AFFINE ISOPERIMETRIC INEQUALITIES FOR L_p -INTERSECTION BODIES

LU FENGHONG AND MAO WEIHONG

ABSTRACT. An L_p -analog of the Busemann intersection inequality and an L_p -dual analog of the L_p -Petty projection inequality for the L_p -intersection body $(p \leq -1)$ are established. Moreover, the Busemann-Petty problem is studied and inequalities for the volume of an L_p -intersection body $(p \leq -1)$ are proved.

1. Introduction. Intersection bodies were first explicitly defined and named by Lutwak in the important paper [11]. The closure of the class of intersection bodies was studied by Goody et al. [8]. The intersection operator and the class of intersection bodies played a critical role in Zhang's [20] and Gardner's [5] solution of the famous Busemann-Petty problem. (See also Gardner et al. [7].) The study of projection bodies has a long and complicated history. Projection bodies go back to Minkowski [6, 19]. An extensive article that details this is by Bolker [1]. After the appearance of Bolker's article, projection bodies have received considerable attention, see, e.g., [2, 6, 10, 19]. As Lutwak [11] shows (and as is further elaborated in Gardner's book [6]), there is a duality between projection and intersection bodies. A number of important results regarding these notions were proved, in particular, two fundamental inequalities: the Busemann intersection inequality ([3]) and the Petty projection inequality ([17]).

In recent years Lutwak in [12, 13], using Firey's p-sum [4], extended the Brunn-Minkowski theory to the so called L_p -Brunn-Minkowski theory. In the L_p -Brunn-Minkowski theory, Lutwak, Yang and Zhang introduced the notion of the L_p -projection body and established the following L_p -Petty projection inequality (1.1), see [15].

²⁰¹⁰ AMS Mathematics subject classification. Primary 52A20, 52A40. Keywords and phrases. L_p -intersection body, L_p -centroid body, L_p -projection body, L_p -Petty projection inequality, Busemann intersection inequality.

Supported by Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission

No. 10YZ1.

Received by the editors on June 18, 2007, and in revised form on August 22, 2007.

Theorem A*. If K is a convex body that contains the origin in its interior in \mathbb{R}^n , then, for $p \geq 1$,

(1.1)
$$V(K)^{(n-p)/p}V(\Pi_n^*K) \le \omega_n^{n/p},$$

with equality if and only if K is an ellipsoid centered at the origin.

Haberl and Ludwig in [9] define the L_p -intersection body and establish some properties of L_p -intersection bodies.

One purpose of this paper is to establish the following star dual analog of the above L_p -Petty projection inequality.

Theorem A. If $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $p \leq -1$, then

$$(1.2) V(K)^{(n-p)/p}V(I_p^{\circ}K) \ge \omega_n^{n/p},$$

with equality if and only if K is a ball centered at the origin.

In fact, in Section 3 we will establish the L_p -analog of the Busemann intersection inequality (Theorem 3.3) and the star dual analog of L_p -Petty projection inequality for L_p -intersection body ($p \le -1$).

The other aim of this paper is to study the Busemann-Petty problem and to establish some inequalities for volume of L_p -intersection bodies $(p \le -1)$, Section 4.

2. Notation and preliminaries.

2.1. Support function, radial function and polar body. Let \mathcal{K}^n denote the set of convex bodies (compact,convex subsets with nonempty interiors) in the Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^n ; for the set of convex bodies containing the origin in their interiors in \mathbf{R}^n , write \mathcal{K}_o^n . Let S^{n-1} denote the unit sphere in \mathbf{R}^n .

If $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, then its support function, $h_K = h(K, \cdot) : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$, is defined by

$$(2.1) h(K, x) = \max\{x \cdot y : y \in K\}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

where $x \cdot y$ denotes the standard inner product of x and y. The Hausdorff distance, $\delta(K, L)$, between $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$, can be defined by

 $\delta(K,L) = |h_K - h_L|_{\infty}$, where $|\cdot|_{\infty}$ is the sup-norm on the space of continuous functions, $C(S^{n-1})$.

Associated with a compact subset K of \mathbf{R}^n , which is star-shaped (about the origin), is its radial function, $\rho_K = \rho(K, \cdot) : \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbf{R}$, defined by

(2.2)
$$\rho(K, x) = \max\{\lambda \ge 0 : \lambda x \in K\}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

If ρ_K is positive and continuous, K will be called a star body (about the origin). Let \mathcal{S}^n_o denote the set of star bodies (about the origin) in \mathbf{R}^n . Two star bodies K and L are said to be dilates (of each other) if $\rho(K,u)/\rho(L,u)$ is independent of $u \in S^{n-1}$.

If $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$, its polar body K^{*} , is defined by

(2.3)
$$K^* = \{ x \in \mathbf{R}^n : x \cdot y \le 1, y \in K \}.$$

It is easy to verify that $(K^*)^* = K$. From definition (2.3), it follows that if $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, then the support function and the radial function of K^* satisfy

(2.4)
$$h_{K^*} = \frac{1}{\rho_K}$$
 and $\rho_{K^*} = \frac{1}{h_K}$.

2.2. L_p -dual mixed volume. For $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $p \geq 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$, the L_p -harmonic radial combination $K +_p \varepsilon \cdot L$ is defined (see [13]) as the star body whose radial function is given by

$$\rho(K +_p \varepsilon \cdot L, \cdot)^{-p} = \rho(K, \cdot)^{-p} + \varepsilon \rho(L, \cdot)^{-p}.$$

The L_p -dual mixed volume $\widetilde{V}_{-p}(K,L)$ of star bodies K,L, for $p\geq 1,$ was defined in [13] by

(2.5)
$$-\frac{n}{p}\widetilde{V}_{-p}(K,L) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{V(K + p \varepsilon \cdot L) - V(K)}{\varepsilon}.$$

The definition above and the polar coordinate formula for volume give the following integral representation of the L_p -dual mixed volume $\widetilde{V}_{-p}(K,L)$ of star bodies K,L, for $p \geq 1$ ([13, Proposition 1.9])

(2.6)
$$\widetilde{V}_{-p}(K,L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K,v)^{n+p} \rho(L,v)^{-p} dS(v),$$

where integration is with respect to the spherical Lebesgue measure S on S^{n-1} .

From the definition of the L_p -dual mixed volume, it follows immediately that for every $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$,

$$(2.7) \widetilde{V}_{-p}(K,K) = V(K).$$

We shall also need a basic inequality for the L_p -dual mixed volumes. For $p \geq 1$, the L_p -Minkowski inequality for the L_p -dual mixed volumes states that for star bodies K and L, see [13],

(2.8)
$$\widetilde{V}_{-p}(K,L) \ge V(K)^{(n+p)/n} V(L)^{-p/n},$$

with equality if and only if K and L are dilates.

2.3. L_p -projection body and L_p -centroid body. If $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ and $p \geq 1$, then the L_p -projection body $\Pi_p K$ of K is the origin-symmetric convex body whose support function is given by ([14])

(2.9)
$$h(\Pi_p K, u)^p = \frac{1}{(n+p)c_{n,p}\omega_n} \int_{S^{n-1}} |u \cdot v|^p dS_p(K, v),$$

where

$$c_{n,p} = \frac{\omega_{n+p}}{\omega_2 \omega_n \omega_{p-1}},$$

and ω_n denotes the *n*-dimensional volume of the unit ball B in \mathbf{R}^n , namely,

$$\omega_n = \pi^{n/2} / \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{n}{2} \right).$$

If $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $p \geq 1$, then the L_p -centroid body $\Gamma_p K$ of K is the origin-symmetric convex body whose support function is given by

$$(2.10) h(\Gamma_p K, u)^p = \frac{1}{c_{n,p} V(K)} \int_K |u \cdot x|^p dx,$$

where the integration is with respect to the Lebesgue measure (see [14, 15]).

2.4. Star dual of a star body. Associated with a star body $L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ is its star dual L° , which was introduced by Moszyńska [16]. Let i be the inversion of $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, with respect to S^{n-1} :

$$i(x) := \frac{x}{||x||^2}.$$

Then the star dual L° of a star body $L \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$ is defined by

$$L^{\circ} = \operatorname{cl}(\mathbf{R}^n \backslash i(L)).$$

It is easy to verify (see [16]) that for every $u \in S^{n-1}$,

(2.11)
$$\rho(L^{\circ}, u) = \frac{1}{\rho(L, u)}.$$

3. L_p -analog of the Busemann intersection inequality. The intersection body of a star body is defined by Lutwak in [11]. If $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, then the intersection body IK of K is the origin-symmetric star body whose radial function, restricted to S^{n-1} , is given by

$$\rho(IK, u) = v(K \cap u^{\perp}),$$

where $v(K \cap u^{\perp})$ denotes the (n-1)-dimensional volume of the section of K by the linear hyperplane orthogonal to u, see [11].

In [9] Haberl and Ludwig introduced the notion of the L_p -intersection body I_pK of a star body K for p < 1. If $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and p < 1, then the L_p -intersection body I_pK of K is the origin-symmetric star body whose radial function, for $u \in S^{n-1}$, is given by

(3.1)
$$\rho(I_p K, u)^p = \int_K |u \cdot x|^{-p} \, dx.$$

Further, Haberl and Ludwig [9] established the following relation between the intersection body and the L_p -intersection body:

$$(1-p)I_pK \longrightarrow IK \text{ as } p \longrightarrow 1^-.$$

In the present paper, we only discuss the case $p \leq -1$. For $p \leq -1$, we modify slightly definition (3.1): If $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $p \leq -1$; then the L_p -intersection body I_pK of K is the origin-symmetric star body whose radial function, for $u \in S^{n-1}$, is given by

(3.2)
$$\rho(I_p K, u)^p = \frac{1}{c_{n,-p} \omega_n} \int_K |u \cdot x|^{-p} dx.$$

The normalization above is chosen so that for the standard unit ball B in \mathbb{R}^n , we have $I_pB=B$.

From equality (2.4) and definitions (2.10) and (3.2), we can immediately get

Theorem 3.1. If $K \in \mathcal{S}_{q}^{n}$ and $p \leq -1$, then

(3.3)
$$I_p K = \left(\frac{V(K)}{\omega_n}\right)^{1/p} \Gamma_{-p}^* K.$$

Equality (3.3) shows that, up to a factor, the L_p -intersection body is just the polar of the L_{-p} -centroid body when $p \leq -1$.

Theorem 3.2. If $K \in \mathcal{S}_{q}^{n}$ and $p \leq -1$, then for $\phi \in SL(n)$,

$$(3.4) I_n \phi K = \phi^{-t} I_n K,$$

where ϕ^{-t} denotes the inverse of the transpose of ϕ .

Proof. Note that, if $\phi \in SL(n)$, then $\Gamma_p \phi K = \phi \Gamma_p K$ (see [14, 15]). Thus, by equality (3.3), we immediately obtain the result.

One of the classical affine isoperimetric inequalities is the Busemann intersection inequality:

Theorem 3.3₁ [3]. Let K be a star body in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$V(K)^{1-n}V(IK) \le \omega_n^{2-n}$$

with equality if and only if K is an ellipsoid.

We will establish the L_p -analog of the Busemann intersection inequality.

Theorem 3.3. If $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$ and $p \leq -1$, then

(3.5)
$$V(K)^{(p-n)/p}V(I_nK) \le \omega_n^{(2p-n)/p},$$

with equality if and only if K is an ellipsoid centered at the origin.

The following statement is a "star dual" version of the L_p -Busemann intersection inequality, which may also be considered as a "dual" version of the L_p -Petty projection inequality (1.1), concerning the polar duals of convex bodies.

Theorem 3.4. If $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $p \leq -1$, then

$$(3.6) V(K)^{(n-p)/p}V(I_p^{\circ}K) \ge \omega_n^{n/p}$$

with equality if and only if K is a ball centered at the origin.

In order to prove Theorems 3.3 and 3.4, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 [15]. If
$$K \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$$
 and $p \leq -1$, then

$$V(K)V(\Gamma_{-n}^*K) \le \omega_n^2$$

with equality if and only if K is an ellipsoid centered at the origin.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. From Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.1, for $p \leq -1$, we have

$$V(K)V\left(\left(\frac{\omega_n}{V(K)}\right)^{1/p}I_pK\right) \le \omega_n^2.$$

By the volume formula,

$$V(K)V(I_pK)V(K)^{-n/p} \le \omega_n^2 \omega_n^{-n/p},$$

that is,

$$V(K)^{(p-n)/p}V(I_pK) \leq \omega_n^{(2p-n)/p}$$

with equality if and only if K is an ellipsoid centered at the origin. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.4. From equality (2.11), the Hölder inequality ([6, 19]) and the polar coordinate formula for volume, we have

$$\omega_{n} = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, u)^{n/2} \rho(K, u)^{-n/2} dS(u)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, u)^{n/2} \rho(K^{\circ}, u)^{n/2} dS(u)$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, u)^{n} dS(u)\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K^{\circ}, u)^{n} dS(u)\right)^{1/2}$$

$$= V(K)^{1/2} V(K^{\circ})^{1/2},$$

that is,

$$(3.7) V(K)V(K^{\circ}) \ge \omega_n^2,$$

According to the equality condition of the Hölder inequality, we know that equality in inequality (3.7) holds if and only if K is a centered ball.

Combining inequality (3.5) with inequality (3.7), we have

$$V(K)^{(n-p)/p}V(I_n^{\circ}K) \ge \omega_n^{n/p}.$$

According to the equality conditions of inequality (3.5) and inequality (3.7), we know that equality in inequality (3.6) holds if and only if K is a ball centered at the origin. \square

4. Monotonicity of volume for L_p -intersection bodies. The work of Lutwak [11] represents the beginning of Busemann-Petty problem's ([6, 19]) eventual solution. In fact, Lutwak's result (Theorem 10.1) can be formulated as follows. Let I^n denote the set of intersection bodies of star bodies.

Theorem 4.1₁ [11]. Let $K \in I^n$, and let L be a star body in \mathbb{R}^n . If

$$IK \subseteq IL$$
,

then

$$V(K) \leq V(L)$$
,

with equality if and only if K = L.

We will establish a similar result for L_p -intersection bodies. Let I_p^n denote the set of L_p -intersection bodies of star bodies.

Theorem 4.1. Let $K \in I_p^n$, and let L be a star body in \mathbf{R}^n . If $p \leq -1$ and

$$I_pK \subseteq I_pL$$
,

then

$$(4.1) V(K) \ge V(L),$$

with equality if and only if K = L.

Theorem 4.1 is just an L_p -version of Busemann-Petty problem's solution for the L_p -intersection body, which is the dual analog of Shephard problem's solution for the L_p -projection body, which was studied by Ryabogin and Zvavitch [18].

Moreover, we establish the following inequality for L_p -intersection bodies.

Theorem 4.2. Let $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $p \leq -1$. If, for every star body Q in \mathbf{R}^n , $\widetilde{V}_p(K,Q) \leq \widetilde{V}_p(L,Q)$, then

$$(4.2) V(I_p K) \ge V(I_p L),$$

with equality if and only if $I_pK = I_pL$.

We need the following lemma in order to prove Theorems 4.1 and 4.2.

Lemma 4.1. If $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $p \leq -1$, then

$$\widetilde{V}_p(L, I_pK) = \widetilde{V}_p(K, I_pL).$$

Proof. From definitions (2.6) and (3.2), combined with Fubini's theorem, it follows that

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{V}_{p}(L,I_{p}K) &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(L,u)^{n-p} \rho(I_{p}K,u)^{p} \, dS(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(L,u)^{n-p} \frac{1}{n c_{n-2,p} \omega_{n}} \\ & \cdot \int_{S^{n-1}} |u \cdot v|^{-p} \rho(K,v)^{n-p} \, dS(v) \, dS(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K,v)^{n-p} \frac{1}{n c_{n-2,p} \omega_{n}} \\ & \cdot \int_{S^{n-1}} |u \cdot v|^{-p} \rho(L,u)^{n-p} \, dS(u) \, dS(v) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K,v)^{n-p} \rho(I_{p}L,v)^{p} \, dS(v) \\ &= \widetilde{V}_{p}(K,I_{p}L). \quad \Box \end{split}$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $p \leq -1$. Since $I_pK \subseteq I_pL$, using definition (2.6), we have

$$\widetilde{V}_p(Q, I_pK) \ge \widetilde{V}_p(Q, I_pL),$$

for any $Q \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$. From Lemma 4.1, we get

(4.3)
$$\widetilde{V}_p(K, I_p Q) \ge \widetilde{V}_p(L, I_p Q),$$

with equality if and only if K = L. Since $K \in I_p^n$, taking $I_pQ = K$ in (4.3) and using equality (2.7) and inequality (2.8), we obtain

(4.4)
$$V(K) = \widetilde{V}_p(K, K) \ge \widetilde{V}_p(L, K) \ge V(L)^{(n-p)/n} V(K)^{p/n}.$$

Therefore,

$$V(K) \ge V(L)$$
.

According to the equality conditions of the L_p -Minkowski inequality (2.8) and inequality (4.3), we know that equality in (4.1) holds if and only if K = L.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Let $p \leq -1$. Since $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and

$$\widetilde{V}_p(K,Q) \leq \widetilde{V}_p(L,Q),$$

for any $Q \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$. Taking $Q = I_p M$ for any $M \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, we get

$$(4.5) \widetilde{V}_p(K, I_p M) \le \widetilde{V}_p(L, I_p M).$$

By Lemma 4.1,

$$(4.6) \widetilde{V}_p(M, I_p K) \le \widetilde{V}_p(M, I_p L).$$

Let $M = I_p L$; by (2.7) and (2.8),

$$(4.7) V(I_pL) \ge \widetilde{V}_p(I_pL, I_pK) \ge V(I_pL)^{(n-p)/n}V(I_pK)^{p/n};$$

therefore,

$$V(I_pK) \ge V(I_pL).$$

Because inequalities (4.5) and (4.6) are equivalent, but $\widetilde{V}_p(M, I_pK) = \widetilde{V}_p(M, I_pL)$ for any $M \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ if and only if $I_pK = I_pL$, and equality in inequality (4.7) holds if and only if I_pK and I_pL are dilates. Therefore, equality in inequality (4.2) holds if and only if $I_pK = I_pL$.

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to thank the referee(s) for their many excellent suggestions for improving the original manuscript.

REFERENCES

- E.D. Bolker, A class of convex bodies, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 145 (1969), 323-345
- 2. J. Bourgain and J. Lindenstrauss, *Projection bodies*, Lecture Notes Math. 1317, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- 3. H. Busemann, Volumes in terms of concurrent cross-sections, Pacific J. Math. 3 (1953), 1–12.
 - 4. W.J. Firey, p-means of convex bodies, Math. Scand. 10 (1962), 17-24.
- 5. R.J. Gardner, A positive answer to the Busemann-Petty problem in three dimensions, Ann. Math. 140 (1994), 435-447.
 - 6. ——, Geometric tomography, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.

- 7. R.J. Gardner, A. Koldobsky and T. Schlumprecht, An analytic solution to the Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies, Ann. Math. 149 (1999), 691-703
- 8. P. Goodey, E. Lutwak and W. Weil, Functional analytic characterizations of classes of convex bodies, Math. Z. 222 (1996), 363–381.
- **9.** H. Haberl and M. Ludwig, A characterization of L_p -intersection bodies, Inter. Math. Research Notes, in press.
- 10. M. Ludwig, *Projection bodies and valuations*, Advances Math. 172 (2002), 158–168.
- 11. E. Lutwak, Intersection bodies and dual mixed volumes, Advances Math. 71 (1988), 232–261.
- 12. ——, The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory I: Mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem, J. Differential Geom. 38 (1993), 131–150.
- 13. ——, The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory II: Affine and geominimal surface areas, Advances Math. 118 (1996), 244–294.
- 14. E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities, J. Differential Geom. 56 (2000), 111–132.
- **15.** E. Lutwak and G. Zhang, *Blaschke-Santaló inequalities*, J. Differential Geom. **47** (1997), 1–16.
- 16. M. Moszyńska, Quotient star bodies, intersection bodies and star duality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 232 (1999), 45–60.
- 17. C.M. Petty, *Isoperimetric problems*, Proc. Coll. Convexity and Combinatorial Geometry, Univ. of Oklahoma, 1971, 1972.
- 18. D. Ryabogin and A. Zvavitch, The Fourier transform and Firey projections of convex bodies, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 53 (2004), 667–682.
- 19. R. Schneider, Convex bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- 20. G. Zhang, A positive solution to the Busemann-Petty problem in \mathbb{R}^4 , Ann. Math. 149 (1999), 535-543.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY OF ELECTRIC POWER, SHANGHAI, 200090, P.R. CHINA

 ${\bf Email~address:~lufengred@163.com}$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JIANGSU UNIVERSITY, JIANGSU, 212013, P.R. CHINA

Email address: maoweihong1029@sina.com