

POSITIVE DEPENDENCE OF THE BIVARIATE AND TRIVARIATE
ABSOLUTE NORMAL, t , χ^2 , AND F DISTRIBUTIONS

BY M. ABDEL-HAMEED¹ AND ALLAN R. SAMPSON²

University of North Carolina at Charlotte,
Abbott Laboratories and Florida State University

It is shown that the bivariate density of the absolute normal distribution is totally positive of order 2. Necessary and sufficient conditions are given for the trivariate density of the absolute normal distribution to be totally positive of order 2 in pairs of arguments. These results are then used to show that certain generalized bivariate and trivariate t , χ^2 and F random variables are associated.

1. Introduction. Motivated by needs in simultaneous inference, numerous authors have established inequalities for joint probabilities in terms of marginal probabilities. Typically in these inequalities the underlying random variables are jointly normal and most of the proofs are of an analytic nature. In this paper we obtain stronger dependence results in the bivariate and trivariate cases by using certain notions of multivariate dependence.

Suppose $(X_1, \dots, X_p)' \sim N_p(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$, where $N_p(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$ denotes the law of a p -variate normal random vector with mean $\mathbf{0}$ and nonsingular covariance matrix $\Sigma = \{\rho_{ij}\sigma_i\sigma_j\}$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $\mathbf{Z}_i \equiv (Z_{1i}, \dots, Z_{pi})' \sim N_p(\mathbf{0}, \phi_i)$, where $\mathbf{Z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Z}_n$ are independent random variables. Further, for $i = 1, \dots, p$, let $T_1^i, \dots, T_{q_i}^i$ be independently and identically distributed according to $N(0, \sigma_{T_i}^2)$. Now assume $(X_1, \dots, X_p)'$, $\{\mathbf{Z}_i\}$, $\{T_k^1\}_{k=1}^{q_1}, \dots, \{T_k^p\}_{k=1}^{q_p}$ are mutually independent sets of random variables. Define

$$(1.1) \quad S_k^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_{ki}^2 / (\phi_i)_{kk}, \quad k = 1, \dots, p,$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad S_k^{*2} = \sum_{i=1}^{q_k} (T_i^k)^2 / \sigma_{T_i}^2, \quad k = 1, \dots, p,$$

DEFINITION. (Lehmann (1966)). The random variables U_1, \dots, U_α are positively quadrant dependent (PQD) if $P[\bigcap (U_i \leq u_i)] \geq \prod P[U_i \leq u_i]$, for all real numbers u_1, \dots, u_α .

In the case $p = 2$, i.e., the bivariate case, Khatri (1967) showed that $|X_1|, |X_2|$ are PQD and that S_1^2, S_2^2 are PQD. Šidák (1967, 1971) proved that $|X_1|/S_1,$

Received April 1977; revised December 1977.

¹ Research supported in part by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, AFSC, USAF, under grant AFOSR 76-2999 and the Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-76-C-0840.

² Research supported in part by the Office of Naval Research under grant N00014-67-A-0235-0006.

AMS 1970 subject classification. 62H05.

Key words and phrases. Total positivity, positive quadrant dependence, conditionally increasing in sequence, association, multivariate t distribution, multivariate F distribution, multivariate normal distribution.

$|X_2|/S_2$ are PQD. Halperin (1967) obtained the slightly stronger result that $|X_1|/(S_1^2 + S_1^{*2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $|X_2|/(S_2^2 + S_2^{*2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are PQD. Dunn (1958) had previously obtained similar results.

For the $P \geq 3$ case, similar results hold. Khatri and Šidák (1967) showed that $|X_1|, \dots, |X_p|$ are PQD. Khatri also showed that if ϕ_k is of the form $\{\beta_{i,k}\beta_{j,k}\}$, $i \neq j$, then S_1^2, \dots, S_p^2 are PQD. Šidák (1971) proved that $|X_1|/S_1, \dots, |X_p|/S_p$ are PQD if the correlation between $Z_{i,k}$ and $Z_{j,k}$ is of the form $\beta_{i,k}\beta_{j,k}$ ($1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq p; i \neq j, k = 1, \dots, n$) where $|\beta_{i,k}| < 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, p; k = 1, \dots, n$).

Note that up to constants $(X_1/S_1, \dots, X_p/S_p)'$ is a multivariate Student's t -random vector (considered in the bivariate case by Siddiqui (1967)); $(X_1/S_1, \dots, X_p/S_p)'$ is a generalized multivariate Student's t -random vector (Šidák (1971)); and $(S_1^2, \dots, S_p^2)'$ is a multivariate χ^2 random vector (Krishnamoorthy and Parthasarathy (1951), Jensen (1970)). Jogdeo (1977) defined a class of multivariate random variables called "contaminated random variables" and showed that their absolute values are associated. It is worth noting that the multivariate normal distributions discussed in the Khatri and Šidák papers mentioned above can be viewed as "contaminated random variables" as shown on page 498 of Jogdeo's paper. Pitt (1977) strengthened the results of Khatri (1967) and Šidák (1967, 1971) in the bivariate case, and proved that if $n(x_1, x_2)$ is the standard normal density on R^2 and if $A = -A$ and $B = -B$ are convex subsets of R^2 , then $P[(x_1, x_2) \in A \cap B] \geq P[(x_1, x_2) \in A]P[(x_1, x_2) \in B]$. Dykstra and Hewett (1978) established positive dependence of the roots of a Wishart matrix.

The preceding results were derived basically independently of each other and each proof involved analytic techniques specific to that result. In this paper we obtain the following basic results: (a) the density of $|X_1|, |X_2|$ is totally positive of order 2; (b) a necessary and sufficient condition that $|X_1|, |X_2|, |X_3|$ be totally positive of order 2 in pairs of arguments is that $\prod_{i < j} \text{sgn}(\lambda_{ij}) \leq 0$, where $\Lambda \equiv \{\lambda_{ij}\} = \Sigma^{-1}$; and (c) $S_1^2 + S_1^{*2}, S_2^2 + S_2^{*2}, S_3^2 + S_3^{*2}$ are associated random variables and that $|X_1|/(S_1^2 + S_1^{*2})^{\frac{1}{2}}, |X_2|/(S_2^2 + S_2^{*2})^{\frac{1}{2}}, |X_3|/(S_3^2 + S_3^{*2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are associated random variables. (The same results hold for $p = 2$.)

2. Total positivity of the bivariate absolute normal. We employ the following definitions and implications.

DEFINITION 2.1. (Karlin (1968)). A function $f: R^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is totally positive of order 2 (TP₂) if the second order determinant $\det \{f(u_i, v_j)\}$ is nonnegative for each choice $u_1 < u_2, v_1 < v_2$.

DEFINITION 2.2. (Esary, Proschan and Walkup (1967)). The random variables U_1, \dots, U_α are associated if $\text{Cov} [f(U_1, \dots, U_\alpha), g(U_1, \dots, U_\alpha)] \geq 0$ for all nondecreasing functions f, g .

DEFINITION 2.3. (Barlow and Proschan (1975)). Let α be an integer exceeding 2. A function $f: R^\alpha \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be totally positive of order 2 in

pairs (TP₂ in pairs) if for any pair of arguments $u_a, u_b, f(u_1, \dots, u_a, \dots, u_b, \dots, u_a)$, viewed as a function of u_a, u_b with remaining arguments fixed, is TP₂.

DEFINITION 2.4. (Barlow and Proschan (1975)). The random variables U_1, \dots, U_α are conditionally increasing in sequence if for $i = 1, \dots, \alpha, P(U_i > u_i | U_{i-1} = u_{i-1}, \dots, U_1 = u_1)$ is increasing in u_1, \dots, u_{i-1} .

For $s > 0$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(s)}(t) &= t^{s-1}/\Gamma(s), \quad t \geq 0 \\ &= 0, \quad t < 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $m > 0, n > 0$, define

$$\phi_{m,n}(u_1, u_2) = E[\gamma^{(m)}(U_1 - u_1)\gamma^{(n)}(U_2 - u_2)],$$

where the expectation in the right-hand side is taken with respect to the joint distribution of U_1 and U_2 .

DEFINITION 2.5. (Shaked (1977)). Two random variables U_1 and U_2 are said to be dependent by total positivity of order two with degree (m, n) (denoted by DTP (m, n)) if $\phi_{m,n}(u_1, u_2)$ is TP₂ in u_1 and u_2 .

The following is an appropriate extension of DTP (m, n) for more than two random variables.

DEFINITION 2.6. The random variables U_1, \dots, U_α are said to be dependent by total positivity of order two with degree (m, n) in pairs (denoted by DTP (m, n) in pairs) if for every pair of arguments u_a, u_b ,

$$\phi_{m,n}(u_a, u_b) \equiv E[\{\gamma^{(m)}(U_a - u_a)\gamma^{(n)}(U_b - u_b)\} | U_i, i = 1, \dots, \alpha, i \neq a, b]$$

is TP₂ in u_a, u_b .

The following lemma is closely related to Theorem 4.2, page 143, of Barlow and Proschan (1975) and Proposition 3.4 of Shaked (1977).

LEMMA 2.1. Let the random variables U_1, \dots, U_α have joint density $f_{U_1, \dots, U_\alpha}(u_1, \dots, u_\alpha)$. Then the following implications hold: $f_{U_1, \dots, U_\alpha}(u_1, \dots, u_\alpha)$ is TP₂ in pairs $\Leftrightarrow U_1, \dots, U_\alpha$ are DTP $(0, 0)$ in pairs $\Rightarrow U_1, \dots, U_\alpha$ are conditionally increasing in sequence $\Rightarrow U_1, \dots, U_\alpha$ are associated $\Rightarrow U_1, \dots, U_\alpha$ are PQD.

A more detailed examination of DTP (m, n) in pairs and its relationship to the dependence concepts given by Alam and Wallenius (1976), Esary and Proschan (1972) and Shaked (1977) are being currently examined by the authors and will appear in the future. The implications given in Lemma 2.1 are, however, sufficient for our purpose.

In order to obtain our main bivariate result, we require the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. Let $f(u, v) = k_1(u)k_2(v)g(uv)$ for $u \geq 0, v \geq 0$ and $f(u, v) = 0$, otherwise. Assume $k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq 0$, and $g \geq 0$. If g is nondecreasing and $\ln g$ is convex, then f is TP₂.

PROOF. Since $f = 0$ for $u < 0$ or $v < 0$, it suffices to consider $0 \leq u_1 < u_2$, $0 \leq v_1 < v_2$, in showing $\det \{f(u_i, v_j)\} \geq 0$. Note that $\det \{f(u_i, v_j)\} = \prod_{i=1}^2 (k_1(u_i)k_2(v_i)) \det \{g(u_i v_j)\}$, and thus we need only to show that $\det \{g(u_i v_j)\} \geq 0$. Define $t_1 = u_1 v_1$, $t_1 + \Delta_1 = u_2 v_1$, $t_2 = u_1 v_2$, $t_2 + \Delta_2 = u_2 v_2$, so that $0 \leq \Delta_1 < \Delta_2$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \det \{g(u_i v_j)\} &= g(t_1)g(t_2 + \Delta_2) - g(t_1 + \Delta_1)g(t_2) \\ &\geq g(t_1)g(t_2 + \Delta_1) - g(t_1 + \Delta_1)g(t_2) \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows because $g \geq 0$ and nondecreasing and the second inequality because g is logarithmically convex.

THEOREM 2.1. Let $(X_1, X_2)' \sim N_2(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$. Then the joint density function $f_{|X_1|, |X_2|}(x_1, x_2)$, of $|X_1|, |X_2|$ is TP_2 .

PROOF. For $x_1 < 0$ or $x_2 < 0$, $f_{|X_1|, |X_2|}(x_1, x_2) = 0$, and for $x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0$ it is readily shown that

$$f_{|X_1|, |X_2|}(x_1, x_2) = k_1(x_1)k_2(x_2)g(x_1 x_2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} k_i(s) &= \exp[-s^2/\theta_i^2], & i = 1, 2, \\ g(s) &= 4c \cosh(\rho s/(\theta_1 \theta_2)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\theta_i = (2 - 2\rho^2)^{1/2} \sigma_i, \quad i = 1, 2; \quad c^{-1} = 2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2(1 - \rho^2)^{1/2}.$$

Straightforward calculations yield that g is nondecreasing and logarithmically convex, so that Lemma 2 immediately yields that $f_{|X_1|, |X_2|}(x_1, x_2)$ is TP_2 . \square

REMARK 2.1. From Lemma 2.1, it follows that the random variables $|X_1|, |X_2|$ are conditionally increasing in sequence, associated and PQD.

3. Total positivity of the trivariate absolute normal. In this section we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the density function of the trivariate absolute normal variable to be TP_2 in pairs. In Section 4 we use this result to show that a trivariate χ^2 and a trivariate t -distribution are associated and, hence, are PQD.

Let $(X_1, X_2, X_3)' \sim N_3(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$ have a trivariate normal distribution with mean $\mathbf{0}$ and covariate matrix Σ . Let $\Lambda \equiv \{\lambda_{ij}\} = \Sigma^{-1}$. Then the joint pdf, $f_{|X_1|, |X_2|, |X_3|}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$, of $|X_1|, |X_2|, |X_3|$ for (x_1, x_2, x_3) in the positive octant is given by

$$(3.1) \quad f_{|X_1|, |X_2|, |X_3|}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = K_\Lambda \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{11}x_1^2 + \lambda_{22}x_2^2 + \lambda_{33}x_3^2)]g(x_1, x_2, x_3),$$

where

$$K_\Lambda = 2(2\pi)^{-3/2}|\Lambda|^{1/2},$$

and

$$g(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=0}^1 \exp[(-1)^i \lambda_{12} x_1 x_2 + (-1)^j \lambda_{13} x_1 x_3 + (-1)^{i+j+1} \lambda_{23} x_2 x_3].$$

The density is 0, otherwise.

Hence, to show that $f_{|x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is TP_2 in pairs it suffices to show that $g(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is TP_2 in pairs. To do so we require the following two lemmas whose proofs are straightforward.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let Λ_0 be a fixed 3×3 positive definite matrix and define D_e as a diagonal matrix with elements ± 1 . Then the pdf $f_{|x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ given by (3.1), viewed as a function of Λ , is invariant on the set $\{\Lambda : \Lambda = D_e \Lambda_0 D_e\}$.*

Define $\text{sgn}(x) = 1$ if $x > 0$; $= 0$ if $x = 0$; $= -1$ if $x < 0$.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let Λ_0 be a fixed 3×3 positive definite matrix. A necessary and sufficient condition that there exists D_e , a diagonal matrix with elements ± 1 , so that the off-diagonal elements of $D_e \Lambda_0 D_e$ are all negative (positive) is that $\prod_{i < j} \text{sgn}(\lambda_{ij}^0) = -1$ ($= 1$), where λ_{ij}^0 is the i, j th element of Λ_0 .*

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $(X_1, X_2, X_3)' \sim N_3(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition that the joint density function $f_{|x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ of $|X_1|, |X_2|, |X_3|$ be TP_2 in pairs is that $\prod_{i < j} \text{sgn}(\lambda_{ij}) \leq 0$, where $\Lambda \equiv \{\lambda_{ij}\} = \Sigma^{-1}$.*

PROOF.

Sufficiency. If $\prod_{i < j} \text{sgn}(\lambda_{ij}) = -1$, then by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 we may suppose that $-\lambda_{12} > 0, -\lambda_{13} > 0, -\lambda_{23} > 0$. Let

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} u &= -\lambda_{13} x_1 x_3, \\ v &= -\lambda_{23} x_2 x_3, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\alpha = -\lambda_{12} / (\lambda_{13} \lambda_{23} x_3^2),$$

so that $-\lambda_{12} x_1 x_2 = \alpha uv$. Without loss of generality, we only show $f_{|x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is TP_2 in $x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0$ for $x_3 > 0$ fixed. This is equivalent to showing that for $\alpha > 0$ $h_\alpha(u, v)$ is TP_2 for $u > 0, v > 0$, where

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} h_\alpha(u, v) &= P_\alpha(u, v) + P_\alpha(u, -v) + P_\alpha(-u, v) + P_\alpha(-u, -v), \\ &= 2e^{\alpha uv} \cosh(u + v) + 2e^{-\alpha uv} \cosh(u - v) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$P_\alpha(u, v) = \exp[u + v + \alpha uv].$$

Let

$$(3.4) \quad \Delta_\alpha(u, v) \equiv h_\alpha(u, v) \frac{\partial^2 h_\alpha(u, v)}{\partial u \partial v} - \frac{\partial h_\alpha(u, v)}{\partial u} \frac{\partial h_\alpha(u, v)}{\partial v}.$$

To verify that $h_\alpha(u, v)$ is TP_2 , we verify for $u > 0, v > 0, \alpha > 0$ that

$$(3.5) \quad \Delta_\alpha(u, v) \geq 0.$$

(See Karlin (1968), page 49). Direct calculation yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h_\alpha(u, v)}{\partial u} &= (1 + \alpha v)[P_\alpha(u, v) - P_\alpha(-u, v)] \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha v)[P_\alpha(u, -v) - P_\alpha(-u, -v)], \\ \frac{\partial h_\alpha(u, v)}{\partial v} &= (1 + \alpha u)[P_\alpha(u, v) - P_\alpha(u, -v)] \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha u)[P_\alpha(-u, v) - P_\alpha(-u, -v)], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 h_\alpha(u, v)}{\partial u \partial v} &= \alpha [P_\alpha(u, v) + P_\alpha(-u, -v) - P_\alpha(-u, v) - P_\alpha(u, -v)] \\ &\quad + (1 + \alpha v)(1 + \alpha u)P_\alpha(u, v) \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha u)(1 + \alpha v)P_\alpha(-u, v) - (1 + \alpha u)(1 - \alpha v)P(u, -v) \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha u)(1 - \alpha v)P_\alpha(-u, -v), \end{aligned}$$

so that after simplification, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\alpha(u, v) &= 2\alpha [e^{2\alpha uv} \cosh(2(u + v)) - e^{-2\alpha uv} \cosh(2(u - v))] \\ (3.6) \quad &\quad + 4(2 + \alpha) \sinh(2\alpha uv) + 8\alpha v [\sinh(2u) + \alpha u \cosh(2u)] \\ &\quad + 8\alpha u [\sinh(2v) + \alpha v \cosh(2v)]. \end{aligned}$$

The first term of (3.6) is nonnegative by the monotonicity of e^t and the monotonicity of $\cosh(|t|)$. The remaining three terms of (3.6) are nonnegative because $\cosh t \geq 0$, and $\sinh t \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$. Thus (3.5) holds.

If $\prod_{i < j} \text{sgn}(\lambda_{ij}) = 0$, then either two or more of the λ_{ij} 's equal to zero, or exactly one of the λ_{ij} 's equals to zero. The case where two or more of the λ_{ij} 's equal to zero follows from the bivariate case discussed in Section 2. If exactly one of the λ_{ij} 's equals to zero, say λ_{12} , then in equation (3.2) divide by the other two λ_{ij} 's, so that $\alpha = 0$ and then apply a technique similar to the one used when $\alpha > 0$ to show that the density is TP_2 in pairs for fixed x_3 . In this case, to show TP_2 in pairs for fixed x_1 or x_2 the argument would reduce to the bivariate case argument.

Necessity. Suppose $\prod_{i < j} \text{sgn}(\lambda_{ij}) = 1$, so that by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 we can assume $\lambda_{12} > 0, \lambda_{13} > 0, \lambda_{23} > 0$. Define u, v, α as in the proof of the sufficiency, but note u, v , and $\alpha < 0$. We proceed to show that there exists $x_3 > 0$ so that $f_{|X_1|, |X_2|, |X_3|}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ has negative second order determinant for certain $x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0$. To do this, we let $x_3 = [\lambda_{12}/(\lambda_{13}\lambda_{23})]^t$, so that $\alpha = -1$, and then show that there exists an open set so that $\Delta_{-1}(u, v)$ defined in (3.4) is negative. To find such an open set, we show that there exists $t < 0$ so that $\Delta_{-1}(t, t)$ is negative and then appeal to the continuity of $\Delta_{-1}(u, v)$. Note that

$$\Delta_{-1}(t, t) = 2e^{-2t^2}[1 - \cosh(4t)] - 16t[\sinh(2t) - t \cosh(2t)].$$

Observe that $\cosh(4t) \geq 1$ and that for suitably small negative t , $\sinh(2t) - t \cosh(2t) < 0$, so that for suitably small negative t , $\Delta_{-1}(t, t) < 0$. Hence, we can conclude that $f_{|X_1|, |X_2|, |X_3|}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is not TP_2 in pairs if $\prod_{i < j} \text{sgn}(\lambda_{ij}) = 1$. \square

REMARK 3.1. If $\prod_{i < j} \text{sgn}(\lambda_{ij}) \leq 0$, then, using Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 3.1, we have that the random variables $|X_1|, |X_2|, |X_3|$ are conditionally increasing in sequence, and associated.

For the general multivariate normal case without absolute values, we note that Barlow and Proschan (1975, Chapter 4) proved that the multivariate normal density function is TP_2 in pairs if and only if $\lambda_{ij} \leq 0$ for $i \neq j$ where $\Lambda = \Sigma^{-1}$.

4. The association of bivariate and trivariate χ^2 and t distributions. In this section we use the results of the previous sections to obtain the association of certain bivariate, and trivariate, χ^2 , t and F distributions.

To prove the results of this section, we make use of the following two lemmas which by themselves are quite interesting and useful. Lemma 4.1 is a special case of Theorem 4.1 of Jogdeo (1977).

LEMMA 4.1. *Let U_1, \dots, U_α be positive random variables. If U_1, \dots, U_α are associated, then $U_\alpha^{-1}, \dots, U_1^{-1}$ are associated.*

LEMMA 4.2. *Suppose that the nonnegative random variables U_1, \dots, U_α are independent of the nonnegative random variables V_1, \dots, V_α . If U_1, \dots, U_α are associated and V_1, \dots, V_α are associated, then $U_1V_1, \dots, U_\alphaV_\alpha$ are associated.*

THEOREM 4.1. (a) *For $p = 2$, $S_1^2 + S_1^{*2}$, $S_2^2 + S_2^{*2}$ are associated random variables.*

(b) *For $p = 3$, if $\prod_{k < j} \text{sgn}(\phi_i^{-1})_{kj} \leq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, then $S_1^2 + S_1^{*2}$, $S_2^2 + S_2^{*2}$ and $S_3^2 + S_3^{*2}$ are associated random variables, where $(\phi_i^{-1})_{kj}$ denotes the k, j th element of ϕ_i^{-1} .*

PROOF OF (a). By Lemma 2.1, Theorem 2.1 and the invariance of association under nondecreasing transformations (P_4 of [6]) we have, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, that Z_{1j}^2, Z_{2j}^2 are associated. Because $\{Z_i\}$, S_1^{*2}, S_2^{*2} are independent, we have that $Z_{11}^2, Z_{21}^2, \dots, Z_{1n}^2, Z_{2n}^2, S_1^{*2}, S_2^{*2}$ are associated (P_2 of [6]). Since $S_1^2 + S_1^{*2}$, $S_2^2 + S_2^{*2}$ are nondecreasing functions of the Z_{ij}^2 , S_1^{*2}, S_2^{*2} , we obtain $S_1^2 + S_1^{*2}$, $S_2^2 + S_2^{*2}$ are associated.

PROOF OF (b). Using Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.1, we can prove (b) in a similar fashion to (a) with the obvious modifications.

REMARK 4.1. Note that Khatri's condition that ϕ_i is of the form $(\beta_i \beta_j)$, $|\beta_i| < 1$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ implies that $\prod_{k < j} \text{sgn}(\phi_i^{-1})_{kj} \leq 0$.

COROLLARY 4.1. (a) *For $p = 2$, $(S_1^2 + S_1^{*2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $(S_2^2 + S_2^{*2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ are associated random variables.*

(b) *For $p = 3$, if $\prod_{k < j} \text{sgn}(\phi_i^{-1})_{kj} \leq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, then $(S_1^2 + S_1^{*2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $(S_2^2 + S_2^{*2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $(S_3^2 + S_3^{*2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ are associated random variables.*

PROOF. The proof follows from Theorem 4.1 and the square root analogue of Lemma 4.1. \square

THEOREM 4.2. (a) *For $p = 2$, the random variables $|X_1|/(S_1^2 + S_1^{*2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $|X_2|/(S_2^2 + S_2^{*2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are associated.*

(b) *For $p = 3$, if $\prod_{i < j} \text{sgn}(\lambda_{ij}) \leq 0$, $\prod_{k < j} \text{sgn}(\phi_i^{-1})_{kj} \leq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $|X_1|/(S_1^2 + S_1^{*2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $|X_2|/(S_2^2 + S_2^{*2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $|X_3|/(S_3^2 + S_3^{*2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are associated random variables.*

PROOF. The proof of the theorem follows from Theorem 2.1, Theorem 3.1, Lemma 4.2 and Corollary 4.1. \square

Up to constants, a bivariate and a trivariate F random vector can be defined by:

$$F_{(2)} = (S_1^2/S_1^{*2}, S_2^2/S_2^{*2})'$$

and

$$F_{(3)} = (S_1^2/S_1^{*2}, S_2^2/S_2^{*2}, S_3^2/S_3^{*2})'$$

THEOREM 4.3. (a) $S_1^2/S_1^{*2}, S_2^2/S_2^{*2}$ are associated random variables.

(b) If $\prod_{k < j} \text{sgn}(\phi_i^{-1})_{kj} \leq 0, i = 1, \dots, n$, then the random variables $S_1^2/S_1^{*2}, S_2^2/S_2^{*2}, S_3^2/S_3^{*2}$ are associated.

PROOF. The proof of the theorem follows immediately from the proof of Theorem 4.1, Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2. \square

REMARK 4.2. Theorems 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and Corollary 4.1 remain true as long as S_1^{*2} and S_2^{*2} are any pair of positive independent random variables such that $(X_1, \dots, X_p)'$, $\{Z_i\}, S_1^{*2}, S_2^{*2}$ are all mutually independent sets of random variables.

We conclude this paper with the following conjecture for the TP_2 in pairs of the multivariate absolute normal, of dimension larger than 3.

CONJECTURE. Let $f_{|x_1, \dots, |x_p}(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ be the pdf of the multivariate absolute normal, $p > 3$. A necessary and sufficient condition for it to be TP_2 in pairs is that there exists D_e , a diagonal matrix with elements ± 1 , such that the off-diagonal elements of $D_e \Sigma^{-1} D_e$ are all negative.

Note that if this conjecture were true, then the corresponding result concerning the multivariate t -distribution could be proved directly in the same fashion as Theorem 4.2.

Acknowledgment. After completion of this research, it was brought to our attention that Professor F. Proschan in a private communication independently obtained Theorem 2.1. His method of proof is different from ours. Also the authors wish to thank Professor P. E. Lin for helpful conversations. We are grateful to the referee for a careful reading of the paper and his valuable suggestions. He brought to our attention that Lemma 4.1 is a special case of Theorem 4.1 of Jogdeo (1977).

REFERENCES

[1] ALAM, K. and WALLENIS, K. T. (1976). Positive dependence and monotonicity in conditional distributions. *Comm. Statist.* **A5** 525-534.
 [2] BARLOW, R. E. and PROSCHAN, F. (1975). *Statistical Theory of Reliability and Life Testing*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York.
 [3] DUNN, O. J. (1958). Estimation of the means of dependent variables. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **29** 1095-1111.
 [4] DYKSTRA, R. L. and HEWETT, J. E. (1978). Positive dependence of the roots of a Wishart matrix. *Ann. Statist.* **6** 235-238.
 [5] ESARY, J. D. and PROSCHAN, F. (1972). Relations among some concepts of bivariate dependence. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **43** 651-655.
 [6] ESARY, J. D., PROSCHAN, F. and WALKUP, D. W. (1967). Association of random variables with applications. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **38** 1466-1474.

- [7] HALPERIN, M. (1967). An inequality on a bivariate Student's " t " distribution. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **62** 603-606.
- [8] JENSEN, D. R. (1970). The joint distribution of quadratic forms and related distributions. *Australian J. Statist.* **12** 13-22.
- [9] JOGDEO, K. (1977). Association and probability inequalities. *Ann. Statist.* **5** 495-504.
- [10] KARLIN, S. (1968). *Total Positivity, Vol. 1*. Stanford Univ. Press.
- [11] KHATRI, C. G. (1967). On certain inequalities for normal distributions and their applications to simultaneous confidence bounds. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **38** 1853-1867.
- [12] KRISHNAMOORTHY, A. S. and PARTHASARATHY, M. (1951). A multivariate gamma-type distribution. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **22** 549-557.
- [13] LEHMANN, E. L. (1966). Some concepts of dependence. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **37** 1137-1153.
- [14] PITT, L. D. (1977). A Gaussian correlation inequality for symmetric convex sets. *Ann. Probability* **5** 470-474.
- [15] SHAKED, M. (1977). A family of concepts of dependence for bivariate distributions. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **72** 642-650.
- [16] ŠIDÁK, Z. (1967). Rectangular confidence intervals for the means of multivariate normal distributions. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **62** 626-633.
- [17] ŠIDÁK, Z. (1971). On probabilities of rectangles in multivariate Student distributions: their dependence on correlation. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **42** 169-175.
- [18] SIDDIQUI, M. (1967). A bivariate t -distribution. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **38** 162-166.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA 28223

PPD BIostatISTICS
ABBOTT LABORATORIES
NORTH CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60064