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1. Introduction. Let $G$ be a bounded complex domain and let $f(\xi)$ be analytic on $G$ and continuous on $\bar{G}$. The modulus of continuity of $f(\xi)$ on $\bar{G}$ is a function $\omega(\delta, f, \bar{G})$ defined for $\delta \geqq 0$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{f}(\delta)=\omega(\delta, f, \bar{G}) \sup _{\substack{\xi_{1}, \xi_{j} \in \bar{G} \\ \mid \xi_{1}-\xi_{2} \leq 彡}}\left|f\left(\xi_{1}\right)-f\left(\xi_{2}\right)\right| . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\omega_{f}(\delta) \leqq C \delta^{\alpha},
$$

for some $0<\alpha \leqq 1$ and some constant $C>0$, then $f(\xi)$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order $\alpha$ on $\bar{G}$.

If $G=D=\Delta(0,1)$ is the open unit disk, a classical theorem of Hardy and Littlewood [1] shows that $f(\xi)$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order $\alpha$ on $\bar{D}$ if and only if

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \leqq C(1-|\xi|)^{\alpha-1}
$$

for all $\xi \in D$. The positive constant $C$ is independent of $\xi$. By conformal mapping, the Hardy-Littlewood theorem can be generalized to the case in which $G$ is replaced by a bounded, simply connected domain $G$ with analytic boundary. In particular, if

$$
d(\xi, \partial G)=d_{\xi}=\inf _{z \in \partial G}|\xi-z|
$$

denotes the distance from a point $\xi \in G$ to $\partial G$, then the following result holds [4].

Theorem 1. Let G be a bounded, simply connected domain with analytic boundary. A function $f(\xi)$ analytic on $G$ and continuous on $\bar{G}$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order $\alpha$ on $\bar{G}$ if and only if

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \leqq C\left\{d_{\xi}\right\}^{\alpha-1}
$$

for all $\xi \in G$.

[^0]As the following well known result shows, Theorem 1 readily generalizes in the necessary direction.

Theorem 2. Let G be a bounded complex domain and let $f(\xi)$ have modulus of continuity $\omega_{f}(\delta)=\omega(\delta, f, \bar{G})$. Then

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \leqq \frac{\omega_{f}\left(d_{\xi}\right)}{d_{\xi}}
$$

for all $\xi \in G$.
In this paper we show that Theorem 1 also generalizes in the sufficient direction, and hence show that Theorem 1 actually holds with much weaker conditions on $\partial G$. For example, we show the result holds if $G$ is a domain with minimally smooth boundary [5]. This generalization of Theorem 1 will follow from a more general theorem in which we relate the modulus of continuity of a function $f(\xi)$ on $\bar{G}$ to the smoothness of $\partial G$ and the growth of $\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right|$.
2. Definitions and the Main Result. Before stating our main result, we require some definitions classifying the smoothness of the boundary of a domain. These definitions and the following results involve positive constants, denoted by " $C$ "; subsequent appearance of " $C$ " will denote possibly different positive constants.

Definition 1. A function $\omega(x)$, defined for $x \geqq 0$, is a modulus of continuity if $\omega$ is increasing, subadditive and $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \omega(x)=0$.

Note that $\omega(\delta, f, \bar{G})$, the modulus of continuity of $f(\xi)$ on $\bar{G}$ defined in (1), need not be a modulus of continuity in the sense of Definition 1; in particular, $\omega(\delta, f, \bar{G})$ need not be subadditive.

The next two definitions concern the smoothness of the boundary of a domain $G$. In special cases, these definitions coincide with the definitions of special Lipschitz domains and domains with minimally smooth boundary [5].

Definition 2. Let $\lambda$ be a modulus of continuity. A domain $G$ is a $\lambda$ domain if there is a function $\phi: R \rightarrow R$ and a positive constant $M$ such that

$$
G=\{x+i y: y>\phi(x)\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi(x)-\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqq M \lambda\left(\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, x^{\prime} \in R$. The smallest $M$ for which (2) holds is the bound for $G$.

A $\lambda$-domain as described above is in the standard position. Any rotation of a $\lambda$-domain is also a $\lambda$-domain.

Definition 3. A bounded, simply conncected domain $G$ is the local $\lambda$-domain if there exist positive constants $\epsilon$ and $M$ and a sequence $\left\{U_{i}: i=1,2, \cdots\right\}$ of open sets such that:
(i) For each $z \in \partial G$, there is a $U_{i}$ with $\Delta(z, \epsilon) \subseteq U_{i}$.
(ii) For each $U_{i}$, there is a $\lambda$-domain $G_{i}$ with bound not exceeding $M$ such that

$$
U_{i} \cap G_{i}=U_{i} \cap G
$$

$M$ is called a bound for $G$. If $\lambda(x)=C x^{\alpha}($ some $0<\alpha \leqq 1)$, then $G$ is a local $\operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)$-domain.

Definition 3 describes what might be called a cusp-condition on $\partial G$.
In [2], Lorentz shows that if $\omega$ is a modulus of continuity as defined in Definition 1, then there is a concave modulus of continuity $\lambda$ with

$$
\lambda(x) \leqq \omega(x) \leqq 2 \lambda(x)
$$

for all $x \geqq 0$. In the remainder of this paper all moduli of continuity will be assumed concave unless otherwise stated. This assumption will also hold for those moduli of continuity implicit in Definitions 2 and 3.

We recall that if $\lambda(x)(x \geqq 0)$ is concave, then $\lambda(x)$ is continuous for $x \geqq 0$, has a right hand derivative $D^{+} \lambda(x)$ at each $x \geqq 0$ (with, possibly, $\left.D^{+} \lambda(0)=+\infty\right)$, and a left hand derivative $D^{-\lambda(x)}$ at each $x>0$. For $0 \leqq x<y$, we have

$$
D^{+} \lambda(x) \geqq D^{-} \lambda(y) \geqq D^{+} \lambda(y) .
$$

Thus $\lambda^{\prime}(x)$ exists and is continuous for all but at most countably many $x$. If $E$ is the set on which $\lambda^{\prime}(x)$ is not continuous, then $\lambda^{\prime}(x)$ has jump discontinuities at each $x \in E$.

We now state our main result.
Theorem 3. Let $G$ be a local $\lambda$-domain and let $\mu$ be a modulus of continuity. Suppose $f(\xi)$ is analytic on $G$, continuous on $\bar{G}$, and

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \leqq \frac{\mu\left(d_{\xi}\right)}{d_{\xi}}
$$

for each $\xi \in G$. Then there is an $\eta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(\delta, f, \bar{G}) \leqq C \int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{\mu(t) \lambda^{\prime}(t)}{t} d t \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\delta \leqq \eta$ (In this case, $\omega(\delta, f, \bar{G})$ is not necessarily a modulus of
continuity as defined in Definition 1; thus $\omega(\delta, f, \bar{G})$ is not assumed to be concave.) In (3), dt is Lebesgue measure.

Of course Theorem 3 is of interest only when $\mu(t) \lambda^{\prime}(t) / t$ is integrable on [ $0, \delta$ ]; that is, when the right side of (3) is finite. In Section 4 we give some consequences of Theorem 3 for special choices of $\mu$ and $\lambda$.
3. Proof of Theorem 3. The proof of Theorem 3 depends on the following lemma.

Lemma 4. Let G be a local $\lambda$-domain with bound M. Let $z_{0}, z_{1} \in \partial G$ with $\left|z_{0}-z_{1}\right|<\epsilon / 4$. Let $U_{i}$ be an open set (see Definition 3) with $\Delta\left(z_{0}, \epsilon\right) \subseteq U_{i}$, and let $G_{i}$ be the $\lambda$-domain associated with $U_{i}$. Suppose $G_{i}$ is rotated through angle $\theta(\theta \geqq 0)$ from standard position. There is a positive constant $c=c(\lambda, M, \epsilon)>0$ such that for $\xi \in G$ with $\left|\xi-z_{1}\right|<\epsilon / 4$ and $\arg \left(\xi-z_{1}\right)=\theta+\pi / 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \lambda^{-1}\left(\frac{\left|z_{1}-\xi\right|}{M}\right) \leqq d_{\xi} \leqq\left|z_{1}-\xi\right| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since rotation of $G$ does not affect the result, we assume $G_{i}$ is in standard position; that is, $\theta=0$. The right-hand inequality in (4) is clear. To establish the left inequality, we first show $d(\xi, \partial G)=$ $d\left(\xi, \partial G_{i}\right)$. Let $z \in \partial G$ with $|z-\xi|=d(\xi, \partial G)$, Then

$$
\left|z_{0}-z\right| \leqq\left|z_{0}-z_{1}\right|+\left|z_{1}-\xi\right|<\epsilon / 2
$$

Thus,

$$
z \in \overline{U_{i} \cap G}=\overline{U_{i} \cap G_{i}}
$$

It follows that $d(\xi, \partial G) \geqq d\left(\xi, \partial G_{i}\right)$. The opposite inequality is proved in a similar way. Lemma 4 now follows from Lemma 5.

Lemma 5. Let $G$ be a $\lambda$-domain with bound $M$ and in standard position. Let $\eta>0$ be given. There exists a constant $c=c(\lambda, M, \eta)>0$ such that if $z \in \partial G$ and $\xi \in G$ with $\operatorname{Re}(z)=\operatorname{Re}(\xi)$ and $|z-\xi| \leqq \eta$, then

$$
d_{\xi} \geqq c \lambda^{-1}\left(\frac{|z-\xi|}{M}\right) .
$$

Proof. Since $G$ is in standard position, we can assume $z=0$ and $\xi=i a$ with $\eta \geqq a>0$. Let $\Gamma$ denote the graph of $y=M \lambda(|x|)$ and let $\ell$ denote the line through $\left(\lambda^{-1}(a / M), a\right)$ with slope $M D^{+} \lambda\left\{\lambda^{-1}(a / M)\right\}$. Since $\lambda(x)(x \geqq 0)$ is concave, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\xi} \geqq d(\xi, \Gamma) & \geqq d(\xi, \emptyset)=\frac{M D^{+} \lambda\left\{\lambda^{-1}(a / M)\right\} \lambda^{-1}(a / M)}{\left[1+\left\{M D^{+} \lambda\left(\lambda^{-1}(a / M)\right)\right\}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}} \\
& \geqq\left(\frac{M D^{+} \lambda\left\{\lambda^{-1}(n / M)\right\}}{\left[1+\left\{M D^{+} \lambda\left(\lambda^{-1}(n / M)\right)\right\}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}}\right) \lambda^{-1}\left(\frac{a}{M}\right) \\
& =c \lambda^{-1}\left(\frac{a}{M}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now prove Theorem 3.
Proof. Let $z_{0}, z_{1} \in \partial G$ with

$$
\left|z_{0}-z_{1}\right| \leqq \eta=\min \left\{\frac{\epsilon}{6}, \lambda^{-1}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{(6 M)}\right)\right\}
$$

where $M$ is a bound for $G$. We will prove our result by writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(z_{1}\right)-f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\left|\int_{6} f^{\prime}(\xi) d \xi\right| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{b}$ is an appropriate path of integration, and then estimating the integral in (5).

Let $U_{i}$ be an open set (see Definition 3) wih $\Delta\left(z_{0}, \epsilon\right) \subseteq U_{i}$. Assume the associated $\lambda$-domain $G_{i}$ is the standard position; we can then assume $\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{0}\right)<\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right)$. Select $w_{0}, w_{1} \in G$ with $\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{0}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(w_{0}\right), \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right)$ $=\operatorname{Re}\left(w_{1}\right)$ and

$$
\left|w_{0}-z_{0}\right|=M \lambda\left(\left|z_{0}-z_{1}\right|\right)=\left|w_{1}-z_{1}\right| .
$$

Let $\gamma_{0}$ be the graph of $y=M \lambda(x)(x \geqq 0)$ translated so its vertex is at $w_{0}$, and let $\gamma_{1}$ be the graph of $y=M \lambda(|x|)(x \leqq 0)$ translated so its vertex is at $w_{1}$. Let $w_{2}$ be the intersection of $\gamma_{0}$ and $\gamma_{1}$. We take $\mathscr{C}$ to be the path from $z_{0}$ to $w_{0}$, along $\gamma_{0}$ to $w_{2}$, along $\gamma_{1}$ to $w_{1}$ and from $w_{1}$ to $z_{1}$ (see Figure 1).

For any $\xi \in \mathscr{C}$, we have

$$
\left|z_{0}-\xi\right| \leqq\left|z_{0}-z_{1}\right|+\mid w_{0}-z_{0}+M \lambda\left(\left|z_{0}-z_{1}\right|\right)<\epsilon / 2
$$

Thus $\mathscr{b} \subseteq G_{i} \cap U_{i}=G \cap U_{i}$ and

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\xi}=d\left(\xi, \partial G_{i}\right),
$$

for any $\xi \in \mathscr{C}$.
We can now write

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)-f\left(z_{1}\right)\right| & \leqq \int_{6}\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right||d \xi|  \tag{6}\\
& =\int_{\gamma_{0}}^{w_{0}}+\int_{\gamma_{0}}^{w_{2}}+\int_{\gamma_{1}}^{w_{2}}+\int_{z_{1}}^{w_{1}}\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right||d \xi|
\end{align*}
$$



FIGURE I.
The path $C$ is indicated by arrows.
and proceed to estimate these four integrals.
For the first,

$$
\int_{z_{0}}^{w_{0}}\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right||d \xi| \leqq \int_{z_{0}}^{w_{0}} \frac{\mu\left(d_{\xi}\right)}{d_{\xi}}|d \xi| .
$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}(\xi)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{z}_{0}\right)$ and $\left|z_{0}-\xi\right|<\epsilon / 4$ for $\xi \in\left[z_{0}, w_{0}\right]$, Lemma 4 gives

$$
d_{\xi} \geqq c \lambda^{-1}\left(\frac{\left|z_{0}-\xi\right|}{M}\right)
$$

Since $\mu(x)$ is concave, $\mu(x) / x$ is non-increasing. Hence,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{z_{0}}^{w_{0}}\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right||d \xi| \leqq \frac{c+1}{c} \int_{z_{0}}^{w_{0}} \frac{\mu\left(\lambda^{-1}\left(\left|z_{0}-\xi\right| / M\right)\right)}{\lambda^{-1}\left(\left|z_{0}-\xi\right| / M\right)}|d \xi| \\
=c\left(\left|z_{0}-w_{0}\right|\right) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mu\left(\lambda^{-1}\left(t\left|z_{0}-w_{0}\right| / M\right)\right)}{\lambda^{-1}\left(t\left|z_{0}-w_{0}\right| / M\right)} d t
\end{gathered}
$$

Set $s=\lambda^{-1}\left(t\left|z_{0}-w_{0}\right| / M\right)$ and recall $w_{0}$ was chosen so that

$$
\lambda^{-1}\left(\frac{\left|z_{x}-w_{0}\right|}{M}\right)=\left|z_{0}-z_{1}\right|
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{z_{0}}^{w_{0}}\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right||d \xi| \leqq C \int_{0}^{\left|z_{0}-z_{1}\right|} \frac{\mu(s) \lambda^{\prime}(s)}{s} d s \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same argument gives this bound for the fourth integral in (6).
For the second (or third) integral in (6), we have

$$
\int_{\gamma_{0}}^{w_{0}}\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right||d \xi| \leqq \int_{\gamma_{0}}^{w_{0}} \frac{\mu\left(d_{\xi}\right)}{d \xi}|d \xi|
$$

Let $\Gamma_{0}$ be $\gamma_{0}$ translated so its vertex is at $z_{0}$. Then for $\xi \in \gamma_{0}$,

$$
d_{\xi} \geqq d\left(\xi, \Gamma_{0}\right)
$$

giving

$$
\int_{\gamma_{0}}^{w_{0}}\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right||d \xi| \leqq \int_{\gamma_{0}}^{w_{0}} \frac{\mu\left(d\left(\xi, \Gamma_{0}\right)\right)}{d\left(\xi, \Gamma_{0}\right)}|d \xi| .
$$

Since $\gamma_{0}$ is concave and is a vertical translate of $\Gamma_{0}, d\left(\xi, \Gamma_{0}\right)$ increases as $\xi$ moves along $\gamma_{0}$ away from $w_{0}$. Thus,

$$
d\left(\xi, \Gamma_{0}\right) \geqq d\left(w_{0}, \Gamma_{0}\right)
$$

for $\xi \in \gamma_{0}$. By Lemma 4,

$$
d\left(w_{0}, \Gamma_{0}\right) \geqq c \lambda^{-1}\left(\frac{\left|w_{0}-z_{0}\right|}{M}\right)=c\left|z_{0}-z_{1}\right|
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\gamma_{0}}^{w_{0}} w_{2} f^{\prime}(\xi)| | d \xi \left\lvert\, \leqq C \frac{\mu\left(\left|z_{0}-z_{1}\right|\right)}{\left|z_{0}-z_{1}\right|} \cdot \ell\left(\gamma_{0}\right)\right., \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell\left(\gamma_{0}\right)$ is the length of $\gamma_{0}$ from $w_{0}$ to $w_{2}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell\left(\gamma_{0}\right) \leqq \int_{0}^{\left|z_{0}-z_{1}\right|}\left(1+\left(\lambda^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}\right) 1 / 2 d t \leqq C \lambda\left(\left|z_{0}-z_{1}\right|\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mu(t) / t$ is decreasing,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{\mu(t) \lambda^{\prime}(t)}{t} d t \geqq \frac{\mu(\delta) \lambda(\delta)}{\delta} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (7), (8), (9) and (10) shows

$$
\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)-f\left(z_{1}\right)\right| \leqq C \int_{0}^{\left|z_{0}-z_{1}\right|} \frac{\lambda^{\prime}(t) \mu(t)}{t} d t
$$

for $\left|z_{0}-z_{1}\right| \leqq \eta$; that is, for $\delta \leqq \eta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\omega}(\delta, f, \partial G) \leqq C \int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{\lambda^{\prime}(t) \mu(t)}{t} d t \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\omega}(\delta, f, \partial G)$ is the modulus of continuity of $f$ on $\partial G$. The integral on the right in (11) is a positive, non-decreasing, subadditive function of $\delta \geqq 0$. It follows from a theorem of Rubel, Taylor and Shields [3], that

$$
\omega(\delta, f, \bar{G}) \leqq C \int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{\lambda^{\prime}(t) \mu(t)}{t} d t
$$

for $\delta \leqq \eta$.
4. Consequences and Examples. Several interesting corollaries arise as special cases of Theorem 3.

Corollary 6. If, in addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{t \rightarrow 0}{\liminf }\left\{\frac{t \lambda^{\prime}(t)}{\lambda(t)}+\frac{t \mu^{\prime}(t)}{\mu(t)}\right\}=\alpha>1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there is an $\tilde{\eta}>0$ such that

$$
\omega(\delta, f, \bar{G}) \leqq C \frac{\mu(\delta) \lambda(\delta)}{\delta},
$$

for $\delta \leqq \tilde{\eta}$. Furthermore, $\mu(t) \lambda(t) / t$ is a modulus of continuity.
Before proving Corollary 6 we list some of its immediate consequences.

Corollary 7. Let $G$ be a local $\operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)$ domain and let $\beta(0<\beta \leqq 1)$ be given with $\alpha+\beta>1$. If $f(\xi)$ is continuous on $\bar{G}$, analytic on $G$ and

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \leqq C d_{\xi}^{\beta-1}
$$

for all $\xi \in G$, then $f(\xi)$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order $\alpha+\beta-1$ on $\bar{G}$.

Corollary 8. Suppose $G$ is local $\operatorname{Lip}(1)$ domain and $\mu(t)$ is a modulus of continuity with

$$
\underset{t \rightarrow 0}{\lim \inf } \frac{t \mu^{\prime}(t)}{\mu(t)}>0
$$

Then a function $f(\xi)$ analytic on $G$ and continuous on $\bar{G}$ has modulus of continuity

$$
\omega(\delta, f, \bar{G}) \leqq C \mu(\delta),
$$

for $0 \leqq \delta \leqq \tilde{\eta}$ if and only if

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \leqq C \frac{\mu\left(d_{\xi}\right)}{d_{\xi}}
$$

for all $\xi \in G$.
Corollary 9. The conclusion of Theorem 1 holds if $G$ is a local Lip(1) domain.

We now prove Corollary 6.
Proof. From (12) it follows that given $\alpha^{\prime}$ with $\alpha>\alpha^{\prime}>1$, there exists $\eta^{\prime}>0$ so that $0<t<\eta^{\prime}$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(t) \lambda^{\prime}(t)+\mu^{\prime}(t) \lambda(t)>\alpha^{\prime} \frac{\mu(t) \lambda(t)}{t}>\frac{\mu(t) \lambda(t)}{t}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for those $t$ for which $\mu^{\prime}(t)$ and $\lambda^{\prime}(t)$ exist. In particular, we find that $\mu(t) \lambda(t) / t$ is increasing and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\mu(t) \lambda(t)}{t}
$$

exists.
If $\delta \leqq \tilde{\eta}=\min \left(\eta, \eta^{\prime}\right)$, then Theorem 3 implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega(\delta, f, \bar{G}) & \leqq C \lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0+} \int_{\tau}^{\delta} \frac{\mu(t) \lambda^{\prime}(t)}{t} d t \\
& \leqq C \lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{\tau}^{\delta} \frac{\mu(t) \lambda^{\prime}(t)+\mu^{\prime}(t) \lambda(t)}{t} d t \\
& \leqq C \frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{\alpha^{\prime}-1} \lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{\tau}^{\delta} \frac{t \mu(t) \lambda^{\prime}(t)+t \mu^{\prime}(t) \lambda(t)-\mu(t) \lambda(t)}{t^{2}} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =C\left(\frac{\mu(\delta) \lambda(\delta)}{\delta}-\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\mu(\tau) \lambda(\tau)}{\tau}\right) \\
& \leqq C \frac{\mu(\delta) \lambda(\delta)}{\delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $\mu(t) \lambda^{\prime}(t) / t$ is integrable on $[0, \delta]$, so (10) shows

$$
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\mu(\delta) \lambda(\delta)}{\delta}=0
$$

Finally, $\mu(\delta) \lambda(\delta) / \delta$ is subadditive ([6] p. 97). Thus $\lambda(t) \mu(t) / t$ is a modulus of continuity for $t \geqq 0$.

We give an example showing that in one sense Corollary 7 is best possible; we show that if $\alpha+\beta=1$, then $f(\xi)$ need not satisfy a Lipschitz condition of order $\gamma$ for any $0<\gamma \leqq 1$. Let $\bar{G}$ be a closed domain lying in $\{\operatorname{Im}(\xi)>0\} \cup\{0\}$ with the following property: $G$ is a bounded domain, and in a neighborhood of $0, \partial G$ is the graph of $y=|x|^{\alpha}$, while outside of this neighborhood, $\partial G$ is smooth (say, analytic.) Then $G$ is a local $\operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)$ domain.

We take

$$
f(\xi)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{1}{\log \xi} & (\xi \neq 0) \\
0 & (\xi=0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

on $\bar{G}$. Then $f(\xi)$ is analytic on $G$ (we take the branch cut in the lower half plane) and continuous on $\bar{G}$. Note that $f(\xi)$ does not satisfy a Lipschitz condition of any order $\beta>0$ near the origin, and that

$$
f^{\prime}(\xi)=-\frac{1}{\xi \log ^{2} \xi},
$$

for $\xi \in G$.
If $\xi \in G$ is close to 0 , we have

$$
d_{\xi} \leqq d_{i|\xi|} \leqq|\xi|^{1 / \alpha}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right| & =\frac{1}{\left|\xi \log ^{2} \xi\right|} \\
& \leqq \frac{1}{|\xi| \log ^{2}|\xi|}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqq \frac{1}{\alpha^{2}\left(d_{\xi}\right)^{\alpha} \log ^{2}\left(d_{\xi}\right)} \\
& \leqq C\left(d_{\xi}\right)^{-\alpha} \\
& =C\left(d_{\xi}\right)^{\beta-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\beta=1-\alpha$. Thus $\alpha+\beta=1$, but $f(\xi)$ satisfies no Lipschitz condition of positive order on $\bar{G}$.
5. Further Questions. In Theorem 6, we obtain no information about $\omega(\delta, f, \bar{G})$ if $\mu(t) \lambda^{\prime}(t) / t$ is not integrable on [ $\left.0, \delta\right]$. Can bounds on $\omega(\delta, f$, $\bar{G})$ be obtained under weaker conditions? Are there examples showing Corollaries 6 and 7 are best possible, or are stronger results possible? In construction of examples, an answer to the following question would be useful: Let $G$ be a bounded domain and let $\lambda(t)$ be a modulus of continuity. Under what conditions does there exist a function $f(\xi)$ analytic on $G$, continuous on $\bar{G}$ with

$$
c \lambda(t) \leqq \omega(t, f, \bar{G}) \leqq C \lambda(t),
$$

for some positive constants $c$ and $C$ independent of $t$ ?

## References

1. P. L. Duren, Theorey of $H^{p}$ Spaces, New York Academic Press, 1970.
2. G. G. Lorentz, Approximation of Functions, New York, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1966.
3. L. A. Rubel, A. L. Shields, B. A. Taylor, Mergalyan Sets and the Modulus of Continuity of Analytic Fucntions, Journal of Approximation Theory 15 (1975), 23-29.
4. W. E. Sewell, Degree of Approximation by Polynomials in the Complex Plane, Princeton, Princeton University Press, 1942.
5. E. Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton, Princeton University Press, 1970.
6. A. F. Timan, Theory of Approximation of Functions, Neww York, Van Nostrand Rinehold, 1969.

Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50010


[^0]:    Received by the editors on December 5, 1977, and in revised form on April 4, 1978.
    *Some of these results appeared in the author's PhD thesis at The University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois, under the direction of Robert Kaufman.

    Copyright © 1979 Rocky Mountain Mathematical Consortium 671

