Elect. Comm. in Probab. 5 (2000) 67-71

ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS in PROBABILITY

A LARGE WIENER SAUSAGE FROM CRUMBS

OMER ANGEL Department of Mathematics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel 76100 email: omer@wisdom.weizmann.ac.il

ITAI BENJAMINI Department of Mathematics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel 76100 email: itai@wisdom.weizmann.ac.il http://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~itai/

YUVAL PERES Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem and Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley, USA email: peres@math.huji.ac.il http://www.math.huji.ac.il/~peres/

submitted March 20, 2000 Final version accepted April 14, 2000

AMS 1991 Subject classification: Primary 60J45; Secondary 60J65, 31C15 Brownian motion, capacity, polar set, Wiener sausage

Abstract

Let B(t) denote Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^d . It is a classical fact that for any Borel set A in \mathbb{R}^d , the volume $V_1(A)$ of the Wiener sausage B[0,1] + A has nonzero expectation iff A is nonpolar. We show that for any nonpolar A, the random variable $V_1(A)$ is unbounded.

1. Introduction

The impetus for this note was the following message, that was sent to one of us (Y. P.) by Harry Kesten:

"... First a question, though. It is not of major importance but has bugged me for a while in connection with some large deviation result for the Wiener sausage (with Yuji Hamana). Let $V_1(A)$ be the volume of the Wiener sausage at time 1, that is, $V_1(A) = \operatorname{Vol}_d \left(\bigcup_{s \leq 1} B_s + A \right)$, where B_s is d-dimensional Brownian motion, and A is a d-dimensional 67

1

set of positive capacity. Is it true that the support of $V_1(A)$ is unbounded, i.e., is $\mathbf{P}[V_1(A) > x] > 0$ for all x? This is easy if A has a section of positive (d-1)-dimensional Lebesgue measure, but I cannot prove it in general. Do you have any idea? "

We were intrigued by this question, because it led us to ponder the source of the volume of the Wiener sausage when A is a "small" set (e.g., a nonpolar set of zero Hausdorff dimension, in the plane). Is it due to the macroscopic movement of B (in which case $V_1(A)$ would not be bounded) or to the microscopic fluctuations (in which case $V_1(A)$ might be bounded, like the quadratic variation)?

Our proof of the following theorem indicates that while the microscopic fluctuations of B are necessary for the positivity of $V_1(A)$, the macroscopic behaviour of B certainly affects the magnitude of $V_1(A)$.

Theorem 1. If the capacity $\mathcal{C}(A)$ of $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is positive, then $V_1(A)$ is not bounded. The relevant capacity can be defined for $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \geq 3$, by

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C}(A) &= \sup_{\mu} \frac{\mu(A)^2}{\mathcal{E}(\mu)} \\ \text{where} \quad \mathcal{E}(\mu) &= \iint \frac{c_d \, d\mu(x) \, d\mu(y)}{|x-y|^{d-2}} \end{split}$$

and the supremum is over measures supported on A. (the constant c_d is unimportant for our purpose). A similar formula holds for d = 2 with a logarithmic kernel; in that case C(A) is often called Robin's constant, and it will be convenient to restrict attention to sets A of diameter less than 1.

Denote by τ_A the hitting time of A by Brownian motion. By Fubini's theorem

$$\mathbf{E}[V_1(A)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{P}_x[\tau_A \le 1] \, dx \, .$$

It follows from the relation between potential theory and Brownian motion, that $\mathbf{E}[V_1(A)]$ is nonzero if and only if A has positive capacity; see, *e.g.*, [3], [2], or [4].

2. The recipe

For any kernel K(x, y), the corresponding capacity is defined by $C_K(A) = \sup_{\mu} \frac{\mu(A)^2}{\mathcal{E}_K(\mu)}$ where $\mathcal{E}_K(\mu) = \iint K(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y)$ and the supremum is over measures on A. We assume that $K(x, x) = \infty$ for all x, and that for $0 < |x - y| < R_K$, the kernel K is continuous and K(x, y) > 0.

The following lemma holds for all such kernels.

Lemma 1. If a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ has $\mathcal{C}_K(A) > 0$, then for any $L < \infty$ there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and subsets A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m of A such that $\sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{C}_K(A_i) \ge L$, and the distance between A_i and A_j is at least ϵ for all $i \neq j$. (m and ϵ depend on A and L).

Proof: We can assume that $\operatorname{diam}(A) < R_K$, for otherwise we can replace A by a subset of positive capacity and diameter less than R_K .

Let μ be a measure supported on A such that $\mu(A) = 1$ and $\mathcal{E}_K(\mu) < \infty$.

By dominated convergence,

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \iint_{|x-y| \le \delta} K(x,y) \, d\mu(x) \, d\mu(y) = 0.$$

Choose δ so that this integral is less than $2^{-2d}L^{-1}$. Let $\epsilon = \delta d^{-1/2}$ and let \mathcal{F} be a grid of cubes of side ϵ , *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{d} [\epsilon \ell_i, \epsilon \ell_i + \epsilon) : (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \right\}.$$

We can partition \mathcal{F} into 2^d subcollections $\left\{\mathcal{F}_v : v \in \{0,1\}^d\right\}$ according to the vector of parities of (ℓ_1, \ldots, ℓ_d) . Then the distance between any two cubes in the same \mathcal{F}_v is at least ϵ . Since μ is a probability measure, there exists $v \in \{0,1\}^d$ such that

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_v} \mu(Q) \ge 2^{-d} \,. \tag{1}$$

Let A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m be all the nonempty sets among $\{A \cap Q : Q \in \mathcal{F}_v\}$. Since μ is supported on A, we can rewrite (1) as $\sum_{i=1}^m \mu(A_i) \ge 2^{-d}$. Denote by $e_i = \iint_{A_i \times A_i} K(x, y) d\mu d\mu$ the energy in A_i . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} e_i \le \iint_{|x-y|\le\delta} K(x,y) \, d\mu \, d\mu < 2^{-2d} L^{-1} \,.$$
⁽²⁾

By Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} e_i\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\mu(A_i)^2}{e_i}\right) \ge \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu(A_i)\right)^2 \ge 2^{-2d}.$$
(3)

We have $\mathcal{C}_K(A_i) \ge \mu(A_i)^2/e_i$, whence

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{C}_K(A_i) \ge \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\mu(A_i)^2}{e_i} \ge L\,,$$

by (2) and (3).

Proof of Theorem 1: Suppose that

$$\operatorname{esssup} V_1(A) = M < \infty \,. \tag{4}$$

Let $V_t(A)$ denote the volume of the Wiener sausage B[0,t] + A. From Spitzer [3] (see also [2] or [1]) it follows that $\mathbf{E}[V_1(A)] > 2\alpha_d \mathcal{C}(A)$ for some absolute constant α_d . (If d = 2 we assume that diamA < 1). We infer that $\mathbf{E}[V_t(A)] > \alpha_d t \mathcal{C}(A)$ for 0 < t < 1, by subadditivity of Lebesgue measure and monotonicity of $V_t(A)$,

Fix $L > 6M/\alpha_d$, and let A_1, \ldots, A_m be the subsets of A given by the lemma. A Wiener sausage on A contains the union of Wiener sausages on the A_i , and the sum of their volumes is expected to be large. If we can arrange for the intersections to be small, then $V_1(A)$ will be large as well.

Consider the event

$$H_n = \left\{ \max_{0 \le s \le \frac{1}{2n}} |B_s| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right\}.$$

By Brownian scaling and standard estimates for the maximum of Brownian motion,

$$\mathbf{P}[H_n^c] \le 4d \exp(-\frac{n\epsilon^2}{4d}) \,.$$

Choose *n* large enough so that the right-hand side is less than $\frac{1}{nm}$. For each *i*, we have $\mathbf{E}[V_{\frac{1}{2n}}(A_i) \mid H_n^c] \leq M$ by (4), so

$$\mathbf{E}[V_{\frac{1}{2n}}(A_i) \mid H_n] \ge \mathbf{E}[V_{\frac{1}{2n}}(A_i)] - M\mathbf{P}[H_n^c] \ge \frac{\alpha_d}{2n}\mathcal{C}(A_i) - \frac{M}{mn}.$$
(5)

For $0 \leq j < n$, denote by G_j the event that

$$\max_{\substack{\frac{2j}{2n} \le s \le \frac{2j+1}{2n}}} |B_s - B_{\frac{2j}{2n}}| < \epsilon/2$$

and the first coordinate of the increment $B_{\frac{2j+2}{2n}} - B_{\frac{2j+1}{2n}}$ is greater than the diam $(A)+2\epsilon$. Define $G = \bigcap_{j=0}^{n-1} G_j$. We will see that the expectation of $V_1(A)$ given G is large. On the event G, for each fixed j, the m sausages $\{B[\frac{2j}{2n}, \frac{2j+1}{2n}] + A_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are pairwise disjoint due to the separation of the A_i and the localization of B in the time interval $[\frac{2j}{2n}, \frac{2j+1}{2n}]$. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{E}\Big[\operatorname{Vol}_d\Big(B[\frac{2j}{2n},\frac{2j+1}{2n}]+A\Big) \mid G\Big] \ge \sum_{i=1}^m \Big(\frac{\alpha_d}{2n}\mathcal{C}(A_i)-\frac{M}{mn}\Big) \ge \frac{\alpha_d L}{2n}-\frac{M}{n} > \frac{2M}{n}.$$

Also, on G, the sausages on the odd intervals, $B[\frac{2j}{2n}, \frac{2j+1}{2n}] + A$ for $0 \le j < n$, are pairwise disjoint due to the large increments of B (in the first coordinate) on the even intervals. We conclude that

$$\mathbf{E}[V_1(A) \mid G] \ge \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{E}\Big[\operatorname{Vol}_d \Big(B[\frac{2j}{2n}, \frac{2j+1}{2n}] + A \Big) \mid G \Big] > 2M.$$
(6)

This contradicts the assumption (4) and completes the proof. **Questions:**

• Can the event G that we conditioned on at the end of the preceding proof, be replaced by a simpler event involving just the endpoint of the Brownian path? In particular, does every nonpolar $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfy

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \mathbf{E} \Big[V_1(A) \ \Big| \ |B(1)| > R \Big] = \infty ?$$

• Can one estimate precisely the tail probabilities $\mathbf{P}[V_1(A) > v]$ for specific nonpolar fractal sets A and large v, e.g., when d = 2 and A is the middle-third Cantor set on the x-axis ?

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Harry Kesten for suggesting the problem, and for a correction to an earlier version of this note. We thank Dimitris Gatzouras and Yimin Xiao for helpful comments. Research of Peres was partially supported by NSF grant #DMS-9803597 and by the Landau Center for Mathematical Analysis at the Hebrew University.

References

- I. Benjamini, R. Pemantle and Y. Peres, Martin capacity for Markov chains. Ann. Probab. 23 (1995), 1332–1346.
- [2] K. Itô and H. P. McKean (1974), Diffusion Processes and Their Sample Paths, Springer-Verlag.
- [3] F. Spitzer (1964), Electrostatic capacity, heat flow, and Brownian motion. Z. Wahrschein. Verw. Gebiete 3, 110–121.
- [4] A. S. Sznitman (1998), Brownian motion, Obstacles and Random Media. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.