

On the L^2 -boundedness of pseudo-differential operators

By

Yoshiki HIGUCHI and Michihiro NAGASE

§1. Introduction.

Let \mathbf{R}^n denote the n -dimensional Euclidean space. Let m , ρ and δ be real numbers with $0 \leq \rho, \delta \leq 1$. If a smooth function $p(x, \xi)$ on $\mathbf{R}_x^n \times \mathbf{R}_\xi^n$ satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad |\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta p(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} (1 + |\xi|)^{m - \rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|}$$

for any multi-indices α and β , then we say that $p(x, \xi)$ belongs to Hörmander's class $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ (see, for example, [5]). For $p(x, \xi)$ in $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ we define the pseudo-differential operator $p(X, D_x)$ by

$$(1.2) \quad p(X, D_x)u(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} p(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi,$$

where $\hat{u}(\xi)$ denotes the Fourier transform of $u(x)$, that is, $\hat{u}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(x) dx$ and we denote $p(X, D_x) \in L_{\rho, \delta}^m$. The function $p(x, \xi)$ is called the symbol of the operator $p(X, D_x)$. In [4], Hörmander proved that if all of the operators in $L_{\rho, \delta}^m$ are $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -bounded then $m \leq \min\{0, \frac{n}{2}(\rho - \delta)\}$, by giving counter examples. When $\delta < 1$, Calderón and Vaillancourt in [1] showed that $m \leq \min\{0, \frac{n}{2}(\rho - \delta)\}$ implies the $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -boundedness of the operators in $L_{\rho, \delta}^m$. Moreover, when $\rho = \delta < 1$, there are many generalized theorems to the case of non-regular symbols (see, for example, [3], [7] and [12]). On the other hand, when $\delta = 1$, Chin-Hung-Ching in [2] proved that $S_{1,1}^0$ does not always define $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -bounded operators, and Rodino in [11] proved that the operator in $L_{\rho, 1}^{-n(1-\rho)/2}$ is not always $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -bounded, by constructing the counter examples.

In the present paper we give also an example of symbols which is in $S_{\rho, 1}^{-n(1-\rho)/2}$ but define operators unbounded in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and we show that the decreasing order $n(1-\rho)/2$ of symbols is critical in a sense (see [6]). Our example is similar to the example constructed by Chin-Hung-Ching in the case $\rho = 1$, and therefore a little different from the one of Rodino in [11].

In Section 2 we give an $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -boundedness theorem and in Section 3 we construct an example of symbols to show that the theorem in Section 2 is critical.

§2. L^2 -boundedness theorem.

Let $\|f\|_{L^2}$ denote the norm of $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$. We use $\langle \xi \rangle = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$. Let S denote the set of Schwartz rapidly decreasing functions in \mathbf{R}^n . We assume that the symbols in the present paper are at least measurable in $\mathbf{R}_x^n \times \mathbf{R}_\xi^n$. Then the following lemma is shown in [10].

Lemma 2.1. *We assume that a symbol $p(x, \xi)$ satisfies that the support of $p(x, \xi)$ is contained in $\{\xi; |\xi| \leq R\}$ and*

$$(2.1) \quad |\partial_\xi^\alpha p(x, \xi)| \leq N \quad \text{for } |\alpha| \leq \kappa = \left[\frac{n}{2} \right] + 1.$$

Then the operator $p(X, D_x)$ is $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -bounded and we have

$$(2.2) \quad \|p(X, D_x)u\|_{L^2} \leq CN \|u\|_{L^2} \quad \text{for } u \text{ in } S,$$

where the constant C is independent of $p(x, \xi)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$. Suppose that a symbol $p(x, \xi)$ satisfies that*

$$(2.3) \quad |\partial_\xi^\alpha p(x, \xi)| \leq N \langle \xi \rangle^{-n(1-\rho)/2 - \rho|\alpha|} \omega(\langle \xi \rangle^{-1}) \quad \text{for } |\alpha| \leq \kappa,$$

where $\omega(t)$ is a non-negative and non-decreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ and satisfies

$$(2.4) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{\omega(t)^2}{t} dt = M_2^2 < \infty.$$

Then the operator $p(X, D_x)$ is $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -bounded and we have

$$(2.5) \quad \|p(X, D_x)u\|_{L^2} \leq C(1 + M_2)N \|u\|_{L^2} \quad \text{for } u \text{ in } S,$$

where the constant C is independent of $p(x, \xi)$.

Proof. By the assumptions and Lemma 2.1 we may assume that the symbol $p(x, \xi)$ has the support in $\{\xi; |\xi| \geq 2\}$ and satisfies

$$(2.3)' \quad |\partial_\xi^\alpha p(x, \xi)| \leq N |\xi|^{-n(1-\rho)/2 - \rho|\alpha|} \omega(|\xi|)^{-1} \quad \text{for } |\alpha| \leq \kappa.$$

We take a smooth function $f(t)$ on \mathbf{R}^1 such that the support is contained in the interval $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and $\int_0^\infty \frac{f(t)^2}{t} dt = 1$. Then since $\int_0^\infty \frac{f(t|\xi|)^2}{t} dt = 1$ for $\xi \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(X, D_x)u(x) &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} p(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \int K_t(x, z) G_t(x - tz) dz, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_t(x, z) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{iz \cdot \xi} p\left(x, \frac{\cdot}{t}\right) f(|\xi|) d\xi,$$

and

$$G_t(x) = f(t|D_x|)u(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} f(t|\xi|) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Since the support of $p(x, \frac{\xi}{t})f(|\xi|)$ is contained in $\{\xi; \frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 1, |\xi| \geq 2t\}$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (2.6) \quad p(X, D_x)u(x) &= \int_0^{1/2} \frac{dt}{t} \int K_t(x, z) G_t(x-tz) dz \\ &= \int_0^{1/2} \frac{dt}{t} \int_{|z| \leq t^{\rho-1}} K_t(x, z) G_t(x-tz) dz \\ &\quad + \int_0^{1/2} \frac{dt}{t} \int_{|z| \geq t^{\rho-1}} K_t(x, z) G_t(x-tz) dz \\ &= Iu(x) + IIu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Schwarz inequality we have

$$|Iu(x)|^2 \leq \left(\int_0^{1/2} t^m \frac{dt}{t} \int |K_t(x, z)|^2 dz \right) \left(\int_0^{1/2} \frac{dt}{t} \int_{|z| \leq t^{\rho-1}} t^{-m} |G_t(x-tz)|^2 dz \right),$$

where $m = -n(1-\rho)$. By assumptions and the Parseval equality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{1/2} t^m \frac{dt}{t} \int |K_t(x, z)|^2 dz &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int_0^{1/2} t^m \frac{dt}{t} \int \left| p\left(x, \frac{\xi}{t}\right) f(|\xi|) \right|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C^2 N^2 \int_0^{1/2} t^m \frac{dt}{t} \int_{1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 1} \left(\frac{|\xi|}{t}\right)^m \omega\left(\left(\frac{|\xi|}{t}\right)^{-1}\right)^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C^2 N^2 \int_0^{1/2} \omega(2t)^2 \frac{dt}{t} = C^2 N^2 M_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.7) \quad \|Iu\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C^2 N^2 M_2^2 \int \left(\int_0^{1/2} t^{-m} \frac{dt}{t} \int_{|z| \leq t^{\rho-1}} |G_t(x-tz)|^2 dz \right) dx \\ &= C_2 N^2 M_2^2 \int_0^{1/2} t^{-m} \left(\int_{|z| \leq t^{\rho-1}} \left(\int |G_t(x)|^2 dx \right) dz \right) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq C^2 N^2 M_2^2 \int_0^\infty \left(\int |f(t|\xi|) \hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= C^2 N^2 M_2^2 \|u\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here and hereafter the constants C are not always the same at each occurrence. In a similar way we have

$$\begin{aligned} |IIu(x)|^2 &\leq \left(\int_0^{1/2} t^m \frac{dt}{t} \int |z|^{2\kappa} |K_t(x, z)|^2 dz \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^{1/2} t^{-m} \frac{dt}{t} \int_{|z| \geq t^{\rho-1}} |z|^{-2\kappa} |G_t(x-tz)|^2 dz \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $m=(2\kappa-n)(1-\rho)$. By assumptions we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{1/2} t^m \frac{dt}{t} \int |z|^{2\kappa} |K_t(x, z)|^2 dz &= c_n \int_0^{1/2} t^m \frac{dt}{t} \int |\nabla_{\xi}^{\alpha} \left\{ p\left(x, \frac{\xi}{t}\right) f(|\xi|) \right\}|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C^2 N^2 \int_0^{1/2} t^m \frac{dt}{t} \int_{1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 1} \left| \frac{\xi}{t} \right|^{-n(1-\rho)-2\kappa\rho} t^{-2\kappa} \omega\left(\left| \frac{\xi}{t} \right|^{-1}\right)^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C^2 N^2 \int_0^{1/2} \omega(2t)^2 \frac{dt}{t} = C^2 N^2 M_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.8) \quad \|Iu\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C^2 N^2 M_2^2 \int \left(\int_0^{1/2} t^{-m} \frac{dt}{t} \int_{|z| \geq t^{\rho-1}} |z|^{-2\kappa} |G_t(x-tz)|^2 dz \right) dx \\ &= C^2 N^2 M_2^2 \int_0^{1/2} t^{-m} \left(\int_{|z| \geq t^{\rho-1}} |z|^{-2\kappa} \left(\int |G_t(x)|^2 dx \right) dz \right) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq C^2 N^2 M_2^2 \int_0^{\infty} \left(\int |f(t|\xi|) \hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= C^2 N^2 M_2^2 \|u\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) we obtain the estimate (2.5).

Q. E. D.

- Remark.** (i) We note that when $\rho=1$ the estimate (2.5) has already been proved in [8].
(ii) If we replace the condition (2.4) by

$$(2.4)' \quad \int_0^1 \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt = M_1 < \infty,$$

then we can prove the L^p -boundedness of the operator for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ (see [9] and [10]). We can see easily that the condition (2.4)' is stronger than (2.4).

§3. An example of pseudo-differential operators unbounded in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Let l^∞ denote the set of bounded sequences $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and l^2 denote the set of sequences $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ with $\sum_{j=1}^\infty |a_j|^2 < \infty$. We use these in the proof of the following

Theorem 3.1. *Let $0 \leq \rho < 1$ and let $\omega(t)$ be a non-negative and non-decreasing function on \mathbf{R}^1 which satisfies*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{\omega(t)^2}{t} dt = \infty.$$

Then we can construct a symbol $p(x, \xi)$, which satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} p(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{-n(1-\rho)/2 - \rho|\alpha| + |\beta|} \omega(\langle \xi \rangle^{-1})$$

for any α and β , so that $p(X, D_x)$ is not bounded in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Proof. We choose a sequence $\{\tilde{\eta}_{j,k}\}_{j=1, k=1}^{j_n-1}$ which has the following properties:

$$(3.3) \quad |\tilde{\eta}_{j,k}| = j,$$

$$(3.4) \quad |\tilde{\eta}_{j,k} - \tilde{\eta}_{j,k'}| > c_n \quad \text{if } k \neq k',$$

where c_n is a constant independent of j with $0 < c_n \leq 1$.

We take a $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ function $\chi(\xi)$ with $\chi(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \leq 1/4$ and $\chi(\xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| \geq 1/2$. We put $\eta_{j,k} = |\tilde{\eta}_{j,k}|^{\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}} \tilde{\eta}_{j,k}$, then we note that

$$(3.5) \quad |\eta_{j,k}| = j^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}$$

We define $p(x, \xi)$ by

$$(3.6) \quad p(x, \xi) = \sum_{j=1, k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{j^{n-1}} a_j |\eta_{j,k}|^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\rho)} e^{-i\eta_{j,k} \cdot x} \chi((c_n |\eta_{j,k}|^\rho)^{-1}(\xi - \eta_{j,k})),$$

where $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is in l^∞ . We can see that

$\{\chi((c_n |\eta_{j,k}|^\rho)^{-1}(\xi - \eta_{j,k}))\}_{j,k}$ have disjoint supports and that

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{1}{2} |\eta_{j,k}| \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{3}{2} |\eta_{j,k}|$$

for any ξ in the support of $\chi((c_n |\eta_{j,k}|^\rho)^{-1}(\xi - \eta_{j,k}))$.

Hence $p(x, \xi)$ is well-defined as a C^∞ -function on $\mathbf{R}_x^n \times \mathbf{R}_\xi^n$.

Moreover we have

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} |\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta p(x, \xi)| &= \sum_{j=1, k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{j^{n-1}} a_j |\eta_{j,k}|^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\rho)} (-i\eta_{j,k})^\beta (c_n |\eta_{j,k}|^\rho)^{-1\alpha} \\ &\quad \times e^{-i\eta_{j,k} \cdot x} (\partial^\alpha \chi_j)((c_n |\eta_{j,k}|^\rho)^{-1}(\xi - \eta_{j,k})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} |\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta p(x, \xi)| &\leq \sum_{j=1, k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{j^{n-1}} |a_j| |\eta_{j,k}|^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\rho) + |\beta| - \rho|\alpha|} \\ &\quad \times c_n^{-|\alpha|} |(\partial^\alpha \chi)((c_n |\eta_{j,k}|^\rho)^{-1}(\xi - \eta_{j,k}))|. \end{aligned}$$

Here we set $t_j = (1 + 4j^{\frac{2}{1-\rho}})^{-1/2}$ and $a_j = \omega(t_j)$ $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Then we can see by (3.5) and (3.7) that $p(x, \xi)$ satisfies (3.2). We note that $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is bounded but $\{j^{-1/2} a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is not in l^2 .

Next we take $\varphi(x)$ in S such that $\varphi(x) \neq 0$ and the support of $\hat{\varphi}(\xi)$ is contained in $\{\xi; |\xi| \leq c_n/4\}$, and we define $u_m(x)$ in S by

$$(3.10) \quad \hat{u}_m(\xi) = \sum_{j=1, k=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{j^{n-1}} b_j \hat{\varphi}(\xi - \eta_{j,k}), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a sequence in l^∞ . We note also that $\{\hat{\varphi}(\xi - \eta_{j,k})\}$ have disjoint supports. Therefore we have

$$(3.11) \quad \|u_m\|_{L^2}^2 = (2\pi)^{-n} \sum_{j=1, k=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{j^{n-1}} |b_j|^2 \int |\hat{\varphi}(\xi - \eta_{j,k})|^2 d\xi$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=1}^m j^{n-1} |b_j|^2 \right) \|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2.$$

On the other hand, by the definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.12) \quad p(X, D_x)u_m(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{j^{n-1}} b_j (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} p(x, \xi) \hat{\varphi}(\xi - \eta_{j,k}) d\xi \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{j^{n-1}} b_j \sum_{j'=1}^m \sum_{k'=1}^{j'^{n-1}} a_{j'} (2\pi)^{-n} \int |\eta_{j',k'}|^{-n(1-\rho)/2} \\ &\quad \times e^{i(\xi - \eta_{j',k'}) \cdot x} \chi((c_n |\eta_{j',k'}|^\rho)^{-1}(\xi - \eta_{j',k'})) \hat{\varphi}(\xi - \eta_{j,k}) d\xi \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{j^{n-1}} a_j b_j |\eta_{j,k}|^{-n(1-\rho)/2} (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{i(\xi - \eta_{j,k}) \cdot x} \hat{\varphi}(\xi - \eta_{j,k}) d\xi \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^m j^{n/2-1} a_j b_j \right) \varphi(x). \end{aligned}$$

Here we assume that $p(X, D_x)$ is L^2 -bounded, that is,

$$\|p(X, D_x)u\|_{L^2} \leq C_0 \|u\|_{L^2} \quad \text{for any } u \text{ in } S.$$

Then, equalities (3.11) and (3.12) imply

$$(3.13) \quad \left| \sum_{j=1}^m j^{n/2-1} a_j b_j \right|^2 \leq C_0^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |b_j|^2 j^{n-1} \right) \quad \text{for any } m.$$

Now by taking $b_j = j^{-n/2} a_j$, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^m j^{-1} |a_j|^2 \leq C_0^2 \quad \text{for any } m.$$

This contradicts that $\{j^{-1/2} a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ does not belong to l^2 .

Therefore the operator $p(X, D_x)$ is not $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -bounded.

Q. E. D.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KOBE UNIVERSITY OF COMMERCE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
COLLEGE OF GENERAL EDUCATION
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

References

- [1] A. Calderón and R. Vaillancourt, A class of bounded pseudo-differential operators, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., **69** (1972), 1185–1187.
- [2] Chin-Hung Ching, Pseudo-differential operators with non-regular symbols, J. Differential Equations, **11** (1972), 436–447.
- [3] R.R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels, Astérisque, **57** (1978), 1–85.
- [4] L. Hörmander, On the L^2 -continuity of pseudo-differential operators, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **24** (1971), 529–535.
- [5] H. Kumano-go, Pseudo-differential operators, MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass. and London,

- England, 1982.
- [6] J. Marschall, Pseudo-differential operators with nonregular symbols of the class $S_{\rho,\delta}^m$, to appear.
 - [7] T. Muramatsu, Estimates for the norm of pseudo-differential operators by means of Besov spaces I, L_2 -theory, to appear.
 - [8] T. Muramatsu and M. Nagase, L^2 -boundedness of pseudo-differential operators with non-regular symbols, Canadian Math. Soc. Conference Proceedings, **1** (1981), 135–144.
 - [9] M. Nagase, On the boundedness of pseudo-differential operators in L^p -spaces, Sci. Rep. College Gen. Ed. Osaka Univ., **32** (1983), 9–19.
 - [10] M. Nagase, On some classes of L^p -bounded pseudo-differential operators, Osaka J. Math., **23** (1986), 425–440.
 - [11] L. Rodino, On the boundedness of pseudo-differential operators in the class $L_{\rho,1}^m$, Proc. A. M.S., **58** (1976), 211–215.
 - [12] M. Sugimoto, L^p -boundedness of pseudo-differential operators satisfying Besov estimates I, to appear.