NOTES

ON EXTENDED RATE OF CONVERGENCE RESULTS FOR THE INVARIANCE PRINCIPLE

By C. C. HEYDE

Australian National University

Let $\{X_i, i=1, 2, 3, \cdots\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables for which $EX_i=0$, var $X_i=\sigma^2$, $E|X_i|^{2+a}=b<\infty$, a>0. C[0, 1] is the space of continuous real-valued functions on the interval [0, 1] with the sup norm topology. We define a "random broken line" as follows:

$$\xi_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i / (\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}}) \qquad \text{for } t = n^{-1}k$$

$$\xi_n(t) = \xi_n(n^{-1}k) + n[\xi_n(n^{-1}(k+1)) - \xi_n(n^{-1}k)](t - n^{-1}k)$$

$$\text{for } n^{-1}k \le t \le n^{-1}(k+1), 1 \le k \le n.$$

THEOREM. Let g be any uniformly continuous functional, $g: C[0, 1] \rightarrow real$ line, such that there exists a constant L > 0 with the property that

$$|\Pr\{g(\xi(t)) \le x + h\} - \Pr\{g(\xi(t)) \le x\}| \le L|h|$$

where $\xi(t)$ is the standard Wiener process. Then, there exists a constant A > 0 such that for all n > 1,

(1)
$$|\Pr\{g(\xi_n(t)) \le x\} - \Pr\{g(\xi(t)) \le x\}| \le A(\log n)^{\lambda} n^{-\mu},$$

where $\lambda = (1 + \frac{1}{2}a)/(a+3)(<\frac{1}{2})$, $\mu = \min(a, 1 + \frac{1}{2}a)/2(a+3)$. This result extends that of Theorem 5 of Rosenkrantz [3] where the bound (1) is, in essence, given in the form

$$|\Pr\{g(\xi_n(t)) \le x\} - \Pr\{g(\xi(t)) \le x\}| \le A (\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{-\mu}$$

subject to the restriction that $a \leq 2$. Only minor modifications of the work of Rosenkrantz and necessary to furnish this extension; we shall just indicate these and refer the reader to [3] for full details of the proof.

The condition $a \leq 2$ was imposed by Rosenkrantz as a consequence of employing a moment inequality of von Bahr and Esseen [1] which is valid for exponent $r, 1 \leq r \leq 2$ (note that 2r = 2 + a). This is used to obtain the vital inequality (25) of [3]. For the case a > 2 (r > 2), we make use of a result of Dharmadhikari, Fabian and Jogdeo [2] which gives in place of (25),

$$(2) E|z_{nn}|^r \leq C_r n^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu_n,$$

where $C_r = [8(r-1) \max (1, 2^{r-3})]^r$.

Thus, combining (2) with (25) of [3], we can replace the estimate (27) of [3]

Received 14 February 1969.

by the general estimate

(3)
$$\Pr\left(\max_{1 \le k \le n} |z_{nk}| > \delta\right) \le \max\left(2, C_r\right) n^{-a} {}^{(r)} \delta^{-r}$$

where $a(r) = \min (r - 1, \frac{1}{2}r), r > 1$.

The details of proof of [3] are then followed exactly up to the stage of choosing ϵ_n and δ_n tending to zero at appropriate rates. Instead of the versions chosen in (37), we employ

$$\delta_n = n^{-2a(r)(2r+1)-1} (\beta \log n)^{-(2r+1)-1}, \qquad \epsilon_n^2 = 2\beta \delta_n \log n,$$

where $\beta > 1 + a(r)/(2r + 1)$. The result of the theorem then follows as with [3].

REFERENCES

- [1] Bahr, B. von and Esseen, C. G. (1965). Inequalities for the rth absolute moment of a sum of random variables, $1 \le r \le 2$. Ann. Math. Statist. 36 299-303.
- [2] DHARMADHIKARI, S. W., FABIAN, V. and JOGDEO, K. (1968). Bounds on the moments of martingales. Ann. Math. Statist. 39 1719-1723.
- [3] ROSENKRANTZ, W. A. (1967). On rates of convergence for the invariance principle.

 Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 542-552.