

# ON MIXING AND PARTIAL MIXING

BY

N. A. FRIEDMAN AND D. S. ORNSTEIN<sup>1</sup>

## 1. Introduction

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, m)$  denote the unit interval with Lebesgue measure, and let  $\tau$  be an invertible ergodic measure preserving transformation on  $X$ .  $\tau$  is mixing if

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_n m(A \cap \tau^n B) = m(A)m(B), \quad A \text{ and } B \text{ in } \mathcal{A}.$$

Given  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\tau$  is partially mixing for  $\alpha$  if

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_n \inf m(A \cap \tau^n B) \geq \alpha m(A)m(B), \quad A \text{ and } B \text{ in } \mathcal{A}.$$

In [3], a transformation  $\tau$  is constructed such that  $\tau$  is partially mixing for  $\alpha = \frac{1}{8}$  but  $\tau$  is not mixing. It is easily verified that  $\tau$  is mixing if and only if  $\tau$  is partially mixing for  $\alpha = 1$ .

The results in this paper are in two parts. The first result is concerned with mixing transformations. Let  $\tau$  be mixing,  $f \in L_1$ , and let  $(k_n)$  be an increasing sequence of positive integers. Define  $f_n$  and  $E(f)$  as

$$f_n(x) = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n f(\tau^{k_i}(x)), \quad E(f) = \int f \, dm.$$

In [1], Blum and Hanson proved that  $f_n$  converges to  $E(f)$  in the mean. In §4, we construct an example such that for a. e.  $x$ ,  $f_n(x)$  does not converge pointwise.

The second result concerns partial mixing transformations. In §5, it is shown that given  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , there is an explicit construction of a transformation  $\tau$  such that  $\tau$  is partially mixing for  $\alpha$  but  $\tau$  is not partially mixing for any  $\alpha + \varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Both of the above results are based on a construction given in §3. Some preliminary results are given in §2. We shall utilize notation and terminology in [2].

## 2. Preliminaries

In [2], [3], the  $S$  operator was defined for a tower with columns of equal width. The definition will now be extended to the case where the columns generally have unequal widths. Let

$$T = \{C_j : 1 \rightarrow j \rightarrow q\} \quad \text{where } C_j = (I_{j,k} : 1 \rightarrow k \rightarrow h_j).$$

The intervals in  $C_j$  have the same width  $w_j(T)$ . The top of  $T$  is

$$A(T) = \bigcup_{j=1}^q I_{j,h_j},$$

---

Received June 1, 1969.

<sup>1</sup> Research supported by a National Science Foundation grant.

and the base of  $T$  is

$$B(T) = \bigcup_{j=1}^q I_{j,1};$$

hence,

$$(2.1) \quad m(A(T)) = m(B(T)) = \sum_{j=1}^q w_j(T).$$

A subtower  $T_*$  of  $T$  is a *copy* of  $T$  if there exists  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  such that  $w_j(T_*) = \alpha w_j(T)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq q$ , and the  $h_j$  are the same. In this case, we also denote  $T_*$  as  $\alpha T$ . Note that given  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $T$  can be decomposed into two disjoint copies  $\alpha T$  and  $(1 - \alpha)T$ .

We shall now define  $S(T)$  where  $T$  is as above. The transformation  $\tau_T$  will be extended so as to map a subinterval of the top interval of each column onto a subinterval of the base interval of each column where the length of each subinterval is proportional to the corresponding widths. Let  $p_j = w_j(T)/m(B(T))$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq q$ . Hence (2.1) implies

$$\sum_{j=1}^q p_j = 1.$$

We decompose the left half of  $I_{j,h_j}$  into  $q$  disjoint subintervals  $E_{j,l}$  where  $m(E_{j,l}) = p_l w_j/2$ ,  $1 \leq j, l \leq q$ . We also decompose the right half of  $I_{l,1}$  into  $q$  disjoint subintervals  $F_{l,j}$  where  $m(F_{l,j}) = p_l w_j/2$ ,  $1 \leq j, l \rightarrow q$ .  $E_{j,l}$  is now mapped linearly onto  $F_{l,j}$ ,  $1 \leq j, l \leq q$ . The extension is measure preserving since  $m(E_{j,l}) = m(F_{l,j})$ ,  $1 \rightarrow j, l \rightarrow q$ . We also have

$$\sum_{j=1}^q p_l w_j/2 = w_j/2, \quad \sum_{j=1}^q p_l w_j/2 = w_l/2.$$

Thus,  $\tau_T$  is extended to half of  $A(T)$  and  $\tau_T^{-1}$  is extended to half of  $B(T)$ . Let the corresponding tower be denoted by  $S(T)$ . As in [2],  $S(T)$  consists of a bottom copy  $T_0$  of  $T$  and a copy of  $T$  above each column in  $T_0$ .

We denote  $\tau(T) = \lim_n \tau_{S^n(T)}$ . As in [2], it follows that  $\tau(T)$  is an ergodic measure preserving transformation on  $T'$ . If  $T$  is an  $M$ -tower, then  $\tau(T)$  is mixing. ( $T'$  is the union of the intervals in  $T$ .)

Given a tower  $T$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , let  $\alpha T$  denote a copy of  $T$  as above. Note that if  $A$  is a union of intervals in  $T$  and  $B = (\alpha T)'$ , then

$$(2.2) \quad m(A \cap B) = m(A)\alpha = m(A)m(B)/m(T').$$

Thus if  $T' = X$ , then  $A$  and  $B$  are independent sets.

Given disjoint towers  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , let  $T_1 \cup T_2$  denote the tower consisting of the columns in  $T_1$  and the columns in  $T_2$ . We do not require that the columns have the same width.

Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be towers with  $q$  columns. We say the towers are similar if there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $w_j(T_1) = \alpha w_j(T_2)$ ,  $h_j(T_1) = h_j(T_2)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq q$ . In particular, a copy of  $T$  is similar to  $T$ . However, a tower similar to  $T$  need not be a copy of  $T$  since it may not be a subtower of  $T$ . We note that if  $T_1$  is similar to  $T_2$ , then  $S^n(T_1)$  is similar to  $S^n(T_2)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

The following result follows from the definition of the  $S$  operator.

(2.3) LEMMA. *Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be similar towers, and let  $T_3 = T_1 \cup T_2$ . Let  $\tau_1 = \tau(T_1)$  and  $\tau_2 = \tau(T_2)$ . Let  $I$  and  $J$  be intervals in  $T_1$ . Then*

$$m(\tau_2^n I \cap J) \geq m(\tau_1^n I \cap J) - 2m(T_2').$$

Let  $T_1$  be a tower, and let  $C$  be a column. We shall utilize  $C$  to form a tower  $T_1(C)$  such that  $T_1(C)$  is similar to  $T_1$ . Furthermore,  $\tau_{T_1(C)}$  will be an extension of  $\tau_C$  and  $T_1(C)$  will be unique up to similarity. Let  $T_1$  have  $q$  columns with heights  $H_j$  and widths  $W_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq q$ . Let  $h$  denote the height of  $C$  and  $H = \min_{1 \leq j \leq q} H_j$ . We assume there exists a positive integer  $K$  such that  $H > Kh$ . Let  $n_j$  denote the largest positive integer such that  $n_j h \leq H_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq q$ . Thus  $n_j \geq K$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq q$ . Define  $w_i$  as

$$(2.4) \quad w_i = w(C)W_i / \sum_{j=1}^q n_j W_j, \quad 1 \leq i \leq q,$$

where  $w(C)$  denotes the width of  $C$ . Now (2.4) implies

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^q n_j w_j = w(C),$$

$$(2.6) \quad w_i/w_j = W_i/W_j, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq q.$$

By (2.5), we can decompose  $C$  into  $\sum_{j=1}^q n_j$  columns where  $n_j$  columns have width  $w_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq q$ . We stack the columns of width  $w_j$  to form a single column of height  $n_j h$ . If  $n_j h < H_j$ , then we add  $H_j - n_j h$  additional intervals of width  $w_j$  to obtain a column  $c_j$  of height  $H_j$  and width  $w_j$ . Let  $T_1(C) = \{c_j : 1 \leq j \leq q\}$ .  $T_1(C)$  is similar to  $T_1$  by (2.6). Let  $\mu$  denote the total amount of additional measure needed to form  $T_1(C)$ . Then (2.5) implies

$$(2.7) \quad \mu < h \sum_{j=1}^q w_j \leq hw(C)/K.$$

Let  $T$  be a tower, and let  $C$  be a column. We can choose  $p$  sufficiently large so that if  $T_1 = S^p(T)$ , then  $\mu$  in (2.7) can be made arbitrarily small.

Let  $T$  be an  $M$ -tower, and let  $\delta > 0$ . Since  $\tau = \tau(T)$  is mixing, there exists a positive integer  $N(T)$  such that

$$(2.8) \quad m(\tau^n I \cap J) \geq (1 - \delta)m(I)m(J)/m(T'), \quad n \geq N(T),$$

where  $I$  and  $J$  are intervals in  $T$ .

Let  $T$  be a tower, and let  $I$  be an interval. Given  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , we say  $\alpha I$  is in  $T$  if there exists a set  $A$  consisting of a union of intervals in  $T$  such that  $A \subset I$  and  $m(A) = \alpha m(I)$ .

### 3. Construction

Let  $V_1$  be an  $M$ -tower,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\delta_1 > 0$ ,  $\delta_2 > 0$ , and  $\eta > 0$ . Decompose  $V_1$  into disjoint copies  $V_2 = \alpha V_1$  and  $V_3 = (1 - \alpha)V_1$ . Assume  $V_1$  has  $q$  columns with rational widths and  $\alpha$  is rational. Then  $V_2$  and  $V_3$  each have  $q$  columns with rational widths. Denote the columns of  $V_3$  as  $C_j$  with widths  $a_j/b$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq q$  ( $a_j$  and  $b$  are integers). Then  $S_{a_j}(C_j)$  is a column with width  $1/b$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq q$ . (We form  $S_{a_j}(C_j)$  by dividing  $C_j$  into  $a_j$  copies and stacking

them.) We then stack the  $S_{a_j}(C_j)$  to form a single column  $V_4$ ; hence

$$V_4 = \prod_{j=1}^q S_{a_j}(C_j) \quad (\text{note that } V'_3 = V'_4).$$

Let  $r$  be a positive integer, and let  $V_5 = S_r(V_4)$ . Let  $V_6 = S^p(V_2)$ , and let  $V_7 = V_6(V_5)$  as defined in §2. Note that  $p$  can be chosen sufficiently large with respect to  $r$  and  $\eta$  so that if  $\mu$  denotes the measure added to form  $V_7$ , then  $\mu < \eta$ . Let  $V_8 = V_6 \cup V_7$ .  $V_7$  is similar to  $V_6$ , and  $V_7$  is an  $M$ -tower. Thus  $V_8$  is an  $M$ -tower, and the columns in  $V_8$  have rational widths.

Let  $N_1 = N(V_1, \delta_1)$ . (See (2.8).) If  $\tau_1 = \tau(V_1)$ , then  $I$  and  $J$  in  $V_1$  imply

$$(3.1) \quad m(\tau_1^n I \cap J) \geq (1 - \delta_1)m(I)m(J)/m(V'_1), \quad n \geq N_1.$$

Since  $\alpha I$  and  $\alpha J$  are in  $V_2$  and  $V_2$  is a copy of  $V_1$ , it follows that if  $\tau_2 = \tau(V_2)$ , then

$$(3.2) \quad m(\tau_2^n I \cap J) \geq (1 - \delta_1)\alpha m(I)m(J)/m(V'_1), \quad n \geq N_1.$$

Since  $V_6 = S^p(V_2)$ , we have  $\tau_2 = \tau(V_2) = \tau(V_6)$ . Let  $\tau_3 = \tau(V_8)$ . Hence Lemma 2.3 implies that if  $E$  and  $F$  are intervals in  $V_6$ , then

$$(3.3) \quad m(\tau_3^n E \cap F) \geq m(\tau_2^n E \cap F) - 2m(V'_7).$$

Since  $\alpha I$  and  $\alpha J$  are in  $V_6$ , (3.2) and (3.3) imply

$$(3.4) \quad m(\tau_3^n I \cap J) \geq (1 - \delta_1)\alpha m(I)m(J)/m(V'_1) - 2m(V'_7), \quad n \geq N_1.$$

Let  $N_1^* = N(V_8, \delta_2)$  where we also assume  $N_1^* > N_1$ . Since  $\tau_3 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{S^t V_8}$ , we can choose  $t$  sufficiently large so that if  $V_9 = S^t V_8$  and  $\tau = \tau_{V_9}$ , then

$$(3.5) \quad m(\tau^n I \cap J) \geq (1 - \delta_1)\alpha m(I)m(J)/m(V'_1) - 2m(V'_7), \quad N_1 \leq n \leq N_1^*.$$

In (3.5),  $I$  and  $J$  are in  $V_1$ .

#### 4. Mixing

We shall now construct a mixing transformation in stages utilizing the construction in §3 inductively. At each stage most of the space is mixed. However, at the  $n^{\text{th}}$  stage, the transformation is defined on a small part of the space  $B_n$  so that certain Cesaro averages oscillate.

Let  $T_1$  be an  $M$ -tower, and let  $(\alpha_n)$ ,  $(\varepsilon_n)$  and  $(\eta_n)$  be sequences of positive numbers such that  $\alpha_n \uparrow 1$ ,  $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta_n < \infty$ , and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$ . Let  $V_1 = T_1$  in §3, and let  $T_{1,i} = V_i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq 9$ , correspond to  $\alpha = \alpha_1$ ,  $\delta_1 = \varepsilon_1$ ,  $\delta_2 = \varepsilon_2$ , and  $\eta = \eta_1$ . Let  $\tau = \tau_{T_{1,9}}$ ,  $N_1 = N(T_1, \varepsilon_1)$  and  $N_1^* = N(T_{1,8}, \varepsilon_2)$ . Thus (3.6) implies that if  $I$  and  $J$  are in  $T_1$ , then

$$(4.1) \quad m(\tau^n I \cap J) \geq (1 - \varepsilon_1)\alpha_1 m(I)m(J)/m(T'_1) - 2m(T'_{1,7}), \quad N_1 \leq n \leq N_1^*.$$

Let  $T_2 = T_{1,9}$  and  $N_2 = N(T_2, \varepsilon_2)$ . Consider  $V_1 = T_2$  in §3, and let  $T_{2,i} = V_i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq 9$ , correspond to  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\varepsilon_2$ ,  $\varepsilon_3$  and  $\eta_2$ . Note that  $T_{2,6} = S^{p_2}(T_{2,2})$  where we can choose  $p_2$  arbitrarily large. Now  $T_{2,2} = \alpha_2 T_2$

and  $T_2 = S^{t_1}(T_{1,8})$  for some positive integer  $t_1$ . Thus we can choose  $p_2$  sufficiently large so that if  $\tau = \tau_{T_{2,6}}$  and  $I$  and  $J$  are intervals in  $T_{1,8}$ , then

$$(4.2) \quad m(\tau^n I \cap J) \leq (1 - \varepsilon_2) \alpha_2 m(I) m(J) / m(T'_{1,8}), \quad N_1^* \leq n \leq N_2.$$

Note that (4.2) also holds for  $I$  and  $J$  in  $T_1$ .

Let  $N_2^* = N(T_{2,8}, \varepsilon_3)$  and  $\tau = \tau_{T_{2,9}}$ . Thus (3.5) implies that if  $I$  and  $J$  are in  $T_2$ , then

$$(4.3) \quad m(\tau^n I \cap J) \geq (1 - \varepsilon_2) \alpha_2 m(I) m(J) / m(T'_2) - 2m(T'_{2,7}), \quad N_2 \leq n \leq N_2^*$$

Let us now consider we have  $T_1, \dots, T_{k-1}$ . For each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k-2$ , we have

$$(4.4) \quad m(\tau^n I \cap J) \geq (1 - \varepsilon_{i+1}) \alpha_{i+1} m(I) m(J) / m(T'_{i,8}), \\ N_i^* \leq n \leq N_{i+1}, \text{ } I \text{ and } J \text{ in } T_i, \tau = \tau_{T_{i+1,6}}$$

$$(4.5) \quad m(\tau^n I \cap J) \geq (1 - \varepsilon_{i+1}) \alpha_{i+1} m(I) m(J) / m(T'_{i+1}) - 2m(T'_{i+1,7}), \\ N_{i+1} \leq n \leq N_{i+1}^*, \text{ } I \text{ and } J \text{ in } T_{i+1}, \tau = \tau_{T_{i+1,9}}$$

Let  $T_k = T_{k-1,9}$  and  $N_k = N(T_k, \varepsilon_k)$ . Consider  $V_1 = T_k$  in §3, and let  $T_{k,i} = V_i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq 9$ , correspond to  $\alpha_k, \varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_{k+1}$  and  $\eta_k$ . Note that  $T_{k,6} = S^{p_k}(T_{k,2})$  where we can choose  $p_k$  arbitrarily large. Now  $T_{k,2} = \alpha_k T_k$  and  $T_k = S^{t_{k-1}}(T_{k-1,8})$  for some positive integer  $t_{k-1}$ . Thus we can choose  $p_{k-1}$  sufficiently large so that if  $\tau = \tau_{T_{k,6}}$  and  $I$  and  $J$  are intervals in  $T_{k-1,8}$ , then

$$(4.6) \quad m(\tau^n I \cap J) \geq (1 - \varepsilon_k) \alpha_k m(I) m(J) / m(T'_{k-1,8}), \quad N_{k-1}^* \leq n \leq N_k.$$

Let  $N_k^* = N(T_{k,8}, \varepsilon_{k+1})$  and  $\tau = \tau_{T_{k,9}}$ . Thus (3.5) implies that if  $I$  and  $J$  are in  $T_k$ , then

$$(4.7) \quad m(\tau^n I \cap J) \geq (1 - \varepsilon_k) \alpha_k m(I) m(J) / m(T'_k) - 2m(T'_{k,7}), \quad N_k \leq n \leq N_k^*.$$

Thus (4.6) and (4.7) imply (4.4) and (4.5) hold for  $k$ . Hence the induction step is complete.

We thus obtain a sequence of towers  $(T_k)$  such that  $\tau_{T_k}$  extends  $\tau_{T_{k-1}}$ . The construction implies

$$m(T'_k) \leq m(T'_1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \eta_i.$$

Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta_n < \infty$ , we can consider  $X = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} T'_k = [0, 1)$ . We define  $\tau$  as  $\tau = \lim_k \tau_{T_k}$ . The properties of  $(\varepsilon_k)$  and  $(\alpha_k)$  imply that

$$\lim_k (1 - \varepsilon_k) \alpha_k / m(T'_k) = 1.$$

Also,  $\lim_k (1 - \alpha_k) + \eta_k = 0$  implies  $\lim_k m(T'_{k,7}) = 0$ . Thus (4.4) and (4.5) imply that if  $I$  and  $J$  are intervals in  $T_k$  for some  $k$ , then

$$\lim_n \inf m(\tau^n I \cap J) \geq m(I) m(J).$$

Since the intervals in  $T_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , generate  $\mathcal{G}$ , an approximation argu-

ment implies

$$(4.8) \quad \lim_n \inf m(\tau^n A \cap B) \geq m(A)m(B), \quad A \text{ and } B \text{ in } \mathfrak{A}.$$

Thus (4.8) implies  $\tau$  is partially mixing for  $\alpha = 1$ , hence  $\tau$  is mixing.

We now consider the column  $T_{n,4}$  which is formed from  $T_{n,3} = (1 - \alpha_n)T_n$ . Let  $B_n = T'_{n,3} = T'_{n,4}$ . (Thus  $m(B_n) = (1 - \alpha_n)m(T'_n)$ .)

Now for a fixed integer  $k$ , (2.2) implies

$$m[B_{n+1} \cap (T'_n - \bigcup_{j=k}^n B_j)] = (1 - \alpha_{n+1})m(T'_n - \bigcup_{j=k}^n B_j).$$

For fixed  $k$ , the sets  $B_{n+1} \cap (T'_n - \bigcup_{j=k}^n B_j)$  are disjoint. Hence their measure tends to 0. Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(T'_n - \bigcup_{j=k}^n B_j) = 0$ . Since this happens for each fixed  $k$  and since  $m(T'_n) \rightarrow 1$ , we get that  $m(\lim_n \sup B_n) = 1$ .

Let  $I$  denote a base interval in a column in  $T_1$  which has at least two intervals. Thus  $x \in I$  implies  $\tau(x) \notin I$ . Let  $f$  denote the characteristic function of  $I$ . We shall define an increasing sequence of positive integers

$$k_{1,1}, k_{1,2}, \dots, k_{1,a_1}, k_{2,1}, k_{2,2}, \dots, k_{2,a_2}, \dots, k_{n,1}, k_{n,2}, \dots, k_{n,a_n}, k_{n+1,1}, \dots$$

such that the average of  $f$  along the sequence oscillates for a.e.  $x$ .

In order to define  $k_{n,1}, k_{n,2}, \dots, k_{n,a_n}$  we consider the column  $T_{n,4}$ . The interval  $I$  chosen above is scattered in  $T_n$  as certain intervals in certain columns in  $T_n$ . Now  $T_{n,4}$  was formed from  $T_{n,3}$  which is a copy of  $T_n$ . Thus some intervals in  $T_{n,4}$  are contained in  $I$  and some are not. Let  $p_n$  be the number of intervals in  $T_{n,4}$  not contained in  $I$ .

Let  $d_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i$  and choose  $j_{n,1}$  so that

$$d_n/j_{n,1} < 1/n.$$

Proceed to choose  $j_{n,i}$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq p_n + 2$ , inductively so that

$$(d_n + j_{n,1} + \dots + j_{n,i})/j_{n,i+1} < 1/n, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p_n + 1.$$

Let  $K_n$  be the height of  $T_{n,4}$ ; hence we can write

$$T_{n,4} = (I_{n,1}, I_{n,2}, \dots, I_{n,K_n}).$$

There are  $p_n$  intervals in  $T_{n,4}$  that are not contained in  $I$  and we list these as

$$I_{n,i_l}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq p_n.$$

Let  $x \in I_{n,i_l}$  and let  $t_l$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $\tau^{t_l}(x) \in I_{n,i} \subset I$ . If  $i_l = K_n$ , then take  $x$  such that  $\tau(x) \in I_{n,1}$ . This is possible since we shall have  $T_{n,5} = S_{r_n}(T_{n,4})$  where  $r_n$  is chosen below. Hence most of  $I_{n,K_n}$  is mapped to  $I_{n,1}$ . By definition of  $\tau$  on  $T_{n,4}$ ,  $t_l$  is well defined and satisfies  $t_l < K_n$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq p_n$ .

Choose  $u_n$  so that

$$u_n K_n > k_{n-1,a_{n-1}}$$

and let  $j_0 = 1$ . Define

$$k_{n,j} = (j + u_n)K_n + t_1, \quad j_0 \leq j \leq j_1$$

and

$$k_{n,j} = (j + u_n)K_n + t_l, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} j_i < j \leq \sum_{i=1}^l j_i, \quad 2 \leq l \leq p_n.$$

We also define

$$\begin{aligned} k_{n,j} &= (j + u_n)K_n + 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} j_i < j \leq \sum_{i=1}^{p_n+1} j_i \\ k_{n,j} &= (j + u_n)K_n, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{p_n+1} j_i < j \leq \sum_{i=1}^{p_n+2} j_i. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a_n = \sum_{i=1}^{p_n+2} j_i$  and  $b_n = a_n + u_n$ . Let  $T_{n,4}$  have width  $w_n$ . Choose  $r_n$  so large that  $b_n w_n / r_n < 2^{-n}$ . Let  $C_n$  denote the subcolumn  $(1 - b_n / r_n)T_{n,4}$  of  $T_{n,4}$ , chosen so that  $C'_n \cap I_{n,1}$  is an interval with the same left endpoint as  $I_{n,1}$ . In the following we consider only  $x \in C'_n$ . Thus if  $x \in I_{n,i}$  then  $\tau^{j_K} n(x) \in I_{n,i}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq b_n$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq K_n$ .

Now  $f$  is the characteristic function of the chosen interval  $I$  and  $f_v$  is the  $v^{\text{th}}$  Cesaro average along the sequence

$$k_{1,1}, \dots, k_{1,a_1}, k_{2,1}, \dots, k_{n,1}, \dots, k_{n,a_n}.$$

Let  $x \in I_{n,i_1}$ . The choice of  $j_1$  guarantees that

$$(4.9) \quad f_v(x) > 1 - 1/n$$

for  $v = d_n + j_1$ . In general, let  $x \in I_{n,i_l}$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq p_n$ . The choice of  $j_1, \dots, j_l$  guarantees that (4.9) holds for  $v = d_n + j_1 + \dots + j_l$ .

Now consider  $x \in I_{n,i} \subset I$ . Recall that  $x \in I$  implies  $\tau(x) \notin I$ . Hence the choice of  $j_{p_n+1}$  guarantees that

$$(4.10) \quad f_v(x) < 1/n$$

for  $v = d_n + j_1 + \dots + j_{p_n+1}$ . Lastly, the choice of  $j_{p_n+2}$  guarantees that for

$$v = d_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = d_n + \sum_{i=1}^{p_n+2} j_i$$

we have (4.9) satisfied for  $x \in I_{n,i} \subset I$  and (4.10) satisfied for  $x \in I_{n,i} \not\subset I$ .

The choice of  $r_n$  implies  $m(B_n - E_n) < 2^{-n}m(B_n)$ , where  $B_n = T'_{n,4}$  and  $E_n = C'_n$ . We have already shown that  $m(\limsup B_n) = 1$ ; hence  $m(\limsup E_n) = 1$ . If  $x \in \limsup E_n$ , then the above construction implies

$$\limsup f_n(x) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf f_n(x) = 0.$$

Thus  $f_n(x)$  does not converge a.e.

## 5. Partial mixing

Let  $\tau$  be partially mixing for some  $\alpha > 0$ . We define an invariant  $\bar{\alpha}(\tau)$  as

$$\bar{\alpha}(\tau) = \sup \{ \alpha : \tau \text{ is partially mixing for } \alpha \}.$$

It follows at once that  $\tau$  is partially mixing for  $\bar{\alpha}(\tau)$ . Thus  $\tau$  is mixing if and only if  $\bar{\alpha}(\tau) = 1$ .

Given  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , we shall construct  $\tau$  so that  $\bar{\alpha}(\tau) = \alpha$ . The construction is

a slight modification of the construction in §3. At the  $n^{\text{th}}$  stage, we have an  $M$ -tower  $T_n$ . We decompose  $T_n$  into disjoint copies  ${}_1T_{n,2} = \alpha_n T_n$  and  $U_{n,j} = (1 - \alpha_n)/n T_n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . The method is to mix  ${}_1T_{n,2}$  and unmix  $U_{n,1}$ . Then mix  ${}_1T_{n,2}$  with  $U_{n,1}$  and unmix  $U_{n,2}$ . Then mix  ${}_1T_{n,2}$ ,  $U_{n,1}$  and  $U_{n,2}$ , and unmix  $U_{n,3}$ , etc. Since  $m(U'_{n,j}) \leq 1/n$ , the perturbation due to  $U_{n,j}$  is small. We proceed to describe the construction as follows.

Let  $T_1$  be an  $M$ -tower, and let  $(\alpha_n)$ ,  $(\varepsilon_n)$  and  $(\eta_n)$  be sequences of positive numbers such that  $\alpha_n$  is rational and  $\lim_n \alpha_n = \alpha$ ,  $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta_n < \infty$ . At the  $n^{\text{th}}$  stage, we have an  $M$ -tower  $T_n$ , and let  ${}_1T_{n,2} = \alpha_n T_n$  and  $U_{n,j} = (1 - \alpha_n)/n T_n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  as above. Let  $V_2 = {}_1T_{n,2}$  and  $V_3 = U_{n,1}$ . Let  ${}_1T_{n,i} = V_i$ ,  $4 \leq i \leq 9$ , corresponding to  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \varepsilon_n$  and  $\eta = \eta_n/n$ . Now let  $V_2 = {}_1T_{n,9}$  and  $V_3 = U_{n,2}$ . Let  ${}_2T_{n,i} = V_i$ ,  $4 \leq i \leq 9$ , corresponding to  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \varepsilon_n$  and  $\eta = \eta_n/n$ . Proceeding inductively, let  $k < n$ , and let  $V_2 = {}_kT_{n,9}$  and  $V_3 = U_{n,k+1}$ . Let  ${}_{k+1}T_{n,i} = V_i$ ,  $4 \leq i \leq 9$ , corresponding to  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \varepsilon_n$  and  $\eta = \eta_n/n$ . Let  $T_{n+1} = {}_nT_{n,9}$ .

At the  $n^{\text{th}}$  stage, we repeat the construction in §3  $n$  times. This requires choosing positive integers  $r_{n,k}$  and  $p_{n,k}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$  where  $r_{n,k}$  and  $p_{n,k}$  are utilized in forming  ${}_kT_{n,i}$ ,  $4 \leq i \leq 9$ , corresponding to  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \varepsilon_n$  and  $\eta = \eta/n$ . Thus the amount of measure added at the  $n^{\text{th}}$  stage is less than  $n\eta_n/n = \eta_n$ . Hence the total measure added is finite. Thus we may consider  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T'_n = [0, 1)$  and  $\tau = \lim_n \tau_{T_n}$ .

Utilizing the same technique as in §4, we can choose the parameters  $r_{n,k}$  and  $p_{n,k}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , so that

$$(5.1) \quad \liminf m(\tau^n \cap J) \geq \liminf (1 - \varepsilon_n) \frac{\alpha_n m(I)m(J)}{m(T'_n)} - 2\eta_n/n \\ = \alpha m(I)m(J)$$

where  $I$  and  $J$  are intervals in  $T_l$  for some  $l$ . Since these intervals generate  $\mathcal{G}$ , (5.1) implies  $\tau$  is partially mixing for  $\alpha$ . On the other hand, we can guarantee  $\tau$  is not partially mixing for  $\alpha + \varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by simply choosing  $r_{n,k} = n$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

*Added in proof.* U. Krengel has shown examples as in §4 hold for general mixing transformations.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. R. BLUM AND D. L. HANSON, *On the mean ergodic theorem for subsequences*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 66 (1960), pp. 308-311.
2. N. A. FRIEDMAN AND D. S. ORNSTEIN, *On induced transformations*, Technical report, Univ. of New Mexico.
3. ———, *On partially mixing transformations*, J. Math. Mech., vol. 20 (1971), pp. 767-775.

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK  
ALBANY, NEW YORK  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA