

# THE MEASURE-THEORETIC STRUCTURE GROUP IS NOT INVARIANT

BY

RUSSELL A. JOHNSON

## 1. Introduction

In [3], Furstenberg showed by example that a minimal distal flow  $(X, T)$  need not be uniquely ergodic. One might ask whether such flows still have “measure-theoretic invariants.” For example, consider the measure-theoretic structure groups (see 2.3)  $J(w)$ , where  $w$  ranges over  $M_T(X)$ , the set of  $T$ -invariant probability measures on  $X$ . It follows from an unpublished result of Ellis that, if  $(X, T)$  is a compact group extension of a uniquely ergodic flow, then  $J(w_1)$  and  $J(w_2)$  are canonically isomorphic (see 2.4) for all  $w_1, w_2 \in M_T(X)$ . For such flows, then,  $J(w)$  is independent of  $w$ . We are led to the following:

1.1 *Conjecture.* Let  $(X, T)$  be a minimal distal flow,  $w_1, w_2 \in M_T(X)$ . Then  $J(w_1)$  and  $J(w_2)$  are canonically isomorphic.

We will show by constructing a counterexample that this conjecture is false.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1 DEFINITION. If  $(X, T)$  is a flow with  $T$ -invariant measure  $w$ , we can map  $T$  into the set of bounded linear operators on  $L^2(X, w)$ . Let  $S(w)$  be the closure of (the image of)  $T$  in the weak operator topology. Let  $L^2ap(w)$  (the “ $L^2$ -almost periodic functions”) be  $\{f \in L^2(w) \mid \{t \cdot f \mid t \in T\} \text{ has compact closure in } L^2(w)\}$ ; then  $L^2ap(w)$  is a closed  $T$ -invariant subspace of  $L^2(w)$ .

2.2 THEOREM.  $S(w)$  is compact, and contains a unique minimal two-sided ideal,  $J(w)$ , which is a compact topological group. If  $P_w$  is the identity in  $J(w)$ , then  $P_w$  is the projection of  $L^2(w)$  onto  $L^2ap(w)$ .

For the proof of 2.2 see [5, 2.6, 2.31–33, 2.36, and 2.45–46].

2.3 DEFINITION. The *measure-theoretic structure group* of  $(X, T)$  with respect to  $w$  is the group  $J(w)$ .

2.4 DEFINITION. Let  $w_1, w_2$  be  $T$ -invariant measures on  $X$ . Say that  $J(w_1)$  and  $J(w_2)$  are *canonically isomorphic* if the mapping  $t \circ P_{w_1} \rightarrow t \circ P_{w_2}$  extends to an isomorphism and homeomorphism of the compact topological groups  $J(w_1)$  and  $J(w_2)$ .

2.5 *Conventions.* From now on, all flows will be *discrete*; the notation  $(X, T)$  will refer to a compact  $T_2$  space  $X$  together with a homeomorphism  $T$  of

$X$ . Let  $K$  be the unit circle in the complex plane,  $K^n$  the  $n$ -torus;  $\gamma$  will denote normalized Haar measure on  $K$ . We sometimes suppress  $\gamma$  and write, for instance,  $dw$  for  $d\gamma(w)$ . The word “measurable” will always mean *Borel measurable*.

### 3. The example

3.1. Let  $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$  be irrational,  $\alpha = e^{2\pi i\alpha_0}$ . In Section 4, we will construct a homeomorphism  $T$  of  $K^3$  of the form  $(w, \rho_1, \rho_2) \rightarrow (w\alpha, g(w)\rho_1, \rho_1\rho_2)$ , where (i)  $g: K \rightarrow K$  is continuous; (ii)  $g(w) = r(w\alpha)/r(w)$   $\gamma$ -a.e. for a measurable function  $r: K \rightarrow K$  having the property that  $r^m$  is not equal  $\gamma$ -a.e. to a continuous function for any integer  $m \neq 0$ ; (iii) there is a measurable function  $f: K \rightarrow K$  such  $r(w) = f(w)/f(w\alpha^{-1})$   $\gamma$ -a.e. In this section, we show that  $(K^3, T)$  is a counterexample to 1.1; in outline, the proof is as follows. We first verify minimality and distality. Then, for each  $\beta \in K$ , we define

$$S_\beta = \{(w, \rho_1, \rho_2) \in K^3 \mid \rho_1 = \beta_r(w)\}, \text{ and } Q_\beta: K^2 \rightarrow K^2: (w, \rho) \rightarrow (w\alpha, \rho\beta).$$

It is shown (roughly) that each  $S_\beta$  is  $T$ -invariant, and supports a  $T$ -invariant probability measure  $w_\beta$ . Moreover, the process  $(S_\beta, T, w_\beta)$  is measure-theoretically isomorphic to  $(K^2, Q_\beta, \gamma \times \gamma)$ . Known results now imply that, if  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  differ mod  $\{\alpha^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , then  $J(w_{\beta_1})$  and  $J(w_{\beta_2})$  are not canonically isomorphic.

3.2 LEMMA. *Suppose  $(\Omega, S_0)$  is minimal with  $\Omega$  compact metric. Let  $S: X \equiv \Omega \times K \rightarrow X$  be given by  $S(w, \rho) = (S_0w, h(w)\rho)$  where  $h: \Omega \rightarrow K$  is continuous. Then  $(X, T)$  is minimal iff the equation  $h^m(w) = \xi \circ S_0(w)/\xi(w)$  has no continuous solution  $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow K$  for any integer  $m \neq 0$ .*

For the proof of a more general statement, see [6, Theorem 1].

3.3 PROPOSITION. *The flow  $(K^3, T)$  described in 3.1 is minimal and distal.*

*Proof.* Distality holds because  $(K^3, T)$  is constructed by means of two  $K$ -extensions of the almost periodic flow  $w \rightarrow w\alpha$  on  $K$ .

Define  $T_0: K^2 \rightarrow K^2$  by  $T_0(w, \rho_1) = (w\alpha, g(w)\rho_1)$ ; observe that  $(K^3, T)$  is a  $K$ -extension of  $(K^2, T_0)$ . We show first that  $(K^2, T_0)$  is minimal. Suppose

$$g^m(w) = r_1(w\alpha)/r_1(w) \quad \gamma\text{-a.e.}$$

where  $r_1: K \rightarrow K$  is continuous. Then  $r_1(w\alpha)/r^m(w\alpha) = r_1(w)/r^m(w)$   $\gamma$ -a.e., so by ergodicity of rotation by  $\alpha$ ,  $r_1(w)/r^m(w) = \text{const.}$   $\gamma$ -a.e. This contradicts our assumption on  $r$ . By 3.2 (with  $(\Omega, S_0) = (K, w \rightarrow w\alpha)$ ),  $(K^2, T_0)$  is minimal.

We now seek to apply 3.2 to  $(K^3, T)$ . Suppose for contradiction that

$$\rho_1^m = \frac{\xi \circ T_0(w, \rho_1)}{\xi(w, \rho_1)}, \quad m \neq 0,$$

for a continuous  $\xi: K^2 \rightarrow K^2$ . Note that if  $C$  is the cycle  $\{(1, \rho_1) \mid \rho_1 \in K\} \subset K^2$ , then  $T_0(C)$  and  $C$  are homologous. It follows easily that the induced map on homology  $(\xi \circ T_0/\xi)_*$  takes the class of  $C$  to zero. Since  $(w, \rho_1) \rightarrow \rho_1^m$  takes this class to  $m$ , a contradiction is obtained.

3.4 DEFINITION. We fix actions of  $K$  on  $K^2$  and  $K^3$  as follows:

$$\beta \cdot (w, \rho_1) = (w, \beta \cdot \rho_1); \quad \beta \cdot (w, \rho_1, \rho_2) = (w, \beta\rho_1, \rho_2).$$

There are then induced actions of  $K$  on  $M(K^2)$  and  $M(K^3)$ , the spaces of Borel regular probabilities on  $K^2$  and  $K^3$ . These actions are the ones referred to below.

Recall  $T_0: K^2 \rightarrow K^2$  was defined by  $(w, \rho_1) \rightarrow (w\alpha, g(w)\rho_1)$ .

3.5 LEMMA. *There is a measure  $v_0$  on  $K^2$ , ergodic with respect to  $T_0$ , such that*

$$v_0\{(w, \rho_1) \mid \rho_1 = r(w)\} = 1.$$

*Proof.* The function  $\overline{r(w)}\rho_1$  is  $T_0$ -invariant. Let  $v_1$  be any  $T_0$ -ergodic probability on  $K^2$ . Then there exists  $\beta_1 \in K$  such that  $v_1(A_1) = 1$ , where

$$A_1 = \{(w, \rho_1) \mid \overline{r(w)}\rho_1 = \beta_1\} = \{(w, \rho_1) \mid \rho_1 = \beta_1 r(w)\}.$$

Let  $v_0 = \beta_1 \cdot v_1$ .

Let  $v$  be the Haar lift of  $v_0$  to  $K^3$ :  $v(f) = \int_{K^2} (\int_K f(w, \rho_1, \rho_2) d\rho_2) dv_0(w, \rho_1)$ . Then  $v(S_1) = 1$ , where  $S_1 = \{(w, \rho_1, \rho_2) \mid \rho_1 = r(w)\}$ . Also, if  $\beta \in K$ , then  $\beta \cdot v$  is the Haar lift of  $\beta \cdot v_0$ , and  $\beta v(S_\beta) = 1$ , where  $S_\beta = \{(w, \rho_1, \rho_2) \mid \rho_1 = \beta r(w)\} = \beta \cdot S_1$ . The measures  $\beta v_0$  are  $T_0$ -ergodic and the measures  $\beta v$  are  $T$ -invariant ( $\beta \in K$ ).

Recall we defined  $Q_\beta: K^2 \rightarrow K^2$  by  $(w, \rho) \rightarrow (w\alpha, \beta\rho)$  (see (3.1)).

3.6 PROPOSITION. *For each  $\beta \in K$ , the set  $S_\beta$  contains a  $T$ -invariant Borel set  $S'_\beta$  such that  $\beta v(S'_\beta) = 1$ . The processes  $(S'_\beta, T, \beta v)$  and  $(K^2, Q_\beta, \gamma \times \gamma)$  are measure-theoretically isomorphic.*

*Proof.* It is convenient to prove the two statements simultaneously. Fix  $\beta \in K$ . We will show that there are Borel sets  $B \subset K^2$  and  $S'_\beta \subset S_\beta$  and a map  $\psi_1: B \rightarrow S'_\beta$  such that: (i)  $\gamma \times \gamma(B) = 1$  and  $Q_\beta B = B$ ; (ii)  $\beta v(S'_\beta) = 1$  and  $TS'_\beta = S'_\beta$ ; (iii)  $\psi_1$  is a Borel isomorphism; (iv)  $\psi_1 \circ Q_\beta \circ \psi_1^{-1} = T$ ; (v)  $\psi_1(\gamma \times \gamma) = \beta v$ . Observe that, if (i)–(v) are satisfied, then automatically  $\psi_1^{-1}(\beta v) = \gamma \times \gamma$ .

Begin by defining  $\psi: K^2 \rightarrow S_\beta$ :  $(w, \rho) \rightarrow (w, \beta r(w), f(w\alpha^{-1})\rho)$ . Then  $\psi$  is measurable and bijective. Let  $h$  be a bounded Borel function on  $K^3$ . Since  $\beta v_0$  is concentrated on  $\{(w, \rho_1) \mid \rho_1 = \beta r(w)\}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta v)(h) &= \int_{K^2} \left( \int_K h(w, \rho_1, \rho_2) d\rho_2 \right) d(\beta v_0) \\ &= \int_{K^2} \left( \int_K h(w, \beta r(w), \rho_2) d\rho_2 \right) d(\beta v_0). \end{aligned}$$

Now, since  $\beta v_0$  is  $T_0$ -invariant, one has  $\pi_*(\beta v_0) = \gamma$ , where  $\pi: K^2 \rightarrow K: (w, \rho_1) \rightarrow w$ . Also,  $\int_K h(w, \beta r(w), \rho_2) d\rho_2$  depends only on  $w$ . Thus the last multiple integral equals

$$\int_K \int_K h(w, \beta r(w), \rho_2) d\rho_2 dw,$$

which equals  $\int_{K^2} h(w, \beta r(w), f(w\alpha^{-1})\rho_2) d\rho_2 dw = (\gamma \times \gamma)(h)$ . Hence  $\psi(\gamma \times \gamma) = \beta v$ .

We now find the Borel sets  $B$  and  $S'_\beta$ . Consider  $A_1 = \{(w, \beta r(w)) \mid w \in K\}$ . There is a Borel set  $A_2 \subset A_1$  such that  $v_0(A_2) = 1$  and  $T_0 \cdot A_2 = A_2$ . By the Kuratowski theorem [2, 2.2.10], the projection  $A_3 = \{w \in K \mid (w, r(w)) \in A_2\}$  is Borel. Let  $B = A_3 \times K$ ,  $S'_\beta = \psi(B) = \beta \cdot A_2 \times K$ ,  $\psi_1 = \psi|_B$ . Clearly  $B$  and  $S'_\beta$  are Borel. Also,  $Q_\beta B = B$ ,  $\gamma \times \gamma(B) = 1$ , and  $\beta v(S'_\beta) = 1$ . We prove (iv):

$$T_0\psi_1(w, \rho) = (w\alpha, \beta r(w\alpha), \beta r(w)f(w\alpha^{-1})\rho) = (w\alpha, \beta r(w\alpha), f(w) \cdot (\rho\beta)),$$

so  $\psi_1^{-1}T_0\psi_1(w, \rho) = (w\alpha, \rho\beta) = Q_\beta(w, \rho)$ . The equality  $TS'_\beta = S'_\beta$  now follows from the definition of  $S'_\beta$ , completing (ii). Part (v) follows from the preceding paragraph.

Part (iii) remains; we must show that  $\psi_1$  takes Borel sets to Borel sets. So, let  $A_4 \subset A_3$  be Borel,  $V \subset K$  open. Write

$$\psi_1(x) = (\psi'(x), \psi''(x)) \quad \text{where } \psi'(x) \in \beta \cdot A_2, \psi''(x) \in K.$$

Since  $\psi'(w, \rho) = (w, r(w))$ ,  $\psi'(A_4 \times V)$  is Borel (use the Kuratowski theorem). Also,

$$\psi''(A_4 \times V) = \bigcup \{f(w\alpha^{-1}) \cdot V \mid w \in A_4\}$$

is open. Thus  $\psi_1(A_4 \times V)$  is Borel; hence  $\psi_1(B')$  is Borel whenever  $B' \subset B$  is.

To see that  $(K^3, T)$  does not satisfy 1.1, define the *spectrum* of  $(K^3, T, \beta v)$  by

$\text{Sp}(\beta v) = \{\lambda \in K \mid \text{there exists a Borel function } h \text{ such that}$

$$h(Tx) = \lambda h(x) \text{ } \beta v\text{-a.e.}\}.$$

Then [4, Theorem 2.17]  $J(\beta_1 v)$  and  $J(\beta_2 v)$  are canonically isomorphic iff  $\text{Sp}(\beta_1 v) = \text{Sp}(\beta_2 v)$  (set equality). Now, by 3.6 and standard properties of  $Q$ , one obtains  $\text{Sp}(\beta v) = \{\alpha^n \beta^m \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Hence if  $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2 \pmod{\{\alpha^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}}$ , then  $\beta_1 v$  and  $\beta_2 v$  have distinct  $J$ 's.

#### 4. Construction of $f$

4.1 LEMMA. *There is a sequence  $(n_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  of positive integers such that:*

- (i)  $n_{i+1} > n_i$ ,
- (ii)  $n_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,
- (iii)  $\frac{1}{2\pi^4/l} < n_i \alpha_0 - [n_i \alpha_0] < \frac{2}{2\pi^4/l}, \quad l > 1.$

Here  $\alpha_0$  is as in 3.1, and  $[ \ ]$  refers to the greatest integer function.

*Proof.* An easy consequence of the irrationality of  $\alpha_0$ .

Fix such a sequence  $(n_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ .

Let  $F$  be a square-integrable Borel function on  $[0, 1)$  such that

$$F \sim \sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{1}{i^{3/4}} \cos 2\pi n_i \theta.$$

Let  $R(\theta) = F(\theta) - F(\theta - \alpha_0)$  ( $F$ , and all other functions defined on  $[0, 1)$ , are assumed extended to  $\mathbf{R}$  by periodicity). Then

$$R(\theta) \sim \sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{1}{i^{3/4}} \{ [1 - \cos 2\pi n_i \alpha_0] \cos 2\pi n_i \theta - \sin 2\pi n_i \alpha_0 \sin 2\pi n_i \theta \}.$$

We agree that a function defined on  $[0, 1)$  is continuous iff its periodic extension to  $\mathbf{R}$  is continuous.

**4.2 PROPOSITION.**  $R(\theta)$  is Borel, but is not equal  $\mu$ -a.e. to a continuous function.

*Proof.* Fix  $\varepsilon$  in  $(0, 1)$ . Let  $X = 2\pi(n_i \alpha_0 - [n_i \alpha_0])$ ; by 4.1(iii),  $1/\sqrt[4]{l} < X_i < 2/\sqrt[4]{l}$ . Hence we can find an  $l_0$  such that

$$l > l_0 \Rightarrow \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{2} < \frac{1 - \cos X_i}{X_i^2} < \frac{1}{2};$$

one has

$$\frac{1 - \varepsilon}{2\sqrt{l}} < \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{2} \cdot X_i^2 < 1 - \cos X_i < \frac{1}{2} X_i^2 < \frac{2}{\sqrt{l}}.$$

Let

$$\delta_i = \frac{1}{i^{3/4}} [1 - \cos 2\pi n_i \alpha_0] = \frac{1}{i^{3/4}} [1 - \cos X_i];$$

then  $(1 - \varepsilon)/2l^{5/4} < \delta_i < 2/l^{5/4}$ . These inequalities imply that

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \delta_i \cos 2\pi n_i \theta$$

converges uniformly to a continuous function  $h(\theta)$ . Now

$$R - h \sim - \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sin 2\pi n_i \alpha_0 \sin 2\pi n_i \theta.$$

Changing  $l_0$  if necessary, we may assume  $l > l_0 \Rightarrow 1 - \varepsilon < (\sin X_i)/X_i$ . So if

$$\rho_i = \frac{1}{i^{3/4}} \sin 2\pi n_i \alpha_0 = \frac{1}{i^{3/4}} \sin X_i,$$

then  $(1 - \varepsilon)/l < \rho_i$ . Since  $n_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $\rho_i \sin 2\pi n_i \theta|_{\theta=1/4} = \rho_i$ ; we see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \rho_i \sin 2\pi n_i \theta$$

is not Césaro summable at  $\theta = 1/4$ , hence [7, Theorem 8.1, p. 57] the series cannot be that of a continuous function. Thus  $R - h$  is not equal  $\mu$ -a.e. to a continuous function, so  $R$  is not.

Let  $G(\theta) = R(\theta + \alpha_0) - R(\theta)$ . Then

$$G(\theta) \sim - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l^{3/4}} [2 - 2 \cos 2\pi n_l \alpha_0] \cos 2\pi n_l \theta = - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2 \delta_l \cos 2\pi n_l \theta$$

where  $\delta_l$  is as in the proof of 4.2. The bounds on  $\delta_l$  stated there imply that this series is that of a continuous function, so:

4.3 PROPOSITION.  $G(\theta)$  is equal  $\mu$ -a.e. to a continuous function.

Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The proof of 4.2 applies equally well to  $mR(\theta)$ . By [1, Proposition A1, p. 83], the set  $\Lambda_m = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid e^{2\pi i \theta} \rightarrow e^{2\pi i \lambda m R(\theta)} \text{ is not equal } \gamma\text{-a.e. to a continuous function}\}$  is residual in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Pick  $\lambda \in \bigcap_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda_m$ , and let  $f(e^{2\pi i \theta}) = e^{2\pi i \lambda F(\theta)}$ . Define

$$r(w) = \frac{f(w)}{f(w\alpha^{-1})}, \quad g(e^{2\pi i \theta}) = e^{2\pi i \lambda G_1(\theta)}$$

where  $G_1(\theta) = G(\theta)$   $\mu$ -a.e. and  $G_1$  is continuous; the corresponding flow  $(K^3, T)$  meets all requirements of 3.1.

4.4 Remarks. (1) Observe that  $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$  may be any irrational number.

(2) Let  $f(w, \rho_1, \rho_2) = \overline{f(w)} \rho_1 \rho_2$ . It may be checked that, on  $S_\beta$ ,

$$\tilde{f} \circ T(w, \rho_1, \rho_2) = \beta \tilde{f}(w, \rho_1, \rho_2).$$

For each  $\beta$ , then, the class of  $\tilde{f}$  in  $L^2(K^3, \beta v)$  is a  $T$ -eigenfunction with eigenvalue  $\beta$ .

4.5 Questions. (1) The map  $T$  constructed here is continuous. Are there examples  $(K^3, T)$  with  $T$   $C^1$ ?  $C^\infty$ ? analytic?

(2) Can anything general be said about the “map” from invariant measures  $\mu$  on a minimal distal flow to the corresponding groups  $J(\mu)$ ? Specifically, let  $(K^3, T)$  be given by

$$T: (w, \rho_1, \rho_2) \rightarrow (w\alpha, g(w)\rho_1, h(w, \rho_1)\rho_2)$$

where  $g(w) = r(w\alpha)/r(w)$   $\gamma$ -a.e. and  $r$  is Borel but not equal  $\gamma$ -a.e. to a continuous function. Define the measures  $\beta v (\beta \in K)$  as above. How does  $J(\beta v)$  vary with  $\beta$ ?

(3) What are some other candidates for measure-theoretic invariants of minimal distal flows?

*Acknowledgments.* The author wishes to thank Prof. Robert Ellis for many helpful conversations. He is also grateful for assistance from Profs. Harvey Keynes and Leonard Shapiro.

## REFERENCES

1. E. EFFROS AND F. HAHN, *Transformation groups and  $C^*$ -algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., no. 75, 1967.
2. H. FEDERER, *Geometric measure theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1969.
3. H. FURSTENBERG, *Strict ergodicity and transformations of the torus*, Amer. J. Math., vol. 83 (1961), pp. 573–601.
4. J. JOHNSON, *A measure-theoretic study of group extensions of dynamical systems*, Dissertation, University of Minnesota, 1973.
5. G. LAISON, *A semigroup associated with a measure on a transformation group*, Dissertation, University of Pennsylvania, 1969.
6. W. PARRY, *Compact abelian group extensions of discrete dynamical systems*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete, vol. 13 (1969), pp. 95–113.
7. A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric series I*, 2nd ed., Cambridge, London, 1959.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-PARKSIDE  
KENOSHA, WISCONSIN