- [5] A Wald, Statistical Decision Functions, John Wiley and Sons, 1950.
- [6] G. Hunt and C. Stein, "Most stringent tests of statistical hypotheses," unpublished.
- [7] E. L. LEHMANN, "Some principles of the theory of testing hypotheses," Annals of Math. Stat., Vol. 21 (1950), pp. 1-26.
- [8] M. Peisakoff, "Transformation parameters," unpublished thesis, Princeton University, 1950.
- [9] P. R. Halmos, "The theory of unbiased estimation," Annals of Math. Stat., Vol. 17 (1946), pp. 34-43.
- [10] P. L. Hsu, "Analysis of variance from the power function standpoint," Biometrika, Vol. 32 (1941), pp. 62-69.

ONE-SIDED CONFIDENCE CONTOURS FOR PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS¹

By Z. W. BIRNBAUM AND FRED H. TINGEY²

University of Washington

Summary. Let F(x) be the continuous distribution function of a random variable X, and $F_n(x)$ the empirical distribution function determined by a sample X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n . It is well known that the probability $P_n(\epsilon)$ of F(x) being everywhere majorized by $F_n(x) + \epsilon$ is independent of F(x). The present paper contains the derivation of an explicit expression for $P_n(\epsilon)$, and a tabulation of the 10%, 5%, 1%, and 0.1% points of $P_n(\epsilon)$ for n = 5, 8, 10, 20, 40, 50. For n = 50 these values agree closely with those obtained from an asymptotic expression due to N. Smirnov.

1. Introduction. Let X be a random variable with the continuous probability distribution function $F(x) = \text{Prob. } \{X \leq x\}$. An ordered sample $X_1 \leq X_2 \leq \cdots \leq X_n$ of X determines the empirical distribution function

$$F_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < X_1, \\ \frac{k}{n} & \text{for } X_k \le x < X_{k+1}, \\ 1 & \text{for } X_n \le x. \end{cases}$$
 $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$

The function

$$F_{n,\epsilon}^+(x) = \min \left[F_n(x) + \epsilon, 1 \right],$$

also determined by the sample, will be called an upper confidence contour. It is well known [2] that the probability

$$P_n(\epsilon) = \text{Prob. } \{F(x) \le F_{n,\epsilon}^+(x) \text{ for all } x\}$$

of F(x) being everywhere majorized by $F_{n,\epsilon}^+(x)$ is independent of the distribution F(x). An expression for $P_n(\epsilon)$ in determinant form was given by A. Wald and

^{* 1} Presented to the American Mathematical Society on April 28, 1951.

² Research under the sponsorship of the Office of Naval Research.

J. Wolfowitz [2]. N. Smirnov [1] obtained the asymptotic expression

(1.1)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P_n \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} \right) = 1 - e^{-2z^2}.$$

The present paper contains the derivation of an explicit expression for $P_n(\epsilon)$, and a tabulation of values $\epsilon_{n,\alpha}$ such that

$$(1.2) P_n(\epsilon_{n,\alpha}) = 1 - \alpha$$

for $\alpha = .10, .05, .01, .001$, and n = 5, 8, 10, 20, 40, 50. For n = 50 these values agree very closely with those obtained from Smirnov's asymptotic expression (1.1).

2. Two integral formulae. For any integer k, $1 \le k \le n$, we have

$$(2.1) f_{k-1}(X_{k-1}) = \int_{X_{k-1}}^{1} \int_{X_k}^{1} \cdots \int_{X_{n-1}}^{1} dX_n \cdots dX_{k+1} dX_k = \frac{(1 - X_{k-1})^{n-k+1}}{(n - k + 1)!}.$$

This formula is well known and may be obtained by an easy induction.

For any integer $k \geq 0$ we have

$$(2.2) \qquad \int_0^{\epsilon} \int_{x_1}^{(1/n)+\epsilon} \cdots \int_{x_k}^{(k/n)+\epsilon} dX_{k+1} \cdots dX_2 dX_1 = \frac{\epsilon}{(k+1)!} \left(\epsilon + \frac{k+1}{n}\right)^k.$$

To prove (2.2) one shows by induction that the left-hand expression is equal to

$$\frac{\epsilon}{(m+2)!} \sum_{j=1}^{m+2} \binom{m+2}{j} \left(\epsilon + \frac{m+2-j}{n}\right)^{m+1} (-1)^{j-1},$$

which is equal to the right-hand term in view of the identity

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m+2} {m+2 \choose j} \left(\epsilon + \frac{m+2-j}{n}\right)^{m+1} (-1)^{j-1} = 0.$$

3. An expression for $P_n(\epsilon)$.

Theorem. For $0 < \epsilon \le 1$ we have

$$(3.0) P_n(\epsilon) = 1 - \epsilon^{\left[n (1-\epsilon) \right] \choose j} \left(1 - \epsilon - \frac{j}{n} \right)^{n-j} \left(\epsilon + \frac{j}{n} \right)^{j-1},$$

where $[n(1 - \epsilon)] = \text{greatest integer contained in } n(1 - \epsilon).$

PROOF. Since $P_n(\epsilon)$ does not depend on F(x), we will assume that X has the probability distribution function

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < 0, \\ x & \text{for } 0 \le x < 1, \\ 1 & \text{for } 1 \le x. \end{cases}$$

For this random variable, $P_n(\epsilon)$ is the probability that the ordered sample

$$(3.1) 0 \leq X_1 \leq X_2 \leq \cdots \leq X_n \leq 1$$

falls into the region

(3.2)
$$X_{j-1} \le X_j \le \frac{j-1}{n} + \epsilon \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, K+1,$$
$$X_{j-1} \le X_j \le 1 \quad \text{for } j = K+2, \dots, n,$$

where $X_0 = 0$ and $K = [n(1 - \epsilon)]$. Since the probability density of an ordered sample (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) is equal to n! in the region (3.1) and to zero elsewhere, the probability of (3.2) is equal to

$$(3.3) P_n(\epsilon) = n! J(\epsilon, n, K),$$

where

(3.4)
$$J(\epsilon, n, K) = \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \int_{X_{1}}^{(1/n)+\epsilon} \int_{X_{2}}^{(2/n)+\epsilon} \cdots \int_{X_{K}}^{(K/n)+\epsilon} \int_{X_{K+1}}^{1} \int_{X_{K+2}}^{1} \cdots \int_{X_{n-1}}^{1} dX_{n} \cdots dX_{K+3} dX_{K+2} dX_{K+1} \cdots dX_{3} dX_{2} dX_{1}.$$

By (2.1) we see that

(3.5)
$$J(\epsilon, n, k) = \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \int_{x_{1}}^{(1/n)+\epsilon} \int_{x_{2}}^{(2/n)+\epsilon} \cdots \int_{x_{k}}^{(k/n)+\epsilon} \frac{(1-X_{k+1})^{n-k-1}}{(n-k-1)!} dX_{k+1} \cdots dX_{3} dX_{2} dX_{1}$$

We will prove by induction

(3.6)
$$J(\epsilon, n, k+1) = J(\epsilon, n, k) - \frac{\epsilon}{n!} \binom{n}{k+1} \left(1 - \epsilon - \frac{k+1}{n}\right)^{n-k-1} \cdot \left(\epsilon + \frac{k+1}{n}\right)^{k},$$

for any integer $0 \le k \le n-1$. For k=0, (3.6) can be verified directly. Assuming (3.6) for $k \le m$, we obtain

$$J(\epsilon, n, m+1)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \int_{X_{1}}^{(1/n)+\epsilon} \int_{X_{m}}^{(m/n)+\epsilon} \int_{X_{m+1}}^{((m+1)/n)+\epsilon} \frac{(1-X_{m+2})^{n-m-2}}{(n-m-2)!} dX_{m+2} dX_{m+1} \cdots dX_{2} dX_{1}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \int_{X_{1}}^{(1/n)+\epsilon} \cdots \int_{X_{m}}^{(m/n)+\epsilon} \frac{(1-X_{m+1})^{n-m-1}}{(n-m-1)!} dX_{m+1} \cdots dX_{2} dX_{1}$$

$$- \frac{\left(1-\epsilon-\frac{m+1}{n}\right)^{n-m-1}}{(n-m-1)!} \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \int_{X_{1}}^{(1/n)+\epsilon} \cdots \int_{X_{m}}^{(m/n)+\epsilon} dX_{m+1} \cdots dX_{2} dX_{1},$$

and, by the assumption of induction and (2.2), this is

$$J(\epsilon, n, m) - \frac{\epsilon}{n!} \binom{n}{m+1} \left(1 - \epsilon - \frac{m+1}{n}\right)^{n-m-1} \left(\epsilon + \frac{m+1}{n}\right)^{m},$$

which proves (3.6).

Noting that $J(\epsilon, n, 0) = \frac{1}{n!} [1 - (1 - \epsilon)^n]$, one obtains from (3.6)

$$J(\epsilon, n, k) = \frac{1}{n!} \left[1 - (1 - \epsilon)^n\right] - \frac{\epsilon}{n!} \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{n}{j} \left(1 - \epsilon - \frac{j}{n}\right)^{n-j} \left(\epsilon + \frac{j}{n}\right)^{j-1}.$$

This, together with (3.3) completes the proof of (3.0).

Remark. Setting $F_{n,\epsilon}^-(x) = \max \{F_n(x) - \epsilon, 0\}$, one easily verifies that Prob. $\{F(x) \geq F_{n,\epsilon}^-(x) \text{ for all } x\}$ is equal to $P_n(\epsilon)$, and hence also is given by (3.0).

4. Tabulation of $\epsilon_{n,\alpha}$ and comparison with asymptotic values. Table 1 contains numerical solutions $\epsilon_{n,\alpha}$ of equation (1.2), computed to a number of digits sufficient to assure that $|P_n(\epsilon_{n,\alpha}) - (1-\alpha)| < 5 \cdot 10^{-5}$.

TABLE 1.3 Solutions $\epsilon_{n,\alpha}$ of equation (1.2)

n	.100	.050	`.010	.001
5	.4470	.5094	.6271	.7480
8	.3583	.4096	.5065	.6130
10	.3226	.3687	.4566	.5550
20	.23155	.26473	.3285	.4018
40	.16547	. 18913	.2350	.2877
50	.14840	.16959	.2107	.2581

Setting $z/\sqrt{n} = \tilde{\epsilon}_{n,\alpha}$ in (1.1), one obtains for large n the asymptotic values

(4.1)
$$\tilde{\epsilon}_{n,\alpha} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} \log \frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$

These values are presented in Table 2.

TABLE 2
$$Values of \, \tilde{\epsilon}_{n,\alpha} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} \log \frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

n α	.100	.050	.010	.001
5	.4799	.5473	.6786	.8311
8	.3794	.4327	.5365	.6571
10	.3393	.3870	.4799	.5877
20	.2399	.2737	.3393	.4156
40	.1697	. 1935	.2399	.2938
50	.1517	.1731	.2146	.2628

A comparison of the two tables indicates that, for the probability levels .001 $\leq \alpha \leq$.1, the asymptotic values $\tilde{\epsilon}_{n\alpha}$, are greater than the "exact" values

^{* 3} The authors wish to express their appreciation to the National Bureau of Standards, Institute for Numerical Analysis, for performing the computations which are summarized in this table.

 $\epsilon_{n,\alpha}$ so that the error committed by using $\tilde{\epsilon}_{n,\alpha}$ instead of $\epsilon_{n,\alpha}$ would be in the safe direction, and that this error becomes already very small for n=50.

REFERENCES

- N. SMIRNOV, "Sur les écarts de la courbe de distribution empirique," Rec. Math. (Mat. Sbornik), N. S. Vol. 6 (48) (1939), pp. 3-26.
- [2] A. Wald and J. Wolfowitz, "Confidence limits for continuous distribution functions," Annals of Math. Stat., Vol. 10 (1939), pp. 105-118.

ON THE ESTIMATION OF CENTRAL INTERVALS WHICH CONTAIN ASSIGNED PROPORTIONS OF A NORMAL UNIVARIATE POPULATION

BY G. E. ALBERT AND RALPH B. JOHNSON

University of Tennessee and Clemson Agricultural College

Summary. For samples of any given size $N \geq 2$ from a normal population, Wilks [1] has shown how to choose the parameter λ_p so that the expected coverage of the interval $\bar{x} \pm \lambda_p s$ will be 1 - p. The present paper treats the choice of the minimal sample size N necessary to effect a certain type of statistical control on the fluctuation of that coverage about its expected value; a brief table of such minimal sample sizes is given.

1. Introduction. Let F(y) denote the normal cumulative distribution function

(1)
$$F(y) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{y} e^{-(u-m)^{2}/(2\sigma^{2})} du.$$

If p is any number in the range $0 , factors <math>\lambda(p)$ are well known such that the proportion

(2)
$$A = F(m + \lambda \sigma) - F(m - \lambda \sigma)$$

of the probability between $m \pm \lambda \sigma$ will equal 1 - p.

If m and σ are unknown, it is natural to consider the random variable

(3)
$$A(\bar{y}, s; \lambda) = F(\bar{y} + \lambda s) - F(\bar{y} - \lambda s),$$

where
$$\bar{y} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} y_n/N$$
 and $s = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \bar{y})^2/(N-1) \right\}^{\frac{1}{i}}$.

Obviously λ cannot be chosen to guarantee $A(\bar{y}, s; \lambda) = 1 - p$. S. S. Wilks [1] has shown that, for a random sample of size N, the expectation of (3) is 1 - p,

(4)
$$EA(\bar{y}, s; \lambda) = 1 - p,$$

if the parameter λ is chosen as

$$\lambda = t_p \sqrt{\frac{N+1}{N}}.$$