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1. Let $G$ be a fuchsian group acting on the unit disk $U:|z|<1$. Let $q \geq 1$, and, for the moment, an integer. We say the function $f$, holomorphic in $U$, is an automorphic form of weight $q$ with respect to $G$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(A z)\left(A^{\prime}(z)\right)^{q}=f(z) \text { for all } A \in G \quad \text { and } \quad z \in U \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The form $f$ is integrable and we write $f \in A_{q}(G)$, if

$$
\|f\|_{1} \equiv \iint_{R}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{q-2}|f(z)| d x d y<\infty, \quad z=x+i y
$$

where $R$ is a fundamental region for $G$; $f$ is bounded, and we write $f \epsilon B_{q}(G)$ if

$$
\|f\|_{\infty} \equiv \sup _{z \epsilon U}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{q}|f(z)|<\infty
$$

The spaces $A_{q}(G), B_{q}(G)$, with the indicated norms, are Banach spaces and were introduced by Bers.

It was conjectured some years ago that $A_{q}(G) \subset B_{q}(G)$, and that the injection is continuous. This has been proved for finitely generated $G$ by a number of writers; see the bibliography in [4], where still another proof is given. In [5] we defined a class of infinitely generated groups for which the conjecture holds.

The purpose of this paper is to improve the result of [5]. Our main result is

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a fuchsian group satisfying the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid \text { trace } A \mid-2 \geq m>0 \quad \text { for all hyperbolic } A \in G \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ depends only on $G$. Then $A_{q}(G) \subset B_{q}(G)$ and the inclusion map is continuous.

The proof proceeds in two stages. First, we make no assumption about $G$. At each cusp $p$ of $G$ we erect a distinguished horocycle $\Pi_{p}$ and show that in $\Pi=U_{p} \Pi_{p}, \phi(z) \equiv\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{q}|f(z)|$ is bounded. This is done by utilizing the Fourier expansion of $f$ at $p$. Next, about each elliptic vertex $\omega$ of $G$ we describe a distinguished disk $\Lambda_{\omega}$ and prove that in $\Lambda=U_{\omega} \Lambda_{\omega}, \phi$ is likewise bounded. Here we use the Taylor series of $f$ in a special form.

In the second stage of the proof, we consider the complementary region $\Sigma=(\Pi \cup \Lambda)^{\prime}=\Pi^{\prime} \cap \Lambda^{\prime}$. It is here that the recent remarkable results of A. Marden ([7]) are needed. These have the effect of localizing the action of
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the group $G$ in the neighborhood of a point $\zeta$ to one element of the group, which can be handled when $\zeta$ is in $\Sigma$, provided one assumes the trace condition (1.2).

One can also attempt to define a distinguished neighborhood of a pair of hyperbolic fixed points and estimate $\phi$ by expansion in an appropriate Fourier series. The coefficients $d_{k}, k \neq 0$, are easily estimated. But it has not so far proved possible to treat $d_{0}$ in the same way. Thus the method does not succeed in removing the hypothesis (1.2) from Theorem 1.

The trace condition has a natural geometric interpretation and has been used by other writers, for example in [1]-[3].
2. Let $G$ be an arbitrary fuchsian group acting on $U:|z|<1$; it need not satisfy the trace condition (1.2). Let $q \geq 1$. In what follows we shall assume $q$ is an integer; see, however, Section 5 . We denote by $m$ a general positive constant depending at most on $G$ and $q$. For $S \subset U$ we write $G(S)$ to denote $\{g z: g \in G, z \in S\} ; G_{x}$ is the stabilizer of $x \operatorname{in} G ; H=\{z: \operatorname{Im} z>0\}$ is the upper half-plane; $\langle A, B, \cdots\rangle$ is the group generated by $A, B, \cdots$.

Let $p$ be a parabolic cusp of $G,|p|=1$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=T_{p} z \equiv T z=-i(z+p) /(z-p), \quad z=x+i y, \quad w=u+i v \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $T$ maps $U$ on $H$, carrying $p$ to $i \infty$. The mapping is isometric if we define the line element in $H$ as $|d w| / 2 v$, the area element as $d u d v / 4 v^{2}$. Let $G_{1}=T G T^{-1} ; G_{1}$ acts on $H$. If $G_{p}=\langle P\rangle,\left(G_{1}\right)_{\infty}=\left\langle P_{1}\right\rangle$, where $P_{1}: w \rightarrow w+\lambda$. Here $\lambda \equiv \lambda_{p}=2|c(p)|$, and $c(p)$ is defined by

$$
z^{\prime}=P z, \quad\left(z^{\prime}-p\right)^{-1}=(z-p)^{-1}+c(p)
$$

Let $f \in A_{q}(G)$; then $f_{1}(w) \equiv f(z)(d z / d w)^{q} \in A_{q}\left(G_{1}\right)$; moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{q}|f(z)|=(2 v)^{q}\left|f_{1}(w)\right|, \quad\|f\|_{1}=\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the last symbol being the $A_{q}\left(G_{1}\right)$ norm of $f_{1}$.
The Fourier series of $f_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}(w) & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} e^{2 \pi i k w / \lambda} \\
\lambda a_{k} & =\int_{-\lambda / 2}^{\lambda / 2} f_{1}(u+i v) e^{-2 \pi i k w / \lambda} d u \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

it is well known that $a_{k}=0$ for $k \leq 0$. We get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda\left|a_{k}\right| \int_{v_{0}}^{\infty} v^{q-2} e^{-2 \pi k v / \lambda} d v \\
& \leq \int_{-\lambda / 2}^{\lambda / 2} \int_{v_{0}}^{\infty} v^{q-2}\left|f_{1}(w)\right| d u d v  \tag{2.4}\\
&=\iint_{R_{1}} n\left(S\left(v_{0}\right), w\right) v^{q-2}\left|f_{1}(w)\right| d u d v, \quad v_{0}>0
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{1}$ is a fundamental region for $G_{1}, S(t)=\{w:|u|<\lambda / 2, v>t\}$, and

$$
n(\Omega, w)=\operatorname{card}\left\{G_{1} w \cap \Omega\right\}, \quad \Omega \subset H
$$

The last equality in (2.4) follows from the $G$-invariance of $v^{q}\left|f_{1}(w)\right|$ and of the Poincaré metric $v^{-2} d u d v$.

It is known that for any fuchsian group $K$ acting on $H$ and containing translations, $c_{0}(K)>0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}(K)=\min \{|c| \neq 0:(a b: c d) \in K\} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain a lower bound for $c_{0}\left(G_{1}\right)$.
Lemma 1. $\quad c_{0}\left(G_{1}\right) \geq 1 / \lambda$.
Proof. Let $\left(a b: c_{0} d\right) \in G_{1}$, then

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c_{0} & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \lambda \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
d & -b \\
-c_{0} & a
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cdot & \cdot \\
-c_{0}^{2} \lambda & \cdot
\end{array}\right) \epsilon G_{1} .
$$

Hence $c_{0}^{2} \lambda \geq c_{0}$.
We now let $R_{1}$ be the Ford fundamental region for $G_{1}$ situated in the strip $|u| \leq \lambda / 2$, and we let $v_{0}=\lambda$. Because of Lemma 1 every isometric circle $|c w+d|=1$ lies below the line $v=\lambda$; hence $R_{1} \supset S(\lambda)$. Thus $n(S(\lambda), w) \leq 1$. Then (2.4) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left|a_{k}\right| I_{k} \leq\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{1}, \quad I_{k}=\int_{\lambda}^{\infty} v^{\alpha-2} e^{-2 \pi k v / \lambda} d v \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
I_{k}=\lambda^{q-1} \int_{1}^{\infty} v^{q-2} e^{-2 \pi k v} d v
$$

An elementary discussion shows that for all values of $k$ and $q$,

$$
I_{k}>m \lambda^{q-1} k^{2-q} e^{-4 \pi k}
$$

We then obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{k}\right|<m \lambda^{-q} k^{q-2} e^{4 \pi k}\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{1} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an estimate for the Fourier coefficients this is ridiculously large, but curiously enough it suffices for our purpose and the proof is easy.
We now estimate $f_{1}$. In the region $v \geq 3 \lambda$, we get, using $k^{\alpha-2} \leq k^{\alpha}$,

$$
\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{1}^{-1} v^{q} \mid f_{1}(w) \leq m \lambda^{-q} v^{q} \sum_{1}^{\infty} k^{q} e^{-2 \pi k(v / \lambda-2)}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1}^{\infty} & <\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{q} e^{-2 \pi t(v / \lambda-2)} d t+2 \max \left\{t^{q} e^{-2 \pi t(v / \lambda-2)}\right\} \\
& <m(v / \lambda-2)^{-q-1}+m(v / \lambda-2)^{-q},
\end{aligned}
$$

giving,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{1}^{-1} v^{q}\left|f_{1}(w)\right| \leq m(v / \lambda-2)^{-1}+m \leq m, \quad v \geq 3 \lambda \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\Pi_{p}=T^{-1}\{v \geq 3 \lambda\}$, a horocycle at $p$ in $U$. Then by (2.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{q}|f(z)|=(2 v)^{q}\left|f_{1}(w)\right| \leq m\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{1}=m\|f\|_{1} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\Pi=U_{p} \Pi_{p}$, the union being over all cusps $p$, we get
Lemma 2. If $G$ is any fuchsian group, $f \in A_{q}(G)$, then

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{q}|f(z)| \leq m\|f\|_{1}, \quad z \in \Pi .
$$

3. In this section we treat the elliptic vertices.

Let $\omega$ be an elliptic vertex of $G$ of order $l_{\omega} \equiv l,|\omega|<1, l \geq 2$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=T_{\omega} z \equiv T z=(z-\omega) /(\bar{\omega} z-1) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ is an isometric mapping of $U$ onto $U$. Let

$$
G_{1}=T G T^{-1}, \quad f_{1}(w) \equiv f(z)(d z / d w)^{q} \in A_{q}\left(G_{1}\right)
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{q}|f(z)|=\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{q}\left|f_{1}(w)\right|, \quad\|f\|_{1}=\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $T, G_{1}, f_{1}$ are of course not the same as in Section 2.) Write

$$
G_{\omega}=\langle E\rangle, \quad\left(G_{1}\right)_{0}=\left\langle E_{1}\right\rangle, \quad E_{1}: z \rightarrow \varepsilon^{2} z, \quad \varepsilon=e^{\pi i / l}
$$

Because $w^{q} f_{1}(w)$ is invariant under $E_{1}$ we have an expansion of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{q} f_{1}(w)=\sum_{1}^{\infty} b_{k} w^{k l}, \quad b_{k}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \frac{w^{q} f_{1}(w)}{w^{k l+1}} d w \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|b_{k}\right| \rho^{l k+1-q} & \leq m \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f_{1}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \rho d \theta, \quad w=\rho e^{i \theta} \\
\left|b_{k}\right| J_{k} & \leq m \iint_{C}\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{q-2}\left|f_{1}(w)\right| d u d v \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
C=\{w:|w|<\tau\}, \quad J_{k}=\int_{0}^{\tau} \rho^{l k+1-q}(1-\rho)^{q-2} d \rho
$$

Recall that $\left(G_{1}\right)_{0}$ is generated by an element of order $l$.
Lemma 3. If $c_{0}$ has the meaning of (2.5), then $c_{0}\left(G_{1}\right) \geq \alpha l$ if $l \geq 7$, $\alpha=a b s$. const.

Proof. Let $(a \bar{c}: c \bar{a}) \epsilon G_{1}$ with $|c|=c_{0}$. Then

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & \bar{c} \\
c & \bar{a}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varepsilon & 0 \\
0 & \varepsilon^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\bar{a} & -\bar{c} \\
-c & a
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cdot & \cdot \\
\bar{a} c\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon^{-1}\right) & \cdot
\end{array}\right) \epsilon G_{1},
$$

which implies that

$$
|a| c_{0} \cdot 2 \sin \pi / l \geq c_{0}, \quad\left(1+c_{0}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=|a| \geq 2^{-1} \csc \pi / l \geq l / 2 \pi>1
$$

or

$$
c_{0}^{2} \geq l^{2} / 4 \pi^{2}-l^{2} / 49=\alpha^{2} l^{2}
$$

We now assume $l \geq 7+3 / \alpha$. We construct the Ford fundamental region $R_{1}$ for $G_{1}$, using for the purpose the sector $\{|\arg w|<\pi / l\}$ as a fundamental region for $\left(G_{1}\right)_{0}=\left\langle E_{1}\right\rangle$. Lemma 3 implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\{w:|\arg w|<\pi / l,|w|<1-1 / \alpha l\} \subset R_{1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $\tau=1-1 / \alpha l$, so that $C=\bigcup_{k=0}^{l-1} \varepsilon^{2 k} K$. Then $n(C, w) \leq l$ for $w$ in $U$. It follows that the right member of (3.4) is less than

$$
m \iint_{R_{1}} n(C, w)\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{q-2}\left|f_{1}(w)\right| d u d v \leq m l\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{1}
$$

Also

$$
J_{k} \geq \int_{x}^{y} \rho^{l k+1-q}(1-\rho)^{q-2} d \rho, \quad x=1-2 / \alpha l, \quad y=1-1 / \alpha l
$$

Since from (3.3), $l k \geq q$,

$$
J_{k} \geq(1-2 / \alpha l)^{l k+1-q}(m / \alpha l)^{q-2} \cdot 1 / \alpha l \geq m e^{-\beta k} l^{1-q}, \quad \beta=2 / \alpha
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{k}\right| \leq m l^{q} e^{\beta k}\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{1} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this point assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
l \geq l_{0} \equiv 3 / \alpha+7+2 q \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies in particular

$$
q \leq l_{0} / 2 \leq l / 2, \quad l k-q \geq l(k-1) \quad \text { and } \quad l-q \geq l / 2
$$

Also

$$
-\log |w|>1-|w|, \quad 0<|w|<1
$$

From (3.3), (3.6),
$\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{1}^{-1}\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{q}\left|f_{1}(w)\right|$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq m\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{q} l^{q}\left\{|w|^{l / 2}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} e^{\beta k} e^{-l(k-1)(1-|w|)}\right\} \\
& =m\left(l\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{q}\left\{S_{1}+S_{2}\right\}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

In the region $|w| \leq 1-(1+\beta) / l$ we have $l(1-|w|)-\beta \geq 1$, and so

$$
S_{2}=e^{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-k(l(1-|w|)-\beta)}=e^{\beta}\left(e^{l(1-|w|)-\beta}-1\right)^{-1} ;
$$

thus

$$
\left(l\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)\right)^{q} S_{2} \leq m \frac{(l(1-|w|))^{q}}{e^{l(1-|w|)-\beta}-1} \leq m
$$

And for $S_{1}$ we have

$$
l^{q} S_{1} \leq \max _{l} l^{q}|w|^{l / 2} \leq m(-\log |w|)^{-q} \leq m(1-|w|)^{-q}
$$

so that

$$
(l(1-|w|))^{q} S_{1} \leq m .
$$

Putting these results together, we get

$$
\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{q}\left|f_{1}(w)\right| \leq m\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{1}, \quad w \in D_{\omega},
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\omega}=\{w:|w|<1-(1+\beta) / l\}, \quad l \geq l_{0}, \quad \beta=\text { abs. const. } \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\Lambda_{\omega}=T_{\omega}^{-1} D_{\omega}, \quad \Lambda=U_{\omega} \Lambda_{\omega}
$$

the sum being over all elliptic vertices of $G$. The preceding results together with (3.2) establish

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{q}\left|f_{1}(z)\right| \leq m\|f\|_{1}, \quad z \in \Lambda_{\omega}, \quad l \geq l_{0} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We must now consider the possibility $l<l_{0}$. Here we define $D_{\omega}$ to be the empty set:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\omega}=\emptyset, \quad l_{\omega}=l<l_{0} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\Lambda_{\omega}=\emptyset$ and (3.9) is fulfilled vacuously. This proves
Lemma 4. If $G$ is any fuchsian group, $f \in A_{q}(G)$, then

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{q}|f(z)| \leq m\|f\|_{1}, \quad z \in \Lambda .
$$

4. We must now estimate $f$ in the complementary region

$$
\Sigma=(\Pi \cup \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{\prime}=\Pi^{\prime} \cap \Lambda^{\prime},
$$

where the dash means the complement in $U$. It is here that we need the trace condition (1.2) as well as A. Marden's results in [7], which we now describe.
Write $D(z, t)$ for the $H$-disk of center $z$ and radius $t$. Let

$$
\mathfrak{g}(z, t)=\{A \in G: A(D(z, t)) \cap D(z, t) \neq \emptyset\}
$$

and let $\mathcal{G}(z, t)$ be the subgroup of $G$ generated by $\mathcal{G}(z, t)$.
Theorem A (Marden). There exists a universal constant $r>0$ with the following property. Given any point $z \in U$ and any fuchsian group $G$, either $\mathcal{G}(z, r)$ is cyclic, or there exist $E, F \in 乌(z, r)$ such that

$$
\mathcal{G}(z, r)=\left\langle E, F: E^{2}=F^{2}=1\right\rangle .
$$

Lemma 5. If $z \in D(\zeta, t),|\zeta|<1, t>0$, then

$$
n(D(\zeta, t), z)=\operatorname{card}\{\mathcal{S}(\zeta, t) z \cap D(\zeta, t)\} .
$$

Proof. We have to show that $G z \cap D=\varrho z \cap D$, where $D=D(\zeta, t)$, $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{G}(\zeta, t)$. If $g z$ is in the left member, then $g z \epsilon D$ but also $g z \epsilon g D$. Thus $g D \cap D$ contains $g z$, so $g \in \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{g}$.

For $\zeta \in U$ and $0<c<1$ define

$$
\Delta_{c}(\zeta)=\{z:|z-\zeta|<c(1-|\zeta|)\} .
$$

By calculation we find the $H$-radius of $\Delta_{c}(\zeta)$ is less than

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \log ((1+c) /(1-c))
$$

for all $\zeta$ in $U$; hence we can choose an absolute constant $c=c_{0}$ so that the radius of $\Delta_{c_{0}}(\zeta)$ is less than $r$, with the $r$ of Theorem A. Thus to each $\zeta \in U$ there is a unique point $s=s(\zeta)$ lying on the line $\arg z=\arg \zeta$ such that the disk $D(s(\zeta), r)$ contains $\Delta_{c_{0}}(\zeta)$ as an internal tangent at the point nearest $|z|=1$. We shall abbreviate $\Delta_{c_{0}}(\zeta)$ to $\Delta(\zeta), D(s(\zeta), r)$ to $D(\zeta)$, and $\mathcal{G}(s(\zeta), r)$ to $\mathcal{G}(\zeta)$.

Corollary 1. For $z \in U$ we have

$$
n(\Delta(\zeta), z) \leq \operatorname{card}\{g(\zeta) z \cap D(\zeta)\}
$$

If $z$ has no $G$-images in $\Delta(\zeta), n(\Delta, z)=0$ and the result is proved. Otherwise $z=B z^{\prime}$ with $B \in G, z^{\prime} \in \Delta(\zeta)$, and then $n(\Delta(\zeta), z)=n\left(\Delta(\zeta), z^{\prime}\right)$. We may thus assume $z \in \Delta(\zeta)$, so $z \in D(\zeta)$. By Lemma 5 ,

$$
n(\Delta(\zeta), z) \leq n(D(\zeta), z)=\operatorname{card}\{乌(\zeta) z \cap D(\zeta)\}
$$

Lemma 6. Let $H$ be the group

$$
H=\left\langle M, N: M^{2}=N^{2}=1\right\rangle
$$

where $M, N \in S L(2, R)$. Then $H$ is conjugate over $G L(2, R)$ to the group

$$
H_{\rho}=\left\langle A=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\rho^{-1} \\
\rho & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\rangle
$$

for exactly one $\rho>1$. Let $K$ be an $H$-disk in $H$ of radius $r$. Then for $w \in H$, $\rho \geq 1+m$, we have

$$
\operatorname{card}\left\{H_{\rho} w \cap K\right\} \leq m
$$

Proof. The first statement is proved in [6, Th. 1]. Next, the elements of $H_{\rho}$ are seen to be

$$
\pm\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\rho^{-k} & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{k}
\end{array}\right), \quad \pm\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\rho^{-k} \\
\rho^{k} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}
$$

Let $w_{k}^{\prime}=\rho^{-2 k} w$ and let $h, k$ be the smallest and largest integers, respectively, for which $w_{h}^{\prime}, w_{k}^{\prime}$ lie in $K$. Then

$$
d\left(w_{h}^{\prime}, w_{k}^{\prime}\right) \geq d\left(i\left|w_{h}^{\prime}\right|, i\left|w_{k}^{\prime}\right|\right)=2^{-1} \log \rho^{2(k-h)}
$$

Hence $(k-h) \log \rho \leq 2 r$, or

$$
0 \leq k-h \leq 2 r / \log \rho \leq m
$$

It follows that

$$
\operatorname{card}\left\{\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\rho^{-k} & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{k}
\end{array}\right)\right\rangle w \cap K\right\} \leq 1+m=m
$$

With a similar result for the transformations $\left\{\left(0,-\rho^{-k}: \rho^{k}, 0\right)\right\}$, we get the conclusion of the lemma.

Let $\zeta \in \Pi_{p}^{\prime}$. Consider $D(s(\zeta), r)=D(\zeta)$, as defined after Lemma 5. The $H$-diameter of $D(\zeta)$ is $2 r$. When we map into $H$ by the $T_{p}$ of (2.1), $D(\zeta)$ goes into a disk $D^{*}$ whose lowest point $u_{0}+i v_{0}$ satisfies $v_{0}<3 \lambda$. The euclidean diameter of $D^{*}$ is therefore $v_{0}\left(\mathrm{e}^{4 r}-1\right)<m \lambda$. Hence card $\left\{\left\langle P_{1}\right\rangle w \cap D^{*}\right\}<m$, where $\left\langle P_{1}\right\rangle=\left(G_{1}\right)_{\infty}$ and $P_{1}$ is $w \rightarrow w+\lambda$. Mapping back to $U$ we get

$$
\operatorname{card}\{\langle P\rangle z \cap D(\zeta)\}<m, \quad \zeta \in \Pi_{p}^{\prime}, \quad z \in U, \quad\langle P\rangle=G_{p}
$$

Since this is true for all $p$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{card}\{\langle V\rangle z \cap D(\zeta)\}<m, V \text { parabolic, } \zeta \in \Pi^{\prime}, \quad z \in U \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, let $\zeta \in \Lambda_{\omega}^{\prime}$. We again assume $l \geq l_{0}$ but now require

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{0} \geq 2(1+\beta) e^{4 r} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in addition to the previous restrictions of (3.7); $\beta$ appears in (3.8). When we $\operatorname{map}_{D^{\prime}}$ by the $T_{\omega}$ of (3.1), $D(\zeta)$ goes into a disk $D^{*}$ that lies partly or wholly in $D_{\omega}^{\prime}$ (see (3.8)). Let $w \in D^{*}$. The points $w, E_{1} w$ lie on a circle about 0 and subtend an arc of euclidean length $2 \pi|w| / l$. If $\delta$ is the euclidean diameter of $D^{*}$,

$$
\operatorname{card}\left\{\left\langle E_{1}\right\rangle w \cap D^{*}\right\} \leq m \delta / 2 \pi|w| l^{-1}
$$

We first show that 0 lies outside $D^{*}$. In fact, the $H$-radius of $D_{\omega}$ is

$$
\frac{1}{2} \log (2 l-(1+\beta)) /(1+\beta)
$$

and this exceeds $2 r$ because of (4.2). Next, let

$$
\min |w|=x>0, \quad \max |w|=y>1-(1+\beta) / l, \quad w \in D^{*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1+y}{1-y} \cdot \frac{1-x}{1+x}=e^{4 r} \\
\frac{1-x}{1+y}<\frac{1-x}{1+x}=\frac{1-y}{1+y} e^{4 r}
\end{gathered}
$$

which gives

$$
x>1-(1-y) e^{4 r}>1-e^{4 r}(1+\beta) / l \geq \frac{1}{2}
$$

the last inequality by (4.2). Hence

$$
\delta=y-x<1-\left(1-e^{4 r}(1+\beta) / l\right)=m / l
$$

so

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{card}\{\langle E\rangle z \cap D(\zeta)\} & =\operatorname{card}\left\{\left\langle E_{1}\right\rangle w \cap D^{*}\right\} \\
& <m l^{-1} / 2 \pi x l^{-1}  \tag{4.3}\\
& <m, \quad z \in D(\zeta)
\end{align*}
$$

This estimate holds for $z \in U$, by standard reasoning.
On the other hand, if $l<l_{0}$ we obviously have

$$
\operatorname{card}\{\langle E\rangle z \cap D(\zeta)\} \leq \operatorname{card}\{\langle E\rangle z \cap U\}=l<l_{0}=m, \quad z \in U
$$

This is (4.3), which is now true for all $\omega$; hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{card}\{\langle V\rangle z \cap D(\zeta)\}<m, \quad V \text { elliptic, } \zeta \in \Lambda^{\prime}, \quad z \in U \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{G}(\zeta)$ be as defined above. We now make use of Marden's Theorem A. If $\mathcal{G}(\zeta)$ is an elliptic or parabolic cyclic group, (4.4) and (4.1) give

$$
\operatorname{card}\{\varrho(\zeta) z \cap D(\zeta)\} \leq m, \quad \zeta \in \Sigma, \quad z \in U
$$

Suppose $\mathcal{G}(\zeta)$ is hyperbolic cyclic, say $\langle L\rangle$. The images of $z$ under $\langle L\rangle$ lie on a circle through the fixed points of $L$. Map the figure into $H$ by a Moebius transformation $W$, carrying the fixed points to $0, i \infty ; L$ becomes $L_{1}: w \rightarrow \kappa w$. Let $w$ and $\kappa^{h} w$ be the extreme images lying in $D^{*}=W D(\zeta)$. Then

$$
2 r \geq d\left(w, \kappa^{h} w\right) \geq d\left(i|w|, i \kappa^{h}|w|\right)=2^{-1} h \log \kappa .
$$

Because of the trace condition (1.2), $\kappa>1+m$, so we find

$$
h=\operatorname{card}\left\{\left\langle L_{1}\right\rangle w \cap D^{*}\right\}=\operatorname{card}\{\langle L\rangle z \cap D(\zeta)\} \leq m
$$

This shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{card}\{\mathcal{G}(\zeta) z \cap D(\zeta)\} \leq m \quad \text { if } \mathcal{G} \text { is cyclic, } \quad \zeta \in \Sigma \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, suppose $\mathcal{G}(\zeta)$ is a 2 -generator group:

$$
\mathcal{G}(\zeta)=\left\langle E, F: E^{2}=F^{2}=1\right\rangle
$$

Map $U$ to $H$ and then apply the first part of Lemma 6: $\mathcal{G}$ is conjugate under $T \in G L(2, \mathbf{C})$ to $H_{\rho}$ for some $\rho>1$. Now

$$
|\operatorname{tr} E F|=|\operatorname{tr} A B|=\rho+\rho^{-1}>2
$$

hence $E F$ is hyperbolic and by the trace assumption (1.2), $\rho+\rho^{-1} \geq 2+m$, or $\rho \geq 1+m$. We are now in the situation of the last part of Lemma 6 and conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{card}\{\mathcal{G}(\zeta) z \cap D(\zeta)\}=\operatorname{card}\left\{H_{\rho} T z \cap T D(\zeta)\right\} \leq m, \quad \zeta \in \Sigma, z \in U \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This estimate, therefore, holds in every case.
By Corollary 1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(\Delta(\zeta), z) \leq m, \quad \zeta \in \Sigma, \quad z \in U \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
f(\zeta)=\frac{1}{\pi a^{2}} \iint_{\Delta(\zeta)} f(w) d u d v, \quad w=u+i v
$$

where $a=c_{0}(1-|\zeta|)$ is the Euclidean radius of $\Delta(\zeta)$, as explained in the lines after Lemma 5. Since $c_{0}=m$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1-|\zeta|^{2}\right)^{q}|f(\zeta)| \\
& \quad \leq m \iint_{\Delta(\zeta)}\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{q-2}|f(w)| d u d v \cdot \sup \left\{\left(\frac{1-|\zeta|^{2}}{1-|w|^{2}}\right)^{q-2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easily seen that the sup $\leq m$; hence

$$
\left(1-|\zeta|^{2}\right)^{q}|f(\zeta)| \leq m \iint_{R} n(\Delta(\zeta), w)\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{q-2}|f(w)| d u d v
$$

and this with (4.7) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-|\zeta|^{2}\right)^{q}|f(\zeta)| \leq m\|f\|_{1}, \quad \zeta \in \Sigma \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now Lemmas 2 and 4, and (4.8) yield Theorem 1.
5. We have assumed $q$ is an integer. If this is not the case, it is necessary to introduce a multiplier system $\{v(A): A \epsilon G,|v|=1\}$ and modify the functional equation for an automorphic form. However, multiplier systems for an arbitrary group $G$ and nonintegral $q$ have not been shown to exist. In any event, the treatment of arbitrary multiplier systems is routine, as has been demonstrated in the literature, and can be carried out without difficulty if the need should arise.

## References

1. L. Bers, A remark on Mumford's compactness theorem, Israel J. Math., vol. 12 (1972), pp. 400-407.
2. G. Kiremidjian, Complex structures on Riemann surfaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 169 (1972), pp. 317-336.
3. D. Mumford, A remark on Mahler's compactness theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 28 (1971), pp. 289-294.
4. J. Lehner, On the $A_{q}(\Gamma) \subset B_{q}(\Gamma)$ conjecture, Springer Lecture Notes, no 320 (1973), pp. 189-194.
5.     - On the $A_{q}(G) \subset B_{q}(G)$ conjecture for infinitely generated groups, Annals of Mathematics Studies, no. 79, 1974.
6. J. Lehner and M. Newman, Real two-dimensional representations of the free product of two finite cyclic groups, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., vol. 62 (1966), pp. 135-141.
7. A. Marden, Universal properties of fuchsian groups in the Poincare metric, Annals of Mathematics Studies, no. 79, 1974.

University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania


[^0]:    Received October 8, 1973.

