## MOMENTS OF RANDOMLY STOPPED SUMS

By Y. S. Chow, Herbert Robbins, and Henry Teicher

Purdue University, Columbia University, and Purdue University

**1. Introduction.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$  be a probability space, let  $x_1, x_2, \cdots$  be a sequence of random variables on  $\Omega$ , and let  $\mathfrak{F}_n$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , with  $\mathfrak{F}_0 = (\phi, \Omega)$ . A stopping variable (of the sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ ) is a random variable t on  $\Omega$  with positive integer values such that the event  $[t = n] \varepsilon \mathfrak{F}_n$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ ; then  $S_t = S_{t(\omega)}(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^t x_i$ is a randomly stopped sum. We shall always assume that

(1) 
$$E|x_n| < \infty, \qquad E(x_{n+1} \mid \mathfrak{F}_n) = 0, \qquad (n \ge 1).$$

The moments of  $S_t$  have been investigated since the advent of Sequential Analysis, beginning with Wald [9], whose theorem states that for independent, identically distributed (iid)  $x_i$  with  $Ex_i = 0$ ,  $Et < \infty$  implies that  $ES_t = 0$ . For higher moments of  $S_t$ , the known results [1, 3, 4, 5, 10] are not entirely satisfactory. We shall obtain theorems for  $ES_t^r$  (r=2,3,4); the case r=2 is of special interest in applications. For iid  $x_i$  with  $Ex_i = 0$  and  $Ex_i^2 = \sigma^2 < \infty$ , we shall show that  $Et < \infty$  implies  $ES_t^2 = \sigma^2 Et$ .

**2.** The second moment. It follows from assumption (1) that  $(S_n, \mathfrak{F}_n; n \geq 1)$ is a martingale; i.e., that

(2) 
$$E|S_n| < \infty, \qquad E(S_{n+1} \mid \mathfrak{F}_n) = S_n \qquad (n \ge 1).$$

The following well-known fact ([3], p. 302) will be stated as

LEMMA 1. Let  $(S_n, \mathfrak{F}_n; n \geq 1)$  be a martingale and let t be any stopping variable such that

(3) 
$$E|S_t| < \infty, \quad \liminf_{t>n} |S_n| = 0;$$

then

(4) 
$$E(S_t \mid \mathfrak{F}_n) = S_n \quad \text{if} \quad t \geq n \qquad (n \geq 1),$$

and hence  $ES_t = ES_1$ . LEMMA 2. If  $E\sum_{t=1}^{t}|x_i| < \infty$ , then (3) holds. PROOF.  $|S_t| \leq \sum_{t=1}^{t}|x_i|$ , so that  $E|S_t| < \infty$ , and

$$\lim \int_{[t>n]} |S_n| \le \lim \int_{[t>n]} \sum_{i=1}^{t} |x_i| = 0.$$

In the remainder of this section we shall suppose, in addition to (1) that

$$Ex_n^2 < \infty \qquad (n \ge 1)$$

and we define for  $n \ge 1$ 

Received 31 December 1964.

$$(6) Z_n = S_n^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2.$$

The sequence  $(Z_n, \mathfrak{F}_n; n \geq 1)$  is also a martingale, with  $EZ_1 = 0$ .

For any stopping variable t, let  $t(n) = \min(n, t)$ ; then Lemma 1 applies to  $Z_n$  and t(n), so that  $EZ_{t(n)} = 0$ , and hence

(7) 
$$ES_{t(n)}^{2} = E \sum_{1}^{t(n)} x_{i}^{2}.$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$  we have  $S_{t(n)}^2 \to S_t^2$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{t(n)} x_i^2 \uparrow \sum_{i=1}^{t} x_i^2$ . Hence, by Fatou's lemma and (7),

(8) 
$$ES_t^2 \leq \lim ES_{t(n)}^2 = \lim E \sum_{1}^{t(n)} x_i^2 = E \sum_{1}^t x_i^2.$$

The question now arises under what circumstances equality holds in (8). (By Lemma 1 this will be the case if (3) holds with S replaced by Z, but, as we shall see, this requirement is unnecessarily stringent.) According to (8), we need only consider the case in which  $ES_t^2 < \infty$ , and it will suffice to prove that

(9) 
$$ES_t^2 \ge ES_{t(n)}^2 \qquad (n \ge 1).$$

LEMMA 3. If

$$\lim\inf \int_{[t>n]} |S_n| = 0,$$

then  $ES_t^2 = E \sum_{i=1}^{t} x_i^2$ .

Proof. We may suppose that  $ES_t^2 < \infty$  whence, by (10) and Lemma 1, (4) holds. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ES}_{t}^{2} &= \int_{[t \leq n]} S_{t}^{2} + \int_{[t > n]} (S_{n} + (S_{t} - S_{n}))^{2} \\ &\geq \int_{[t \leq n]} S_{t}^{2} + \int_{[t > n]} S_{n}^{2} + 2 \int_{[t > n]} S_{n} E(S_{t} - S_{n} \mid \mathfrak{T}_{n}) = ES_{t(n)}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4. If

$$\lim\inf \int_{[t>n]} S_n^2 < \infty,$$

then (10) holds.

Proof. Suppose (10) does not hold; then  $\liminf \int_{[t>n]} |S_n| = \epsilon > 0$ . Hence for any constant  $0 < a < \infty$ ,

$$\lim \inf \int_{[t>n]} S_n^2 \ge a \lim \inf \int_{[t>n, |S_n|>a]} |S_n| = a\epsilon,$$

which contradicts (11), since a may be arbitrarily large.

LEMMA 5. If  $E \sum_{i=1}^{t} x_i^2 < \infty$ , then (11) holds.

Proof. Setting  $\overline{S_0} = 0$  we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{[t>n]} S_n^{\ 2} = \ \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \int_{[t>i]} S_i^{\ 2} - \int_{[t>i-1]} S_{i-1}^2 \right) \\ & \leq \ \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{[t\geq i]} \left( S_i^{\ 2} - S_{i-1}^2 \right) \ \leq \ \sum_1^\infty \int_{[t\geq i]} x_i^{\ 2} = E \sum_1^t x_i^{\ 2} < \ \infty \,. \end{split}$$

From Lemmas 1-5 we have

THEOREM 1. Let  $(S_n, \mathfrak{F}_n; n \geq 1)$  be a martingale with  $ES_n^2 < \infty$  and let t be any stopping variable. Set  $x_1 = S_1$ ,  $x_{n+1} = S_{n+1} - S_n$ . Then

$$(12) ES_t^2 \le E \sum_{i=1}^t x_i^2.$$

If any one of the four conditions

(13) 
$$\lim \inf \int_{[t>n]} |S_n| = 0, \qquad \lim \inf \int_{[t>n]} S_n^2 < \infty,$$
$$E \sum_{i=1}^{t} |x_i| < \infty, \qquad E \sum_{i=1}^{t} x_i^2 < \infty$$

holds, then

$$ES_t^2 = E \sum_{1}^{t} x_i^2.$$

If either  $E\sum_{i=1}^{t}|x_{i}|<\infty$  or  $E\sum_{i=1}^{t}x_{i}^{2}<\infty$ , then (3) and (4) hold.

Theorem 1 generalizes (a) and (b) of Theorem II of [1]. In order to apply it, we first verify

Lemma 6. For any stopping variable t and any r > 0,

$$E \sum_{1}^{t} |x_{i}|^{r} = E \sum_{1}^{t} E(|x_{i}|^{r} | \mathfrak{F}_{i-1}).$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{split} E \sum_{1}^{t} |x_{i}|^{r} &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t=j]} \sum_{i=1}^{j} |x_{i}|^{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t \ge i]} |x_{i}|^{r} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t \ge i]} E(|x_{i}|^{r} | \mathfrak{F}_{i-1}) = E \sum_{1}^{t} E(|x_{i}|^{r} | \mathfrak{F}_{i-1}). \end{split}$$

For independent  $x_n$ , we have from Theorem 1 and Lemmas 1 and 6

THEOREM 2. Let  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $\cdots$  be independent with  $Ex_n = 0$ ,  $E|x_n| = a_n$ ,  $Ex_n^2 = \sigma_n^2 < \infty (n \ge 1)$  and let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ . Then if t is a stopping variable, either of the two relations

(15) 
$$E\sum_{1}^{t} a_{i} < \infty, \qquad E\sum_{1}^{t} \sigma_{i}^{2} < \infty$$

implies that  $ES_t = 0$  and

(16) 
$$ES_t^2 = E\sum_{1}^{t} x_i^2 = E\sum_{1}^{t} \sigma_i^2.$$

If  $\sigma_n^2 = \sigma^2 < \infty$ , then  $Et < \infty$  implies

(17) 
$$ES_t^2 = E \sum_{i=1}^{t} x_i^2 = \sigma^2 Et.$$

Some stronger sufficient conditions for (17) have been given in ([10], [1], [5], [3] (p. 351), [4]).

COROLLARY 1. Let  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $\cdots$  be independent with  $Ex_n = 0$ ,  $Ex_n^2 = 1$ , and define  $t^*(\text{resp. } t_*) = 1$ st  $n \ge 1$  such that  $|S_n| > n^{\frac{1}{2}}(\text{resp. } <) (= \infty \text{ otherwise})$ . Then  $Et^* = Et_* = \infty$ .

PROOF. If  $Et^* < \infty$ , then  $t^*$  is a genuine stopping variable, i.e.,  $P(t^* < \infty) = 1$ , and by the definition of  $t^*$  and (17),

$$Et^* = ES_{t^*}^2 > Et^*,$$

a contradiction; similarly for  $t_*$ .

We note that both  $t^*$  and  $t_*$  are genuine stopping variables if the  $x_n$  are, in addition, identically distributed.

The example  $P[x_n = 1] = P[x_n = -1] = \frac{1}{2}$  shows that the > (<) cannot be

replaced by  $\geq (\leq)$ , since  $Ex_n = 0$ ,  $Ex_n^2 = 1$ , and  $t^* = t_* = 1$ . On the other hand, if  $t^*$  is redefined as the first n > 1 for which  $|S_n| \geq n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $Et^*$  is again infinite; similarly for  $t_*$ .

Corollary 1 is a generalization of Theorem 1 of [2]. The following corollary generalizes Theorem 2 of [2].

COROLLARY 2. Let  $x_1, x_2, \cdots$  be independent with  $Ex_n = 0$ ,  $Ex_n^2 = 1$ ,  $P[|x_n| \le a < \infty] = 1$ . For 0 < c < 1 and  $m = 1, 2, \cdots$ , define  $t = first \ n \ge m$  such that  $|S_n| > cn^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Then  $Et < \infty$ .

PROOF. For  $k = m, m + 1, \dots$ , put  $t' = \min(t, k)$  and  $A_k = [\omega : m < t \le k]$ . Then t' is a stopping variable and by Theorem 2

$$kP[t > k] + \int_{[t \le k]} t = Et' = ES_{t'}^2 = \int_{[t > k]} S_k^2 + \int_{[t \le k]} S_t^2$$

or

$$kP[t > k] + \int_{A_k} t \le c^2 k P[t > k] + \int_{A_k} (ct^{\frac{1}{2}} + a)^2 + m.$$

Hence

$$(1-c^2)(kP[t>k]+\int_{A_k}t) \leq 2ac\int_{A_k}t^{\frac{1}{2}}+O(1).$$

Therefore, as  $k \to \infty$ ,  $\int_{A_k} t = O(1)$  and  $P[t > k] = O(k^{-1}) = o(1)$ , so that t is a genuine stopping variable and  $Et < \infty$ .

COROLLARY 3. If  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ , are iid with  $Ex_n = 0$ ,  $Ex_n^2 = \sigma^2$ ,  $P[|x_n| \le a < \infty] = 1$ , and if  $ES_t^2 < \infty$  for a stopping variable t, then  $Et < \infty$  if and only if (18)  $\lim \inf nP[t > n] = 0.$ 

PROOF. The "only if" part is obvious. Now suppose (18) holds. Then since  $\int_{[t>n]} |S_n| \le anP[t>n]$ , the first condition of (13) holds and hence  $\sigma^2 Et = ES_t^2 < \infty$ , so that  $Et < \infty$  if  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . (If  $\sigma^2 = 0$ , then  $P[x_n = 0] = 1$  and hence t is equal a.e. to a fixed positive integer, so  $Et < \infty$  in this case too.)

Applied to the case  $P[x_i = 1] = P[x_i = -1] = \frac{1}{2}$ , with  $t = \text{first } n \ge 1$  such that  $S_t = 1$ , we have by Wald's theorem  $Et = \infty$ , but by Corollary 3 the stronger result  $\lim \inf nP[t > n] > 0$ .

COROLLARY 4. Let  $(x_n, n \ge 1)$  satisfy  $E(x_{n+1} \mid \mathfrak{F}_n) = 0$  and let  $E(x_{n+1}^2 \mid \mathfrak{F}_n) = \sigma_{n+1}^2 < \infty$  be constant for  $n \ge 0$ . Then for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$P[\max_{n \le m} |S_n| \ge \epsilon] \le \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{1}^{m} \sigma_n^{2}.$$

If moreover  $\sup_{n\geq 1} |x_n| = z$  with  $Ez < \infty$ , then

(19) 
$$P[\max_{n \leq m} |S_n| \geq \epsilon] \geq 1 - [E(\epsilon + z)^2 / \sum_{1}^m \sigma_n^2].$$

PROOF. Define  $t = \text{first } n \geq 1 \text{ such that } |S_n| \geq \epsilon$ . Then  $t' = \min(t, m)$  is a bounded stopping variable. Hence, by (14) and Lemma 6,

$$\epsilon^2 P[\max_{n \leq m} |S_n| \geq \epsilon] = \epsilon^2 P[t \leq m] \leq E S_{t'}^2 = E \sum_{1}^{t'} \sigma_n^2 \leq \sum_{1}^{m} \sigma_n^2.$$

If  $Ez < \infty$ , then

$$E(\epsilon + z)^2 \ge ES_{t'}^2 = E\sum_1^{t'} \sigma_n^2 \ge \int_{[t \ge m]} \sum_1^m \sigma_j^2 = (\sum_1^m \sigma_j^2) P[t \ge m]$$

and (19) holds.

The first part of Corollary 4 is a special case of submartingale inequalities ([6], p. 391), and the second part generalizes slightly one of the Kolmogorov inequalities ([6], p. 235) which requires that z be constant.

**3.** The fourth moment. The analysis in the case of the fourth moment of  $S_t$  is somewhat easier than that of the third moment and consequently is presented first. In this section  $Ex_n^4$  will be supposed finite and  $Ex_1 = 0$ . Define for r = 1, 2, 3, 4, and  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ 

(20) 
$$u_{r,n} = E(x_n^r \mid \mathfrak{F}_{n-1}), \qquad U_{r,n} = \sum_{1}^n u_{r,j},$$
$$v_{r,n} = E(|x_n|^r \mid \mathfrak{F}_{n-1}), \qquad V_{r,n} = \sum_{1}^n v_{r,j},$$
$$T_{r,n} = \sum_{1}^n |x_j|^r, \qquad T_{1,n} = T_n.$$

In these terms, Lemma 6 asserts that  $ET_{r,t} = EV_{r,t}$  .

LEMMA 7. If  $ES_t^2 < \infty$  and  $\liminf \int_{[t>n]} |S_n| = 0$ , then

$$E(S_t^2 \mid \mathfrak{T}_n) \geq S_n^2$$
 and  $E(|S_t| \mid \mathfrak{T}_n) \geq |S_n|$  for  $t > n$ .

Proof. For any  $A \in \mathfrak{F}_n$ , by Lemma 1

$$\int_{A[t>n]} S_t^2 = \int_{A[t>n]} \left[ S_n^2 + 2S_n (S_t - S_n) + (S_t - S_n)^2 \right] \ge \int_{A[t>n]} S_n^2.$$

Hence the first inequality of the lemma holds, and the second inequality follows immediately from Lemma 1 and the fact that  $E(|S_t| | \mathfrak{F}_n) \ge |E(S_t| \mathfrak{F}_n)|$ .

THEOREM 3. If t is a stopping variable such that  $E[t \sum_{1}^{t} E(x_{j}^{4} | \mathfrak{F}_{j-1})] < \infty$ , then  $ES_{t}^{4} < \infty$  and

(21) 
$$ES_t^4 = EU_{4,t} + 4ES_tU_{3,t} + 6ES_t^2U_{2,t} - 6E\sum_{1}^t u_{2,j}U_{2,j}.$$

PROOF. Set  $Y_n = S_n^4 - 6S_n^2 U_{2,n} - 4S_n U_{3,n} - U_{4,n} + 6 \sum_{j=1}^n u_{2,j} U_{2,j}$  and  $t' = \min(t, k)$ . Since  $\{Y_n, \mathfrak{F}_n : n \geq 1\}$  is a martingale with  $EY_1 = 0$ , by Lemma 1,

$$ES_{t'}^{4} = 6ES_{t'}^{2}U_{2,t'} + 4ES_{t'}U_{3,t'} + EU_{4,t'} - 6E(\sum_{j=1}^{t'} u_{2,j}U_{2,j})$$

$$\leq 6(E^{\frac{1}{2}}S_{t'}^{4})(E^{\frac{1}{2}}U_{2,t'}^{2}) + 4(E^{\frac{1}{2}}S_{t'}^{4})(E^{3/4}V_{3,t'}^{4/3}) + EU_{4,t'},$$

whence, if  $ES_{t'}^4 > 0$ ,

$$(22) \quad E^{\frac{1}{2}}S^{4}_{t'} \ \leq \ 6E^{\frac{1}{2}}U^{2}_{2,t'} \ + \ 4(E^{3/4}V^{4/3}_{3,t'})(ES^{4}_{t'})^{-\frac{1}{4}} \ + \ (EU_{4,t'})(ES^{4}_{t'})^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Now if p > 1, r > 0,

$$(23) V_{r,n} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\{|x_{j}|^{r} \mid \mathfrak{F}_{j-1}\} \le n^{(p-1)/p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} E^{p}\{|x_{j}|^{r} \mid \mathfrak{F}_{j-1}\}\right)^{1/p}$$

$$\le n^{(p-1)/p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} E\{|x_{j}|^{pr} \mid \mathfrak{F}_{j-1}\}\right)^{1/p} = n^{(p-1)/p} V_{nr,n}^{1/p}$$

and thus setting p = 2, r = 2 and then  $p = \frac{4}{3}$ , r = 3,

$$(24) \hspace{1cm} EU_{2,t}^2 = EV_{2,t}^2 \leqq EtV_{4,t} < \infty, \hspace{1cm} EV_{3,t}^{4/3} \leqq Et^{\natural}V_{4,t} < \infty.$$

Moreover,  $EU_{4,t} \leq E(tU_{4,t}) < \infty$  and  $E(\sum_{j=1}^t u_{2,j}U_{2,j}) \leq EU_{2,t}^2 < \infty$ . Thus,

the LHS of (22) is a bounded function of k, implying via Fatou's lemma that  $ES_t^4 < \infty$ .

Since  $|Y_n| \le S_n^4 + 6S_n^2 U_{2,n} + 4 |S_n| V_{3,n} + U_{4,n} + 6 \sum_{i=1}^n u_{2,i} U_{2,i} = Y_n'$ (say), it follows from the preceding that

$$E|Y_t| \le EY_t' \le ES_t^4 + 6(E^{\frac{1}{2}}S_t^4)(E^{\frac{1}{2}}U_{2,t}^2)$$

$$+4(E^{\frac{1}{4}}S_t^4)(E^{3/4}V_{3,t}^{4/3})+EU_{4,t}+6EU_{2,t}^2<\infty.$$

From (24),  $ET_{2,t} = EU_{2,t} < \infty$ . Thus, (8) of Section 2 and Lemmas 4 and 5 are valid, whence by Lemma 7,  $E\{S_t^2 \mid \mathfrak{F}_k\} \geq S_k^2$  for  $t > k, k = 1, 2, \cdots$ . Consequently,

$$\int_{[t>n]} S_t^4 = \int_{[t>n]} [S_n^4 + 2S_n^2 (S_t^2 - S_n^2) + (S_t^2 - S_n^2)^2 \ge \int_{[t>n]} S_n^4 + 2 \int_{[t>n]} S_n^2 E\{S_t^2 - S_n^2 \mid \mathfrak{F}_n\} \ge \int_{[t>n]} S_n^4$$

implying  $\int_{[t>n]} S_n^4 = o(1)$  and concomitantly

$$\int_{[t>n]} S_n^2 U_{2,n} \leq \left( \int_{[t>n]} S_n^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{[t>n]} U_{2,t}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = o(1),$$

$$\int_{[t>n]} |S_n| V_{3,n} \leq \left( \int_{[t>n]} S_n^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{[t>n]} V_{3,t}^{4/3} \right)^{3/4} = o(1),$$

$$\int_{[t>n]} U_{4,n} \leq \int_{[t>n]} U_{4,t} = o(1),$$

$$\int_{[t>n]} \sum_{j=1}^n u_{2,j} U_{2,j} \leq \int_{[t>n]} U_{2,n}^2 \leq \int_{[t>n]} U_{2,t}^2 = o(1).$$

Thus,  $\int_{[t>n]} |Y_n| \le \int_{[t>n]} Y_n' = o(1)$  and by Lemma 1,  $EY_t = EY_1 = 0$ . Alternative expressions for  $ES_t^4$  are possible as indicated in

THEOREM 4. If 
$$E(t \sum_{j=1}^{t} E\{x_j^4 \mid \mathfrak{F}_{j-1}\}) < \infty$$
, then setting  $S_0 = 0$ ,

$$ES_t^4 = 6E \sum_{j=1}^t S_{j-1}^2 u_{2,j} + 4E \sum_{j=1}^t S_{j-1} u_{3,j} + EU_{4,t}$$

The proof of Theorem 4 is similar to that of Theorem 3 and will be omitted. Corollary. If  $E(tU_{4,t}) < \infty$ , then

$$E(6 \sum_{j=1}^{t} S_{j-1}^{2} u_{2,j} + 4 \sum_{j=2}^{t} S_{j-1} u_{3,j})$$

$$= 6ES_{t}^{2} U_{2,t} + 4ES_{t} U_{3,t} - 6E(\sum_{j=1}^{t} u_{2,j} U_{2,j}),$$

It is intuitively clear that terms with like coefficients are equal, and indeed we have

Lemma 8. If  $E(tU_{4,t}) < \infty$ , then  $ES_tU_{3,t} = E(\sum_{j=2}^t S_{j-1}u_{3,j})$  and  $E(S_t^2U_{2,t}) = E(\sum_{j=2}^t S_{j-1}^2 u_{2,j}) + E(\sum_{j=1}^t u_{2,j}U_{2,j})$ .

Proof. It suffices to verify the first of the two relationships since the second will then follow from the corollary to Theorem 4. Suppose first that

(26) 
$$E(\sum_{j=1}^{t} |x_j| V_{r,j}) < \infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t=k]} \sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{j} U_{r,j} &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t \geq j]} x_{j} U_{r,j} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t \geq j]} E(x_{j} \mid \mathfrak{F}_{j-1}) U_{r,j} = 0, \end{split}$$

whence

(27) 
$$E(\sum_{j=1}^{t} S_{j-1}u_{r,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t-k]} \left[ \sum_{j=2}^{k} S_{j-1}u_{r,j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{j}U_{r,j} \right] \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t-k]} S_{k}U_{r,k} \\ = ES_{t}U_{r,t}.$$

Thus, if  $t' = \min(t, N)$ , (27) holds with t replaced by t' irrespective of (26). However,

(28) 
$$ES_{t}U_{3,t} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{[t=k]} S_{k}U_{3,k} + \int_{[t>N]} S_{t}U_{3,t}$$

$$= ES_{t'}U_{3,t'} - \int_{[t>N]} S_{N}U_{3,N} + \int_{[t>N]} S_{t}U_{3,t},$$

and analogously

(29) 
$$E(\sum_{j=2}^{t} S_{j-1}u_{3,j}) = E(\sum_{j=2}^{t'} S_{j-1}u_{3,j}) - \int_{[t>N]} \sum_{j=2}^{N} S_{j-1}u_{3,j} + \int_{[t>N]} \sum_{j=2}^{t} S_{j-1}u_{3,j}.$$

Now  $E[S_tU_{3,t}] \leq EY_t' < \infty$ , and employing Lemma 7,

$$E \sum_{1}^{t} |S_{j-1}u_{3,j}| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t=k]} \sum_{1}^{k} |S_{j-1}u_{3,j}| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t\geq j]} |S_{j-1}u_{3,j}|$$

$$\leq \sum_{1}^{\infty} \int_{[t\geq j]} |S_{t}u_{3,j}| \leq E |S_{t}| V_{3,t} \leq E Y_{t}' < \infty.$$

These facts plus (25) imply that all unwanted terms of (28) and (29) are o(1) and the result follows.

Identities and inequalities analogous to (27) abound and several of these will be catalogued as

Lemma 9.  $E(\sum_{n=1}^{t} S_n^2) \leq EtS_t^2$  under the conditions of Lemma 7.

$$E(\sum_{n=1}^{t} S_n) = EtS_t \text{ if } EtT_t < \infty.$$

$$E(\sum_{n=1}^{t} T_n) \leq EtT_t \text{ if } EtT_t < \infty.$$

Proof.

$$E \sum_{n=1}^{t} S_{n}^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t=k]} \sum_{n=1}^{k} S_{n}^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t\geq n]} S_{n}^{2} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t\geq n]} E(S_{t}^{2} \mid \mathfrak{F}_{n})$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t\geq n]} S_{t}^{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \int_{[t=k]} S_{t}^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \int_{[t=k]} S_{t}^{2} = EtS_{t}^{2}$$

employing Lemma 7. Similarly,

$$E(\,\sum{}_{n=1}^t\,T_n)\,=\,\sum{}_{n=1}^\infty\,\int_{\,[\,t\geqq\,n]}\,T_n\,\leqq\,\sum{}_{n=1}^\infty\,\int_{\,[\,t\geqq\,n]}\,T_{\,t}\,=\,EtT_{\,t}\,.$$

Finally,

$$E(\sum_{n=1}^{t} S_{n}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\{t \geq n\}} S_{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t \geq n]} E(S_{t} \mid \mathfrak{F}_{n}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t \geq n]} S_{t} = EtS_{t}$$

in view of Lemmas 1 and 2 and the validity of interchanging the order of summation and integration.

**4. The third moment.** In this section  $E(|x_n|^3)$  will be supposed finite and  $Ex_1 = 0$ . Define

(30) 
$$Y_{n} = S_{n}^{3} - 3S_{n}U_{2,n} - U_{3,n},$$

$$W_{n} = S_{n}^{3} - 3\sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{j-1}u_{2,j} - U_{3,n},$$

$$Z_{n} = S_{n}^{3} - 3\sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{j}u_{2,j} - U_{3,n}.$$

It is readily checked that  $(Y_n, \mathfrak{F}_n; n \geq 1)$ ,  $(W_n, \mathfrak{F}_n; n > 1)$ ,  $(Z_n, \mathfrak{F}_n; n > 1)$  are all martingales and that  $EY_1 = EW_1 = EZ_1 = 0$ .

THEOREM 5. If  $EV_{3,t} < \infty$  and  $EV_{1,t}^3 < \infty$ , or equivalently if  $ET_t^3 < \infty$ , then  $E |S_t|^3 < \infty$  and  $ES_t^3 = 3E(\sum_{j=1}^t S_{j-1}u_{2,j}) + EU_{3,t}$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $EV_{3,t} < \infty$ ,  $EV_{1,t}^3 < \infty$ . (Their equivalence with  $ET_t^3 < \infty$  will be deferred to Lemma 10). Then

$$(31) E |S_{t}|^{3} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t=k]} \sum_{n=1}^{k} (|S_{n}|^{3} - |S_{n-1}|^{3}) \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{k} \int_{[t=k]} (|x_{n}|^{3} + 3|S_{n-1}|x_{n}|^{2} + 3S_{n-1}^{2}|x_{n}|)$$

$$\le 6 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{k} \int_{[t=k]} (|x_{n}|^{3} + S_{n-1}^{2}|x_{n}|)$$

$$= 6[E(\sum_{n=1}^{t} |x_{n}|^{3}) + E(\sum_{n=1}^{t} S_{n-1}^{2}|x_{n}|)].$$

By Lemma 6,

(32) 
$$E(\sum_{n=1}^{t} |x_n|^3) = EV_{3,t} < \infty.$$

On the other hand,  $ES_t^2 \leq ET_t^2 \leq 1 + ET_t^3 < \infty$  and

$$\int_{[t>k]} |S_k| \le \int_{[t>k]} T_k \le \int_{[t>k]} T_t \le \int_{[t>k]} (1 + T_t^3) = o(1)$$

in view of the asserted equivalence. Thus, Lemma 7 holds, whence

$$E(\sum_{n=1}^{t} S_{n-1}^{2} |x_{n}|)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{k} \int_{[t=k]} S_{n-1}^{2} |x_{n}| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t\geq n]} S_{n-1}^{2} v_{1,n}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t\geq n]} E(S_{t}^{2} | \mathfrak{F}_{n-1}) v_{1,n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t\geq n]} S_{t}^{2} v_{1,n}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{k} \int_{[t=k]} S_{t}^{2} v_{1,n} = ES_{t}^{2} V_{1,t} \leq (E^{2/3} |S_{t}|^{3}) (E^{4} V_{1,t}^{3}).$$

Replace t by  $t' = \min(t, k)$  in (31). Then from (32) and (33),

 $E |S_{\iota'}|^3 \le 6EV_{3,\iota'} + 6(E^{2/3} |S_{\iota'}|^3)(E_{\iota}^{\frac{1}{3}}V_{1,\iota'}^3) = O(1) + O(1)E^{2/3} |S_{\iota'}|^3$  whence, by Fatou's lemma,

$$(34) E |S_t|^3 < \infty.$$

Next, (34) implies that the expectation in the LHS of (33) is finite whence

(35) 
$$E(\sum_{n=1}^{t} |S_{n-1}| u_{2,n}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\{t \ge n\}} |S_{n-1}| x_n^2 = E(\sum_{n=1}^{t} |S_{n-1}| x_n^2)$$
$$\leq E[\sum_{n=1}^{t} (|x_n|^3 + |S_{n-1}|^2 |x_n|)] < \infty.$$

Combining (34) and (35),  $E|W_t| < \infty$ . Since, paralleling (31),

$$\int_{[t>k]} |S_k|^3 \le 6 \int_{[t>k]} \sum_{n=1}^k (|x_n|^3 + S_{n-1}^2 |x_n|) = o(1),$$

 $\int_{[t>k]} |W_k| = o(1)$  and the theorem follows from Lemma 1.

LEMMA 10.  $EV_{3,t} < \infty$  and  $EV_{1,t}^3 < \infty$  if and only if  $ET_t^3 < \infty$ .

PROOF. Suppose  $EV_{3,t} < \infty$  and  $EV_{1,t}^3 < \infty$ . The argument of (31) with  $T_t$  replacing  $S_t$  yields

$$ET_t^3 \le 6 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{k} \int_{[t=k]} (|x_n|^3 + T_{n-1}^2 |x_n|).$$

The inequality of (33) also obtains with T replacing S in view of the fact that  $T_t \geq T_{n-1}$  on the set  $[t \geq n]$ . Thus, analogously,  $ET_{t'}^3 \leq O(1) + O(1)E^{2/3}T_{t'}^3$ , implying  $ET_t^3 < \infty$ . Conversely, if  $ET_t^3 < \infty$ , clearly  $EV_{3,t} = ET_{3,t} \le ET_t^3 < \infty$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{split} EV_{1,t'}^3 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t'=j]} \sum_{n=1}^{j} \left(V_{1,n}^3 - V_{1,n-1}^3\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{j} \int_{[t'=j]} \left(v_{1,n}^3 + 3V_{1,n-1}^2 v_{1,n} + 3V_{1,n-1} v_{1,n}^2\right) \\ & \leq O(1) + 6 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{j} \int_{[t'=j]} V_{1,n-1}^2 v_{1,n} \\ & = O(1) + 6 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{[t'\geq n]} \left|x_n\right| V_{1,n-1}^2 \\ & \leq O(1) + 6 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \int_{[t'=j]} \left|x_n\right| V_{1,t'}^2 \\ & \leq O(1) + O(1) E^{2/3} V_{1,t'}^3, \end{split}$$

which implies, as earlier, that  $EV_{1,t}^3 < \infty$  and completes the proof.

THEOREM 6. If  $ET_t^3 < \infty$  and  $Et^{\frac{1}{2}}V_{3,t} < \infty$ ,  $ES_t^{\frac{3}{2}} = 3ES_tU_{2,t} + EU_{3,t} < \infty$ . Proof. As in Theorem 5, after setting  $p = \frac{3}{2}$ , r = 2 in (23) of Section 3 to obtain

$$E |S_t U_{2,t}| \le (E^{\frac{1}{3}} |S_t|^3) (E^{2/3} U_{2,t}^{3/2}) \le (E^{\frac{1}{3}} |S_t|^3) (E^{2/3} t^{\frac{1}{2}} V_{3,t}).$$

COROLLARY 1. Under the conditions of Theorem 6,  $E(\sum_{i=1}^{t} x_i u_{2,i}) = 0$ .

Proof. Analogously,  $EZ_t = 0$ , whence  $E(W_t - Z_t) = 0$ .

COROLLARY 2. Under the conditions of Theorem 6,  $ES_tU_{2,t} = E(\sum_{j=1}^t S_{j-1}u_{2,j})$ .

The single requirement  $ET_t^3 < \infty$ , although equivalent to the two conditions of Theorem 5, is difficult to check. The following single condition is easily seen to imply all those of Theorems 5 and 6:

$$(36) E(t^2V_{3,t})' < \infty,$$

and in addition yields

$$ET_{t}^{3} = 3ET_{t}^{2}V_{1,t} + 3ET_{t}(V_{2,t} - 2\sum_{j=1}^{t}V_{1,j}v_{1,j}) + EV_{3,t} - 3E(\sum_{j=1}^{t}V_{1,j}v_{2,j}) - 3E(\sum_{j=1}^{t}V_{2,j}v_{1,j}) + 6E(\sum_{j=1}^{t}v_{1,j}\sum_{i=1}^{j}V_{1,i}v_{1,i}).$$

5. Sums of independent random variables. In this section, the random variables  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $\cdots$  will be supposed independent. If  $Ex_n = 0$ , all prior theorems are,

of course, applicable but may be reformulated in especially simple terms with conditions that are susceptible of immediate verification. For example, from Theorems 3 and 6, we obtain:

THEOREM 7. If  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $\cdots$  are independent with  $Ex_n = 0$ ,  $Ex_n^2 = \sigma^2$ ,  $Ex_n^3 = \gamma$ ,  $Ex_n^4 = \beta < \infty$  and t is a stopping rule with  $Et^2 < \infty$ , then  $ES_t^4 < \infty$  and

$$ES_t^{4} = 6\sigma^{2}EtS_t^{2} + 4\gamma EtS_t + \beta Et - 3\sigma^{4}Et(t+1).$$

Theorem 8. If  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $\cdots$  are independent with  $Ex_n = 0$ ,  $Ex_n^2 = \sigma^2$ ,  $Ex_n^3 = \gamma$ ,  $E|x_n|^3 \leq C < \infty$ , and if t is a stopping variable with  $Et^3 < \infty$ , then  $ES_t^3 = \gamma Et + 3\sigma^2 EtS_t < \infty$ .

PROOF. According to Theorem 6 and Lemma 10, it suffices to verify that

$$EV_{3,t} \le E(t^{\frac{1}{2}}V_{3,t}) \le CEt^{\frac{3}{2}} < \infty,$$
  
 $EV_{3,t}^{3} \le E[t(1+C)]^{3} < \infty.$ 

In the final theorem, the requirement of Theorem 8 that  $Et^3 < \infty$  will be relaxed at the expense of increasing the moment assumptions on  $x_n$ .

THEOREM 9. If  $x_1, x_2, \cdots$  are independent with  $Ex_n = 0$ ,  $Ex_n^2 = \sigma^2$ ,  $Ex_n^3 = \gamma$ ,  $Ex_n^4 \leq C < \infty$ , and if t is a stopping variable with  $Et^2 < \infty$ , then  $ES_t^3 = \gamma Et + 3\sigma^2 Et S_t$ .

PROOF. Here, the martingale  $Y_n$  of (30) simplifies to  $Y_n = S_n^3 - 3\sigma^2 n S_n - n\gamma$ . The theorem will follow from Lemmas 1 and 2 once it is established that  $E\sum_1^t |Y_{n+1} - Y_n| = E\sum_1^t E(|Y_{n+1} - Y_n| | \mathfrak{F}_n) < \infty$ . Now if B and D are finite constants (not necessarily the same in each appearance),

$$E(|S_{n+1}^3 - S_n^3| | \mathfrak{F}_n) \le 6E(|x_{n+1}|^3 + S_n^2 | x_{n+1}| | \mathfrak{F}_n) \le BS_n^2 + D,$$

$$E(|(n+1)S_{n+1} - nS_n| | \mathfrak{F}_n) = E(|S_n + (n+1)x_{n+1}| | \mathfrak{F}_n) \le S_n^2 + nD,$$

whence

$$E(|Y_{n+1} - Y_n| | \mathfrak{F}_n) \le BS_n^2 + nD.$$

Next, Lemma 9 is applicable below since (17) insures  $ES_t^2 < \infty$  while Lemmas 6 and 2 guarantee (10). Consequently,

$$\begin{split} E \, \sum_{1}^{t} E(|Y_{n+1} - Y_{n}| \, | \, \mathfrak{F}_{n}) \, & \leq B \cdot E(\sum_{1}^{t} S_{n}^{\, 2}) \, + \, D \cdot Et(t+1) \\ & \leq B \cdot EtS_{t}^{\, 2} + \, D \cdot Et(t+1) \\ & \leq B \cdot (E^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{2}) (E^{\frac{1}{2}} S_{t}^{\, 4}) \, + \, D \cdot Et(t+1) \, < \, \infty \, . \end{split}$$

## REFERÉNCES

- Blackwell, D. and Girshick, M. A. (1947). A lower bound for the variance of some unbiased sequential estimates. Ann. Math. Statist. 18 277-280.
- [2] BLACKWELL, D. and FRIEDMAN, D. (1964). A remark on the coin tossing game. Ann. Math. Satist. 35 1345-1347.
- [3] Doob, J. L. (1953). Stochastic Processes. Wiley, New York.
- [4] Johnson, N. L. (1959). A proof of Wald's theorem on cumulative sums. Ann. Math. Statist. 30 1245-1247.

- [5] Kolmogorov, A. N. and Prohorov, Y. (1949). On sums of a random number of random terms. Uspehi Mat. Nauk 4 168-172 (In Russian).
- [6] Loève, M. (1960). Probability Theory. (2nd ed.). Van Nostrand, Princeton.
- [7] SEITZ, J. and WINKELBAUER, K. (1953). Remarks concerning a paper of Kolmogorov and Prohorov. *Czechoslovak. Math. J.* 3 89-91. (In Russian, with English summary.)
- [8] WINKELBAUER, K. (1953). Moments of cumulative sums of random variables. Czechoslovak. Math. J. 3 93-108.
- [9] Wald, A. (1944). On cumulative sums of random variables. Ann. Math. Statist. 15 283-296.
- [10] WOLFOWITZ, J. (1947). The efficiency of sequential estimates. Ann. Math. Statist. 18 215-230.