

UNIMODULAR ELEMENTS IN PROJECTIVE MODULES AND AN ANALOGUE OF A RESULT OF MANDAL

MANOJ K. KESHARI AND MD. ALI ZINNA

ABSTRACT. (1) Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring of dimension n and P a projective $R[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ -module of rank n . In this paper, we associate an obstruction for P to split off a free summand of rank one. (2) Let R be a local ring and $R[X] \subset A \subset R[X, X^{-1}]$. Let P and Q be two projective A -modules with $\text{rank}(Q) < \text{rank}(P)$. If Q_f is a direct summand of P_f for some special monic polynomial $f \in R[X]$, then Q is also a direct summand of P .

1. Introduction. *Throughout the paper, rings are commutative Noetherian, and projective modules are finitely generated and of constant rank.*

If R is a ring of dimension n , then Serre [17] proved that projective R -modules of rank $> n$ contain a unimodular element. Plumstead [12] generalized this result and proved that projective $R[X] = R[\mathbb{Z}_+]$ -modules of rank $> n$ contain a unimodular element. Bhatwadekar and Roy [4] generalized this result and proved that projective $R[X_1, \dots, X_r] = R[\mathbb{Z}_+^r]$ -modules of rank $> n$ contain a unimodular element.

In another direction, if A is a ring such that

$$R[X] \subset A \subset R[X, X^{-1}],$$

then Bhatwadekar and Roy [3] proved that projective A -modules of rank $> n$ contain a unimodular element. Rao [14] improved this result and proved that if B is a birational overring of $R[X]$, i.e.,

$$R[X] \subset B \subset S^{-1}R[X],$$

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 13C10.

Keywords and phrases. Projective modules, unimodular elements.

Received by the editors on February 5, 2016, and in revised form on May 9, 2016.

where S is the set of non-zerodivisors of $R[X]$, then projective B -modules of rank $> n$ contain a unimodular element. Bhatwadekar, Lindel and Rao [2, Theorem 5.1, Remark 5.3] generalized this result and proved that projective $B[\mathbb{Z}_+^r]$ -modules of rank $> n$ contain a unimodular element when B is seminormal. In [1, Theorem 3.5], Bhatwadekar removed the hypothesis of seminormality used in [2].

All of the above results are best possible in the sense that projective modules of rank n above rings need not have a unimodular element. Thus, it is natural to look for obstructions for a projective module of rank n over above rings to contain a unimodular element. We will prove some results in this direction.

Let P be a projective $R[\mathbb{Z}_+^r][T]$ -module of rank $n = \dim R$ such that P_f and P/TP contain unimodular elements for some monic polynomial f in the variable T . Then, P contains a unimodular element. The proof of this result is implicit in [2, Theorem 5.1]. We will generalize this result to projective $R[M][T]$ -modules of rank n , where $M \subset \mathbb{Z}_+^r$ is a Φ -simplicial monoid in the class $\mathcal{C}(\Phi)$. For this, we need the following result, the proof of which is similar to [2, Theorem 5.1].

Proposition 1.1. *Let R be a ring and P a projective $R[X]$ -module. Let $J \subset R$ be an ideal such that P_s is extended from R_s for every $s \in J$. Suppose that:*

- (a) P/JP contains a unimodular element.
- (b) If I is an ideal of $(R/J)[X]$ of height $\text{rank}(P) - 1$, then there exist $\bar{\sigma} \in \text{Aut}((R/J)[X])$ with $\bar{\sigma}(X) = X$ and $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(R[X])$ with $\sigma(X) = X$, which is a lift of $\bar{\sigma}$ such that $\bar{\sigma}(I)$ contains a monic polynomial in the variable X .
- (c) $EL(P/(X, J)P)$ acts transitively on $\text{Um}(P/(X, J)P)$.
- (d) There exists a monic polynomial $f \in R[X]$ such that P_f contains a unimodular element.

Then, the natural map $\text{Um}(P) \rightarrow \text{Um}(P/XP)$ is surjective. In particular, if P/XP contains a unimodular element, then P contains a unimodular element.

We prove the following result as an application of Proposition 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *Let R be a ring of dimension n and $M \subset \mathbb{Z}_+^r$ a Φ -simplicial monoid in the class $\mathcal{C}(\Phi)$. Let P be a projective $R[M][T]$ -*

module of rank n whose determinant is extended from R . Assume P/TP and P_f contain unimodular elements for some monic polynomial f in the variable T . Then, the natural map $\text{Um}(P) \rightarrow \text{Um}(P/TP)$ is surjective. In particular, P contains a unimodular element.

Let R be a ring containing \mathbb{Q} of dimension $n \geq 2$. If P is a projective $R[X]$ -module of rank n , then Das and Zinna [5] obtained an obstruction for P to have a unimodular element. We fix an isomorphism

$$\chi : L \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^n P,$$

where L is the determinant of P . To the pair (P, χ) , they associated an element $e(P, \chi)$ of the Euler class group $E(R[X], L)$ and proved that P has a unimodular element if and only if $e(P, \chi) = 0$ in $E(R[X], L)$ [5].

It is desirable to have such an obstruction for projective $R[X, Y]$ -module P of rank n . As an application of (1.2), we obtain such a result. Recall that $R(X)$ denotes the ring obtained from $R[X]$ by inverting all monic polynomials in X . Let L be the determinant of P and $\chi : L \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^n(P)$ an isomorphism. We define the Euler class group $E(R[X, Y], L)$ of $R[X, Y]$ as the product of Euler class groups $E(R(X)[Y], L \otimes R(X)[Y])$ of $R(X)[Y]$ and $E(R[Y], L \otimes R[Y])$ of $R[Y]$, defined by Das and Zinna [5]. To the pair (P, χ) , we associate an element $e(P, \chi)$ in $E(R[X, Y], L)$ and prove the following result (Theorem 3.5).

Theorem 1.3. *Let the notation be as above. Then, $e(P, \chi) = 0$ in $E(R[X, Y], L)$ if and only if P has a unimodular element.*

Let R be a local ring and P a projective $R[T]$ -module. Roitman [15, Lemma 10] proved that, if the projective $R[T]_f$ -module P_f contains a unimodular element for some monic polynomial $f \in R[T]$, then P contains a unimodular element. Roy [16, Theorem 1.1] generalized this result and proved that, if P and Q are projective $R[T]$ -modules with $\text{rank}(Q) < \text{rank}(P)$ such that Q_f is a direct summand of P_f for some monic polynomial $f \in R[T]$, then Q is a direct summand of P . Mandal [11, Theorem 2.1] extended Roy’s result to Laurent polynomial rings.

We prove the following result (4.4), which gives Mandal’s [11] in the case where $A = R[X, X^{-1}]$. Recall that a monic polynomial $f \in R[X]$ is called *special monic* if $f(0) = 1$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let R be a local ring and $R[X] \subset A \subset R[X, X^{-1}]$. Let P and Q be two projective A -modules with $\text{rank}(Q) < \text{rank}(P)$. If Q_f is a direct summand of P_f for some special monic polynomial $f \in R[X]$, then Q is also a direct summand of P .*

2. Preliminaries.

Definition 2.1. Let R be a ring and P a projective R -module. An element $p \in P$ is called *unimodular* if there is a surjective R -linear map

$$\varphi : P \rightarrow R$$

such that $\varphi(p) = 1$. Note that P has a unimodular element if and only if $P \simeq Q \oplus R$ for some R -module Q . The set of all unimodular elements of P is denoted by $\text{Um}(P)$.

Definition 2.2. Let M be a finitely generated submonoid of \mathbb{Z}_+^r of rank r such that $M \subset \mathbb{Z}_+^r$ is an integral extension, i.e. for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r$, $nx \in M$ for some integer $n > 0$. Such a monoid M is called a Φ -simplicial monoid of rank r [8].

Definition 2.3. Let $M \subset \mathbb{Z}_+^r$ be a Φ -simplicial monoid of rank r . We say that M belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}(\Phi)$ if M is seminormal, i.e., if $x \in \text{gp}(M)$ and $x^2, x^3 \in M$, then $x \in M$, and if we write

$$\mathbb{Z}_+^r = \{t_1^{s_1} \cdots t_r^{s_r} \mid s_i \geq 0\},$$

then, for $1 \leq m \leq r$,

$$M_m = M \cap \{t_1^{s_1} \cdots t_m^{s_m} \mid s_i \geq 0\}$$

satisfies the following properties: given a positive integer c , there exist integers $c_i > c$ for $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$ such that, for any ring R , the automorphism

$$\eta \in \text{Aut}_{R[t_m]}(R[t_1, \dots, t_m]),$$

defined by $\eta(t_i) = t_i + t_m^{c_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$, restricts to an R -automorphism of $R[M_m]$. It is easy to see that $M_m \in \mathcal{C}(\Phi)$ and $\text{rank } M_m = m$ for $1 \leq m \leq r$.

Example 2.4. The following monoids belong to $\mathcal{C}(\Phi)$ [9, Examples 3.5, 3.9, 3.10].

- (i) If $M \subset \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ is a finitely generated and normal monoid (i.e., $x \in gp(M)$ and $x^n \in M$ for some $n > 1$, then $x \in M$) of rank 2, then $M \in \mathcal{C}(\Phi)$.
- (ii) For a fixed integer $n > 0$, if $M \subset \mathbb{Z}_+^r$ is the monoid generated by all monomials in t_1, \dots, t_r of total degree n , then M is a normal monoid of rank r and $M \in \mathcal{C}(\Phi)$. In particular, $\mathbb{Z}_+^r \in \mathcal{C}(\Phi)$ and

$$\langle t_1^2, t_2^2, t_3^2, t_1t_2, t_1t_3, t_2t_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{C}(\Phi).$$

- (iii) The submonoid M of \mathbb{Z}_+^3 is generated by $\langle t_1^2, t_2^2, t_3^2, t_1t_3, t_2t_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{C}(\Phi)$.

Remark 2.5. Let R be a ring and

$$M \subset \mathbb{Z}_+^r = \{t_1^{m_1} \cdots t_r^{m_r} \mid m_i \geq 0\}$$

a monoid of rank r in the class $\mathcal{C}(\Phi)$. Let I be an ideal of $R[M]$ of height $> \dim R$. Then, by [8, Lemma 6.5] and [9, Lemma 3.1], there exists an R -automorphism σ of $R[M]$ such that $\sigma(t_r) = t_r$, and $\sigma(I)$ contains a monic polynomial in t_r with coefficients in $R[M] \cap R[t_1, \dots, t_{r-1}]$.

We now state some results for later use.

Theorem 2.6 ([9, Theorem 3.4]). *Let R be a ring and M a Φ -simplicial monoid such that $M \in \mathcal{C}(\Phi)$. Let P be a projective $R[M]$ -module of rank $> \dim R$. Then, P has a unimodular element.*

Theorem 2.7 ([6, Theorem 4.5]). *Let R be a ring and M a Φ -simplicial monoid. Let P be a projective $R[M]$ -module of rank $\geq \max\{\dim R + 1, 2\}$. Then, $EL(P \oplus R[M])$ acts transitively on $\text{Um}(P \oplus R[M])$.*

The next result is proven in [2, Criterion-1 and Remark] in the case where $J = Q(P, R_0)$ is the Quillen ideal of P in R_0 . The same proof works in our case.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ be a graded ring and P a projective R -module. Let J be an ideal of R_0 such that J is contained in the Quillen ideal $Q(P, R_0)$. Let $p \in P$ be such that $p_{1+R^+} \in \text{Um}(P_{1+R^+})$ and $p_{1+J} \in \text{Um}(P_{1+J})$, where $R^+ = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} R_i$. Then, P contains a unimodular element p_1 such that $p = p_1$ modulo R^+P .*

The following result is a consequence of Eisenbud and Evans [7], as stated in [12, page 1420].

Lemma 2.9. *Let A be a ring and P a projective A -module of rank n . Let $(\alpha, a) \in (P^* \oplus A)$. Then, there exists an element $\beta \in P^*$ such that $\text{ht}(I_\alpha) \geq n$, where $I = (\alpha + a\beta)(P)$. In particular, if the ideal $(\alpha(P), a)$ has height $\geq n$, then $\text{ht}I \geq n$. Further, if $(\alpha(P), a)$ is an ideal of height $\geq n$ and I is a proper ideal of A , then $\text{ht}I = n$.*

3. Proofs of Proposition 1.1, Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3.

3.1. Proof of Proposition 1.1. Let $p_0 \in \text{Um}(P/JP)$ and $p_1 \in \text{Um}(P/XP)$. Let \tilde{p}_0 and \tilde{p}_1 be the images of p_0 and p_1 in $P/(X, J)P$. By hypothesis (c), there exists a $\tilde{\delta} \in \text{EL}(P/(X, J)P)$ such that $\tilde{\delta}(\tilde{p}_0) = \tilde{p}_1$. By [4, Proposition 4.1], $\tilde{\delta}$ can be lifted to an automorphism δ of P/JP . Consider the fiber product diagrams for rings and modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{R[X]}{(XJ)} & \longrightarrow & \frac{R}{J}[X] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \frac{R[X]}{(X)} & \longrightarrow & \frac{R[X]}{(X, J)}, \\ \\ \frac{P}{(XJ)P} & \longrightarrow & \frac{P}{JP} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \frac{P}{XP} & \longrightarrow & \frac{P}{(X, J)P}. \end{array}$$

Since $\delta(p_0)$ and p_1 coincide over $P/(X, J)P$, we can patch $\delta(p_0)$ and p_1 to obtain a unimodular element $p \in \text{Um}(P/XJP)$ such that $p = \delta(p_0)$ modulo JP and $p = p_1$ modulo XP . Writing $\delta(p_0)$ by p_0 , we assume that $p = p_0$ modulo JP and $p = p_1$ modulo XP .

Using hypothesis (d), we get an element $q \in P$ such that the order ideal

$$O_P(q) = \{\phi(q) \mid \phi \in \text{Hom}_{R[X]}(P, R[X])\}$$

contains a power of f . We may assume that $f \in O_P(q)$.

Let “bar” denote reduction modulo the ideal (J) . Write $\bar{P} = \overline{R[X]}p_0 \oplus Q$ for some projective $\overline{R[X]}$ -module Q and $\bar{q} = (\bar{a}p_0, q')$ for some $q' \in Q$. By Eisenbud and Evans [7], there exists a $\bar{\tau} \in \text{EL}(\bar{P})$

such that

$$\bar{\tau}(\bar{q}) = (\bar{a}p_0, q'')$$

and

$$\text{ht}(O_Q(q''))\overline{R[X]}_{\bar{a}} \geq \text{rank}(P) - 1.$$

Since $\bar{\tau}$ can be lifted to $\tau \in \text{Aut}(P)$, replacing P by $\tau(P)$, we may assume that $\text{ht}(O_Q(q')) \geq \text{rank}(P) - 1$ on the Zariski-open set $D(\bar{a})$ of $\text{Spec}(\overline{R[X]})$.

Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ be minimal prime ideals of $O_Q(q')$ in $\overline{R[X]}$ not containing \bar{a} . Then, $\text{ht}(\cap_1^r \mathfrak{p}_i) \geq \text{rank}(P) - 1$. By hypothesis (b), we can find $\bar{\sigma} \in \text{Aut}(\overline{R[X]})$ with $\bar{\sigma}(X) = X$ and $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(R[X])$ with $\sigma(X) = X$, which is a lift of $\bar{\sigma}$, such that $\bar{\sigma}(\cap_1^r \mathfrak{p}_i)$ contains a monic polynomial in $\overline{R[X]} = \overline{R[X]}$. Note that $\sigma(f)$ is a monic polynomial. Replacing $R[X]$ by $\sigma(R[X])$, we may assume that $\cap_1^r \mathfrak{p}_i$ contains a monic polynomial in $\overline{R[X]}$, and $f \in O_P(q)$ is a monic polynomial.

If \mathfrak{p} is a minimal prime ideal of $O_Q(q')$ in $\overline{R[X]}$ containing \bar{a} , then \mathfrak{p} contains $O_{\overline{P}}(\bar{q})$. Since $f \in O_P(q)$, \mathfrak{p} contains the monic polynomial \bar{f} . Therefore, all minimal primes of $O_Q(q')$ contain a monic polynomial; hence, $O_Q(q')$ contains a monic polynomial, say $\bar{g} \in \overline{R[X]}$. Let $g \in R[X]$ be a monic polynomial which is a lift of \bar{g} .

Claim 3.1. For large $N > 0$, $p_2 = p + X^N g^N q \in \text{Um}(P_{1+JR})$.

Proof. Choose $\phi \in P^*$ such that $\phi(q) = f$. Then, $\phi(p_2) = \phi(p) + X^N g^N f$ is a monic polynomial for large N . Since $p = p_0$ modulo JP , $\bar{p} = p_0$ and $\bar{q} = (\bar{a}\bar{p}, q')$. Therefore,

$$\bar{p}_2 = \bar{p} + X^N \bar{g}^N (\bar{a}\bar{p}, q') = ((1 + T^N \bar{g}^N \bar{a})\bar{p}, X^N \bar{g}^N q').$$

Since $\bar{g} \in O_Q(q') \subset O_{\overline{P}}(\bar{p}_2)$, we obtain $O_{\overline{P}}(\bar{p}) \subset O_{\overline{P}}(\bar{p}_2)$. In addition, since $\bar{p} \in \text{Um}(\overline{P})$, we get $\bar{p}_2 \in \text{Um}(\overline{P})$, and hence, $p_2 \in \text{Um}(P_{1+JR[X]})$. Since $O_P(p_2)$ contains a monic polynomial, by [10, Lemma 1.1, p. 79], $p_2 \in \text{Um}(P_{1+JR})$.

Now, $p_2 = p = p_1$ modulo XP , and we obtain $p_2 \in \text{Um}(P/XP)$. By (2.8), there exists a $p_3 \in \text{Um}(P)$ such that $p_3 = p_2 = p_1$ modulo XP . This completes the proof. □

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Without loss of generality, we may assume that R is reduced. When $n = 1$, the result follows from the well-known [13, 18]. When $n = 2$, the result follows from [1, Proposition 3.3], where it is proven that, if P is a projective $R[T]$ -module of rank 2 such that P_f contains a unimodular element for some monic polynomial $f \in R[T]$, then P contains a unimodular element. Thus, now, we assume $n \geq 3$.

Write $A = R[M]$. Let

$$J(A, P) = \{s \in A \mid P_s \text{ is extended from } A_s\}$$

be the *Quillen ideal* of P in A . Let $\tilde{J} = J(A, P) \cap R$ be the ideal of R and $J = \tilde{J}R[M]$. We will show that J satisfies the properties of Proposition 1.1.

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ with $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ and $S = R - \mathfrak{p}$. Then, $S^{-1}P$ is a projective module over $S^{-1}A[T] = R_{\mathfrak{p}}[M][T]$. Since $\dim(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$, by (2.6),

$$S^{-1}P = \wedge^n P_S \oplus S^{-1}A[T]^{n-1}.$$

Since the determinant of P is extended from R , $\wedge^n P_S = A[T]_S$, and hence, $S^{-1}P$ is free. Therefore, there exists an $s \in R - \mathfrak{p}$ such that P_s is free. Hence, $s \in \tilde{J}$, and thus, $\text{ht}(\tilde{J}) \geq 2$.

Since $\dim(R/\tilde{J}) \leq n - 2$ and $A[T]/(J) = (R/\tilde{J})[M][T]$, by (2.6), P/J_P contains a unimodular element. If I is an ideal of $(A/J)[T] = (R/\tilde{J})[M][T]$ of height $\geq n - 1$, then, by (2.5), there exists an $R[T]$ -automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{R[T]}(A[T])$ such that, if $\bar{\sigma}$ denotes the induced automorphism of $(A/J)[T]$, then $\bar{\sigma}(I)$ contains a monic polynomial in T . By Theorem 2.7, $EL(P/(T, J)P)$ acts transitively on $\text{Um}(P/(J, T)P)$. Therefore, the result now follows from (1.1). \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let R be a ring of dimension n ,*

$$A = R[X_1, \dots, X_m]$$

a polynomial ring over R and P a projective $A[T]$ -module of rank n . Assume that P/TP and P_f both contain a unimodular element for some monic polynomial $f(T) \in A[T]$. Then, P has a unimodular element.

Proof. If $n = 1$, the result follows from the well-known Quillen and Suslin theorem [13, 18]. When $n = 2$, the result follows from [1,

Proposition 3.3]. Assume $n \geq 3$. Let L be the determinant of P . If \tilde{R} is the seminormalization of R , then, by Swan [19], $L \otimes \tilde{R}[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ is extended from \tilde{R} . By Theorem 1.2, $P \otimes \tilde{R}[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ has a unimodular element. Since

$$\tilde{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$$

is the seminormalization of A , by [1, Lemma 3.1], P has a unimodular element. □

3.3. Obstruction for projective modules to have a unimodular element. Let R be a ring of dimension $n \geq 2$ containing \mathbb{Q} , and let P be a projective $R[X, Y]$ -module of rank n with determinant L . Let

$$\chi : L \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^n(P)$$

be an isomorphism. We call χ an *orientation* of P . In general, we shall use ‘hat’ when we move to $R(X)[Y]$ and ‘bar’ when we move modulo the ideal (X) . For instance, we have:

- (1) $L \otimes R(X)[Y] = \hat{L}$ and $L/XL = \bar{L}$,
- (2) $P \otimes R(X)[Y] = \hat{P}$ and $P/XP = \bar{P}$.

Similarly, $\hat{\chi}$ denotes the induced isomorphism $\hat{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^n \hat{P}$ and $\bar{\chi}$ denotes the induced isomorphism $\bar{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^n \bar{P}$.

We now define the *Euler class* of (P, χ) .

Definition 3.3. First, we consider the case $n \geq 2$ and $n \neq 3$. Let $E(R(X)[Y], \hat{L})$ be the n th Euler class group of $R(X)[Y]$ with respect to the line bundle \hat{L} over $R(X)[Y]$, and let $E(R[Y], \bar{L})$ be the n th Euler class group of $R[Y]$ with respect to the line bundle \bar{L} over $R[Y]$ (see [5, Section 6] for the definition). We define the *n th Euler class group* of $R[X, Y]$, denoted by $E(R[X, Y], L)$, as the product $E(R(X)[Y], \hat{L}) \times E(R[Y], \bar{L})$.

To the pair (P, χ) we associate an element $e(P, \chi)$ of $E(R[X, Y], L)$, called the *Euler class* of (P, χ) , as follows:

$$e(P, \chi) = (e(\hat{P}, \hat{\chi}), e(\bar{P}, \bar{\chi}))$$

where $e(\widehat{P}, \widehat{\chi}) \in E(R(X)[Y], \widehat{L})$ is the Euler class of $(\widehat{P}, \widehat{\chi})$, and $e(\overline{P}, \overline{\chi}) \in E(R[Y], \overline{L})$ is the Euler class of $(\overline{P}, \overline{\chi})$, defined in [5, Section 6].

Now, we treat the case when $n = 3$. Let $\widetilde{E}(R(X)[Y], \widehat{L})$ be the n th restricted Euler class group of $R(X)[Y]$ with respect to the line bundle \widehat{L} over $R(X)[Y]$ and $\widetilde{E}(R[Y], \overline{L})$ the n th restricted Euler class group of $R[Y]$ with respect to the line bundle \overline{L} over $R[Y]$ (see [5, Section 7] for the definition). We define the *Euler class group* of $R[X, Y]$, again denoted $E(R[X, Y], L)$, as the product $\widetilde{E}(R(X)[Y], \widehat{L}) \times \widetilde{E}(R[Y], \overline{L})$.

To the pair (P, χ) we associate an element $e(P, \chi)$ of $E(R[X, Y], L)$, called the *Euler class* of (P, χ) , as follows:

$$e(P, \chi) = (e(\widehat{P}, \widehat{\chi}), e(\overline{P}, \overline{\chi})),$$

where $e(\widehat{P}, \widehat{\chi}) \in \widetilde{E}(R(X)[Y], \widehat{L})$ is the Euler class of $(\widehat{P}, \widehat{\chi})$ and $e(\overline{P}, \overline{\chi}) \in \widetilde{E}(R[Y], \overline{L})$ is the Euler class of $(\overline{P}, \overline{\chi})$, defined in [5, Section 7].

Remark 3.4. Note that, when $n = 2$, the definition of the Euler class group $E(R[T], L)$ is slightly different from the case $n \geq 4$. See [5, Remark 7.8] for details.

Theorem 3.5. *Let R be a ring containing \mathbb{Q} of dimension $n \geq 2$, and let P be a projective $R[X, Y]$ -module of rank n with determinant L . Let $\chi : L \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^n(P)$ be an isomorphism. Then, $e(P, \chi) = 0$ in $E(R[X, Y], L)$ if and only if P has a unimodular element.*

Proof. First, we assume that P has a unimodular element. Therefore, \widehat{P} and \overline{P} also have unimodular elements. If $n \geq 4$, by [5, Theorem 6.12], we have $e(\widehat{P}, \widehat{\chi}) = 0$ in $E(R(X)[Y], \widehat{L})$ and $e(\overline{P}, \overline{\chi}) = 0$ in $E(R[Y], \overline{L})$. The case $n = 2$ is taken care of by [5, Remark 7.8]. Now, if $n = 3$, it follows from [5, Theorem 7.4] that $e(\widehat{P}, \widehat{\chi}) = 0$ in $E(R(X)[Y], \widehat{L})$ and $e(\overline{P}, \overline{\chi}) = 0$ in $\widetilde{E}(R[Y], \overline{L})$. Consequently, $e(P, \chi) = 0$.

Conversely, assume that $e(P, \chi) = 0$. Then, $e(\widehat{P}, \widehat{\chi}) = 0$ in $E(R(X)[Y], \widehat{L})$ and $e(\overline{P}, \overline{\chi}) = 0$ in $E(R[Y], \overline{L})$. If $n \neq 3$, by [5, Theorem 6.12, Remark 7.8], \widehat{P} and \overline{P} have unimodular elements. If $n = 3$,

by [5, Theorem 7.4], \widehat{P} and \overline{P} have unimodular elements. Since \widehat{P} has a unimodular element, we can find a monic polynomial $f \in R[X]$ such that P_f contains a unimodular element. Therefore, then, by Theorem 3.2, P has a unimodular element. □

Remark 3.6. Let R be a ring containing \mathbb{Q} of dimension $n \geq 2$, and let P be a projective $R[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ -module, $r \geq 3$, of rank n with determinant L . Let $\chi : L \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^r(P)$ be an isomorphism. By induction on r , we can define the Euler class group of $R[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ with respect to the line bundle L , denoted by $E(R[X_1, \dots, X_r], L)$, as the product of $E(R(X_r)[X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}], \widehat{L})$ and $E(R[X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}], \overline{L})$.

To the pair (P, χ) we can associate an invariant $e(P, \chi)$ in $E(R[X_1, \dots, X_r], L)$ as follows:

$$e(P, \chi) = (e(\widehat{P}, \widehat{\chi}), e(\overline{P}, \overline{\chi}))$$

where

$$e(\widehat{P}, \widehat{\chi}) \in E(R(X_r)[X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}], \widehat{L})$$

is the Euler class of $(\widehat{P}, \widehat{\chi})$ and

$$e(\overline{P}, \overline{\chi}) \in E(R[X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}], \overline{L})$$

is the Euler class of $(\overline{P}, \overline{\chi})$. Finally, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.7. *Let R be a ring containing \mathbb{Q} of dimension $n \geq 2$, and let P be a projective $R[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ -module of rank n with determinant L . Let $\chi : L \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^n(P)$ be an isomorphism. Then, $e(P, \chi) = 0$ in $E(R[X_1, \dots, X_r], L)$ if and only if P has a unimodular element.*

4. Analogue of Roy and Mandal. In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.4. We begin with the following result from [16, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 4.1. *Let R be a ring and P, Q two projective R -modules. Suppose that*

$$\phi : Q \longrightarrow P$$

is an R -linear map. For an ideal I of R , if ϕ is a split monomorphism modulo I , then

$$\phi_{1+I} : Q_{1+I} \longrightarrow P_{1+I}$$

is also a split monomorphism.

Lemma 4.2. *Let (R, \mathcal{M}) be a local ring and A a ring such that*

$$R[X] \hookrightarrow A \hookrightarrow R[X, X^{-1}].$$

Let P and Q be two projective A -modules and

$$\phi : Q \longrightarrow P$$

an R -linear map. If ϕ is a split monomorphism modulo \mathcal{M} , and, if ϕ_f is a split monomorphism for some special monic polynomial $f \in R[X]$, then ϕ is also a split monomorphism.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 $\phi_{1+\mathcal{M}A}$ is a split monomorphism. Thus, there is an element h in $1+\mathcal{M}A$ such that ϕ_h is a split monomorphism. Since f is a special monic polynomial, $R \hookrightarrow A/f$ is an integral extension, and hence, h and f are comaximal. As ϕ_f is also a split monomorphism, it follows that ϕ is a split monomorphism. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let R be a local ring, and let A be a ring such that $R[X] \hookrightarrow A \hookrightarrow R[X, X^{-1}]$. Let P and Q be two projective A -modules and*

$$\phi, \psi : Q \longrightarrow P$$

A -linear maps. Furthermore, assume that

$$\gamma : P \longrightarrow Q$$

is an A -linear map such that $\gamma\psi = f1_Q$ for some special monic polynomial $f \in R[X]$. For large m , there exists a special monic polynomial $g_m \in A$ such that $X\phi + (1+X^m)\psi$ becomes a split monomorphism after inverting g_m .

Proof. As in [11, 16], first, we assume that Q is free. We have

$$\gamma(X\phi + (1 + X^m)\psi) = X\gamma\phi + (1 + X^m)f1_Q.$$

Since Q is free, $X\gamma\phi + (1 + X^m)f1_Q$ is a matrix. Clearly, for large integer m , $\det(X\gamma\phi + (1 + X^m)f1_Q)$ is a special monic polynomial which can be taken for g_m .

In the general case, find projective A -module Q' such that $Q \oplus Q'$ is free. Define maps

$$\phi', \psi' : Q \oplus Q' \longrightarrow P \oplus Q'$$

and

$$\gamma' : P \oplus Q' \longrightarrow Q \oplus Q'$$

as $\phi' = \phi \oplus 0$, $\psi' = \psi \oplus f1_{Q'}$ and $\gamma' = \gamma \oplus 1_{Q'}$. By the previous case, we can find a special monic polynomial g_m for some large m such that $(X\phi' + (1 + X^m)\psi')_{g_m}$ becomes a split monomorphism. Hence, $X\phi + (1 + X^m)\psi$ becomes a split monomorphism after inverting g_m . \square

The next result generalizes Mandal's [11].

Theorem 4.4. *Let (R, \mathcal{M}) be a local ring and $R[X] \subset A \subset R[X, X^{-1}]$. Let P and Q be two projective A -modules with $\text{rank}(Q) < \text{rank}(P)$. If Q_f is a direct summand of P_f for some special monic polynomial $f \in R[X]$, then Q is also a direct summand of P .*

Proof. The method of proof is similar to [16, Theorem 1.1]; hence, we merely give an outline of the proof.

Since Q_f is a direct summand of P_f , we can find A -linear maps $\psi : Q \rightarrow P$ and $\gamma : P \rightarrow Q$ such that $\gamma\psi = f1_Q$ (possibly after replacing f by a power of f).

Let 'bar' denote reduction modulo \mathcal{M} . Then we have $\bar{\gamma}\bar{\psi} = \bar{f}1_{\bar{Q}}$. As f is special monic, $\bar{\psi}$ is a monomorphism.

We may assume that $A = R[X, f_1/X^t, \dots, f_n/X^t]$ with $f_i \in R[X]$. If $f_i \in \mathcal{M}R[X]$, then $\bar{R}[X, f_i/X^t] = \bar{R}[X, Y]/(X^tY)$. If $f_i \in R[X] - \mathcal{M}R[X]$, then $\bar{R}[X, f_i/X^t]$ is either $\bar{R}[X]$ or $\bar{R}[X, X^{-1}]$, depending upon whether \bar{f}_i/X^t is a polynomial in $\bar{R}[X]$ or \bar{F}_i/X^s with $\bar{F}_i(0) \neq 0$ and $s > 0$.

In general, \bar{A} is one of $\bar{R}[X]$, $\bar{R}[X, X^{-1}]$ or

$$\bar{R}[X, Y_1, \dots, Y_m]/(X^t(Y_1, \dots, Y_m))$$

for some $m > 0$. By [20, Theorem 3.2], any projective $\bar{R}[X, Y_1, \dots, Y_m]/(X^t(Y_1, \dots, Y_m))$ -module is free. Therefore, in all cases, projective \bar{A} -modules are free and hence extended from $\bar{R}[X]$. In particular, \bar{P} and \bar{Q} are extended from $\bar{R}[X]$, which is a PID.

Let $\text{rank}(P) = r$ and $\text{rank}(Q) = s$. Therefore, using the elementary divisors theorem, we can find bases $\{\bar{p}_1, \dots, \bar{p}_r\}$ and $\{\bar{q}_1, \dots, \bar{q}_s\}$ for \bar{P} and \bar{Q} , respectively, such that $\bar{\psi}(\bar{q}_i) = \bar{f}_i \bar{p}_i$ for some $f_i \in R[X]$ and $1 \leq i \leq s$.

For the remainder of the proof, we can follow the proof of [16, Theorem 1.1]. \square

Now, we have the following consequence of Theorem 4.4.

Corollary 4.5. *Let R be a local ring and $R[X] \subset A \subset R[X, X^{-1}]$. Let P and Q be two projective A -modules such that P_f is isomorphic to Q_f for some special monic polynomial $f \in R[X]$. Then:*

- (i) Q is a direct summand of $P \oplus L$ for any projective A -module L ;
- (ii) P is isomorphic to Q if P or Q has a direct summand of rank one;
- (iii) $P \oplus L$ is isomorphic to $Q \oplus L$ for all rank one projective A -modules L ;
- (iv) P and Q have same number of generators.

Proof.

(i) Follows trivially from Theorem 4.4, and (iii) follows from (ii).

The proof of (iv) is the same as [16, Proposition 3.1 (4)].

For (ii), we can follow the proof of [11, Theorem 2.2 (ii)] by replacing doubly monic polynomial by special monic polynomial in his arguments. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Let R be a local ring and $R[X] \subset A \subset R[X, X^{-1}]$. Let P be a projective A -module such that P_f is free for some special monic polynomial $f \in R[X]$. Then, P is free.*

Proof. Follows from the second part of Corollary 4.5. \square

Acknowledgments. We sincerely thank the referee for going through the manuscript with great care.

REFERENCES

1. S.M. Bhatwadekar, *Inversion of monic polynomials and existence of unimodular elements*, Math. Z. **200** (1989), 233–238.

2. S.M. Bhatwadekar, H. Lindel and R.A. Rao, *The Bass Murthy question: Serre dimension of Laurent polynomial extensions*, Invent. Math. **81** (1985), 189–203.
3. S.M. Bhatwadekar and A. Roy, *Stability theorems for overrings of polynomial rings*, Invent. Math. **68** (1982), 117–127.
4. ———, *Some theorems about projective modules over polynomial rings*, J. Algebra **86** (1984), 150–158.
5. M.K. Das and Md. Ali Zinna, *The Euler class group of a polynomial algebra with coefficients in a line bundle*, Math. Z. **276** (2014), 757–783.
6. A.M. Dhorajia and M.K. Keshari, *A note on cancellation of projective modules*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **216** (2012), 126–129.
7. D. Eisenbud and E.G. Evans, *Generating modules efficiently: Theorems from algebraic K-theory*, J. Algebra **27** (1973), 278–305.
8. J. Gubeladze, *The elementary action on unimodular rows over a monoid ring*, J. Algebra **148** (1992), 135–161.
9. M.K. Keshari and H.P. Sarwar, *Serre dimension of monoid algebras*, Proc. Math. Sci., to appear.
10. T.Y. Lam, *Serre conjecture*, Lect. Notes Math. **635** (1978).
11. S. Mandal, *About direct summands of projective modules over laurent polynomial rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1991), 915–918.
12. B. Plunstead, *The conjecture of Eisenbud and Evans*, Amer. J. Math. **105** (1983), 1417–1433.
13. D. Quillen, *Projective modules over polynomial rings*, Invent. Math. **36** (1976), 167–171.
14. R.A. Rao, *Stability theorems for overrings of polynomial rings II*, J. Algebra **78** (1982), 437–444.
15. M. Roitman, *Projective modules over polynomial rings*, J. Algebra **58** (1979), 51–63.
16. A. Roy, *Remarks on a result of Roitman*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **44** (1980), 117–120.
17. J.P. Serre, *Sur les modules projectifs*, Sem. Dubreil-Pisot **14** (1960)–(1961).
18. A.A. Suslin, *Projective modules over polynomial rings are free*, Math. Dokl. **17** (1976), 1160–1164.
19. R.G. Swan, *On seminormality*, J. Algebra **67** (1980), 210–229.
20. T. Vorst, *The Serre problem for discrete Hodge algebras*, Math. Z. **184** (1983), 425–433.

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
POWAI, MUMBAI 400076, INDIA

Email address: keshari@math.iitb.ac.in

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH BHUBANESWAR (HBNI),
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, 752050 INDIA

Email address: zinna2012@gmail.com