

## DIAGONAL LIMITS OF FINITE ALTERNATING GROUPS: CONFINED SUBGROUPS, IDEALS, AND POSITIVE DEFINITE FUNCTIONS

FELIX LEINEN AND ORAZIO PUGLISI

*In memory of Reinhold Baer on the occasion of the hundredth anniversary of his birth.*

ABSTRACT. A non-finitary group  $G$  is said to be an  $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{A}$ -group if it is a direct limit of finite alternating groups  $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Omega_i)$  ( $i \in I$ ) such that each  $G_i$  has only trivial or natural orbits on the sets  $\Omega_j$  ( $j > i$ ). We determine the confined subgroups of  $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{A}$ -groups and relate them naturally to the ideals in the group algebra  $\mathbb{K}G$  over any field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic zero. Moreover, we show that the non-trivial ideals in  $\mathbb{C}G$  can be related to normalized positive definite class functions  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  if and only if the number of  $G_i$ -orbits in  $\Omega_j$  ( $j > i$ ) is asymptotically a linear function of  $|\Omega_j|$  for all  $i$ .

### 1. Introduction

In recent years many authors have studied the structure of simple locally finite groups, that is, simple groups which are direct limits of finite groups. These investigations were pursued in several directions; a good account of this area of research can be found in B. Hartley's survey article [2]. An interesting subject is the study of the lattice of (two-sided) ideals in group algebras of simple locally finite groups. Over fields of characteristic zero, the ideals are closely related to the asymptotic character theory of finite groups, as well as to certain issues arising in the theory of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -algebras. For more information we refer the reader to A. E. Zalesskii's survey article [11].

One of the central problems in Zalesskii's survey is to determine those simple locally finite groups  $G$ , for which the complex group algebra  $\mathbb{C}G$  (or more generally  $\mathbb{K}G$ , where  $\mathbb{K}$  denotes a field of characteristic zero) is *augmentation simple*, that is, admits only the three *trivial* ideals: 0,  $\mathbb{C}G$ , and the augmentation ideal. This problem has now been solved with the contribution of several authors – see [6] for the last step. On the other hand, when the ideal

---

Received December 11, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20C07, 20F50. Secondary 16S34, 20B22, 20C30, 20E32, 20F69, 42A82.

lattice of  $\mathbb{K}G$  is non-trivial, its structure is known in just a few cases (see [10], [3], [6]).

When  $G$  is a locally finite group and  $\mathbb{K}$  is a field of characteristic zero, the existence of non-trivial ideals in  $\mathbb{K}G$  is reflected in the subgroup lattice of  $G$ . A subgroup  $X$  of  $G$  is said to be *confined* in  $G$  if there exists a finite subgroup  $F \leq G$  such that  $F \cap X^g \neq 1$  for every  $g \in G$ . In their remarkable paper [4], Hartley and Zalesskii proved that in the presence of non-trivial ideals there are proper confined subgroups in  $G$ . It follows from [5] and [6] that the converse also holds. Nevertheless it is still unclear how to relate a particular proper confined subgroup to a non-trivial ideal. A reasonable conjecture is that, if  $X$  is a proper confined subgroup in  $G$ , and if  $\Omega$  the set of right cosets of  $X$  in  $G$ , then the annihilator in  $\mathbb{K}G$  of the permutation module  $\mathbb{K}\Omega$  is a non-trivial ideal. This holds, for example, when  $G$  is a finitary transvection group [3].

In [8] and [5], the confined subgroups in *finitary* simple locally finite groups were determined. In the present paper, we shall describe the confined subgroups in diagonal limits of finite alternating groups (*L $\mathfrak{A}$ -groups* for short). To be precise, an *L $\mathfrak{A}$ -group* shall be a non-finitary group  $G$  which is a direct limit of finite alternating groups  $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Omega_i)$  ( $i \in I$ ) such that each  $G_i$  has only trivial or natural orbits on the sets  $\Omega_j$  ( $j > i$ ). A non-trivial transitive permutation representation of  $G$  on a set  $\Omega$  is said to be *natural*, if every  $G_i$  has only trivial or natural orbits on  $\Omega$ . Our classification of confined subgroups is as follows.

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $X$  be a proper subgroup of the L $\mathfrak{A}$ -group  $G$ .*

- (a) *If  $X$  is the intersection of finitely many point stabilizers of natural permutation representations of  $G$ , then every overgroup of  $X$  in  $G$  is confined in  $G$ .*
- (b) *If  $X$  is confined in  $G$  with respect to the finite subgroup  $F$ , then  $X$  contains a simple normal subgroup  $N$ , which is an intersection of point stabilizers as in part (a), and whose index in  $X$  is finite and bounded by a function of  $|F|$ .*

As with finitary simple locally finite groups, the confined subgroups of L $\mathfrak{A}$ -groups are closely related to the action on certain structures which are naturally associated to the groups. When we first approached our problem, we were under the impression that it should be possible to find, for any given confined subgroup  $X$  in the L $\mathfrak{A}$ -group  $G$ , a natural permutation representation of  $G$  in such a way that the normal subgroup  $N$  in part (b) becomes the pointwise stabilizer of a finite subset in that representation. As it turns out, this is not always possible. Example 3.1 will illustrate this somewhat unpleasant behaviour.

It follows from Theorem A that every L $\mathfrak{A}$ -group of cardinality  $\aleph$  has  $2^\aleph$  confined subgroups (Theorem 3.3), a behaviour which we met already in the

context of finitary simple locally finite groups. In view of the link between confined subgroups and ideals, this fact may come as a surprise, since the group algebras of L $\mathfrak{A}$ -groups have just countably many ideals [10] (which form a descending chain of length  $\omega + 1$ ). Nevertheless, along the lines of the above mentioned conjecture, we can still relate confined subgroups of the L $\mathfrak{A}$ -group  $G$  naturally to ideals in  $\mathbb{K}G$ .

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $G$  be an L $\mathfrak{A}$ -group, and let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a field of characteristic zero. Suppose that the confined subgroup  $X$  in  $G$  contains a simple normal subgroup of finite index which is the intersection of  $d$  pairwise distinct point stabilizers of natural permutation representations of  $G$ . Then  $\bigcap_{g \in G} (X^g - 1)\mathbb{K}G$  is the  $d^{\text{th}}$  non-trivial ideal in the descending chain of ideals in  $\mathbb{K}G$ .*

We shall finally consider the question of when non-trivial ideals in the complex group algebra  $\mathbb{C}G$  of an L $\mathfrak{A}$ -group  $G$  are definable in terms of normalized positive definite class functions  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The way in which an ideal  $J(f)$  is obtained from such a function  $f$  is detailed in Section 5. In [11, Section 5], Zalesskii gave two examples of L $\mathfrak{A}$ -groups  $G$  for which the largest non-trivial ideal in  $\mathbb{C}G$  is not of the form  $J(f)$ . These examples now illustrate the following general result.

**THEOREM C.** *Let  $G$  be an L $\mathfrak{A}$ -group, which is the diagonal limit of subgroups  $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Omega_i)$  ( $i \in I$ ).*

- (a) *If some non-trivial ideal in  $\mathbb{C}G$  is of the form  $J(f)$  for a normalized positive definite class function  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , then the number of non-trivial  $G_i$ -orbits in  $\Omega_j$  ( $j > i$ ) is asymptotically a linear function of  $|\Omega_j|$  for every  $i \in I$ .*
- (b) *Conversely, if for some  $i \in I$  the number of non-trivial  $G_i$ -orbits in  $\Omega_j$  ( $j > i$ ) is asymptotically a linear function of  $|\Omega_j|$ , then every non-trivial ideal in  $\mathbb{C}G$  is of the form  $J(f)$  for some normalized positive definite class function  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .*

Quite surprisingly, Theorem C singles out a particular class of diagonal limits of finite alternating groups. Amongst them are the *strictly* diagonal limits of finite alternating groups  $G_i$ , that is, L $\mathfrak{A}$ -groups where each  $G_i$  fixes no point in any  $\Omega_j$  ( $j > i$ ). Note that the number of non-trivial  $G_i$ -orbits in  $\Omega_j$  ( $j > i$ ) is always bounded by the linear function  $|\Omega_j|/|\Omega_i|$ . Therefore the linear growth asserted in Theorem C is maximal. Sublinear growth is equivalent to saying that the ratio  $|\text{fix}_{\Omega_j} G_i|/|\Omega_j|$  tends to 1 for  $j \gg i$  (Proposition 5.1). We shall close by showing that every L $\mathfrak{A}$ -group has at least  $2^{\aleph_0}$  indecomposable normalized positive definite class functions (Theorem 5.2). A detailed description of these *extremal characters* will be the topic of a subsequent paper by the authors.

## 2. Classification of confined subgroups

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a field of characteristic zero, and let  $G$  be a direct limit of finite alternating groups. The group algebra  $\mathbb{K}G$  has non-trivial ideals if and only if  $G$  is an  $L\mathcal{A}$ -group or an alternating group [10]. Since the alternating groups have been dealt with in [8], we shall only consider  $L\mathcal{A}$ -groups in the sequel.

Throughout this section, we shall use the following notation.  $G$  will be a non-finitary group which has a local system of finite simple alternating groups  $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Omega_i)$  ( $i \in I$ ) such that each  $G_i$  has only trivial or natural orbits on the sets  $\Omega_j$  ( $j > i$ ). Moreover  $X$  will denote a proper confined subgroup in  $G$  with respect to the finite subgroup  $F$ . By enlarging  $F$  and passing to a subsystem of the local system we may always assume that  $F$  is a finite alternating group of some degree  $n$ , which is contained in every  $G_i$  and which has only trivial or natural orbits on each of the sets  $\Omega_i$ . This implies, in particular, that  $X_i = X \cap G_i$  is confined in  $G_i$  with respect to  $F$  for every  $i$ . We may also assume that  $I$  contains a unique minimal index which we denote by 0. In general  $F$  will be a proper subgroup of  $G_0$ .

**CONSTRUCTION 2.1.** The group  $G$  has natural permutation representations.

*Proof.* For each  $i$ , we choose a  $G_0$ -map  $\eta_{0i}: \Omega_0 \rightarrow \Omega_i$ . Since every  $G_i$  acts transitively on  $\Omega_i$ , there are unique  $G_i$ -maps  $\eta_{ij}: \Omega_i \rightarrow \Omega_j$  for all  $j > i$  such that  $\eta_{0i}\eta_{ij} = \eta_{0j}$ . The direct limit of the sets  $\Omega_i$  and maps  $\eta_{ij}$  is a natural  $G$ -set.  $\square$

**REMARK 2.2.** Every finite subgroup of  $G$  has infinitely many non-trivial orbits on every non-trivial  $G$ -set  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Because the finitary symmetric group on a set  $\Omega$  is a normal subgroup in  $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$ , the non-finitary simple group  $G$  cannot contain a non-trivial element with finite support.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *The confined subgroup  $X$  of  $G$  has a unique infinite orbit  $\Delta$  on every natural  $G$ -set  $\Omega$ , and  $|\Omega \setminus \Delta| \leq n-3$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Theta = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  be one of the natural  $F$ -orbits in  $\Omega$ . Assume by way of contradiction that  $X$  has at least  $n$  orbits  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$  in  $\Omega$ . Since  $G$  acts highly transitively on  $\Omega$ , there is an element  $g \in G$  such that  $\omega_k g \in \Delta_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Every  $x \in F^g \cap X$  must fix each  $\omega_k g$ . Since every non-trivial  $F^g$ -orbit in  $\Omega$  is isomorphic to  $\Theta g$ , it follows that  $x$  acts trivially on  $\Omega$ . Thus  $F^g \cap X = 1$ , a contradiction. This contradiction shows that  $X$  has at most  $n-1$  orbits in  $\Omega$ . Because  $\Omega$  is infinite, one of them must also be infinite.

Let  $\Delta$  be an infinite  $X$ -orbit, and let  $\Gamma = \Omega \setminus \Delta$ . Assume that  $\Gamma$  contains at least  $n-2$  elements. By Remark 2.2  $F$  has infinitely many non-trivial orbits

on  $\Omega$ . Choose  $n-2$  distinct natural  $F$ -orbits  $\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_{n-2}$  and points  $\theta_k \in \Theta_k$  in such a way that each  $\theta_k$  has a different stabilizer  $S_k$  in  $F$ . Since  $G$  acts highly transitively on  $\Omega$ , there is an element  $g \in G$  such that  $\Theta_k g \cap \Gamma = \{\theta_k\}$  for all  $k$ . It follows that  $F^g \cap X \subseteq S_1 \cap \dots \cap S_{n-2} = 1$ , a contradiction. Hence  $|\Gamma| \leq n-3$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.4. *In the situation of Proposition 2.3, the subgroup  $X$  acts primitively on  $\Delta$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $X$  has a proper system of imprimitivity in its action on the infinite orbit  $\Delta$ . Suppose first that the system is finite. In this case each block is infinite, and there are at least two blocks  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$ . Choose pairwise distinct natural  $F$ -orbits  $\Theta_f$  and  $\Lambda_f$  ( $1 \neq f \in F$ ) in  $\Delta$ . Pick elements  $\alpha_f, \beta_f \in \Theta_f$  and  $\sigma_f, \tau_f \in \Lambda_f$  in such a way that

- their point stabilizers satisfy  $F_{\alpha_f} = F_{\sigma_f}$  and  $F_{\beta_f} = F_{\tau_f}$  for  $1 \neq f \in F$ , and
- $\alpha_f f = \beta_f$  and  $\sigma_f f = \tau_f$  for  $1 \neq f \in F$ .

By high transitivity we can now find some  $g \in G$  which fixes the finite set  $\Omega \setminus \Delta$  and satisfies

$$(\alpha_f)g^{-1} \in \Delta_1, (\beta_f)g^{-1} \in \Delta_2, \text{ and } (\Lambda_f)g^{-1} \subseteq \Delta_1 \text{ for every } f \in F \setminus 1.$$

Consider an element  $1 \neq f \in F \cap X^g$ . It moves  $\alpha_f$  to  $\beta_f$ , hence  $\Delta_1 g$  onto  $\Delta_2 g$ . On the other hand  $f$  must leave  $\Delta_1 g$  fixed because  $\sigma_f$  and  $\tau_f$  belong to  $\Delta_1 g$ . But this is a contradiction since  $\Delta_1 g$  and  $\Delta_2 g$  are blocks under the action of  $X^g$  on  $\Delta g$ . It follows that the system of imprimitivity must be infinite.

Now we know that each block contains at least two points. Recall that  $n$  denotes the natural degree of the finite alternating group  $F$ . We consider  $n^2$  distinct  $X$ -blocks  $\Delta_{k\ell}$  ( $k, \ell = 1, \dots, n$ ). In each  $\Delta_{k\ell}$  we pick two distinct points  $\theta_{k\ell}$  and  $\lambda_{k\ell}$ . Then we define the sets  $\Theta_s = \{\theta_{s1}, \dots, \theta_{sn}\}$  for every  $s$ , and  $\Lambda_r = \{\lambda_{1r}, \dots, \lambda_{nr}\}$  for every  $r$ . Using high transitivity we obtain  $g \in G$  such that the sets  $\Theta_s$  and  $\Lambda_r$  become natural  $F^g$ -orbits whose similarity is explained by the following  $F^g$ -maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{s_1} &\sim \Theta_{s_2} \text{ via } \theta_{s_1 \ell} \mapsto \theta_{s_2 \ell} \text{ for all } \ell, \\ \Lambda_{r_1} &\sim \Lambda_{r_2} \text{ via } \lambda_{kr_1} \mapsto \lambda_{kr_2} \text{ for all } k, \text{ and} \\ \Theta_s &\sim \Lambda_r \text{ via } \theta_{sj} \mapsto \lambda_{jr} \text{ for all } j. \end{aligned}$$

Consider a non-trivial element  $x \in F^g \cap X$ . There is an index  $j$  such that  $\theta_{jj}x \neq \theta_{jj}$ . As  $\lambda_{jj}$  and  $\theta_{jj}$  lie in the same block,  $x$  must move  $\lambda_{jj}$  too. However,  $\theta_{jj}x = \theta_{j\ell}$  for some  $\ell \neq j$  while  $\lambda_{jj}x = \lambda_{kj}$  for some  $k \neq j$ . The first equation implies  $\Delta_{jj}x = \Delta_{j\ell}$  while the second equation implies  $\Delta_{jj}x = \Delta_{kj}$ . This final contradiction shows that  $X$  cannot have a system of imprimitivity in its action on  $\Delta$ .  $\square$

It is useful to note that Remark 2.2 and Propositions 2.3 and 2.4 have a *local* version. The reader can easily convince himself that the above proofs work for the subgroups  $G_i$  in place of  $G$  provided that they are large enough. This leads to the following conclusion.

**PROPOSITION 2.5.** *There exists  $i \in I$ , depending on  $X$  and  $F$ , such that for every  $j > i$ , the subgroup  $X_j = X \cap G_j$  has a unique largest orbit  $\Delta_j$  in  $\Omega_j$ , and the complement  $\Gamma_j = \Omega_j \setminus \Delta_j$  has size at most  $n-3$ . Moreover  $X_j$  acts primitively on  $\Delta_j$ .  $\square$*

The next result will actually be proved from the above local version.

**PROPOSITION 2.6.** *In the situation of Proposition 2.5, a large enough choice of the index  $i$  ensures that  $X_j$  contains the pointwise stabilizer in  $G_j$  of  $\Gamma_j$  for all  $j > i$ .*

*Proof.* We know that  $|\Gamma_j| \leq n-3$ . A large choice of  $i$  allows us to assume that  $|\Delta_j| > 16n^4$ , and that  $F$  has at least  $n!n$  natural orbits  $\Lambda_{k,\ell} = \{\lambda_{k,\ell,1}, \dots, \lambda_{k,\ell,n}\}$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n!$ ,  $\ell = 1, \dots, n$ ) on  $\Delta_j$  for every  $j > i$ . Let  $\Lambda_k = \Lambda_{k,1} \cup \dots \cup \Lambda_{k,n}$ . For each  $k$  there is an element  $g_k \in G_j$  which fixes  $\Omega_j \setminus \Lambda_k$  pointwise and sends each  $\lambda_{k,\ell,m}$  to  $\lambda_{k,m,\ell}$ . The first condition implies that  $f$  and  $f^{g_k}$  act in the same way on  $\Omega_j \setminus \Lambda_k$  for every  $f \in F$ , while the second condition ensures that  $F^{g_r} \cap F^{g_s}$  is trivial whenever  $r \neq s$ .

Because  $X_j$  is confined in  $G_j$  with respect to  $F$ , there exists a non-trivial element  $x_k \in F^{g_k} \cap X_j$  for each  $k$ . By the Pigeon Hole Principle there are some  $f \in F$  and two indices  $r \neq s$  with  $x_r = f^{g_r}$  and  $x_s = f^{g_s}$ . In particular  $x_r$  and  $x_s$  coincide with  $f$  on  $\Omega_j \setminus (\Lambda_r \cup \Lambda_s)$ . But then  $y = x_r x_s^{-1}$  is a non-trivial element in  $X_j$  whose support is contained in  $\Lambda_r \cup \Lambda_s \subset \Delta_j$ . It follows that the image of  $y$  in  $\overline{X_j} = X_j / (X_j)_{(\Delta_j)}$  is non-trivial. By Proposition 2.5,  $\overline{X_j}$  is a primitive permutation group on  $\Delta_j$ , and  $\overline{y}$  is an element of degree at most  $2n^2 < \sqrt{|\Delta_j|}/2$  in  $\overline{X_j}$ . By [1, Theorems 5.3A and 5.4A] the alternating group on  $\Delta_j$  is contained in  $\overline{X_j}$ .

Let  $H_j$  be the preimage of this alternating group in  $X_j$ , and consider the alternating group  $A_j = (G_j)_{(\Gamma_j)}$ . The product  $H_j A_j$  is a subgroup because  $\Delta_j$  is  $X_j$ -invariant and  $A_j$  is a normal subgroup of the setwise stabilizer  $(G_j)_{\{\Delta_j\}}$ . Clearly  $[A_j, (X_j)_{(\Delta_j)}] = 1$ , so that we can consider the quotient  $\overline{H_j A_j}$ , which has the same order as  $\overline{H_j}$ . This shows that  $A_j \leq X_j$ , as desired.  $\square$

In the sequel we shall assume that the minimal index  $0 \in I$  is chosen so large that the conclusions of Propositions 2.5 and 2.6 hold for every  $G_i$ . Moreover, after passing to a suitable subsystem of the local system in  $G$ , we may assume that there is a fixed  $\nu \leq n-3$  such that  $|\Gamma_i| = \nu$  for all  $i$ . We now study a particularly important special case of the above situation.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Suppose that each  $X_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) is the pointwise stabilizer of a set  $\Gamma_i$  of fixed size  $\nu$ . Then the confined subgroup  $X$  is an intersection of  $\nu$  point stabilizers of natural permutation representations of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\nu=0$ , then  $X=G$ , and the claim holds. Suppose now that  $\nu \geq 1$ . We say that two points in some  $G_0$ -sets are 0-equivalent if their stabilizers in  $G_0$  coincide. Note that no two distinct elements of  $\Gamma_0$  are 0-equivalent, because  $\Gamma_0$  lies in the natural  $G_0$ -orbit  $\Omega_0$ .

Assume by way of contradiction that some  $\Gamma_i$  contains a non-empty subset  $\Theta$  which is fixed by  $G_0$ . Then  $X_0 = X_i \cap G_0$  consists of the elements in  $G_0$  which fix precisely those points in  $\Omega_0$  which are 0-equivalent to some point in  $\Gamma_i \setminus \Theta$ . In particular,  $X_0$  is the pointwise stabilizer in  $G_0$  of a subset of  $\Omega_0$  of size  $< \nu$ . This contradiction shows that every  $\Gamma_i$  is contained in a union of natural  $G_0$ -orbits. The same kind of argument shows that no  $\Gamma_i$  contains two 0-equivalent points.

Assume next that some  $\Gamma_i$  contains a point  $\gamma$  which is not 0-equivalent to any point in  $\Gamma_0$ . Then  $X_0 = X_i \cap G_0$  fixes not only  $\Gamma_0$  pointwise, but also the point in  $\Omega_0$  which is 0-equivalent to  $\gamma$ . In particular,  $X_0$  is the pointwise stabilizer in  $G_0$  of a subset of  $\Omega_0$  of size  $> \nu$ , again a contradiction. Altogether it follows that there are bijections  $\epsilon_{0i}: \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Gamma_i$  for all  $i$  which preserve 0-equivalence. Clearly,  $\epsilon_{0j} = \epsilon_{0i}\epsilon_{ij}$  whenever  $i < j$ .

Pick a point  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ . Since every  $G_i$  acts transitively on  $\Omega_i$ , there are unique  $G_i$ -maps  $\eta_{ij}^\gamma: \Omega_i \rightarrow \Omega_j$  ( $i < j$ ) such that  $\gamma\eta_{0i}^\gamma = \gamma\epsilon_{0i}$  and  $\eta_{ik}^\gamma = \eta_{ij}^\gamma\eta_{jk}^\gamma$  for all  $i < j < k$ . The direct limit  $\Sigma_\gamma$  of the sets  $\Omega_i$  and maps  $\eta_{ij}^\gamma$  is a natural  $G$ -set, and  $\gamma$  can be identified with a certain point in  $\Sigma_\gamma$ . Let  $G_\gamma$  denote the stabilizer in  $G$  of this point. Of course,  $X \leq \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} G_\gamma$ . On the other hand,  $G_i \cap \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} G_\gamma = (G_i)_{(\Gamma_i)} = X_i \leq X$  for all  $i$ . Hence  $X$  is equal to the intersection of point stabilizers  $G_\gamma$  ( $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ ).  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.8. *The arbitrary confined subgroup  $X$  contains a simple normal subgroup  $N$  of index at most  $\nu!$ , whose intersection  $N_i$  with  $G_i$  is the pointwise stabilizer in  $G_i$  of  $\Gamma_i$  for all  $i$ . Moreover,  $N$  is confined in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.6 every  $X_i$  contains the simple normal subgroup  $N_i = (G_i)_{(\Gamma_i)}$ . For  $j > i$ , the intersection  $N_i \cap N_j$  is either trivial or equal to  $N_i$ . Since we can assume that  $\nu < |\Omega_i \setminus \Gamma_i|$ , the group  $N_i$  is too large to embed into  $X_j/N_j$ . Hence  $N_i \leq N_j$  for all  $j > i$ , and  $N = \bigcup_{i \in I} N_i$  is a simple normal subgroup of index at most  $\nu!$  in  $X$ . The discussion of 0-equivalence in the proof of Proposition 2.7 shows that  $N_j \cap G_i = N_i$  whenever  $i < j$ . Hence  $N \cap G_i = N_i$  for all  $i$ .

Finally we shall show that  $N$  is confined with respect to  $G_0$ . To this end, consider an element  $g$  in some  $G_i$ . Since  $|\Gamma_i| = \nu \ll |\Omega_0|$ , there are at least three points in the natural  $G_0$ -orbit  $\Omega_0$  whose stabilizers are different from

the stabilizer of every point in  $\Gamma_i g$ . The 3-cycle  $z$  on these points lies in  $G_0$  and acts trivially on  $\Gamma_i g$ . Therefore  $z$  is a non-trivial element in  $G_0 \cap N_i^g$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem A.* The argument used to show the last statement of the above proposition can be applied accordingly to establish part (a). Suppose next that  $X$  is confined in  $G$  with respect to  $F$ . Consider the subgroup  $N \leq X$  as in Proposition 2.8. Part (b) of Theorem A is a consequence of Proposition 2.7, applied to  $N$  in place of  $X$ .  $\square$

### 3. Some examples

We shall now give an example to illustrate that certain intersections of point stabilizers of natural permutation representations of an L $\mathfrak{A}$ -group cannot be expressed as pointwise stabilizers of a finite set of points in a better natural permutation representation.

**EXAMPLE 3.1.** We shall construct recursively an ascending chain of finite alternating groups  $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Omega_i)$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ) as follows. Let  $G_0 = \text{Alt}(\Omega_0)$  be any alternating group of degree at least five. Given  $G_i$ , let  $\Omega_{i+1}$  be the disjoint union of two copies  $\Omega_{i1}$  and  $\Omega_{i2}$  of the  $G_i$ -set  $\Omega_i$ , and embed  $G_i$  diagonally into  $G_{i+1}$ . The union  $G$  of the ascending chain of groups  $G_i$  is an L $\mathfrak{A}$ -group.

Pick two distinct points  $\alpha_0$  and  $\beta_0$  in  $\Omega_0$ . Given  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \Omega_i$ , let  $\alpha_{i+1} \in \Omega_{i1}$  resp.  $\beta_{i+1} \in \Omega_{i2}$  be the corresponding points under the above  $G_i$ -similarities  $\Omega_{i1} \sim \Omega_i \sim \Omega_{i2}$ . Finally, let  $\Gamma_i = \{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}$  for all  $i$ . Clearly,  $(G_i)_{(\Gamma_{i+1})} = (G_i)_{(\Gamma_i)}$  for all  $i$ . Therefore  $X = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} (G_i)_{(\Gamma_i)}$  is a subgroup in  $G$ . It follows as in the proof of Proposition 2.8 that  $X$  is confined in  $G$ . Note that  $X$  is simple as it is the union of the finite alternating groups  $(G_i)_{(\Gamma_i)}$ .

Now let  $\Sigma$  be any natural  $G$ -set. Fix some  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and consider the finite sets  $\Sigma_i = \sigma G_i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Every  $\Sigma_i$  is of course similar to  $\Omega_i$  as  $G_i$ -set. Assume that  $X = G_{(\Delta)}$  for some finite subset  $\Delta \subseteq \Sigma$ . By the transitivity of  $G$  on  $\Sigma$  there exists  $i \geq 0$  with  $\Delta \subseteq \Sigma_i$ . In particular,  $\Delta \subseteq \text{fix}_{\Sigma_i}(X \cap G_i)$ . From the  $G_i$ -similarity between  $\Sigma_i$  and  $\Omega_i$  it is clear that  $\Delta$  consists of the two elements  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Sigma_i$  which correspond to  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$  in  $\Omega_i$ . The  $G_{i+1}$ -similarity between  $\Sigma_{i+1}$  and  $\Omega_{i+1}$  yields that  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  lie in distinct  $G_i$ -orbits in  $\Sigma_{i+1} \subset \Sigma$ . This holds for all but finitely many  $i$ . We conclude that  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  lie in distinct  $G$ -orbits in  $\Sigma$ . But this contradicts the transitivity of  $G$  on  $\Sigma$ .  $\square$

Another interesting fact is that a proper confined subgroup in an L $\mathfrak{A}$ -group  $G$  might act transitively on some natural  $G$ -set.

**EXAMPLE 3.2.** Let  $G$  be an L $\mathfrak{A}$ -group as constructed in Example 3.1. Choose a finite subset  $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Omega_0$ . Given  $\Gamma_i$ , let  $\Gamma_{i+1} \subseteq \Omega_{i2}$  be the corresponding subset under the above  $G_i$ -similarity  $\Omega_i \sim \Omega_{i2}$ . Now  $X = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} (G_i)_{(\Gamma_i)}$  is a proper confined subgroup in  $G$ . In fact,  $X$  is the pointwise stabilizer of two points in the natural  $G$ -set which is the direct limit of the  $G_i$ -sets  $\Omega_i$  with

respect to the  $G_i$ -maps  $\Omega_i \longrightarrow \Omega_{i2} \subset \Omega_{i+1}$ . However,  $X$  acts transitively on the natural  $G$ -set which is the direct limit of the  $G_i$ -sets  $\Omega_i$  with respect to the  $G_i$ -maps  $\Omega_i \longrightarrow \Omega_{i1} \subset \Omega_{i+1}$ .  $\square$

We conclude our discussion of confined subgroups in  $L\mathfrak{A}$ -groups by counting their number.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Every  $L\mathfrak{A}$ -group  $G$  of cardinality  $\aleph$  has  $2^\aleph$  confined subgroups.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be the union of the direct limit of finite groups  $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Omega_i)$  ( $i \in I$ ) with diagonal embeddings. Consider Construction 2.1. Fix some  $\omega_0 \in \Omega_0$ , and let  $\omega_i = \omega_0 \eta_{0i} \in \Omega_i$  for all  $i$ . Since every  $G_i$  acts transitively on  $\Omega_i$ , the permutation representation of  $G$  on the direct limit  $\Omega$  of the sets  $\Omega_i$  and maps  $\eta_{ij}$  is completely determined by the tuple  $(\omega_i)_{i \in I}$ , and every choice of a tuple gives rise to a natural permutation representation of  $G$ .

Let  $X$  be the point stabilizer in  $G$  of the point in  $\Omega$  corresponding to  $(\omega_i)_{i \in I}$ . Then there exists some  $i \in I$  such that  $X \cap G_j = (G_j)_{(\omega_j)}$  for all  $j > i$ . By choice of the tuple we have  $(G_j)_{(\omega_j)} \cap G_k = (G_k)_{(\omega_k)}$  for all  $k < j$ , whence  $X \cap G_k = (G_k)_{(\omega_k)}$  for all  $k$ . It follows that any tuple which differs from  $(\omega_i)_{i \in I}$  gives rise to a different point stabilizer in  $G$ . Since there are  $2^\aleph$  possible tuples, we obtain  $2^\aleph$  point stabilizers. Each of them is confined by Theorem A.  $\square$

#### 4. Connection between confined subgroups and ideals

In this section,  $\mathbb{K}$  will always denote a field of characteristic zero, and  $G$  will always be an  $L\mathfrak{A}$ -group with a local system of finite alternating subgroups  $G_i$  ( $i \in I$ ), embedded diagonally into each other. In order to prove Theorem B, we need to recall a few facts from the representation theory of finite alternating groups (cf. [10]).

To every irreducible  $\mathbb{K} \text{Alt}(n)$ -representation  $\varphi$  we can associate a Young diagram which is completely described by a finite non-increasing sequence  $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_k)$  of positive integers with sum  $n$ . Here  $\ell_i$  is the number of cells in the  $i$ -th row of the Young diagram. The *depth*  $\delta(\varphi)$  of the representation is defined as  $\delta(\varphi) = \ell_2 + \dots + \ell_k$ . The trivial representation is the unique irreducible representation of depth zero. The Young diagram of the unique irreducible representation  $\eta_n$  of depth one is described by the sequence  $(n-1, 1)$ . We denote the  $\mathbb{K} \text{Alt}(n)$ -module affording  $\eta_n$  by  $E_n$ .

**LEMMA 4.1** ([10, Lemma 5]). *Let  $d > 1$ . Then the set of all irreducible components of the  $d$ -fold inner tensor power of the representation  $\eta_n$  of  $\text{Alt}(n)$  coincides with  $\{ \psi \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{K}}(\text{Alt}(n)) \mid \delta(\psi) \leq d \}$ .*  $\square$

LEMMA 4.2 ([10, Lemma 9]). *Let  $k > 4$ . If the group  $\text{Alt}(k)$  is embedded diagonally in  $\text{Alt}(n)$  and  $\text{Alt}(k)$  has at least  $d + 1$  non-trivial orbits on the natural  $\text{Alt}(n)$ -set, then every irreducible representation of  $\text{Alt}(k)$  of depth  $\leq d$  is a constituent of every irreducible representation of  $\text{Alt}(n)$  of depth  $d$ .  $\square$*

A set  $\Phi = \{\Phi_i \mid i \in I\}$  is said to be an *inductive system* if

- each  $\Phi_i$  is a set of irreducible  $\mathbb{K}G_i$ -representations (resp.  $\mathbb{K}G_i$ -modules), and
- whenever  $i < j$ , then  $\Phi_i$  consists precisely of the irreducible  $\mathbb{K}G_i$ -constituents of the representations (resp. modules) in  $\Phi_j$ .

By [11, Prop. 1.2] the inductive systems are in one-to-one correspondence with the ideals in the group algebra  $\mathbb{K}G$ . Here an ideal  $J$  corresponds to the inductive system  $\Phi = \{\Phi_i \mid i \in I\}$ , where  $\Phi_i$  consists of the irreducible  $\mathbb{K}G_i$ -modules occurring in  $\mathbb{K}G_i/(J \cap \mathbb{K}G_i)$ . By [10] the ideals in  $\mathbb{K}G$  form a chain  $\mathbb{K}G \supset J_0 \supset J_1 \supset \dots \supset \bigcap_{d \in \mathbb{N}} J_d = 0$ , and we denote the inductive system corresponding to  $J_d$  by  $\Phi(d)$ . It was shown in [10] that  $\Phi_i(d) = \{\psi \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{K}}(G_i) \mid \delta(\psi) \leq d\}$ .

If  $V$  is any  $\mathbb{K}G$ -module, we can define  $\Phi_i$  to be the set of all irreducible  $\mathbb{K}G_i$ -submodules of  $V$ . Then  $\Phi = \{\Phi_i \mid i \in I\}$  is an inductive system, which we call the inductive system associated to  $V$ . The corresponding ideal in  $\mathbb{K}G$  is the annihilator of  $V$ .

LEMMA 4.3. *Let  $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_d$  be natural  $G$ -sets. Then  $\Phi(d)$  is the inductive system associated to the  $\mathbb{K}G$ -module  $V = \mathbb{K}(\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_d) = \mathbb{K}\Omega_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{K}\Omega_d$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G_i = \text{Alt}(n_i)$ . Clearly  $V$  can be decomposed into a direct sum of submodules of the form  $L_1 \otimes \dots \otimes L_d$  where each  $L_k$  is an irreducible  $\mathbb{K}G_i$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{K}\Omega_k$ . But every non-trivial irreducible  $\mathbb{K}G_i$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{K}\Omega_k$  is isomorphic to  $E_{n_i}$ . Since  $V$  contains a  $\mathbb{K}G_i$ -submodule where all the  $L_k$  are isomorphic to  $E_{n_i}$ , Lemma 4.1 can be applied to get the claim.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Let  $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_d$  be natural  $G$ -sets such that the stabilizers in  $G$  of certain points  $\omega_k \in \Omega_k$  ( $k = 1, \dots, d$ ) are pairwise different. Let  $\Delta$  be the  $G$ -orbit of  $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_d)$  in  $\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_d$ . Then  $\Phi(d)$  is the inductive system associated to the  $\mathbb{K}G$ -module  $\mathbb{K}\Delta$ .*

*Proof.* Consider a tuple  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_d$  with the property  $G_{\alpha_1} = G_{\alpha_2}$ . Then the map  $\alpha g \mapsto (\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d)g$  embeds the  $G$ -orbit  $\alpha G$  into the  $G$ -set  $\Omega_2 \times \dots \times \Omega_d$ . By Lemma 4.3, the inductive system associated to  $\mathbb{K}(\alpha G)$  is therefore contained in  $\Phi(d-1)$ , and in particular strictly smaller than  $\Phi(d)$ . The same conclusion holds for any tuple  $\alpha$  with two entries which have the same point stabilizer in  $G$ .

Suppose next that the tuple  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_d$  satisfies  $G_{\alpha_k} \neq G_{\alpha_\ell}$  for all  $k \neq \ell$ . Choose  $i \in I$  so large that  $\alpha_k \in \omega_k G_i$  for  $k = 1, \dots, d$ . The natural  $G_i$ -set  $\Omega_i$  contains points  $\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_d, \omega'_1, \dots, \omega'_d$  satisfying  $(G_i)_{\alpha_k} = (G_i)_{\alpha'_k}$  and  $(G_i)_{\omega_k} = (G_i)_{\omega'_k}$  for all  $k$ . By high transitivity there is some  $g \in G_i$  which maps  $(\omega'_1, \dots, \omega'_d)$  onto  $(\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_d)$ , and hence also  $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_d)$  onto  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$ . This shows that  $\Delta$  contains every tuple  $\alpha$  satisfying  $G_{\alpha_k} \neq G_{\alpha_\ell}$  for all  $k \neq \ell$ .

Consider any  $G_j$  of large enough degree. By the above reasoning and Lemma 4.3, every irreducible  $\mathbb{K}G_j$ -representation of depth  $d$  must be a constituent of  $\mathbb{K}\Delta$ . Now Lemma 4.2 ensures that for every  $i < j$  the module  $\mathbb{K}\Delta$  contains every irreducible  $\mathbb{K}G_i$ -representation of depth at most  $d$ . On the other hand, Lemma 4.3 yields that there are no further irreducible representations.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 4.5.** *If the confined subgroup  $X$  in  $G$  is the intersection of  $d$  pairwise distinct point stabilizers of natural permutation representations of  $G$ , then  $\bigcap_{g \in G} (X^g - 1)\mathbb{K}G$  is the  $d^{\text{th}}$  non-trivial ideal in the descending chain of ideals in  $\mathbb{K}G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_d$  be natural  $G$ -sets containing points  $\omega_k \in \Omega_k$  such that  $X = \bigcap_{i=1}^d G_{\omega_i}$ . Let  $\Delta = \omega G$  where  $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_d) \in \Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_d$ . We have  $\text{ann}_{\mathbb{K}G}(\omega g^{-1}) = (X^g - 1)\mathbb{K}G$  for every  $g \in G$ . By Proposition 4.4,  $\Phi(d)$  is the inductive system associated to  $\mathbb{K}\Delta$ . Hence  $J_d = \text{ann}_{\mathbb{K}G}(\mathbb{K}\Delta) = \bigcap_{g \in G} \text{ann}_{\mathbb{K}G}(\omega g^{-1}) = \bigcap_{g \in G} (X^g - 1)\mathbb{K}G$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem B.* Let  $N$  denote the simple normal subgroup of finite index in  $X$ . Let  $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Omega_i)$  where  $|\Omega_i| = n_i$ , and let  $X_i = X \cap G_i$ , and  $N_i = N \cap G_i$  for every  $i \in I$ . By Propositions 2.5 and 2.8 we may assume that there exists a subset  $\Gamma_i$  of size  $d$  in each  $\Omega_i$  such that  $(G_i)_{(\Gamma_i)} = N_i \leq X_i \leq S_i = (G_i)_{\{\Gamma_i\}}$ . Consider the action of  $G$  by right translation on the set  $[X \backslash G]$  of right cosets of  $X$  in  $G$ . As above,  $\text{ann}_{\mathbb{K}G}(\mathbb{K}[X \backslash G]) = \bigcap_{g \in G} (X^g - 1)\mathbb{K}G$ . Hence it suffices to show that the inductive system  $\Phi = \{\Phi_i \mid i \in I\}$  associated to  $\mathbb{K}[X \backslash G]$  coincides with  $\Phi(d)$ .

Proposition 4.5, applied to  $N$  in place of  $X$ , yields  $\Phi \subseteq \Phi(d)$ . Conversely, by Lemma 4.2, it suffices to show that every  $\Phi_i$  contains an irreducible representation of  $G_i$  of depth  $d$ . However,  $S_i$  is the intersection of  $G_i$  with the Young subgroup  $\text{Sym}(\Omega_i \setminus \Gamma_i) \times \text{Sym}(\Gamma_i)$  of  $\text{Sym}(\Omega_i)$ , and so the irreducible representation  $\varphi_i$  of  $G_i$  with Young diagram  $(n_i - d, d)$  occurs as a constituent of the permutation representation of  $G_i$  on the coset space  $\mathbb{K}[S_i \backslash G_i]$ . Any right transversal of  $S_i$  in  $G_i$  is part of right transversals of  $X_i$  in  $G_i$  and of  $X$  in  $G$ . This shows that  $\varphi_i \in \Phi_i$ , as desired.  $\square$

### 5. Positive definite functions

In this section we shall consider the *complex* group algebra  $\mathbb{C}G$  of an LA-group  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  denote the set of all normalized positive definite complex class functions on  $G$ , that is, the set of all functions  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying

- $f(1) = 1$  and  $f(ghg^{-1}) = f(h)$  for all  $g, h \in G$ , and
- $\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^n c_k^* f(g_k^{-1}g_\ell) c_\ell \geq 0$  for all  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$   
and all  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Here,  $c^*$  denotes the complex conjugate of  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Examples of such functions are the so-called *trivial* ones, namely the convex combinations of the constant function 1 and the normalized function which sends every non-trivial element in  $G$  to 0. If  $f \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ , then  $f|_{G_i} = \sum_{\chi} c_{\chi} \chi$ , where the  $c_{\chi}$  are non-negative real numbers, and where  $\chi$  ranges over the irreducible complex characters of  $G_i$ . In this situation the set  $\Phi(f) = \{\Phi_i(f) \mid i \in I\}$  with  $\Phi_i(f) = \{\chi \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(G_i) \mid c_{\chi} > 0\}$  is an inductive system, and the corresponding ideal is of the form

$$J(f) = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n c_k g_k \in \mathbb{C}G \mid \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^n c_k^* f(g_k^{-1}g_\ell) c_\ell = 0 \right\}$$

(see [11], Corollary 5.11 and Proposition 5.16). Note again that there are only a few possibilities for  $\Phi(f)$  here. Either every  $\Phi_i(f)$  is empty, or every  $\Phi_i(f)$  contains all complex characters of  $G_i$ , or there exists some  $d$  such that every  $\Phi_i(f)$  consists of all complex characters of  $G_i$  of depth at most  $d$ .

*Proof of Theorem C.* Suppose that there exists a positive integer  $d$  such that  $J_d = J(f)$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ . Without loss we may assume that  $|\Omega_i| > 2d + 1$ . Consider some  $j > i$  and let  $n_j = |\Omega_j|$ . Clearly,  $f_j = f|_{G_j}$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear combination of all irreducible complex characters of  $G_j$  of depths at most  $d$ . If  $\alpha$  is an irreducible complex character of  $G_j$  with Young diagram  $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k)$ , then the permutation character  $\pi_{\alpha}$  obtained from the action of  $G_j$  on the right cosets of the Young subgroup  $\text{Alt}(n_j) \cap (\text{Sym}(\ell_1) \times \dots \times \text{Sym}(\ell_k))$  is the sum of  $\alpha$  and a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of irreducible characters preceding  $\alpha$  in the dominance order (see [7, Cor. 2.4.7]). Therefore  $f_j$  is also an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear combination of the  $\pi_{\alpha}$ :

$$f_j = \sum_{\delta(\alpha) \leq d} c_{\alpha} \pi_{\alpha} \quad \text{for certain } c_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let  $s_j$  denote the number of non-trivial  $G_i$ -orbits in  $\Omega_j$ . Let  $M_{\alpha}$  be the  $G_j$ -module with character  $\pi_{\alpha}$ . We call this module a *Young module* for  $G_j$ . Considered as  $G_i$ -module,  $M_{\alpha}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of Young modules for  $G_i$ . We want to calculate the multiplicity with which  $M_{\tau}$  occurs in this combination, where  $\tau$  is the irreducible complex character of  $G_i$  with Young diagram  $(n_i - d, 1, \dots, 1)$ . This multiplicity is an integral polynomial in  $s_j$ , depending on the distribution into  $G_i$ -orbits of the points of the partition of

$\Omega_j$  with respect to the Young diagram  $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k)$  of  $\alpha$ . In fact, since  $M_\alpha$  can be viewed as the permutation module arising from the action on all  $(k - 1)$ -tuples of  $\ell_r$ -sets ( $2 \leq r \leq k$ ), the multiplicity in question is only non-zero when  $\delta(\alpha) = d$  and the points corresponding to  $(\ell_2, \dots, \ell_k)$  lie in pairwise different non-trivial  $G_i$ -orbits. Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_\alpha|_{G_i}, \pi_\tau) &= \binom{s_j}{\ell_2} \binom{s_j - \ell_2}{\ell_3} \cdots \binom{s_j - \ell_2 - \cdots - \ell_{k-1}}{\ell_k} \\ &\doteq \frac{s_j^d}{\ell_2! \cdots \ell_k!} = \frac{s_j^d}{\ell_\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $\delta(\alpha) = d$ . Now  $f_j|_{G_i} = f_i$  implies that

$$c_\tau \doteq s_j^d \left( \sum_{\delta(\alpha)=d} \frac{c_\alpha}{\ell_\alpha} \right).$$

On the other hand, the function  $f$  is normalized, that is,

$$1 = f_j(1) = \sum_{\delta(\alpha) \leq d} c_\alpha \pi_\alpha(1).$$

The degrees  $\pi_\alpha(1)$  are integral polynomials in  $n_j$ , and the highest power of  $n_j$  occurs again when  $\alpha$  has depth  $d$ . In this case we have

$$\pi_\alpha(1) = \binom{n_j}{\ell_2} \binom{n_j - \ell_2}{\ell_3} \cdots \binom{n_j - \ell_2 - \cdots - \ell_{k-1}}{\ell_k} \doteq \frac{n_j^d}{\ell_\alpha}.$$

It follows that

$$1 = f_j(1) \doteq n_j^d \left( \sum_{\delta(\alpha)=d} \frac{c_\alpha}{\ell_\alpha} \right) \doteq \frac{n_j^d}{s_j^d} c_\tau.$$

Because  $c_\tau$  is fixed, we conclude that  $s_j$  must asymptotically be a linear function of  $n_j$ , as desired.

Suppose conversely that  $s_j$  is asymptotically linear in  $n_j$ , i.e., that there exists a constant  $a > 0$  satisfying  $an_j < s_j \leq n_j/n_i$  for large  $j$ . We shall show then that  $J_1 = J(f)$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ . Let  $\iota_{n_j}$  (resp.  $\eta_{n_j}$ ) denote the irreducible characters of  $G_j$  of depths 0 (resp. 1). Choose

$$f_j = \left(1 - \frac{an_j}{s_j}\right) \iota_{n_j} + \frac{a}{s_j} \pi_{\eta_{n_j}} = \left(1 - \frac{a(n_j-1)}{s_j}\right) \iota_{n_j} + \frac{a(n_j-1)}{s_j} \frac{\eta_{n_j}}{n_j-1}.$$

Since  $f_j$  is a convex combination of normalized irreducible characters, [11, Lemma 5.4] gives  $f_j \in \mathcal{F}(G_j)$  for large  $j$ . Moreover,

$$\pi_{\eta_{n_k}} = \frac{s_k}{s_j} \pi_{\eta_{n_j}} + \left(n_k - \frac{s_k}{s_j} n_j\right) \iota_{n_j} \quad \text{whenever } k \geq j \gg i.$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} f_k|_{G_j} &= \left(1 - \frac{an_k}{s_k} + \frac{a}{s_k} \left(n_k - \frac{s_k}{s_j}n_j\right)\right) \iota_{n_j} + \frac{a}{s_k} \frac{s_k}{s_j} \eta_{n_j} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{an_j}{s_j}\right) \iota_{n_j} + \frac{a}{s_j} \pi_{\eta_{n_j}} = f_j \quad \text{whenever } k \geq j \gg i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $f \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  is well defined via  $f|_{G_j} = f_j$  for all  $j \gg i$ . Because the expansion of  $f_j$  involves all irreducible characters of depth  $\leq 1$ , it is clear that  $J(f) = J_1$ .

For any  $d > 1$ , the restriction to any  $G_j$  of the  $d^{\text{th}}$  power  $f^d$  of  $f$  is a convex combination of normalized characters of the  $d^{\text{th}}$  cartesian power  $G_j^d$  of  $G_j$ , whence  $f^d \in \mathcal{F}(G^d)$  by [11, Lemma 5.4]. We identify  $G$  with the diagonal subgroup of  $G^d$ . Then  $f^d|_G \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ . Since  $J_d$  is the  $d^{\text{th}}$  tensor power of  $J_1$ , i.e., since the representations in  $\Phi_i(d)$  are the  $d$ -fold tensor products of the representations in  $\Phi_i(1)$ , it follows that  $J_d = J(f^d|_G)$ .  $\square$

The orbit growth in Theorem C can also be expressed in terms of growth of fixed point sets.

**PROPOSITION 5.1.** *The number of non-trivial  $G_i$ -orbits in  $\Omega_j$  ( $j > i$ ) is asymptotically a sublinear function of  $|\Omega_j|$  if and only if the quotient  $|\text{fix}_{\Omega_j} G_i|/|\Omega_j|$  tends to 1 for  $j \gg i$ .*

*Proof.* With the notation developed in the proof of Theorem C, we clearly have

$$\frac{|\text{fix}_{\Omega_j} G_i|}{|\Omega_j|} = 1 - \frac{|\text{supp}_{\Omega_j} G_i|}{|\Omega_j|} = 1 - \frac{s_j}{n_j} n_i.$$

Hence  $|\text{fix}_{\Omega_j} G_i|/|\Omega_j|$  tends to 1 if and only if  $s_j/n_j$  becomes arbitrarily small.  $\square$

Finally we shall show that for every  $\text{L}\mathfrak{A}$ -group  $G$  the set  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  contains many *indecomposable* functions, that is, functions which are not proper convex combination of functions from  $\mathcal{F}(G)$ . In the case of  $\text{L}\mathfrak{A}$ -groups  $G$  with sublinear orbit growth the inductive systems associated with these indecomposable functions contains all complex characters of the finite alternating subgroups  $G_i$ .

**THEOREM 5.2.** *Every  $\text{L}\mathfrak{A}$ -group  $G$  admits at least  $2^{\aleph_0}$  indecomposable normalized positive definite class functions  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Again,  $G$  is the diagonal limit of finite alternating subgroups  $G_j = \text{Alt}(\Omega_j)$  ( $j \in I$ ), and we may assume without loss that there exists a minimal index  $i \in I$ . Moreover,  $s_j$  (resp.  $t_j$ ) denotes the number of natural (resp. trivial)  $G_i$ -orbits in  $\Omega_j$ . Every  $G_j$  embeds naturally into a countably infinite alternating group  $A_j$ . Moreover, the diagonal embeddings  $G_j \rightarrow G_k$  ( $j < k$ )

extend naturally to diagonal embeddings  $A_j \rightarrow A_k$ , where  $A_j$  has  $s_j$  natural and  $t_j$  trivial orbits on the natural  $A_k$ -set. Then  $G$  is contained in the diagonal limit  $A$  of the countably infinite alternating groups  $A_j$  ( $j \in I$ ).

Let  $\mathcal{E}(G)$  be the set of all indecomposable normalized positive definite class functions  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Fix any real number  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$ , and define  $f_j^\alpha : A_j \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $j \in I$ ) via

$$f_j^\alpha(g) = \prod_{\nu=2}^r (\alpha^\nu)^{\gamma_\nu/s_j} \quad \text{whenever } g \in A_j \text{ has cycle type } (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r) \text{ as a permutation of } \Omega_j.$$

An application of [9, Satz 3] (with  $\alpha^{1/s_j}$  in place of  $\alpha_1$ ) and [9, Satz 6] shows that  $f_j^\alpha \in \mathcal{E}(A_j)$ . Moreover,  $f_k^\alpha$  extends  $f_j^\alpha$  whenever  $k > j$ . Therefore, the union  $f^\alpha$  of the  $f_j^\alpha$  ( $j \in I$ ) is in  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ .

Suppose that  $c_1 f^{\alpha_1}|_G + \dots + c_\ell f^{\alpha_\ell}|_G = 0$  for pairwise distinct  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell \in ]0, 1[$  and certain  $c_\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Choose  $j \in I$  so large that  $|\Omega_j| > 2\ell$ . Let  $\beta_\mu = \alpha_\mu^{2/s_j}$  for all  $\mu$ . Let  $g_\nu$  be a  $(2\nu+1)$ -cycle in  $G_j$  for  $1 \leq \nu \leq \ell$ . Then

$$f^{\alpha_\mu}(g_\nu) = \alpha_\mu^{1/s_j} \beta_\mu^\nu \quad \text{for all } \mu, \nu,$$

whence

$$\left( c_1 \alpha_1^{1/s_j} \quad \dots \quad c_\ell \alpha_\ell^{1/s_j} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_1^2 & \dots & \beta_1^\ell \\ \beta_2 & \beta_2^2 & \dots & \beta_2^\ell \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \beta_\ell & \beta_\ell^2 & \dots & \beta_\ell^\ell \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

The Vandermonde argument implies that  $c_\nu = 0$  for all  $\nu$ . We conclude that the functions  $f^\alpha|_G \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ) are  $\mathbb{C}$ -independent. Because every function in  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  is a convex combination of functions from  $\mathcal{E}(G)$ , we must have  $|\mathcal{E}(G)| \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$ . □

It is uncertain whether the functions  $f^\alpha$  constructed above are always indecomposable. However, we can show that  $f^\alpha \in \mathcal{E}(G)$  whenever  $G$  has sublinear orbit growth.

**PROPOSITION 5.3.** *Let  $G$  be an LA-group with sublinear orbit growth, and form  $A \geq G$  as in the proof of Theorem 5.2. Then  $G$  contains a conjugate of every finite subgroup in  $A$ . In particular, every  $f \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  extends to a unique  $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{F}(A)$ , and the map  $f \mapsto \hat{f}$  preserves decomposability.*

*Proof.* Since the functions in  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  are class functions, it suffices to show that  $G$  contains a conjugate of every finite subgroup  $F$  in  $A$ . By choosing the minimal index  $i$  large enough we may assume that  $F \leq A_i$ . Let  $\Lambda_j$  be the support of  $F$  on the natural  $A_j$ -set. Then  $|\Lambda_j|/|\Omega_j| = |\Lambda_i| s_j / |\Omega_j|$  tends to zero for large  $j$ . Hence there exists some  $j$  such that  $|\Omega_j| > |\Lambda_j|$ . Because

$A_j$  is highly transitive, we can choose  $g \in A_j$  such that  $\Lambda_j g \subset \Omega_j$ . Then  $F^g \leq G_j$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. D. Dixon and B. Mortimer, *Permutation groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [2] B. Hartley, *Simple locally finite groups*, Finite and locally finite groups (B. Hartley, G. M. Seitz, A. V. Borovik, and R. M. Bryant, eds.) NATO Advanced Science Institutes Series C, vol. 471, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1995, pp. 1–44.
- [3] B. Hartley and A. E. Zalesskiĭ, *The ideal lattice of the complex group ring of finitary special and general linear groups over finite fields*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **116** (1994) 7–25.
- [4] ———, *Confined subgroups of simple locally finite groups and ideals of their group rings*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **55** (1997), 210–230.
- [5] F. Leinen and O. Puglisi, *Confined subgroups in periodic simple finitary linear groups*, Israel J. Math. **128** (2002), 285–324.
- [6] ———, *Ideals in group algebras of simple locally finite groups of 1-type*, Pacific J. Math. **207** (2002), 433–445.
- [7] B. E. Sagan, *The symmetric group*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [8] S. K. Sehgal and A. E. Zalesskiĭ, *Induced modules and some arithmetic invariants of the finitary symmetric groups*, Nova J. Algebra Geom. **2** (1993), 89–105.
- [9] E. Thoma, *Die unzerlegbaren, positiv-definiten Klassenfunktionen der abzählbar unendlichen, symmetrischen Gruppe*, Math. Z. **85** (1964), 40–61.
- [10] A. E. Zalesskiĭ, *Group rings of inductive limits of alternating groups*, Leningrad Math. J. **2** (1991), 1287–1303.
- [11] ———, *Group rings of simple locally finite groups*, Finite and locally finite groups (B. Hartley, G. M. Seitz, A. V. Borovik, and R. M. Bryant, eds.), NATO Advanced Science Institutes Series C, vol. 471, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1995, pp. 219–246.

FELIX LEINEN, FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, JOHANNES GUTENBERG-UNIVERSITÄT, D-55099 MAINZ, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* leinen@mathematik.uni-mainz.de

ORAZIO PUGLISI, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “U. DINI”, UNIVERSITÀ DI FIRENZE, VIALE MORGAGNI 67A, I-50134 FIRENZE, ITALY

*E-mail address:* puglisi@math.unifi.it