THE CARRYING DIMENSION OF A STOCHASTIC MEASURE DIFFUSION¹

By Donald A. Dawson and Kenneth J. Hochberg

Carleton University and Case Western Reserve University

A multiplicative stochastic measure diffusion process in R^d is the continuous analogue of an infinite particle branching Markov process in which the particles move in R^d according to a symmetric stable process of index α , $0 < \alpha < 2$. The main result of this paper is that there is a random carrying set whose Hausdorff dimension is almost surely less than or equal to α . As a corollary it follows that the corresponding random measure is singular for $d > \alpha$. The latter result is also proved by a different approach in the case $d = \alpha$.

1. Introduction. The multiplicative stochastic measure diffusion process in R^d arises as the "high density limit" of an infinite particle branching Markov process in which the particles move in R^d according to a symmetric stable process of index α , $0 < \alpha \le 2$. The basic construction of the stochastic measure diffusion process, together with a study of some basic properties, is contained in Dawson [3], [4]. The main objective of this paper is to study the local structure of the resulting random measures.

We first review some basic definitions and results. Let $\mathfrak{N}(R^d)$ denote the family of Borel measures on R^d furnished with the topology of vague convergence. Let $C_K(R^d)$ denote the class of continuous real-valued functions on R^d with compact support. For a random measure on R^d , that is, an $\mathfrak{N}(R^d)$ -valued random variable, the probability distribution is uniquely determined by the characteristic functional $L(\cdot)$, defined for $f \in C_K(R^d)$ by

$$(1.1) L(f) \equiv \int_{\mathfrak{M}(R^d)} \exp(i \int_{R^d} f(x) \nu(dx)) P(d\nu).$$

A stochastic measure process $\{X(t): t \geq 0\}$ is an $\mathfrak{M}(R^d)$ -valued stochastic process defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$. A Markov stochastic measure process with time homogeneous transition probabilities is uniquely determined by the characteristic functional of the initial distribution X(0) and the characteristic functional of the probability transition function, given for $f \in C_K(R^d)$ and $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}(R^d)$ by

(1.2)
$$L_{t,\nu}(f) \equiv E(\exp(i \int f(x) X(t, dx)) | X(0) = \nu).$$

Received January 16, 1978.

¹Supported by the National Research Council of Canada, the Killam Program of the Canada Council, and, subsequently, in part by National Science Foundation grant MCS78-02144 at Northwestern University.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 60J80, 60J60; secondary 55C10.

Key words and phrases. Random measure, measure diffusion process, Hausdorff dimension.

The multiplicative critical stochastic measure diffusion process in \mathbb{R}^d is an $\mathfrak{N}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued Markov process with

$$(1.3) L_{t,\nu}(f) \equiv \exp(i \int U_t f(x) \nu(dx)),$$

where $\{U_t: t > 0\}$ is a semigroup of nonlinear operators on $C_K(R^d)$ which we describe below. Let G_α denote the infinitesimal generator of the Markov semigroup $\{S_t: t > 0\}$ of contraction operators on $C_K(R^d)$ associated with the symmetric stable process on R^d of index α , $0 < \alpha \le 2$. Then $u(t, x) = U_t f(x)$ satisfies the nonlinear initial value problem

(1.4a)
$$\frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t} = G_{\alpha}u(t,x) + i\gamma u^{2}(t,x), \quad t > 0,$$

(1.4b)
$$u(0, x) = f(x),$$

where γ is a given positive constant. The reader is referred to [3], [4] for the proof of existence and some basic properties of this process, some of which are summarized below. In particular, the measure diffusion process at time t is well approximated by alternating branching and diffusion processes over successive time intervals of length t/m in the following way: particles are created according to the branching mechanism defined by (1.5) and are then smeared out by the diffusion determined by the semigroup operator S_t .

We now review the basic properties of the measure diffusion process which are required in this paper.

PROPOSITION 1.1. Let S_t and U_t be defined as above, and let $T_t: C_K(\mathbb{R}^d) \to C_K(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be defined by

(1.5)
$$T_{t}f(x) = f(x)/[1 - i\gamma t f(x)], \qquad t > 0.$$

Then the following hold:

(a)

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} ||U_t f - (S_{t/m} T_{t/m})^m f|| = 0 \quad \text{for each} \quad t > 0 \quad \text{where } ||\cdot||$$

denotes the supremum norm.

(b)

(1.6)
$$T_{t}f(x) = \int_{0+}^{\infty} \left\{ \exp(if(x)y) - 1 \right\} \mu_{t}(y) dy,$$

where

(1.7)
$$\mu_{t}(y) = (\gamma t)^{-2} \exp(-y/\gamma t), \quad y \ge 0$$
$$= 0, \quad y < 0.$$

(c) If v is a nonatomic measure on R^d , then

(1.8)
$$L_{t,\nu}^{T}(f) \equiv \exp(i \int T_{t} f(x) \nu(dx))$$

is the characteristic functional of a compound Poisson random field with Lévy-Khintchine-Kingman representation (1.6). The reader is referred to [3] for the proof of (a) and to [4] for the proof of (b) and (c).

The basic property of the symmetric stable semigroup that we require is the "scaling property," that is, for r > 0,

$$(1.9) S_t f(0) = S_{rt} f_{\alpha, r}(0)$$

where $f_{\alpha, r}(u) \equiv f(r^{-1/\alpha}u)$. In other words, if $\{Z_{\alpha}(t) : t \geq 0\}$ denotes the symmetric stable process with index α , then $r^{-1/\alpha}(Z_{\alpha}(rt) - Z_{\alpha}(0))$ has the same law as $(Z_{\alpha}(t) - Z_{\alpha}(0))$.

Given a Borel set $E \subset R^d$ and $\beta > 0$, $\delta > 0$, let

$$\wedge_{\delta}^{\beta}(E) \equiv \inf_{S} \sum_{i} (d(S_{i}))^{\beta}$$

where $d(S_i)$ is the diameter of the set S_i and $S \equiv \{\{S_i\} : E \subset \cup S_i, d(S_i) < \delta \text{ for each } i\}$. Then the *Hausdorff* β -measure of E is defined by

The Hausdorff dimension of E is defined by

(1.11) dim
$$E \equiv \inf\{\beta > 0 : \bigwedge^{\beta}(E) = 0\} = \sup\{\beta > 0 : \bigwedge^{\beta}(E) = \infty\}.$$

Note that $0 \le \dim E \le d$, and if E has positive Lebesgue measure, then dim E = d.

In this paper, we demonstrate the existence of a random carrying set of Hausdorff dimension α for the stochastic measure diffusion process. (A similar problem, that of determining the Hausdorff dimension of a carrying set of a random measure arising from a "curdling" process, has been posed by Mandelbrot [6].) It follows from our result that the corresponding random measure is singular if the dimension d is greater than the index α of the symmetric stable diffusion process. Finally, we prove the singularity of the random measure in the case $d = \alpha$ by rescaling in both space and time and using the fact proved in [4] that for the critical measure diffusion in the recurrent case, the measure of a compact set approaches zero in probability as t becomes infinite.

2. Statement of the results. The main result is given by the following theorem:

THEOREM 2.1. Let $\{X(t): t \ge 0\}$ denote the multiplicative stochastic measure diffusion process in R^d defined by the characteristic functional (1.3) whose spatial diffusion corresponds to a symmetric stable process with index α , $0 < \alpha \le 2$. Then for fixed t > 0, there exists a random set B such that

(2.1)
$$X(t, \omega, C \cap B(\omega)) = X(t, \omega, C)$$

for every compact set C and almost every ω, and

(2.2)
$$\dim B(\omega) \leq \alpha$$
 for every ω .

REMARK 2.2. Note that since this is a local problem, we need only construct $B \cap V$ where V is a unit cube in R^d . Furthermore, without loss of generality, we can assume that X(0, V) = 1.

REMARK 2.3. If the Borel set $B(\omega)$ has positive Lebesgue measure, then dim $B(\omega) = d$. Combining this with (2.2) we get the following corollary:

COROLLARY 2.4. The random measure in R^d characterized by equation (1.3) with spatial diffusion governed by a symmetric stable process of index α , $0 < \alpha \le 2$, is singular if $d > \alpha$.

Using a different approach we obtain the following extension of this corollary:

THEOREM 2.5. The random measure on R^2 characterized by equation (1.3) with spatial diffusion governed by two-dimensional Brownian motion and the random measure on R^1 characterized by (1.3) with spatial diffusion governed by the one-dimensional symmetric Cauchy process are almost surely singular measure-valued.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1. Consider a unit cube $V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ which for each n > 1 is subdivided into $2^{k_n d}$ equal subcubes of volume $2^{-k_n d}$, where $\{k_n, n > 1\}$ is an increasing sequence of nonnegative integers. The ratio of the diameter of the fixed cube V to that of the subcubes is $\Gamma_n = 2^{k_n}$.

Consider the set B obtained as follows:

$$B_0 = V$$

$$B_n \subset B_{n-1}, \qquad n > 1$$

 B_n is a union of N_n subcubes of volume $(\Gamma_n)^{-d}$

$$(3.1) B = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n.$$

Then B is a generalized Cantor set, and, similar to the derivation of the Hausdorff dimension of the Cantor set (see, e.g., Billingsley [2], pages 141–143), the Hausdorff dimension of B can be shown to be

(3.2)
$$\dim B = \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \lceil \log N_n / \log \Gamma_n \rceil.$$

We now proceed to consider a probabilistic analogue to this construction. Let X be a random measure on V. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, let

$$(3.3) N_n^{\varepsilon}(X) = \min\{n : \sum_{i=1}^n X(v_i) \ge X(V) - \varepsilon\}$$

and

$$(3.4) K_n^{\varepsilon} \equiv \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_n^{\varepsilon}(X)} v_i,$$

where $\{v_i : i = 1, \dots, N_n^e(X)\}$ is a cover consisting of the given subcubes of volume $2^{-k_n d}$ achieving the minimum in (3.3).

LEMMA 3.1. Assume that

(3.5)
$$P\left(\frac{\log N_n^{\epsilon_n}(X)}{\log \Gamma_n} \le D(1+\eta_n)\right) > 1-\epsilon_n'$$

where $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$, $\eta_n \downarrow 0$, and $\varepsilon'_n \downarrow 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Then there exists a random set $B(\omega)$ such that

(3.6)
$$X(\omega, B(\omega)) = X(\omega, V) \quad \text{a.e. } \omega,$$

(3.7)
$$\dim B(\omega) \leq D$$
 a.e. ω .

PROOF. Let

(3.8)
$$\Phi_n \equiv \left\{ \omega : \frac{\log N_n^{\epsilon_n}(X(\omega))}{\log \Gamma_n} \le D(1 + \eta_n) \right\}$$

and

(3.9)
$$K_n^{e_n}(\omega) \equiv \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_n^{e_n}(X(\omega))} v_i \quad \text{if } \quad \omega \in \Phi_n$$
$$\equiv \emptyset \quad \text{if } \quad \omega \notin \Phi_n.$$

Note that if necessary we can take a subsequence of $\{K_n\}$. Hence, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\sum \varepsilon'_n < \infty$. We now show that

$$(3.10) B(\omega) \equiv \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} K_n^{\epsilon_n}(\omega)$$

satisfies the conditions stated in the lemma.

Since by hypothesis $P(\Phi_n) \ge 1 - \varepsilon'_n$, and $\Sigma \varepsilon'_n < \infty$, then $P(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \cap_{n=k}^{\infty} \Phi_n) = 1$ by the Borel-Cantelli lemma.

If $\omega \in \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} \Phi_n$, then

$$X(\omega, \cap_{n=k}^{\infty} K_n^{\varepsilon_n}(\omega)) > X(V) - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n'$$

Hence if $\omega \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \cap_{n=k}^{\infty} \Phi_n$, then

$$X(\omega, \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} \cap_{n=k}^{\infty} K_n^{\epsilon_n}(\omega)) > X(V),$$

that is,

$$X(\omega, B(\omega)) \geqslant X(V).$$

Since, trivially, $X(V) \ge X(\omega, B(\omega))$, we see that $B(\omega)$ satisfies (3.6). If $\omega \in \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} \Phi_n$, then

$$\frac{\log N_n(B(\omega))}{\log \Gamma_n} \leqslant D(1+\eta_n) \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geqslant k,$$

and hence by (3.2),

$$\dim B(\omega) = \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log N_n(B(\omega))}{\log \Gamma_n} \leq D,$$

and the proof of the lemma is complete.

In order to establish an estimate of the type (3.5) for the multiplicative stochastic measure diffusion X(t) at time t, we exploit the approximation given by Proposition 1.1(a). Let P_{ν} and $\{P_m, m \ge 1\}$ denote the probability measures on $\mathfrak{M}(R^d)$ with characteristic functionals $L_{t,\nu}(\cdot)$ and

(3.11)
$$L_m(f) = \exp(i \int (S_{t/m} T_{t/m})^m f(x) \nu(dx)), \quad f \in C_K(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

respectively. Then Proposition 1.1(a) implies that the sequence $\{P_m, m > 1\}$ converges weakly to P_{ν} as $m \to \infty$. The significance of this is that X(t) can be approximated by alternating two processes over successive intervals of length (t/m). The process corresponding to $S_{t/m}$ is a deterministic diffusion of the measure according to the symmetric stable semigroup, thus yielding an absolutely continuous measure. On the other hand, the process corresponding to $T_{t/m}$ takes a nonatomic initial measure $\tilde{\nu} \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into a compound Poisson random measure with characteristic functional

(3.12)
$$L_{\tilde{\nu}}^{T}(f) = \exp(i \int T_{t/m} f(x) \tilde{\nu}(dx)).$$

In view of (1.6), the total Poisson intensity is $(\gamma t/m)^{-1}\tilde{\nu}$ and the mass distribution of the particles is negative exponential with mean $\gamma t/m$. Thus, the approximation given by Proposition 1.1(a) consists of alternately "creating particles" whose masses are exponentially distributed with mean $\gamma t/m$ and then "smearing them out" by the deterministic diffusion operator corresponding to $S_{t/m}$. In view of the scaling property (1.9) of the symmetric stable semigroup, the smeared out particle tends to be concentrated in a region whose diameter is of the order of $(t/m)^{1/\alpha}$.

The basic idea of the proof is to show that at a given scale the picture suggested by this approximation is in fact correct. Thus we will show that the measure diffusion random measure can be viewed as a hierarchy of smeared clusters at different scales. The nth scale is obtained by subdividing V into Γ_n^d equal subcubes of volume Γ_n^{-d} as above.

Let

$$m_n \equiv [2\gamma t \Gamma_n^{\alpha}], \qquad n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$$

where [x] denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to x. By the scaling property (1.9) of the symmetric stable law there is a constant c > 0 such that

$$(3.13) z_n \equiv P(|Z_\alpha(t/m_n) - Z_\alpha(0)| > n\Gamma_n^{-1}) < c/n^\alpha$$

for sufficiently large n.

Assume that ν is nonatomic and consider the random measure $X(t/m_n)$ (restricted to V) with characteristic functional

$$(3.14) L_{t/m_n,\nu}(f) = \exp(i \int U_{t/m_n} f(x) \nu(dx))$$

for f with $Spt(f) \subset V$.

LEMMA 3.2.

- (i) The random measure $X(t/m_n)$ consists of a Poisson number W_C of clusters with total intensity $(\gamma t/m_n)^{-1}\nu(V)$.
- (ii) The total mass Y_C of each cluster is exponentially distributed with mean $(\gamma t/m_n)$.

PROOF. We begin by using the approximation $U_{t/m_n} \approx (S_{t/m} T_{t/m})^{m/m_n}$ where $m \gg m_n$. The result of first applying $T_{t/m}$ and then $S_{t/m}$ to the nonatomic initial measure ν is a compound Poisson random field of smeared particles. The total

Poisson intensity is $m\nu(V)/\gamma t$ and the masses of the particles are exponentially distributed with mean $\gamma t/m$. The particles are smeared out over a region whose diameter is of the order of $(t/m)^{1/\alpha}$.

To determine the structure of the random measure whose characteristic functional is given by (3.14), m/m_n iterations of this process are required. This iterative process can be viewed as a critical branching random walk as follows. Each smeared particle at the next iteration gives rise to a Poisson random number of particles whose location is displaced from that of its predecessor by the symmetric stable law associated with $S_{t/m}$. The number of offspring is a Poisson random variable whose mean is equal to $(m/\gamma t)$ times the mass of the predecessor. But since the mass of the predecessor is exponentially distributed with mean $(\gamma t/m)$, the offspring distribution is given by (letting $a = m/\gamma t$)

(3.15)
$$P(N = k) = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{(a\lambda)^k}{k!} e^{-a\lambda} \right) \left[ae^{-a\lambda} \right] d\lambda = (k!)^{-1} \int_0^\infty \lambda^k e^{-2\lambda} d\lambda \\ = 2^{-(k+1)}, \qquad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

that is, a geometric distribution with mean one. Hence, we have a critical branching random walk in which the particles have an exponentially distributed random mass.

By a "cluster" is meant the collection of descendents surviving at time (t/m_n) of one of the particles first created at time (t/m). Recall that for a critical Galton-Watson process $\{Z_n : n \ge 0\}$,

$$P(Z_n > 0) \sim 2/n\sigma^2$$

where $\sigma^2 \equiv \text{Var}(Z_1)$, and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P(Z_n/n > z|Z_n > 0) = \exp(-2z/\sigma^2)$$

(cf. Athreya-Ney [1], page 19). It remains to note that for the geometric distribution in (3.15), $\sigma^2 = 2$, and thus

$$P(Z_n > 0) \sim \frac{1}{n}$$

and

(3.16)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P(Z_n/n > z | Z_n > 0) = \exp(-z), \quad z > 0.$$

Hence, $P(Z_{m/m_n} > 0) \sim m_n/m$, and the conditional distribution of Z_{m/m_n} conditioned on $\{Z_{m/m_n} > 0\}$ is approximately exponentially distributed with mean (m/m_n) . We thus note that the total number of surviving clusters, W_C , has a Poisson distribution of total intensity $(m_n/\gamma t)\nu(V)$. Furthermore, each cluster consists of a random number of particles, the number of which is approximately exponentially distributed with mean (m/m_n) . Each of the "small particles" created at time t/m has a mass which is exponentially distributed with mean $(\gamma t/m)$. We must now verify that the total mass of a cluster at time (t/m_n) is exponentially distributed with mean $(\gamma t/m_n)$.

Let $\varphi_P(S)$, $\varphi_C(S)$ denote the Laplace transforms of the mean distributions of the small particles and the clusters, respectively, and let

$$\psi_m(z) \equiv E(z^{Z_{m/m_n}}|Z_{m/m_n}>0).$$

We then have

$$\varphi_C(s) = \psi_m(\varphi_P(s))$$

where

$$\varphi_P(s) = \frac{a}{a+s}$$
 and $a = m/\gamma t$.

From the exponential limit law (3.16), it follows that

$$\psi_m(z^{m_n/m}) \to 1/(1-\log z)$$

uniformly on bounded z-intervals. Then

$$\psi_m(\varphi_P(s)) = \psi_m \left(\frac{1}{1 + \gamma t s/m} \right)$$

$$\to 1/\left[1 - \log((\exp(\gamma t s))^{-1/m_n}) \right]$$
as $m \to \infty$.

Therefore

$$\psi_m(\varphi_P(s)) \to \frac{m_n/\gamma t}{m_n/\gamma t + s}$$
 as $m \to \infty$,

which is the Laplace transform of the exponential distribution with mean $(\gamma t/m_n)$. Thus the proof of the lemma is complete.

LEMMA 3.3.

(i) Let B_x^n denote a sphere of radius $n\Gamma_n^{-1}$ centered at the location of the ancestral small particle at t/m for each cluster. Then, given a constant $\kappa > 0$, there exist constants κ_1 and κ_2 such that

$$(3.17) P_r\Big(X\Big(t/m_n, \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{W_c} B_{x_i}^n\right)^C\Big) > \kappa/n^\beta\Big) \leq \kappa_1 n^{2\beta-2\alpha} + \kappa_2 n^{2\beta-\alpha}/m_n.$$

(ii) For $\beta < \alpha$ and sufficiently large n,

$$(3.18) P_{\nu}\left(\frac{\log N_n^{\kappa/n^{\beta}}(X(t/m_n))}{\log \Gamma_n} > \alpha + \frac{\log(2^{d+1}n^{d+2})}{\log \Gamma_n}\right) \leqslant \frac{\kappa_3(\nu(V))^2}{n^{2(\alpha-\beta)}}.$$

PROOF. We first note that the cluster has an exponentially distributed total mass and that its "expected" spatial distribution is given by $p_{\alpha}(t/m_n, x, \cdot)$, where $p_{\alpha}(t, x, \cdot)$ denotes the probability transition density of the symmetric stable process. Additionally, by (3.13),

$$z_n = \int_{(B_n^n)^c} p_{\alpha}(t/m_n, x, y) dy < c/n^{\alpha}.$$

Let the random variable X_i , $i = 1, \dots, W_C$, denote that portion of the mass of the

ith cluster which lies outside B_{x}^{n} , $i = 1, \dots, W_{C}$. Then

$$X\left(t/m_n, \cup_{i=1}^{W_C} (B_{x_i}^n)^C\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{W_C} X_i$$

and

$$(3.19) E\left(X\left(t/m_n, \cup_{i=1}^{W_C} (B_{x_i}^n)^C\right)\right) \leq z_n.$$

Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(X\left(t/m_{n},\ \cup_{i=1}^{W_{C}}\left(B_{x_{i}}^{n}\right)^{C}\right)\right) = E(W_{C}) \cdot \operatorname{Var}\left(X\left(t/m_{n},\ \left(B_{x_{i}}^{n}\right)^{C}\right)\right) + \left[E\left(X\left(t/m_{n},\ \left(B_{x_{i}}^{n}\right)^{C}\right)\right)\right]^{2} \cdot \operatorname{Var}(W_{C})$$

$$= (\gamma t/m_{n})^{-1} \operatorname{Var}\left(X\left(t/m_{n},\ \left(B_{x_{i}}^{n}\right)^{C}\right)\right) + z_{n}^{2}(\gamma t/m_{n})^{2}(\gamma t/m_{n})^{-1}.$$

To determine $Var(X(t/m_n, (B_x^n)^C))$, we compute the exact characteristic functional of the random measure at time t/m_n associated with a cluster with center at x. This is computed from (1.3) as

(3.21)
$$L_{C,x,t}(\varphi) = \lim_{z \downarrow 0} \left\{ \left[\exp(iU_t \varphi(x)z) - \exp(-z/\gamma t) \right] / \left[1 - \exp(-z/\gamma t) \right] \right\}$$
$$= 1 + i\gamma t U_t \varphi(x).$$

Thus,

$$\operatorname{Var}(X(t/m_n, (B_r^n)^C)) = (\gamma t/m_n)v(t/m_n) - (\gamma t/m_n)^2 z_n^2$$

where, by [4], Equation (4.7).

$$v(t') = \int_0^t \int \int_{(B_x^n)} c \int_{(B_x^n)} c p_{\alpha}(s, x, y) p_{\alpha}(t' - s, y, w) p_{\alpha}(t' - s, y, v) dw dv dy ds$$

$$< t' \int_{(B_x^n)} c p_{\alpha}(t', x, w) dw.$$

Hence $v(t/m_n) \le (t/m_n)z_n$, so

(3.22)
$$\operatorname{Var}(X(t/m_n, (B_x^n)^C)) \leq \gamma (t/m_n)^2 z_n - \gamma^2 (t/m_n)^2 z_n^2$$

Therefore, from (3.20) we have

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(X\left(t/m_n, \cup_{i=1}^{W_C}(B_{x_i}^n)^C\right)\right) \leqslant (t/m_n)z_n$$

and

$$E\left(\left[X\left(t/m_n, \cup_{i=1}^{W_c} \left(B_x^n\right)^C\right)\right]^2\right) \leq z_n^2 + (t/m_n)z_n.$$

Now using Chebyshev's inequality we have

$$P\left(X\left(t/m_n,\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{w_c}B_{x_i}^n\right)^C\right)>\kappa/n^\beta\right)<\kappa_1n^{2\beta-2\alpha}+\kappa_2n^{2\beta-\alpha}/m_n,$$

and the proof of (i) is complete. But from (3.17) together with

$$(3.23) P(W_C > n^2 m_n / \gamma t) \le \kappa (\nu(V))^2 / n^4,$$

it follows that for $\beta < \alpha$ and sufficiently large n,

$$\begin{split} P_{\nu}\big(N_n^{\kappa/n^{\beta}}(X(t/m_n)) &> 2^d n^{d+2} m_n/\gamma t\big) \leqslant \kappa(\nu(V))^2/n^4 \\ &\qquad \qquad + \kappa_1 n^{2\beta-2\alpha} + \kappa_2 n^{2\beta-\alpha}/m_n \\ &\leqslant \kappa_2 (\nu(V))^2/n^{2(\alpha-\beta)}. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$P_{\nu}(\log N_n^{\kappa/n^{\beta}}(X(t/m_n))/\log \Gamma_n > \alpha + \log(2^{d+1}n^{d+2})/\log \Gamma_n)$$

$$\leq \kappa_3(\nu(V))^2/n^{2(\alpha-\beta)},$$

and the proof of (ii) is complete.

To prove the main theorem, note that we can apply Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 when ν is replaced by the nonatomic random measure $X((m_n-1)t/m_n)$. Since the random measure $X((m_n-1)t/m_n)$ has finite moments (cf. [4]), Chebyshev's inequality yields

$$(3.24) P_{\nu}\left(X\left(\frac{m_n-1}{m_n}t,V\right)>n^{(\alpha-\beta)/2}\right)<\kappa_4/n^{(\alpha-\beta)}.$$

Then, by the Markov property, we have for $\beta < \alpha$ and sufficiently large n,

$$(3.25) \quad P_{\nu}(\log N_n^{\kappa/n^{\beta}}(X(t))/\log \Gamma_n > \alpha + \log(2^{d+1}n^{d+2})/\log \Gamma_n) < \kappa_5/n^{(\alpha-\beta)}.$$

Theorem (2.1) then immediately follows from (3.25) and Lemma (3.1).

4. Proof of Theorem 2.5. We first introduce the rescaling transformation (cf. [4], Section 5) $X(t) \to X^{(K)}(t)$ as follows:

$$\langle X^{(K)}(t), \varphi \rangle \equiv \langle X(t), \varphi_K \rangle$$

where $\varphi_K(x) \equiv \varphi(x/K)$ and K > 0.

In [4], Section 5, it is shown that the characteristic functional of $X^{(K)}(t)$ is given by

(4.2)
$$L_{t,\nu}^{(K)}(f) = \exp(i \int u^{(K)}(t,x) \nu(dx))$$

where

(4.3)
$$u^{(K)}(t,x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} K^{\alpha(k-1)} u_k(t/K^{\alpha}, x/K).$$

We complete the proof in the case d=2; the proof in the case d=1 is essentially the same. If d=2, $\alpha=2$ and ν is Lebesgue measure, then

(4.4)
$$\int u^{(K)}(t,x)dx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} K^{2k} \int u_k(t/K^2,y)dy.$$

Hence,

$$(4.5) X^{(K)}(K^2t)/K^2 \simeq_{\mathcal{C}} X(t).$$

Therefore,

(4.6)
$$X(t,A) \simeq_{\mathcal{L}} X(1,A_{1/t^{\frac{1}{2}}})/(1/t)$$

where $x \in A_{1/t^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ if and only if $t^{\frac{1}{2}}x \in A$.

But from [4], Theorem 3.1, if A is compact, then

(4.7)
$$X(t, A) \to 0$$
 in probability as $t \to \infty$.

Hence letting A(x) denote a unit cube centered at an arbitrary point $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

(4.8)
$$X(1, A_{\epsilon}(x))/|A_{\epsilon}(x)| \to 0$$
 in probability as $\epsilon \to 0$

where $|A_{\epsilon}(x)|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of $A_{\epsilon}(x)$. But if $X(1, \cdot)$ has a nontrivial absolutely continuous component, then

$$(4.9) P(\{\omega : \lim \inf_{\varepsilon \to 0} X(1, \omega, A_{\varepsilon}(x)) / |A_{\varepsilon}(x)| > 0\}) > 0$$

for a set of x of positive Lebesgue measure.

It follows that $X(1, \cdot)$ has no absolutely continuous component since otherwise (4.8) and (4.9) yield a contradiction.

REMARK. Equation (4.5) implies that $X(\cdot)$ is self-similar under the transformation $X \to X^{(K)}$, $t \to K^{\alpha}t$ in the case $d = \alpha$. This fact has also been noted in a recent manuscript of Holley and Stroock [5] and is implicit in recent unpublished work of Spitzer.

REFERENCES

- [1] Athreya, K. B. and Ney, P. E. (1972). *Branching Processes*. Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften 196, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [2] BILLINGSLEY, P. (1965). Ergodic Theory and Information. Wiley, New York.
- [3] DAWSON, D. A. (1975). Stochastic evolution equations and related measure processes. J. Multivariate Analysis 5 1-52.
- [4] DAWSON, D. A. (1977). The critical measure diffusion process. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 40 125-145.
- [5] HOLLEY, R. A. and STROOCK, D. W. (1979). Generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes and infinite particle branching Brownian motions. Unpublished manuscript.
- [6] MANDELBROT, B. (1975). Les objets fractals; forme, hasard et dimension. Flammarion, Paris.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS CARLETON UNIVERSITY OTTAWA, CANADA K1S 5B6 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIVERSITY CLEVELAND, OHIO 44106