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## 1. Introduction and statements of results

In this paper, we will work in the homotopy category of based spaces and based maps. Given a space $X$, we denote the reduced $K$-theory by $K(X)$ and the homology group of integral coefficients by $H_{*}(X)$. Let $C P^{\infty}$ be the infinite dimensional complex projective space. Let $\eta$ be the canonical line bundle over $C P^{\infty}$ and $i: C P^{\infty} \rightarrow B U$ be the classifying map of the virtual bundle $\eta-1$. Since $B U$ has a loop space structure which is derived from the Whitney sum of complex vector bundles, there exists a unique extension of $i$ to the loop map $j: \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty} \rightarrow B U$.

In this paper we investigate the following problems:
Given an element $\alpha \in K(X)$, when does there exist a lift $\widehat{\alpha} \in\left[X, \Omega \sum C P^{\infty}\right]$ such that $j_{*}(\widehat{\alpha})=\alpha$ ? If $\alpha$ has a lift, how we can construct the lift $\widehat{\alpha}$ ?

Define

$$
P K(X)=\left\{\alpha \in K(X) \mid \exists \widehat{\alpha} \in\left[X, \Omega \sum C P^{\infty}\right] \text { such that } j *(\widehat{\alpha})=\alpha\right\} .
$$

If an element $\alpha \in K(X)$ belongs to $P K(X)$, we call that $\alpha$ is projective.
The significance of the above problem is as follows:
The James splitting theorem [2] implies that there exists a loop map $\theta: B U \rightarrow \Omega^{\infty} \sum^{\infty} C P^{\infty}$ such that the following diagram commutes:


Therefore, given an element $\alpha \in K(X)$, we have the stable map, $\operatorname{adj} .(\theta(\alpha))$ : $\sum^{\infty} X \rightarrow \sum^{\infty} C P^{\infty}$. Using the information of $K(X)$, we can calculate the induced homomorphism [3], [4] of $a d j .(\theta(\alpha))_{*}: H_{*}(X) \rightarrow H_{*}\left(C P^{\infty}\right)$. If $\alpha$ has a lift $\widehat{\alpha}$, then this implies that the stable map $a d j .(\theta(\alpha))$ and its induced

[^0]homomorphism come from the unstable map adj. $(\widehat{\alpha}): \sum X \rightarrow \sum C P^{\infty}$. These imply that the determination of $P K(X)$ gives complete information of the image of the homomorphism:
$$
\left[\Sigma X, \sum C P^{\infty}\right] \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{*}(X), H_{*}\left(C P^{\infty}\right)\right) .
$$

However, since the above homomorphism factors through $\operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{*}(X), H_{*}\left(\Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right)\right)$, it is desiable to obtain the image of

$$
\left[X, \Omega \sum C P^{\infty}\right] \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{*}(X), H_{*}\left(\Omega \sum C P^{\infty}\right)\right) .
$$

So, if possible, we want to have the information of not $\operatorname{adj} .(\widehat{\alpha}) *$ but $\widehat{\alpha} *: H_{*}(X) \rightarrow H_{*}\left(\Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right)$. Thus we need the geometry of the lift $\widehat{\alpha}$.

Now we shall state our main results.
Since $C P^{\infty}$ is an $\mathrm{H}^{-s p a c e, ~ w e ~ h a v e ~ a ~ m a p ~}$

$$
C P^{\infty} \wedge C P^{\infty} \rightarrow \Omega \sum C P^{\infty}
$$

which is the adjoint of the Hopf construction: We will show that
Theorem 1.1. The adjoint of the Hopf construction of $C P^{\infty}$ has an extension

$$
\#: \Omega \sum C P^{\infty} \wedge \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty} \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}
$$

such that the following diagram commutes:

where $\otimes: B U \wedge B U \rightarrow B U$ is the map which represents the external tensor product $K(X) \otimes K(Y) \rightarrow K(X \wedge Y)$.

As the properties of $P K(X)$, we have
Theorem 1.2. $\quad P K(X)$ has the following properties.
(1) $P K(X)$ is an additive subgroup of $K(X)$,
(2) if $\alpha \in P K(X)$ and $\beta \in P K(Y)$, then $\alpha \otimes \beta \in P K(X \wedge Y)$,
(3) if $\alpha \in P K(X)$, then $\varphi^{k}(\alpha) \in P K(X)$ for all $k \in Z$, where $\varphi^{k}$ is the Adams operation,
(4) if $X$ is a finite complex, then for any $\alpha \in K(X)$, there exists a number $N$ such that $N \alpha \in P K(X)$,
(5) if $X$ is a finite complex, then for large $N, P K\left(\Sigma^{N} X\right)=K\left(\Sigma^{N} X\right)$,
(6) if $\alpha \in K(X)$ is a linear combination of line bundles of virtual dimension 0 , then $\alpha \in P K(X)$.

As an application, we consider the group $\left[C P^{\infty}, \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right]$.
Recall that $K\left(C P_{+}^{\infty}\right) \cong \mathbf{Z}[[x]]$, where $x=\eta-1$. Since $C P^{\infty}$ is the classifying space of complex line bundles, it is easily seen that $P K\left(C P^{\infty}\right)=$
$K\left(C P^{\infty}\right)$. However, we would like to find the canonical lift of $x^{n} \in K\left(C P^{\infty}\right)$.
Let $f_{1}: C P^{\infty} \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}$ and $\zeta_{1}: S^{2} \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}$ be the inclusions and inductively define

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{n+1}: C P^{\infty} \xrightarrow{\bar{\Delta}} C P^{\infty} \wedge C P^{\infty} \xrightarrow{f_{1} \wedge f_{n}} \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty} \wedge \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty} \xrightarrow{\#} \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty},  \tag{1.2}\\
\zeta_{n+1}: S^{2 n+2}=S^{2} \wedge S^{2 n} \xrightarrow{\zeta_{1} \wedge \zeta_{n}} \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty} \wedge \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty} \xrightarrow{\#} \Omega \Sigma P^{\infty}, \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\bar{\Delta}$ is the reduced diagonal map.
Remark 1.3. The above definition of $\zeta_{n}$ coincides with the one in [5].
Let $C P_{n}^{\infty}$ be the stunted projective space $C P^{\infty} / C P^{n-1}$ and $p: C P^{\infty} \rightarrow C P_{n}^{\infty}$ be the projection.

Theorem 1.4. $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\zeta_{n}\right\}$ have the following properties.
(1) $j_{*}\left(f_{n}\right)=x^{n}$ in $K\left(C P^{\infty}\right)$,
(2) $f_{n}: C P^{\infty} \rightarrow \Omega \sum C P^{\infty}$ factors as $C P^{\infty} \xrightarrow{p} C P_{n}^{\infty} \xrightarrow{g_{n}} \Omega \sum C P^{\infty}$, such that the restriction to the bottom sphere of the map $g_{n}$ coincides with the map $\zeta_{n}$.
(3) $j_{*}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$ is the generator of $\pi_{2 n}(B U) \cong \mathbf{Z}$,
(4) Let $C\left(f_{n}, f_{m}\right)$ be the commutator in the group $\left[C P^{\infty}, \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right]$ of $f_{n}$ and $f_{m}$. Then

$$
i^{*} C\left(f_{n}, f_{m}\right)=q^{*}<\zeta_{n}, \zeta_{m}>
$$

where $i: C P^{n+m} \rightarrow C P^{\infty}$ is the inclusion, $q: C P^{n+m} \rightarrow S^{2 n+2 m}$ is the projection and $<\zeta_{n}, \zeta_{m}>$ is the Samelson product in $\pi_{*}\left(\Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right)$.

Let $h: \pi_{*}\left(\Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right) \rightarrow H_{*}\left(\Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right)$ be the Hurewicz homomorphism. Recall that $\widetilde{H}_{*}\left(C P^{\infty}\right) \cong \mathbf{Z}\left\{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \cdots\right\}$, where $\beta_{n} \in H_{2 n}\left(C P^{\infty}\right)$ is the standard generator. Therefore $H_{*}\left(\Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right)$ is the tensor algebra generated by $\left\{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right.$, $\cdots\}$. Let $\chi: \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty} \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}$ be the map of loop inverse. Then

## Theorem 1.5.

$$
h\left(\zeta_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\beta_{1} & \text { if } n=1,  \tag{1.4}\\ (n-1)!\sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{*}\left(\beta_{n-i}\right)\left(i \beta_{i}-\beta_{1} \beta_{i-1}\right) & \text { if } n \geq 2,\end{cases}
$$

where the product in the above equation is the one in the tensor algebra and $\beta_{0}$ means $1 \in H_{0}\left(\Omega \sum C P^{\infty}\right)$.

Corollary 1.6. If $n \geq 3$, then the group $\left[\Sigma C P^{n}, \sum C P^{n}\right]$ is not commutative.

Let $\tilde{f}_{i} \in\left[\Sigma C P^{\infty}, \sum C P^{\infty}\right]$ be the adjoint of $f_{i}$. Then about the composition structures of $f_{i}$ we get

Theorem 1.7. The composition $\tilde{f}_{i} \circ \tilde{f}_{j}$ can be written as a linear
combination of $\widetilde{f} n$ 's for $n \leq i j$.
Throughout this paper, we use the symbol + as the product in [ $\sum X$, $\Sigma C P^{\infty}$ ] or $\left[X, \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right]$, although these groups are not in general abelian.

The auther thanks H. Oshima for valuable discussions with him.

## 2. The extension of $X \wedge Y \rightarrow Z$ to $\Omega \Sigma X \wedge \Omega \Sigma Y$

Given a map $f: X \wedge Y \rightarrow \Omega Z$, there exist extensions of $f$ to $\Omega \Sigma X \wedge \Omega \sum Y$. We fix a choice of extensions as follows: Take $x \in X$. Define $f_{x}: Y \rightarrow \Omega Z$ by $f_{x}(y)=f(x, y)$. Extend $f_{x}$ to a loop map $\bar{f}_{x}: \Omega \sum Y \rightarrow \Omega Z$. Note that such an extension is unique. Therefore we have a map $g: X \wedge \Omega \Sigma Y \rightarrow \Omega Z$ which is an extension of $f$. Similarly, from $g$ we have a map $h: \Omega \sum X \wedge \Omega \sum Y \rightarrow \Omega Z$. Note that $h\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}, \beta\right)=h\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta\right)+h\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta\right), h\left(c(x), \beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)=h\left(c(x), \beta_{1}\right)+h(c(x)$, $\beta_{2}$ ), and $h(c(x), c(y))=f(x, y)$, where $c: X \rightarrow \Omega \sum X$ is the canonical inclusion. From now on we denote $h$ by $L(f)$.

Our extension $L(f)$ of $f$ can be described through the following commutative diagram:

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{m}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)= & \left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{1}, y_{2}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{1}, y_{n}\right), \\
& \left(x_{2}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{2}, y_{n}\right), \\
& \cdots \\
& \left(x_{m}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{m}, y_{2}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{m}, y_{n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$\iota: X \wedge Y \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma(X \wedge Y)$ is the inclusion, $\alpha_{m}$ is the composite: $X^{m} \xrightarrow{\prime m}\left(\Omega \sum X\right)^{m}$ $\stackrel{+}{\rightarrow} \Omega \sum X, \bar{f}$ is the canonical extension of $f$ to the loop map and other maps are standard ones. It is clear that $L(f)=\bar{f} \circ L(\iota)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $p_{i}: C P^{\infty} \times C P^{\infty} \rightarrow C P^{\infty}$ be the $i$-th projection ( $i=1, \quad 2$ ). Since $C P^{\infty} \cong K(\mathbf{Z}, 2)$, it has the unique multiplication $\mu: C P^{\infty} \times C P^{\infty} \rightarrow C P^{\infty}$. Let $\widetilde{H(\mu)}: C P^{\infty} \wedge C P^{\infty} \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}$ be the adjoint of the Hopf construction $H(\mu): \Sigma C P^{\infty} \wedge C P^{\infty} \rightarrow \Sigma C P^{\infty}$. Then the following equation characterizes $\widetilde{H(\mu)}$ [1].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{H(\mu)} \circ \pi=-\iota^{\circ} p_{2}-\iota^{\circ} p_{1}+\iota^{\circ} \mu . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi$ : $C P^{\infty} \times C P^{\infty} \rightarrow C P^{\infty} \wedge C P^{\infty}$ is the canonical projection.
Put

$$
\#=L(\widetilde{H(\mu)})): \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty} \wedge \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty} \rightarrow \Omega \sum C P^{\infty}
$$

Lemma 2.1. The following diagram commutes:


Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
j \circ \widetilde{H(\mu)} \circ \pi & =j \circ\left(-\tau^{\circ} p_{2}-\iota^{\circ} p_{1}+\iota^{\circ} \mu\right) \quad \text { by (2.2) } \\
& =-i \circ p_{2}-i^{\circ} p_{1}+i \circ \mu \quad \text { since } j \text { is a loop map } \\
& =-1 \otimes \eta+1 \otimes 1-\eta \otimes 1+1 \otimes 1+\eta \otimes \eta-1 \otimes 1 \\
& =(\eta-1) \otimes(\eta-1) \\
& =\otimes \circ(i \wedge i) \circ \pi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\pi^{*}$ is mononorphic, we have the desired result.
Now consider the diagram (1.1). For convenience, put $X=C P^{\infty}$ and consider the James model $X_{\infty}$ instead of $\Omega \sum X$. Let $X_{n}$ be the $n$-th James filtration of $X_{\infty}$. Consider the Milnor exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \lim _{\leftarrow}^{1}\left[\sum X_{n} \wedge X_{m}, B U\right] \rightarrow\left[X_{\infty} \wedge X_{\infty}, B U\right] \rightarrow \underset{\sim}{\lim }\left[X_{n} \wedge X_{m}, B U\right] \rightarrow 0
$$

Since $\left[\Sigma X_{n} \wedge X_{m}, B U\right]=0$, in order to show the commutativity of the diagram (1.1), it is enough to show that the restriction to $X_{n} \wedge X_{m}$ of the diagram (1.1) commutes. To see this, consider the following diagram

where $\alpha: X^{n} \rightarrow \Omega \sum X$ is the composite $X^{n} \xrightarrow{n}\left(\Omega \sum X\right)^{n} \xrightarrow{+} \Omega \sum X,+$ means the loop sum. Note that the image of $\alpha$ is $X_{n}$. From the bundle theory and the previous lemma, we see that the above diagram commutes (up to homotopy). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

(1) is clear, since $j: \Omega \sum C P^{\infty} \rightarrow B U$ is a loop map.
(2) follows from Theorem 1.1.
(3) Recall that $\left[C P^{\infty}, C P^{\infty}\right] \cong H^{2}\left(C P^{\infty}\right) \cong \mathbf{Z}$. Take any integer $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ and consider the following commutative diagram:


Since $\varphi^{k}$ is additive, the above diagram can be extended uniquely to the following commutative diagram:


Thus, (3) follows.
(4) Let $p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots$ be all primes. Put $r_{k}=\left(p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{k}\right)^{k}$. Let $Y=\Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}$ or $B U$. For any integer $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, consider the $n$-fold loop multiplication map $n$ : $Y \rightarrow Y$. Then clearly, the following diagram commutes:


Consider the telescope of the following sequence:

$$
Y \xrightarrow{r_{1}} Y \xrightarrow{r_{2}} Y \xrightarrow{r_{3}} \cdots,
$$

then this telescope gives the rational localization of $Y$ [9]. Recall that $\left(\Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \cong \Pi_{k} K\left(\pi_{k}\left(\Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right) \otimes \mathbf{Q}, k\right)$ and $B U_{\mathbf{Q}} \cong K\left(\pi_{k}(B U) \otimes \mathbf{Q}, k\right)$, where $K(\pi, k)$ is the Eilenberg MacLane space. Note that $j_{*}:\left(\Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow$ $\pi_{*}(B U) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is split-epi. Thus we get the splitting map between the product of the Eilenberg MacLane spaces and so we get the splitting map of $j_{\mathbf{Q}}$ : $\left(\Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow B U_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Since $X$ is a finite complex, $[X, \operatorname{Tel}(Y)]=\lim _{r_{k}}[X, Y]$. This implies that $\lim _{r_{k}} j *: \lim _{r_{k}}\left[X, \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right] \rightarrow \lim _{r_{k}}[X, B U]$ is onto as sets.

Therefore, for any element $\alpha \in K(X)=[X, B U]$, there exists an element $\beta \in$ $\left[X, \Omega \sum C P^{\infty}\right]$ such that $\left(\lim j_{*}\right)([\beta])=[\alpha]$, where $[\alpha]$ means the equivalence class of $\alpha$ in the direct limit. This implies that $\alpha$ is equivalent to $j_{*}(\beta)$ in the direct limit. Now, the proof of (4) easily follows.
(5) First, from Theorem 1.1, we have the following commutative diagram:


Taking the adjoint we have

where $\beta$ is the map which represents the Bott periodicity $K(X) \stackrel{\beta}{\cong} K\left(\sum^{2} X\right)$. By iterating the above diagram we have the following commutative diagram:


Thus taking the adjoint we have the next commutative diagram:


Given any element $\alpha \in K(X) \cong[X, B U]$, by Segal-Beker theorem [7], since $X$ is compact, for large $N$ there exists a map $\widehat{\alpha}: X \rightarrow \Omega^{2 N} \sum^{2 N} C P^{\infty}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

where $j_{N}$ is the canonical extension of $i: C P^{\infty} \rightarrow B U \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega^{2 N} B U$. Note that $\beta^{N_{\circ}} j_{N}=$ $\Omega^{2 N} \beta^{N}{ }_{i}$. Therefore, taking the adjoint we have the commutative diagram:


By the diagrams (3.2) and (3.3), we have completed the proof of (5).
(6) Suppose that $\alpha=\sum_{i} a_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}-1\right)$, where $a_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\alpha_{i}$ 's are line bundles. Let $g_{i}: X \rightarrow C P^{\infty}$ be the classifying map of the line bundle $\alpha_{i}$. Consider the element $\widehat{\alpha} \in\left[X, \Omega \sum C P^{\infty}\right]$ which is defined by $\widehat{\alpha}=\sum_{i} a_{i}\left(\iota^{\circ} g_{i}\right)$, where $c: C P^{\infty} \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}$ is the inclusion. Then it is clear that $j \circ \widehat{\alpha}=\alpha$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.
The following proposition gives examples of $P K(X)$.
Proposition 3.1. (1) for $n \geq 1, K\left(C P^{n}\right)=P K\left(C P^{n}\right)$.
(2) Let $H P^{n}$ be the quaternionic projective space, $\xi$ be the canonical quaternionic line bundle over $H P^{n}$ and $y=c^{\prime}(\xi)-2$, where $c^{\prime}$ is the complexification. If $n \geq 2$, then $y \notin P K\left(H P^{n}\right)$.

Proof. (1) is clear from (6) in Theorem 1.2. We shall prove (2). We use (3) of Theorem 1.4 which is proved in the next section. Recall that $H P^{2}=S^{4} \cup_{\nu_{4}} e^{8}$, where $\nu_{4}: S^{7} \rightarrow S^{4}$ is the Hopf bundle. Since the restriction to $S^{4}$ of $y \in K\left(H P^{2}\right)$ is the generator of $K\left(S^{4}\right)$, and since $\pi_{4}\left(\Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ generated by $\zeta_{2}($ See (1.3)) , we see that

$$
y \in P K\left(H P^{2}\right) \quad \text { if and only if } \quad \zeta_{2}{ }^{\circ} \nu_{4}=0 \text {. }
$$

On the other hand, using the quasi-fibration [8]

$$
C P^{\infty} \rightarrow \Sigma C P^{\infty} \wedge C P^{\infty} \xrightarrow{H(\mu)} \Sigma C P^{\infty},
$$

we see that

$$
\zeta_{2}{ }^{\circ} \nu_{4}=0 \quad \text { if and only if } \quad i^{\circ} \nu_{5}=0
$$

where $i: S^{5} \rightarrow \sum C P^{\infty} \wedge C P^{\infty}$ is the inclusion. Assume that $i^{\circ} \nu_{5}=0$. Then there
exists a map $g: \sum H P^{2} \rightarrow \sum C P^{\infty} \wedge C P^{\infty}$ such that the following diagram commutes:


Consider the cohomology group of $\mathbf{Z} / 2$ coefficient. Recall that

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{*}\left(C P^{\infty} ; \mathbf{Z} / 2\right)=\mathbf{Z} / 2[x], \\
H^{*}\left(H P^{2} ; \mathbf{Z} / 2\right)=\mathbf{Z} / 2[u] /\left(u^{3}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $x \in H^{2}\left(C P^{\infty}, \mathbf{Z} / 2\right)$ and $u \in H^{4}\left(H P^{\infty} ; \mathbf{Z} / 2\right)$ are the generators. From the above diagram we see that $g^{*}(x y)=u$. Now consider the Steenrod operation. Recall that $S q^{4}(x y)=x^{2} y^{2}=S q^{2}\left(x^{2} y\right)$ and $S q^{4}(u)=u^{2}$. By dimensional reason, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=S q^{2}\left(g^{*}\left(x^{2} y\right)\right) & =g^{*}\left(S q^{2}\left(x^{2} y\right)\right)=g^{*}\left(x^{2} y^{2}\right)=g^{*}\left(S q^{4}(x y)\right) \\
& =S q^{4}\left(g^{*}(x y)\right)=S q^{4}(u)=u^{2} \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a contradiction. This implies that $i^{\circ} \nu_{5} \neq 0$.
Remark 3.2. It is known that $\pi_{7}\left(\Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right) \cong \mathbf{Z} / 2$ [6] whose generator is $\xi \circ \eta_{6}$, where $\xi \in \pi_{6}\left(\Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right) \cong \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ is characterized by the Hurewicz homomorphism: $h(\xi)=\beta_{1} \beta_{2}-\beta_{2} \beta_{1}$ in $H^{*}\left(\Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right)$. And it holds that $2 \xi=$ $<\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}>$ (Cf. §5). The above proposition implies that there is a relation $\xi^{\circ}$ $\eta_{6}=\zeta_{2}{ }^{\circ} \nu_{4}$ in $\pi_{7}\left(\Omega \sum C P^{\infty}\right)$.

Remark 3.3. Consider the $S^{2}$-bundle; $S^{2} \rightarrow C P^{2 n+1} \rightarrow H P^{n}$. There is a stable map $t: \sum^{\infty} H P^{n} \rightarrow \sum^{\infty} C P^{2 n+1}$ called the transfer map. The above proposition implies that there exists no unstable map $\tau: \sum H P^{n} \rightarrow \sum C P^{2 n+1}$ for $n \geq 2$ such that $\sum^{\infty} \tau=t$. Because, if $\sum^{\infty} \tau=\mathrm{t}$, then it follows that the restriction to the bottom sphere of the adjoint of $\tau$ is $\pm \zeta_{2}$. This implies that $\zeta_{2}{ }^{\circ} \nu_{4}=0$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

First we prove (1). By induction on $n$, the following commutative diagram gives the proof of (1):


Next we prove (2) and (3). Consider the following diagram:


The commutativity of th above diagram is clear from the inductive assumption. This completes the proof of (2) and (3).

Let $C: \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty} \wedge \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty} \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}$ be the commutator map with respect to the loop sum. The proof of (4) follows by chasing the following commutative diagram:


This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.5

Lemma 5.1. Let $\mu: C P^{\infty} \times C P^{\infty} \rightarrow C P^{\infty}$ be the product of $C P^{\infty}$ and $\widetilde{H(\mu)}$ : $C P^{\infty} \wedge C P^{\infty} \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}$ be the adjoint of the Hopf construction $H(\mu): \Sigma C P^{\infty} \wedge C P^{\infty} \rightarrow$ $\Sigma C P^{\infty}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{H(\mu)}_{*}\left(\beta_{m} \otimes \beta_{n}\right)=\sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq m \\ 0 \leq j \leq n}}\binom{i+j}{i} \chi_{*}\left(\beta_{n-j}\right) \chi_{*}\left(\beta_{m-i}\right) \beta_{i+j} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi: \Omega \sum X \rightarrow \Omega \sum X$ is the loop inverse and the products in the right hand side mean the one in the tensor algebra.

Proof. (2.2) implies that the following diagram commutes:

where $X=C P^{\infty}$ and $T$ is the map of changing the order of factors. Now recall the following formula:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{*}\left(\beta_{n}\right) & =\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} \beta_{n-i} \otimes \beta_{i}  \tag{5.2}\\
\mu_{*}\left(\beta_{i} \otimes \beta_{j}\right) & =\binom{i+j}{i} \beta_{i+j}, \\
p_{k_{*}}\left(\beta_{i} \otimes \beta_{j}\right) & = \begin{cases}\beta_{i} & \text { if } k=1 \text { and } j=0, \\
\beta_{j} & \text { if } k=2 \text { and } i=0, \\
0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

Also recall that + induces just the multiplication in the tensor algebra $\otimes{ }^{*} \widetilde{H}_{*}\left(C P^{\infty}\right)$. Now the proof of Lemma follows easily from the above diagram and (5.2).

Now we shall prove Theorem 1.5. Since $\chi_{*}\left(\beta_{1}\right)=-\beta_{1}$, using Lemma 5.1 it is easy to see

$$
\begin{aligned}
\# *\left(\beta_{1} \otimes \beta_{n-1}\right) & =\widetilde{H(\mu)} *\left(\beta_{1} \otimes \beta_{n-1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{*}\left(\beta_{n-i}\right)\left(i \beta_{i}-\beta_{1} \beta_{i-1}\right) \\
& =n \beta_{n}+\text { decomposables. }
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, since, $\beta_{1}$ is primitive, using (2.1) we see

$$
\# *\left(\beta_{1} \otimes \text { decomposables }\right)=0
$$

Therefore, by induction,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(\zeta_{n}\right) & =\# *\left(\beta_{1} \otimes h\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)\right) \\
& =\# *\left(\beta_{1} \otimes\left((n-1)!\beta_{n-1}+\text { decomposables }\right)\right. \\
& =(n-1)!\# *\left(\beta_{1} \otimes \beta_{n-1}\right) \\
& =(n-1)!\sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{*}\left(\beta_{n-i}\right)\left(i \beta_{i}-\beta_{1} \beta_{i-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.
Corollary 5.2. In $H_{*}\left(\Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right)$, for $n \geq 2$, the following elements are spherical.

$$
\begin{gathered}
(n-1)!\sum_{i=1}^{n} i \chi_{*}\left(\beta_{n-i}\right) \beta_{i} \\
(n-1)!\sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{*}\left(\beta_{n-i}\right) \beta_{1} \beta_{i-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Let $\zeta_{1}^{\prime}=\zeta_{1}$. Define $\zeta_{n}^{\prime} \in \pi_{2 n}\left(\Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right)$ inductively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{n+1}^{\prime}: S^{2 n+2}=S^{2 n} \wedge S^{2} \xrightarrow{\zeta_{n}^{\prime} \wedge \zeta_{i}^{\prime}} \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty} \wedge \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty} \xrightarrow{\#} \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by similar arguments, we get

$$
h\left(\zeta_{n}^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}\beta_{1} & \text { if } n=1  \tag{5.4}\\ (n-1)!\sum_{i=1}^{n} i \chi_{*}\left(\beta_{n-i}\right) \beta_{i} & \text { if } n \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

Therefore from Theorem 1.5, te result follows.
Proof of Corollary 1.6. By (4) of Theorem 1.4,

$$
i^{*} C\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=q^{*}<\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}>\quad \text { in }\left[C P^{3}, \Omega \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right]
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(<\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}>\right) & =h\left(\zeta_{1}\right) h\left(\zeta_{2}\right)-h\left(\zeta_{2}\right) h\left(\zeta_{1}\right) \\
& =\beta_{1}\left(2 \beta_{2}-\beta_{1}^{2}\right)-\left(2 \beta_{2}-\beta_{1}^{2}\right) \beta_{1} \quad \text { by Theorem } 1.5 \\
& =2\left(\beta_{1} \beta_{2}-\beta_{2} \beta_{1}\right) \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that $\tilde{f}_{1}$ and $\bar{f}_{2}$ does not commutes in $\left[\Sigma C P^{3}, \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right]$.
Since $i^{*}:\left[\Sigma C P^{n}, \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right] \rightarrow\left[\Sigma C P^{3}, \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right]$ is homomorphism of groups and since $\left[\Sigma C P^{n}, \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right] \cong\left[\Sigma C P^{n}, \sum C P^{n}\right]$, the result follows.

## 6. Composition of $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$

In this section, we consider not $\left[C P^{\infty}, \Omega \sum C P^{\infty}\right]$ but $\left[\Sigma C P^{\infty}, \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right]$ to study the composition structures. For convenience, we use the following notation: Let $f, g \in\left[\Sigma X, \Sigma C P^{\infty}\right]$. Define

$$
(f, g) \text { as the adjoint of } \# \circ(\widetilde{f} \wedge g) \circ \bar{\Delta}
$$

where $\tilde{f}$ and $g^{-}$is the adjoint of $f$ and $g$, respectively. Then from the construction of \#, it holds (Cf. §2)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(f, g+h)=(f, g)+h(f, h) & \text { for } f=\sum f^{\prime}, g, h \in\left[\sum X, \sum C P^{\infty}\right] .  \tag{6.1}\\
(f+g, h)= \\
(f, g)+h(f, h) & \text { for any } f, g, h \in\left[\sum X, \sum C P^{\infty}\right] .
\end{array}
$$

Throughout this section we write the adjoint of $f_{i}$ by the same letter. Under this convention, we restate Theorem 1.7.

Theorem 6.1. The composition $f_{i} \circ f_{j}$ can be written as a linear combination $f_{n}$ 's for $n \leq i j$.

The proof is divided into three parts.
(1) $f_{n}$ can be written as a linear combination of $\sum[i]$ 's for $i \leq n$,
(2) $\sum[n]$ can be written as a linear combination of $f_{i}$ 's for $i \leq n$,
(3) the composite of linear combinations of $\Sigma[i]$ 's can be also written as ones of $\sum[i]$ 's,
where $[k]: C P^{\infty} \rightarrow C P^{\infty}$ is the corresponding map to $k \in \mathbf{Z} \cong H^{2}\left(C P^{\infty}\right)$.
(3) is standard [10]. Before giving the proof of (1) and (2), we need

## Lemma 6.2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum[m], \sum[n]\right)=-\sum[n]-\sum[m]+\sum[m+n] \text {, } \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The following diagram commutes from (2.2) and the definition of \#.


Thus, taking the adjoint we have the desired result.
We prove (1) and (2) by induction. Suppose that

$$
f_{n}=\left\{\sum a_{i} \sum\left[k_{i}\right]\right\}+\sum[n],
$$

where $a_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $k_{i} \leq n-1$. Then, by definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{n+1}=\boldsymbol{q}^{\boldsymbol{q}} \sum\left([1], f_{n}\right) \\
& =h^{q}\left(\sum[1],\left\{\sum a_{i} \sum\left[k_{i}\right]\right\}+\sum[n]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\left\{\sum a_{i}\left(-\Sigma\left[k_{i}\right]-\sum[1]+\sum\left[k_{i}+1\right]\right)\right\}  \tag{6.1}\\
& -\sum[n]-\sum[1]+\sum[n+1] \quad \text { by lemma } 6.2 \\
& =\left\{\sum b_{j} \sum\left[l_{j}\right]\right\}+\sum[n+1] .
\end{align*}
$$

This proves (1). The proof of (2) follows easily.
This completes the proof of Theorem 6.1.

## Example 6.3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1} & =\sum[1] \\
f_{2} & =-2 \sum[1]+\sum[2] \\
f_{3} & =-2\left(-2 \sum[1]+\sum[2]\right)-\sum[2]-\sum[1]+\sum[3] \\
\Sigma[2] & =2 f_{1}+f_{2} \\
\Sigma[3] & =3 f_{1}+3 f_{2}+f_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$
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