(\mathbf{z})(t)\|_2 > (1 + \varepsilon)\|H\|\|z\|_2 > \|H\|\|z\|_2,$$

then

$$\|H(\mathbf{z})(t)\|_2 \leq \|H\|\|z\|_2 \quad \text{almost everywhere.} \quad \square$$

**2.2. Main theorem.** The next theorem gives us a characterization of the Hermitian operators on  $N$ .

**Theorem 7.** *The operator  $H$  is a Hermitian operator on  $N$  if and only if there is a strongly measurable map  $A$  of  $[0, 1]$  such that  $A(\cdot)$  is a Hermitian  $B(\mathcal{H})$ -valued function,  $A(\cdot)z \in N$ ,  $\|A(t)\| \leq \|H\|$  and for every  $f$  in  $N$*

$$(Hf)(t) = A(t)f(t) \text{ almost everywhere.}$$

*Proof.* The sufficiency of the theorem follows directly. To prove the necessity, let  $H$  to be a Hermitian operator on  $N$  and  $(e_n)$  an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ . For every  $n$  and every  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we define

$$\mathbf{e}_n(t) = e_n.$$

Also, let  $\mathcal{D}_0$  be the set of all finite linear combinations of  $(e_n)$  with rational coefficients. Then  $\mathcal{D}_0$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

For every  $n$ , let's define

$$f_n(t) = H(\mathbf{e}_n)(t) \text{ almost everywhere.}$$

We will assume that a specific function rather than an equivalence class has been chosen (see [8, page 279]). We can see that, for scalars  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ , we have

$$H\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{e}_i\right)(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i H(\mathbf{e}_i)(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f_i(t),$$

outside of a set  $E_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}$  of measure zero. If we let  $E = \bigcup_{\alpha_i \in \mathbf{Q}} E_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}$ , then  $E$  has measure zero. For every  $t \in [0, 1] \setminus E$  we define

$$A(t)e_n = f_n(t),$$

and we extend  $A(t)$  linearly on  $\mathcal{D}_0$

$$A(t)\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f_i(t) = H\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{e}_i\right)(t).$$

Hence for every  $v \in \mathcal{D}_0$

$$A(t)v = H(\mathbf{v})(t) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1] \setminus E.$$

We will extend  $A(t)$  to a bounded operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Given  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ , there is a Cauchy sequence  $(z_n) \in \mathcal{D}_0$  converging to  $z$ , and for  $t \in [0, 1] \setminus E$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(t)z_n - A(t)z_m\|_2 &= \|H(\mathbf{z}_n)(t) - H(\mathbf{z}_m)(t)\|_2 \\ &= \|H(\mathbf{z}_n - \mathbf{z}_m)(t)\|_2 \\ &\leq \|H\| \|z_n - z_m\|_2 \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

by the previous proposition. This implies that  $(A(t)z_n)$  is Cauchy in  $\mathcal{H}$ , so it must have a limit in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then, for  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} A(t)z &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(t)z_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(\mathbf{z}_n)(t) \\ &\text{for every } t \text{ in } [0, 1] \setminus E. \end{aligned}$$

It can be seen that  $A(t)$  is well defined. Also,  $A(t)z$  is bounded since,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(t)z\|_2 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|H(\mathbf{z}_n)(t)\|_2 \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \|H(\mathbf{z}_n)(t)\|_2 \\ &\leq \|H\| \|z\|_2 \text{ almost everywhere,} \end{aligned}$$

and, therefore,

$$\|A(t)\| \leq \|H\| \text{ for every } t \text{ in } [0, 1] \setminus E.$$

In addition,

$$M\left(\frac{A(\cdot)z}{\|H\| \|z\|_2}\right) = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\|A(t)z\|_2}{\|H\| \|z\|_2}\right)^{p(t)} \frac{dt}{p(t)} \leq 1.$$

Therefore  $A(\cdot)z \in N$ . We also have

$$\begin{aligned} M\left(\frac{A(\cdot)z - H(\mathbf{z}_n)(\cdot)}{\varepsilon}\right) &= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\|A(t)z - H(\mathbf{z}_n)(t)\|_2}{\varepsilon}\right)^{p(t)} \frac{d\mu(t)}{p(t)} \\ &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \|A(\cdot)z - H(\mathbf{z}_n)(\cdot)\|_N \leq \varepsilon.$$

To prove that  $A(\cdot)z$  is a strongly measurable function from  $[0, 1]$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ , we will fix  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ . Recall that a function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is strongly measurable if it is the  $\mu$ -a.e. limit of a sequence of simple functions of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \chi_{E_i}$ , where  $x_i \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $E_i \in \Sigma$ . Let  $(\varphi_m) = (\sum_{i=1}^{k_m} x_i \chi_{E_i})$  to be a sequence of simple functions in  $N$  converging to  $z$ . Then, by Proposition 5, for each  $m$ ,

$$H(\varphi_m)(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_m} H(x_i \chi_{E_i})(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_m} \chi_{E_i}(t) H(\mathbf{x}_i)(t)$$

is also a simple function, and using Proposition 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(\mathbf{z})(t) - H(\varphi_m)(t)\|_2 &= \|H(\mathbf{z} - \varphi_m)(t)\|_2 \\ &\leq \|H\| \|\mathbf{z}(t) - \varphi_m(t)\|_2 \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of  $A(\cdot)z$ , for every  $t$  in  $[0, 1] \setminus E$ ,  $A(t)z = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} H(\varphi_m)(t)$ , so we must have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(t)z - H(\mathbf{z})(t)\|_2 \\ \leq \|A(t)z - H(\varphi_m)(t)\|_2 + \|H(\mathbf{z})(t) - H(\varphi_m)(t)\|_2 \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $A(t)z$  is strongly measurable for each  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ .

We claim now that  $A(t) = A^*(t)$  for every  $t$  in  $[0, 1] \setminus E$ . Since  $H$  is a Hermitian operator on  $N$ , the s.i.p  $F_{\mathbf{z}}(H\mathbf{z}) \in \mathbf{R}$  for any  $\mathbf{z} \in N$ . In particular,  $F_{\chi_\sigma z}(H\chi_\sigma z) \in \mathbf{R}$  for any set of positive measure  $\sigma \subset [0, 1]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\chi_\sigma z}(H\chi_\sigma z) &= \frac{\|\chi_\sigma z\|_N^2 \int_0^1 \frac{\langle H(\chi_\sigma z)(t), \chi_\sigma z(t) \rangle}{\|\chi_\sigma z(t)\|_2} \left( \frac{\|\chi_\sigma z(t)\|_2}{\|\chi_\sigma z\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t)}{\int_0^1 \|\chi_\sigma z(t)\|_2 \left( \frac{\|\chi_\sigma z(t)\|_2}{\|\chi_\sigma z\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t)} \\ &= \frac{\|\chi_\sigma z\|_N^2 \int_0^1 \frac{\langle \chi_\sigma H(\mathbf{z})(t), (\chi_\sigma z)(t) \rangle}{\|\chi_\sigma z(t)\|_2} \left( \frac{\|\chi_\sigma z(t)\|_2}{\|\chi_\sigma z\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t)}{\int_0^1 \|\chi_\sigma z(t)\|_2 \left( \frac{\|\chi_\sigma z(t)\|_2}{\|\chi_\sigma z\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t)} \\ &= \frac{\|\chi_\sigma z\|_N^2 \int_\sigma \frac{\langle A(t)z, z \rangle}{\|\chi_\sigma z(t)\|_2} \left( \frac{\|\chi_\sigma z(t)\|_2}{\|\chi_\sigma z\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t)}{\int_0^1 \|\chi_\sigma z(t)\|_2 \left( \frac{\|\chi_\sigma z(t)\|_2}{\|\chi_\sigma z\|_N} \right)^{p(t)-1} d\mu(t)} \in \mathbf{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that, if  $\int_{\sigma} f \, d\mu = \int_{\sigma} \operatorname{Re}(f) \, d\mu + i \int_{\sigma} \operatorname{Im}(f) \, d\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ , then

$$\int_{\sigma} \operatorname{Im}(f) \, d\mu = 0,$$

for any set of positive measure  $\sigma \subset [0, 1]$ . Therefore  $\operatorname{Im}(f) = 0$  almost everywhere, so  $f \in \mathbf{R}$  almost everywhere. We must have then

$$\langle A(t)z, z \rangle \in \mathbf{R}$$

outside of a set of measure zero  $E_z$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}_0$  is countable, there is a set  $E_0 \in \Sigma$  of measure zero such that, for every  $z \in \mathcal{D}_0$  and  $t \in [0, 1] \setminus (E_0 \cup E)$  we have  $\langle A(t)z, z \rangle \in \mathbf{R}$ . Also  $\mathcal{D}_0$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$  and the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is continuous in both variables, so  $\langle A(t)z, z \rangle \in \mathbf{R}$  for  $t \in [0, 1] \setminus (E_0 \cup E)$  and each  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ , hence  $A(t) = A^*(t)$  almost everywhere.

We are left to prove that  $(Hf)(t) = A(t)f(t)$  for  $f$  in  $N$ . Let's define a bounded linear operator  $M_A$  on  $N$  by

$$(M_A f)(t) = A(t)f(t) \text{ for almost every } t$$

(see [4, page 368]). We claim that  $M_A$  and  $H$  are the same for simple functions, and therefore for all functions on  $N$ . From (2.4) we have

$$H(\mathbf{z})(\cdot) = A(\cdot)z = M_A \mathbf{z}(\cdot).$$

With this, for a simple function  $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \chi_{E_i}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (M_A \varphi)(t) &= \left( M_A \left( \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \chi_{E_i} \right) \right)(t) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \chi_{E_i}(t) (H \mathbf{x}_i)(t) \\ &= H \left( \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \chi_{E_i} \right)(t) = H(\varphi)(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since the simple functions are dense in  $N$ , it follows that  $M_A f = Hf$  for every  $f \in N$ , so we have

$$Hf(\cdot) = A(\cdot)f(\cdot), \text{ for every } f \in N. \quad \square$$

### 3. Isometries on $N$ .

**3.1. Preliminary results.** Let  $f \in N$  such that  $\|f\|_N = 1$  and  $U$  is a surjective isometry of  $N$ . In what follows, we are interested in finding the form of the surjective isometries on  $N$  and for that we need the following results.

For  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , define the operator  $C_\sigma$  on  $N$  by

$$(C_\sigma f)(t) = \chi_\sigma(t) f(t), \quad \text{for } f \in N.$$

If we consider the map  $M_A$  on  $N$ , defined as in the proof of Theorem 7 by  $(M_A f)(t) = A(t)f(t)$  for each  $A \in \Sigma$ , we have

$$(3.1) \quad C_\sigma M_A = M_A C_\sigma.$$

In addition, the operator  $C_\sigma$  is a Hermitian projection on  $N$ . Since  $U$  is an isometry on  $N$ , it follows that the operator  $UC_\sigma U^{-1}$  is a Hermitian projection on  $N$  (see [4, page 364]), and the previous theorem implies that, for  $f \in N$

$$UC_\sigma U^{-1} f(\cdot) = P_\sigma(\cdot) f(\cdot),$$

where  $P_\sigma(t)$  is a Hermitian projection for almost all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . So

$$UC_\sigma U^{-1} = M_{P_\sigma}.$$

We will prove that

$$UC_\sigma U^{-1} = C_{\varphi^{-1}(\sigma)},$$

where  $\varphi^{-1}$  is a regular set isomorphism of  $\Sigma$ , using the following results.

**Lemma 8.** *If  $A(\cdot)$  is strongly measurable, uniformly bounded Hermitian operator-valued function on  $\mathcal{H}$ , then*

$$M_{P_\sigma} M_A = M_A M_{P_\sigma} \text{ almost everywhere,}$$

where  $M_{P_\sigma} = UC_\sigma U^{-1}$ ,  $(C_\sigma f)(t) = \chi_\sigma(t)f(t)$  for  $f \in N$  and  $U$  is a surjective isometry on  $N$ .

*Proof.* For any  $f \in N$  with  $\|f\|_N = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(C_\sigma f) &= \int_0^1 \frac{\|C_\sigma f(t)\|_2^{p(t)}}{p(t)} d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_\sigma \frac{\|f(t)\|_2^{p(t)}}{p(t)} d\mu(t) \\ &\leq M(f) \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to  $\|C_\sigma f\|_N \leq 1$ . Since  $U$  is an isometry of  $N$  and  $\|C_\sigma\| \leq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_{P_\sigma} f\|_N &= \|UC_\sigma U^{-1} f\|_N = \|C_\sigma U^{-1} f\|_N \\ &\leq \|C_\sigma\| \|U^{-1} f\|_N = \|C_\sigma\| \|f\|_N \\ &\leq \|f\|_N = 1. \end{aligned}$$

By our assumptions,  $A(\cdot)$  is a strongly measurable uniformly bounded Hermitian operator-valued function on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $U^{-1}M_A U$  defines a Hermitian operator on  $N$ , and therefore it must be of the form  $M_{\tilde{A}} f(t) = \tilde{A}(t)f(t)$  almost everywhere, where  $\tilde{A}(\cdot)$  is a Hermitian operator-valued on  $\mathcal{H}$ . By (3.1) we have

$$C_\sigma M_{\tilde{A}} = M_{\tilde{A}} C_\sigma \text{ almost everywhere,}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} M_{P_\sigma} M_A &= UC_\sigma U^{-1} M_A = UC_\sigma M_{\tilde{A}} U^{-1} \\ &= U M_{\tilde{A}} C_\sigma U^{-1} = M_A UC_\sigma U^{-1} \\ &= M_A M_{P_\sigma} \text{ almost everywhere.} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 9.** If  $T = T^*$ , where  $T(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  then  $M_{P_\sigma} M_T = M_T M_{P_\sigma}$  almost everywhere.

**Corollary 10.** If  $K(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $M_{P_\sigma} M_K = M_K M_{P_\sigma}$  almost everywhere.

*Proof.* If  $K(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  we can write

$$K = \frac{K + K^*}{2} + i \frac{K - K^*}{2i},$$

where  $(K + K^*)/2$  and  $(K - K^*)/2i$  are Hermitian operators. Applying the previous corollary to each  $(K + K^*)/2$ ,  $(K - K^*)/2i$ , we have

$$M_{P_\sigma} M_{(K+K^*)/2} = M_{(K+K^*)/2} M_{P_\sigma} \text{ almost everywhere,}$$

and

$$M_{P_\sigma} M_{(K-K^*)/2i} = M_{(K-K^*)/2i} M_{P_\sigma} \text{ almost everywhere.}$$

It is easy to see that  $M_K M_{P_\sigma} = M_{P_\sigma} M_K$  almost everywhere, since

$$\begin{aligned} M_K f(t) &= K(t) f(t) \\ &= \frac{K + K^*}{2}(t) f(t) + i \frac{K - K^*}{2i}(t) f(t) \\ &= M_{(K+K^*)/2} f(t) + i M_{(K-K^*)/2i} f(t), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} M_K M_{P_\sigma} &= M_{(K+K^*)/2} M_{P_\sigma} + i M_{(K-K^*)/2i} M_{P_\sigma} \\ &= M_{P_\sigma} M_{(K+K^*)/2} + i M_{P_\sigma} M_{(K-K^*)/2i} \\ &= M_{P_\sigma} M_K \text{ almost everywhere. } \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 11.** *For each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , there exist a regular set isomorphism  $\varphi^{-1}$  of  $\Sigma$  such that*

$$UC_\sigma U^{-1} = C_{\varphi^{-1}(\sigma)}.$$

*Proof.* By the previous corollary, for any  $z \in \mathcal{H}$  and for any  $K(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , there is a set  $E(z, K, \sigma)$  of measure zero, such that

$$(3.2) \quad P_\sigma(t) K(t) z = K(t) P_\sigma(t) z,$$

for every  $t$  outside of  $E(z, K, \sigma)$ .

Let  $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$ , such that  $\|u\|_2 = 1$ ,  $\|v\|_2 = 1$ , and for a vector  $w \in \mathcal{H}$ , we define the constant function  $\mathbf{w}(t) = w$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and

$$K(\cdot) \mathbf{w}(\cdot) = (u \otimes v) w = \langle w, v \rangle u.$$



Then, we have

$$\|K(t) \mathbf{w}(t)\|_2 \leq \|w\|_2 \|v\|_2 \|u\|_2 = \|w\|_2.$$

Also, by separability of  $\mathcal{H}$ , there is a countable dense set  $\mathcal{H}_0$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  and two sequences  $(u_n), (v_n) \in \mathcal{H}_0$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  and  $v_n \rightarrow v$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We define

$$K_n(t) \mathbf{w}(t) = \langle w, v_n \rangle u_n, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

which converges in norm to  $K$ . To see that, let  $\|w\|_2 = 1$ ; we compute

$$\begin{aligned} K(t) \mathbf{w}(t) - K_n(t) \mathbf{w}(t) &= \langle w, v \rangle u - \langle w, v_n \rangle u_n \\ &= \langle w, v \rangle u - \langle w, v_n \rangle u \\ &\quad + \langle w, v_n \rangle u - \langle w, v_n \rangle u_n \\ &= \langle w, v - v_n \rangle u + \langle w, v_n \rangle (u - u_n) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|K(t) \mathbf{w}(t) - K_n(t) \mathbf{w}(t)\|_2 \\ \leq \|w\|_2 \|v - v_n\|_2 \|u\|_2 + \|w\|_2 \|v_n\|_2 \|u - u_n\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore

$$\|K - K_n\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (3.2), for  $t \in [0, 1] \setminus E(z, K_n, \sigma)$ , we have

$$P_\sigma(t) K_n(t) z = K_n(t) P_\sigma(t) z.$$

Let  $E(z, \sigma) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} E(z, K_n, \sigma)$ ; we can see that the measure of  $E(z, \sigma)$  is zero and, for  $t \in [0, 1] \setminus E(z, \sigma)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \|P_\sigma(t) K(t) z - K(t) P_\sigma(t) z\|_2 \\ &\leq \|P_\sigma(t) K(t) z - P_\sigma(t) K_n(t) z\|_2 \\ &\quad + \|K_n(t) P_\sigma(t) z - K(t) P_\sigma(t) z\|_2 \\ &\leq \|P_\sigma(t)\|_2 \|K - K_n\| \|z\|_2 \\ &\quad + \|K_n - K\| \|P_\sigma(t)\|_2 \|z\|_2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$P_\sigma(t) K(t) z = K(t) P_\sigma(t) z, \text{ for } t \in [0, 1] \setminus E(z, \sigma).$$

Also, we can find a countable dense set  $\mathcal{H}_1$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , such that for any  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ , there is a sequence  $(z_n) \in \mathcal{H}_1$  such that  $z_n \rightarrow z$  and

$$P_\sigma(t) K(t) z_n = K(t) P_\sigma(t) z_n,$$

for every  $t \in [0, 1] \setminus E(z_n, \sigma)$ . Let  $E(\sigma) = \cup_{n \geq 1} E(z_n, \sigma)$ ; we can see that the measure of  $E(\sigma)$  is zero and for  $t \in [0, 1] \setminus E(\sigma)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \|P_\sigma(t) K(t) z - K(t) P_\sigma(t) z\|_2 \\ &\leq \|P_\sigma(t) K(t) z - P_\sigma(t) K(t) z_n\|_2 \\ &\quad + \|K(t) P_\sigma(t) z_n - K(t) P_\sigma(t) z\|_2 \\ &\leq \|P_\sigma(t)\|_2 \|K\| \|z - z_n\|_2 \\ &\quad + \|K\| \|P_\sigma(t)\|_2 \|z - z_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain a measure zero set  $E(\sigma)$  outside of which

$$(3.3) \quad P_\sigma(t) K(t) z = K(t) P_\sigma(t) z.$$

Given  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , we define

$$S_\sigma = \{t \in [0, 1] : P_\sigma(t) \neq 0\} = \left\{t \in [0, 1] : \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists } z_t \text{ such that } P_\sigma(t) z_t \neq 0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Suppose there is a subset of positive measure  $\sigma_1 \subset S_\sigma \cap ([0, 1] \setminus E(\sigma))$  such that  $P_\sigma(t) \neq \text{Id}_{\mathcal{H}}$ , for every  $t \in \sigma_1$ . If we let  $t \in \sigma_1$ , there exist  $v_1$  and  $v_2 \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\|v_1\|_2 = \|v_2\|_2 = 1$ , such that  $P_\sigma(t)v_1 = v_1$  and  $P_\sigma(t)v_2 = 0$ . Let  $K(\cdot)w = \langle w, v_1 \rangle v_2$ . Then

$$P_\sigma(t) K(t) v_1 = 0 \neq v_2 = K(t) P_\sigma(t) v_1,$$

which is a contradiction to (3.3). Therefore, on every set of positive measure  $\sigma_1 \subset S_\sigma \cap ([0, 1] \setminus E(\sigma))$ , we have  $P_\sigma(\cdot) = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{H}}$ . Define  $\varphi^{-1}(\sigma) = \{t \in S_\sigma \cap ([0, 1] \setminus E(\sigma)) : P_\sigma(t) = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{H}}\}$ . It follows that  $\varphi^{-1}$  is a regular set isomorphism of  $\Sigma$  ([2, page 141]),

$$P_{\sigma}(\cdot) = \chi_{\varphi^{-1}(\sigma)}(\cdot) Id_{\mathcal{H}}$$

and  $UC_{\sigma}U^{-1} = C_{\varphi^{-1}(\sigma)}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 12.* If  $\varphi$  is a regular set isomorphism of  $\Sigma$ , then  $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is an absolutely continuous measure with respect to  $\mu$ . If we let  $u$  be its Radon-Nikodym derivative, i.e.,  $\mu(\varphi^{-1}(\sigma)) = \int_{\sigma} u(t) d\mu(t)$  for any  $\sigma$ , then we have  $\mu(\sigma) = \int_{\varphi(\sigma)} u(t) d\mu(t) = \int \chi_{\sigma}(\varphi^{-1}(t))u(t) d\mu(t)$ . It can easily be shown that

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\sigma} f(t) d\mu(t) = \int_{\varphi(\sigma)} f(\varphi^{-1}(t)) u(t) d\mu(t)$$

and

$$\int_{\varphi(\sigma)} f(t) d\mu(t) = \int_{\sigma} f(\varphi(t)) [u(\varphi(t))]^{-1} d\mu(t).$$

**3.2. Main theorem.** The next theorem gives us a characterization of the surjective isometries on  $N$ .

**Theorem 13.** *If  $U$  is a surjective isometry on  $N$ , then there is a regular set isomorphism  $\varphi^{-1}$  of  $\Sigma$ , a strongly measurable map  $V$  of  $[0, 1]$  into  $B(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $V(t)$  is an isometry of  $\mathcal{H}$  onto itself for almost all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and  $u$  is a measurable function that satisfies  $\mu(\sigma) = \int_{\varphi(\sigma)} u(t) d\mu(t)$  such that  $p(t) = p(\varphi^{-1}(t))$  almost everywhere and*

$$Uf(t) = [u(\varphi(t))]^{-1/p(t)} V(\varphi(t)) f(\varphi(t)).$$

*Conversely, if there is a regular set isomorphism  $\varphi^{-1}$  of  $\Sigma$  such that  $p(t) = p(\varphi^{-1}(t))$  almost everywhere, a strongly measurable map  $V$  of  $[0, 1]$  into  $B(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $V(t)$  is an isometry of  $\mathcal{H}$  onto itself for almost all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and  $u$  a measurable function that satisfies  $\mu(\sigma) = \int_{\varphi(\sigma)} u(t) d\mu(t)$  such that*

$$Uf(t) = [u(\varphi(t))]^{-1/p(t)} V(\varphi(t)) f(\varphi(t)).$$

*then  $U$  is a surjective isometry on  $N$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma$  be a set of positive measures defined on  $\Sigma$ , and let  $U$  be a surjective isometry of the space  $N$ . Based on Lemma 11, for any  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$U(\chi_\sigma z) = U(C_\sigma z) = U(C_\sigma U^{-1}Uz) = C_{\varphi^{-1}(\sigma)}Uz = \chi_{\varphi^{-1}(\sigma)}Uz,$$

where  $\varphi$  is a regular set isomorphism of  $\Sigma$  and therefore, for a function  $f \in N$ ,

$$U(\chi_\sigma f) = \chi_{\varphi^{-1}(\sigma)}Uf = (\chi_\sigma \circ \varphi)Uf.$$

We can extend this relation linearly to have

$$U\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{\sigma_i} f\right)(t) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{\sigma_i}(\varphi(t))\right)Uf(t)$$

and, therefore, for any scalar function  $h$  on  $[0, 1]$ , we have

$$(3.5) \quad U(hf)(t) = h(\varphi(t))Uf(t).$$

If  $f \in N$  such that  $\|f(t)\|_2 = 1$  and  $h$  is a scalar function on  $[0, 1]$  with

$$(3.6) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{|h(t)|^{p(t)}}{p(t)} d\mu(t) = 1,$$

we have  $M(hf) = 1$ , and therefore  $\|hf\|_N = 1$ . Since  $U$  is an isometry on  $N$ , we have  $\|U(hf)\|_N = 1$  so, by (3.5), that gives us

$$1 = M(U(hf)) = \int_0^1 \frac{|h(\varphi(t))|^{p(t)}}{p(t)} \|g(t)\|_2^{p(t)} d\mu(t),$$

where  $g = U(f)$ . If we make a change of variables, by (3.4), the previous relation changes to

$$(3.7) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{|h(t)|^{p(\varphi^{-1}(t))}}{p(\varphi^{-1}(t))} \|g(\varphi^{-1}(t))\|_2^{p(\varphi^{-1}(t))} u(t) d\mu(t) = 1,$$

where  $u$  is the Radon-Nikodym derivation of  $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}$  with respect to  $\mu$ . The relation (3.7) is true for any scalar function  $h$  on  $[0, 1]$  that satisfies (3.6).

Next, we claim that  $p(t) = p(\varphi^{-1}(t))$  almost everywhere. Let  $A = \{t \in [0, 1] : p(t) < \gamma < \beta < p(\varphi^{-1}(t))\}$ , for some positive  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$ . If we assume that  $A$  has positive measure, we can find two disjoint positive measure subsets  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  of  $A$  such that  $A_1 \cup A_2 = A$ . We select two positive scalars  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  such that

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{A_1} \frac{\alpha_1^{p(t)}}{p(t)} d\mu(t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{A_2} \frac{\alpha_2^{p(t)}}{p(t)} d\mu(t) = 1.$$

By (3.7) we must have

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{A_1} \frac{\alpha_1^{p(\varphi^{-1}(t))}}{p(\varphi^{-1}(t))} \|g(\varphi^{-1}(t))\|_2^{p(\varphi^{-1}(t))} u(t) d\mu(t) = 1$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad \int_{A_2} \frac{\alpha_2^{p(\varphi^{-1}(t))}}{p(\varphi^{-1}(t))} \|g(\varphi^{-1}(t))\|_2^{p(\varphi^{-1}(t))} u(t) d\mu(t) = 1.$$

Now, let  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  be two positive scalars such that  $\|c_1 \chi_{A_1} \alpha_1 + c_2 \chi_{A_2} \alpha_2\|_N = 1$ . We can see that  $c_1, c_2 \leq 1$ . If we let  $h = c_1 \chi_{A_1} \alpha_1 + c_2 \chi_{A_2} \alpha_2$ , by the previous relation we have  $\|h\|_N = 1$ , so

$$1 = M(h) = \int_0^1 \frac{|(c_1 \chi_{A_1} \alpha_1 + c_2 \chi_{A_2} \alpha_2)(t)|^{p(t)}}{p(t)} d\mu(t).$$

The sets  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  were chosen to be disjoint, and the scalars  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  were chosen to satisfy (3.8), so we have

$$1 = \int_{A_1} \frac{c_1^{p(t)} \alpha_1^{p(t)}}{p(t)} d\mu(t) + \int_{A_2} \frac{c_2^{p(t)} \alpha_2^{p(t)}}{p(t)} d\mu(t) > c_1^\gamma + c_2^\gamma.$$

On the other hand, we have  $1 = M(U(h))$ , so by (3.7) we have

$$1 = \int_0^1 \frac{|(c_1 \chi_{A_1} \alpha_1 + c_2 \chi_{A_2} \alpha_2)(t)|^{p(\varphi^{-1}(t))}}{p(\varphi^{-1}(t))} \|g(\varphi^{-1}(t))\|_2^{p(\varphi^{-1}(t))} u(t) d\mu(t).$$

Similarly, it follows from (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int_{A_1} \frac{c_1^{p(\varphi^{-1}(t))} \alpha_1^{p(\varphi^{-1}(t))}}{p(\varphi^{-1}(t))} \|g(\varphi^{-1}(t))\|_2^{p(\varphi^{-1}(t))} u(t) d\mu(t) \\ &\quad + \int_{A_2} \frac{c_2^{p(\varphi^{-1}(t))} \alpha_2^{p(\varphi^{-1}(t))}}{p(\varphi^{-1}(t))} \|g(\varphi^{-1}(t))\|_2^{p(\varphi^{-1}(t))} u(t) d\mu(t) \\ &< c_1^\beta + c_2^\beta < c_1^\gamma + c_2^\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the previous relation obtained. Therefore  $\mu(A) = 0$ , so, outside of a set  $A$  of measure zero, we have

$$p(t) \geq p(\varphi^{-1}(t)).$$

Using a similar argument, we can prove that, outside of a set  $A'$  of measure zero,

$$p(t) \leq p(\varphi^{-1}(t)).$$

Consequently,

$$p(t) = p(\varphi^{-1}(t)) \text{ almost everywhere.}$$

With this, (3.7) becomes

$$(3.11) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{|h(t)|^{p(t)}}{p(t)} \|g(\varphi^{-1}(t))\|_2^{p(t)} u(t) d\mu(t) = 1 \text{ almost everywhere,}$$

whenever

$$\int_0^1 \frac{|h(t)|^{p(t)}}{p(t)} = 1.$$

Now, in a similar way, we prove that  $\|g(\varphi^{-1}(t))\|_2^{p(t)} u(t) = 1$  almost everywhere. We assume that there is a set of positive measure  $B = \{t \in [0, 1] : \|g(\varphi^{-1}(t))\|_2^{p(t)} > 1/u(t)\}$ . If we let  $h$  be a scalar function with support in  $B$  that satisfies (3.6), by (3.11) we have outside of a zero measure set  $A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int_0^1 \frac{|h(t)|^{p(t)}}{p(t)} \|g(\varphi^{-1}(t))\|_2^{p(t)} u(t) d\mu(t) \\ &> \int_B \frac{|h(t)|^{p(t)}}{p(t)} d\mu(t) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, so we must have  $\mu(B) = 0$ . In a similar fashion we can prove that the set  $B'$ , on which  $\|g(\varphi^{-1}(t))\|_2^{p(t)} < 1/u(t)$ , must have measure zero; therefore,  $\|g(\varphi^{-1}(t))\|_2^{p(t)} = 1/u(t)$  outside of the measure zero set  $(A \cup A' \cup B \cup B')$ . Replacing back  $g = U(f)$ , we have

$$\|U(f)(\varphi^{-1}(t))\|_2 = \frac{1}{u(t)^{1/p(t)}} \text{ almost everywhere,}$$

for a function  $f \in N$  such that  $\|f(t)\|_2 = 1$ . Therefore, for any  $f \in N$ , we have

$$(3.12) \quad \|U(f)(\varphi^{-1}(t))\|_2 u(t)^{1/p(t)} = \|f(t)\|_2 \text{ almost everywhere.}$$

Since  $\mathcal{H}$  is separable, there is a dense linear span  $\mathcal{D}_0$  of all linear combinations with rational coefficients of an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ . For every element of  $(e_n)$ , where  $e_n \in \mathcal{D}_0$  for every  $n \geq 1$ , let's define the operator  $V(t)$  by

$$V(t)e_n = U(\mathbf{e}_n)(\varphi^{-1}(t)) [u(t)]^{1/p(t)},$$

where  $t$  is in  $[0, 1]$  outside of a set of measure zero  $\sigma_n$ . By (3.12), we can see that  $V(t)$  is a linear isometry almost everywhere on the subspace  $\mathcal{D}_0$ , and for any  $t \in [0, 1] \setminus (\cup \sigma_n)$  and any  $w = \sum \lambda_j e_j \in \mathcal{D}_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(t)w &= V(t) \left( \sum \lambda_j e_j \right) \\ &= \sum \lambda_j V(t)e_j \\ &= \sum \lambda_j U(\mathbf{e}_j)(\varphi^{-1}(t)) [u(t)]^{1/p(t)} \\ &= U \left( \sum \lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j \right) (\varphi^{-1}(t)) [u(t)]^{1/p(t)} \\ &= U(\mathbf{w})(\varphi^{-1}(t)) [u(t)]^{1/p(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

For a  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ , there is a sequence  $(w_n) \in \mathcal{D}_0$  converging to  $z$ . Since  $(w_n)$  is Cauchy, it follows that  $(V(t)w_n)$  is Cauchy for any  $t \in [0, 1] \setminus \sigma$  with

$\sigma = \cup \sigma_n$ , since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|V(t) w_k - V(t) w_m\|_2 &= \left\| U(\mathbf{w}_k) (\varphi^{-1}(t)) [u(t)]^{1/p(t)} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - U(\mathbf{w}_m) (\varphi^{-1}(t)) [u(t)]^{1/p(t)} \right\|_2 \\
 &= \left\| [U(\mathbf{w}_k) - U(\mathbf{w}_m)] (\varphi^{-1}(t)) \right\|_2 [u(t)]^{1/p(t)} \\
 &= \left\| U(\mathbf{w}_k - \mathbf{w}_m) (\varphi^{-1}(t)) \right\|_2 [u(t)]^{1/p(t)} \\
 &= \|w_k - w_m\|_2 \rightarrow 0, \text{ a.e. by (3.12).}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can define

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V(t) w_n = V(t) z.$$

To see that this is well defined, let  $(z_n)$  be another sequence of  $\mathcal{D}_0$  converging to  $z$ . We have

$$\|z_n - w_n\|_2 \leq \|z_n - z\|_2 + \|w_n - z\|_2 \rightarrow 0$$

and, as before,

$$\|V(t) w_n - V(t) z_n\|_2 = \|w_n - z_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ almost everywhere.}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|V(t) z_n - V(t) z\|_2 &\leq \|V(t) z_n - V(t) w_n\|_2 + \|V(t) w_n - V(t) z\|_2 \rightarrow 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which says that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V(t) z_n = V(t) z.$$

From the fact that

$$\|z\|_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\|_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|V(t) w_n\|_2 = \|V(t) z\|_2,$$

it yields that  $V(t)$  is an isometry almost everywhere on  $\mathcal{H}$ .



Let's now define

$$Wf(t) = [u(t)]^{-1/p(t)} V(t) f(t).$$

We claim that  $U(f)(t)$  agrees with  $Wf(\varphi(t))$  since, by  $p(\varphi^{-1}(t)) = p(t)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} W(\chi_\sigma z)(\varphi(t)) &= [u(\varphi(t))]^{-1/p(t)} V(\varphi(t)) (\chi_\sigma z)(\varphi(t)) \\ &= [u(\varphi(t))]^{-1/p(t)} U(\chi_\sigma z)(\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(t))) [u(\varphi(t))]^{1/p(t)} \\ &= U(\chi_\sigma z)(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $U(f)(t)$  agrees with  $Wf(\varphi(t))$  for simple functions  $f$ , they must agree for any function of the Nakano space  $N$ . Therefore

$$U(f)(t) = Wf(\varphi(t)) = [u(\varphi(t))]^{-1/p(t)} V(\varphi(t)) f(\varphi(t)).$$

Now, for the sufficiency, assume that there is a regular set isomorphism  $\varphi^{-1}$  of  $\Sigma$  such that  $p(t) = p(\varphi^{-1}(t))$  almost everywhere, a strongly measurable map  $V$  of  $[0, 1]$  into  $B(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $V(t)$  is an isometry of  $\mathcal{H}$  onto itself for almost all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and  $u$  a measurable function that satisfies  $\mu(\sigma) = \int_{\varphi(\sigma)} u(t) d\mu(t)$  such that

$$Uf(t) = [u(\varphi(t))]^{-1/p(t)} V(\varphi(t)) f(\varphi(t)).$$

Let's compute

$$\begin{aligned} M(Uf) &= \int_0^1 \frac{\|Uf(t)\|_2^{p(t)}}{p(t)} d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{\|[u(\varphi(t))]^{-1/p(t)} V(\varphi(t)) f(\varphi(t))\|_2^{p(t)}}{p(t)} d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_{\varphi([0,1])} \frac{\|[u(\xi)]^{-1/p(\varphi^{-1}(\xi))} V(\xi) f(\xi)\|_2^{p(\varphi^{-1}(\xi))}}{p(\varphi^{-1}(\xi))} u(\xi) d\mu(\xi) \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{\|V(\xi) f(\xi)\|_2^{p(\varphi^{-1}(\xi))}}{p(\varphi^{-1}(\xi))} d\mu(\xi) \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{\|f(\xi)\|_2^{p(\varphi^{-1}(\xi))}}{p(\varphi^{-1}(\xi))} d\mu(\xi) \\ &\quad \text{since } V(\xi) \text{ is an isometry of } \mathcal{H} \text{ almost everywhere.} \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption that  $p(\varphi^{-1}(\xi)) = p(\xi)$  almost everywhere, it follows that  $M(Uf) = M(f)$  almost everywhere, and since the modular isometries are isometries, we have  $\|Uf\|_N = \|f\|_N$  almost everywhere. This concludes our proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to gratefully thank and acknowledge substantial improvement by Professor Nigel Kalton of an earlier version of this paper. Also, we thank professor Anna Kaminska for providing some basic background of the results.

## REFERENCES

1. C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of operators*, Pure Appl. Math. **129**, Academic Press, Orlando, 1988.
2. R. Fleming, J.E. Jamison and A. Kaminska, *Isometries of Musielak-Orlicz spaces*, Proc. Conf. Functional Analysis, Marcel Dekker, 1992, 139–154.
3. J.E. Jamison, A. Kaminska and P.-K. Lin, *Isometries of Musielak-Orlicz spaces II*, Stud. Math. **104** (1993), 75–89.
4. J.E. Jamison and I. Loomis, *Isometries of Orlicz spaces of vector valued functions*, Math. Z. **193** (1986), 363–371.
5. M.A. Krasnosel'skii and Ya.B. Rutickii, *Convex Functions and Orlicz spaces*, P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen, 1961.
6. G. Lumer, *Semi-inner-products spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **100** (1961), 29–43.
7. M.M. Rao and Z.D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz spaces*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1991.
8. A. Sourour, *The Isometries of  $L^p(\Omega, X)$* , J. Funct. Anal. **30** (1978), 276–285.
9. K.W. Tam, *Isometries of certain function spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **31** (1969), 233–246.
10. J.J. Uhl, Jr., *A characterization of strongly measurable Pettis integrable functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **34** (1972), 425–427.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, 323 MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES BLDG., UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO 65211

**Email address:** galn@missouri.edu

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, 373 DUNN BLDG., THE UNIVERSITY OF MEMPHIS, MEMPHIS, TN 38152

**Email address:** jjamison@memphis.edu