

The mean number of sites visited by a random walk pinned at a distant point

Kôhei Uchiyama*

Abstract

This paper concerns the number Z_n of sites visited up to time n by a random walk S_n having zero mean and moving on the two dimensional square lattice \mathbb{Z}^2 . Asymptotic evaluation of the conditional expectation of Z_n for large n given that $S_n = x$ is carried out under some exponential moment condition. It gives an explicit form of the leading term valid uniformly in (x, n) , $|x| < cn$.

Keywords: Range of random walk; pinned random walk; Cramér transform; local central limit theorem.

AMS MSC 2010: Primary 60J65, Secondary 60J45.

Submitted to ECP on December 26, 2014, final version accepted on February 22, 2015.

1 Introduction and main results

This paper is a continuation of the paper [12] by the present author, where the expectation of the cardinality of the range of a pinned random walk is studied when the random walk of prescribed length is pinned at a point within a parabola of space-time variables. In this paper we deal with the case when it is outside a parabola at which the walk is pinned and compute the asymptotic form of the (conditional) expectation. To this end we derive a local limit theorem valid outside parabolas by using Cramér transform.

The random number, denoted by Z_n , of the distinct sites visited by a random walk in the first n steps is one of typical characteristics or functionals of the random walk paths. The expectation of Z_n may be regarded as the total heat emitted from a site at the origin which is kept at the unit temperature. The study of Z_n is traced back to Dvoretzky and Erdős [2] in which the law of large numbers of Z_n is obtained for simple random walk. Nice exposition of their investigation and an extension of it is found in [10]. For the pinned walk the expectation of Z_n is computed by [12], [4]. Corresponding problems for Brownian sausage have also been investigated (often earlier) (cf. [11], [3] for free motions and [6], [7], [14] for bridges).

Let $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ be a random walk on the two-dimensional square lattice \mathbb{Z}^2 starting at the origin. Here the increments X_j are i.i.d. random variables defined on some probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) taking values in \mathbb{Z}^2 . The random walk is supposed to be irreducible and having zero mean: $E[X] = 0$. Here and in what follows we write X for a random variable having the same law as X_1 .

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^2$, put

$$\phi(\lambda) = \log E[e^{\lambda \cdot X}]$$

*Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan. E-mail: uchiyama@math.titech.ac.jp

The mean number of sites visited

and for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^2$ let $m(\mu)$ be the value of λ determined by

$$\nabla\phi(\lambda)\Big|_{\lambda=m(\mu)} = \mu : \tag{1.1}$$

$m(\mu)$ is well defined if μ is an interior point of the image set $\nabla\phi(\Xi)$ of

$$\Xi = \{\lambda : E[|X|e^{\lambda \cdot X}] < \infty\}.$$

Since $\nabla\phi(0) = 0$, if the interior of Ξ contains the origin, then so does the interior of $\nabla(\Xi)$. Let $f_0(n)$ be the probability that the walk returns to the origin for the first time at the n -th step ($n \geq 1$) and define

$$H(\mu) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_0(k) \left(1 - e^{-k\phi(m(\mu))}\right).$$

Let Z_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) denote the cardinality of the set of sites visited by the walk up to time n , namely

$$Z_n = \#\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n\}.$$

Let Q be the covariance matrix of X and $|Q|$ be the determinant of Q .

Theorem 1. Suppose that $\phi(\lambda) < \infty$ in a neighborhood of the origin and let K be a compact set contained in the interior of Ξ . Then,

$$H(\mu) = \frac{\pi\sqrt{|Q|}}{-\log|\mu|} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log|\mu|)^2}\right) \quad \text{as } |\mu| \rightarrow 0, \tag{1.2}$$

and, uniformly for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ satisfying $\mathbf{x}/n \in \nabla\phi(K)$ and $|\mathbf{x}| \geq \sqrt{n}$,

$$E[Z_n \mid S_n = \mathbf{x}] = nH(\mathbf{x}/n) + O\left(\frac{n}{(\log n) \vee (\log|\mathbf{x}/n|)^2}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{1.3}$$

Example 1. For symmetric simple random walk we have $e^{\phi(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{2} \cosh \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \cosh \beta$ for $\lambda = (\alpha, \beta)$. Given $\mathbf{x}/n = \mu + o(1)$, the leading term $nH(\mathbf{x}/n)$ in (1.3) may be computed from

$$H(\mu) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_0(2j)2^{2j}}{(\cosh \alpha + \cosh \beta)^{2j}}, \quad \mu = \nabla\phi(\lambda) = \frac{(\sinh \alpha, \sinh \beta)}{\cosh \alpha + \cosh \beta}.$$

The derivative of H along a circle centered at the origin directed counter-clockwise is given by

$$\nabla H(\mu) \cdot \mu^\perp = C_0(\mu)\mu_1\mu_2(\mu_2^2 - \mu_1^2),$$

where $\mu^\perp = (\mu_2, -\mu_1)$ and $C_0(\mu)$ is a smooth positive function of $\mu \neq 0$. (See Appendix (B).)

We see shortly that the behavior of the probability $P_0[S_n = \mathbf{x}]$ differs greatly in different directions of \mathbf{x} as soon as $|\mathbf{x}|/n^{3/4}$ gets large even if Q is isotropic. (See Proposition 2 below.) According to Theorem 1.2, in contrast to this, the leading term of $E[Z_n \mid S_n = \mathbf{x}]$ as $\mathbf{x}/n \rightarrow 0$ as well as that of $H(\mu)$ as $\mu \rightarrow 0$ is rotation invariant; only when $|\mathbf{x}|/n$ is bounded away from zero, $E[Z_n \mid S_n = \mathbf{x}]$ in general becomes dependent on directions of \mathbf{x} .

The case $|\mathbf{x}| = O(\sqrt{n})$ is studied in [12] under certain mild moment conditions. If we assume the rather strong moment condition $E[|X|^4] < \infty$, the result is presented as

The mean number of sites visited

follows: for each $a_0 > 0$ it holds that uniformly for $|\mathbf{x}| < a_0\sqrt{n}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$E[Z_n | S_n = \mathbf{x}] = 2\pi\sqrt{|Q|}n \int_{e^{c_0 n}}^{\infty} W(u)du + \frac{4\sqrt{|Q|}\tilde{x}^2}{(\log n)^2} \left(\log^+ \frac{n}{|\mathbf{x}|_+^2} + O(1) \right) + \frac{o(1) + b_3 O(|x|)}{\log n}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $W(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty ([\log t]^2 + \pi^2)^{-1} e^{-\lambda t} dt$ ($\lambda > 0$) and $\tilde{x} = Q^{-1/2}x$. We have the asymptotic expansion $\int_\lambda^\infty W(u)du = (\log \lambda)^{-1} - \gamma(\log \lambda)^{-2} + (\gamma^2 - \frac{1}{6}\pi^2)(\log \lambda)^{-3} + \dots$ ($\lambda \rightarrow \infty$), where $\gamma = 0.5772\dots$ (Euler's constant).

Brownian analogue of (1.4) is given in [14], the proof being similar but rather more involved than for the random walk case.

Remark 1. By a standard argument we have

$$1 - \sum_1^\infty e^{-k\lambda} f_0(k) = \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^2} \frac{d\theta}{1 - e^{-\lambda} E[e^{i\theta \cdot X}]} \right)^{-1} \quad (\lambda > 0).$$

Substitution from $E[e^{i\theta \cdot X}] = e^{\phi(i\theta)}$ and $\lambda = \phi(m(\mu))$ therefore yields

$$\frac{1}{H(\mu)} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^2} \frac{d\theta}{1 - \exp\{-\phi(m(\mu)) + \phi(i\theta)\}} \quad (\mu \neq 0). \tag{1.5}$$

Remark 2. For $d \geq 3$ the results analogous to (1.4) are obtained by the same method. Here only a result of [12] for the case $d = 3$ is given:

Suppose $d = 3$ and $E[|X|^4] < \infty$. Then uniformly for $|\mathbf{x}| < a_0\sqrt{n}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$E[Z_n | S_n = x] = q_0 n + \frac{q_0^2 |\tilde{x}|}{2\pi\sqrt{|Q|}} + O\left(\frac{1}{1+|x|}\right) + b_3 O(1) + \frac{o(1) + b_3 O(|x|)}{\sqrt{n}},$$

where $q_0 = P[S_n \neq 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 1]$.

Remark 3. For random walks of continuous time parameter the asymptotic form of the expectation are deduced from those of the embedded discrete time walks by virtue of the well-known purely analytic result as given in [5].

For the proof of Theorem 1 we derive a local limit theorem, an asymptotic evaluation of the probability $P[S_n = \mathbf{x}]$, denoted by $q^n(\mathbf{x})$, for large n , that is sharp uniformly for the space-time region $\sqrt{n} \leq |\mathbf{x}| < \varepsilon n$ (with some $\varepsilon > 0$) (Lemma 3). As a byproduct of it we obtain the following proposition which lucidly exhibits what happens for variables $\sqrt{n} < |\mathbf{x}| \ll n$ with n large: if all the third moments vanish, then the ratio of the probabilities $q^n(\mathbf{x})$ among directions of \mathbf{x} with the same modulus $|\mathbf{x}|$ can be unbounded as $|\mathbf{x}|/n^{3/4}$ gets large; if not, this may occur as $|\mathbf{x}|/n^{2/3}$ gets large. This result though not directly used in the proof of Theorem 1 is interesting by itself.

Proposition 2. Uniformly in \mathbf{x} , as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $|\mathbf{x}|/n \rightarrow 0$,

$$q^n(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\nu \mathbf{1}(q^n(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0)}{2\pi n \sigma^2} e^{-x \cdot Q^{-1} \mathbf{x} / 2n} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{|\mathbf{x}| + 1}{n}\right) \right) \times \exp \left\{ n\kappa_3\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{n}\right) + n\kappa_4\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{n}\right) + O\left(\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^5}{n^4}\right) \right\},$$

where $\kappa_3(\mu) = \frac{1}{6} E[(Q^{-1} X \cdot \mu)^3]$ and κ_4 is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 4. If all the third moments of X vanish, then

$$\kappa_4(\mu) = -\frac{1}{8} [Q^{-1}(\mu)]^2 + \frac{1}{24} E[(Q^{-1} X \cdot \mu)^4].$$

Example 2. For the same simple random walk as in Example 1 it follows from Proposition 2 that

$$q^n(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{4e^{-|\mathbf{x}|^2/n}}{\pi n} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{|\mathbf{x}| + 1}{n}\right)\right) \exp\left\{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^4 + 4(x_1x_2)^2}{6n^3} + O\left(\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^5}{n^4}\right)\right\}$$

for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $n + x_1 + x_2$ even. This formula, however, can be obtained rather directly if one notices that in the frame obtained by rotating the original one by a right angle the two components in the new frame are symmetric simple random walks on $\mathbb{Z}/\sqrt{2}$ that are independent of each other and use an expansion of transition probability of these walks as given in [8] (Section VII.6, problem 14).

2 Proof of Theorem 1

2.1. Proof of (1.2).

The arguments involved in this subsection partly prepares for the proof of (1.3).

By definition $\lambda = m(\mu)$ is the inverse function of

$$\mu = \nabla\phi(\lambda) = \frac{E[Xe^{X\cdot\lambda}]}{E[e^{X\cdot\lambda}]} = Q\lambda + \frac{1}{2}E[(X\cdot\lambda)^2X] + O(|\lambda|^3),$$

so that

$$\lambda = m(\mu) = Q^{-1}\mu - \frac{1}{2}E[(X\cdot Q^{-1}\mu)^2Q^{-1}X] + O(|\mu|^3). \tag{2.1}$$

The Taylor expansion of ϕ about the origin up to the third order is given by

$$\phi(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}Q(\lambda) + \frac{1}{6}E[(X\cdot\lambda)^3] + O(|\lambda|^4), \tag{2.2}$$

hence for $|\mu|$ small enough,

$$\phi(m(\mu)) = \frac{1}{2}Q^{-1}(\mu) - \frac{1}{3}E[(Q^{-1}X\cdot\mu)^3] + O(|\mu|^4). \tag{2.3}$$

Here $Q(\lambda) = \lambda \cdot Q\lambda$, the quadratic form determined by the matrix Q and similarly $Q^{-1}(\mu) = \mu \cdot Q^{-1}\mu$.

Now we compute $H(\mu)$ by using (1.5). From (2.3) and $\phi(i\theta) = -\frac{1}{2}Q(\theta) + O(|\theta|^3)$ (for θ small) it follows that

$$1 - e^{-\phi(m(\mu)) + \phi(i\theta)} = \frac{1}{2}[Q^{-1}(\mu) + Q(\theta)] + O(|\mu|^3 + |\theta|^3).$$

Substitution into (1.5) and a simple computation show

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{H(\mu)} &= \frac{2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^2} \frac{d\theta}{Q^{-1}(\mu) + Q(\theta) + O(|\mu|^3 + |\theta|^3)} \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi|Q|^{1/2}} \log Q^{-1}(\mu) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Noting $\log Q^{-1}(\mu) = 2 \log |\mu| + O(1)$ we obtain (1.2).

2.2. A local limit theorem.

Let $q(\mathbf{x})$ denote the probability law of the increment of the walk: $q(\mathbf{x}) = P[X = \mathbf{x}]$. Let $\mu = \nabla\phi(\lambda)$ with λ in the interior of Ξ and define

$$q_\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{E[e^{m(\mu)\cdot X}]} e^{m(\mu)\cdot\mathbf{x}} q(\mathbf{x})$$

The mean number of sites visited

($m(\mu)$ is defined by (1.1)) so that q_μ is a probability on \mathbb{Z}^2 with the mean

$$\sum \mathbf{x}q_\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla\phi(m(\mu)) = \mu.$$

Let q^n and q_μ^n be the n -fold convolution of q and q_μ , respectively. Then

$$q^n(\mathbf{x}) := P[S_n = \mathbf{x}] = (E[e^{m(\mu)\cdot X}])^n e^{-m(\mu)\cdot\mathbf{x}} q_\mu^n(\mathbf{x}). \quad (2.4)$$

Let Q_μ denote the covariance matrix of the probability q_μ and $Q_\mu^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ the quadratic form determined by Q_μ^{-1} .

Lemma 3. Let K be a compact set contained in the interior of Ξ (as in Theorem 1). Then uniformly for $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 - n\mu$ and for $\mu \in \nabla\phi(K)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$q_\mu^n(n\mu + \mathbf{y}) = \frac{\nu \mathbf{1}(q^n(n\mu + \mathbf{y}) \neq 0)}{2\pi n \sigma_\mu^2} e^{-Q_\mu^{-1}(\mathbf{y})/2n} \left[1 + P_\mu^{n,N}(\mathbf{y}) \right] + O\left([\mathbf{y}^2 \vee n]^{-N/2} \right).$$

Here N may be an arbitrary positive integer, ν is the period of the walk S_n , $\mathbf{1}(\mathcal{S})$ is 1 or 0 according as the statement \mathcal{S} is true or false, σ_μ^2 denotes the square root of the determinant of Q_μ and

$$P_\mu^{n,N}(\mathbf{y}) = n^{-1/2} P_1^\mu(\mathbf{y}/\sqrt{n}) + \dots + n^{-N/2} P_N^\mu(\mathbf{y}/\sqrt{n}),$$

where P_j^μ is a polynomial of degree at most $3j$ determined by the moments of q_μ^n and odd for odd j .

Proof. This lemma may be a standard result. In fact it is reduced to the usual local central limit theorem as follows. Let $\psi_\mu(\theta)$ be the characteristic function of q_μ and put $\tilde{\psi}_\mu(\theta) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} q_\mu(\mathbf{x}) e^{i\theta\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mu)}$, so that

$$\psi_\mu(\theta) := \sum_{\mathbf{x}} q_\mu(\mathbf{x}) e^{i\theta\cdot\mathbf{x}} = \tilde{\psi}_\mu(\theta) e^{i\mu\cdot\theta}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} q_\mu^n(n\mu + \mathbf{y}) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_T [\psi_\mu(\theta)]^n e^{-i(n\mu+\mathbf{y})\cdot\theta} d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_T [\tilde{\psi}_\mu(\theta)]^n e^{-i\mathbf{y}\cdot\theta} d\theta, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where $T = [-\pi, \pi i] \times [-\pi, \pi i]$. Since $\nabla\tilde{\psi}_\mu(0) = 0$, the Hessian matrix of $\tilde{\psi}_\mu$ at zero equals Q_μ and $\sum p_\mu(\mathbf{x})|\mathbf{x}|^{2N} < \infty$ for all $N > 0$, the usual procedure to derive the local limit theorem (see [9]; also Appendix (A) for the case $\nu > 1$ if necessary) shows that the right-most member equals that of the formula of the lemma. \square

Define $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ by

$$\Lambda = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : q^{\nu n}(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0 \text{ for some } n \}. \quad (2.6)$$

Plainly Λ is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^2 . Take an $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $q(\xi) > 0$ and put $\Lambda_k = \Lambda + k\xi$, the shift of Λ by $k\xi$. Λ_k does not depend on the choice of ξ and is periodic in k of period ν . It holds that $P[S_n \in \Lambda_k] > 0$ only if $n = k \pmod{\nu}$. In the formula of Lemma 3 the trivial factor $\mathbf{1}(q^n(n\mu + \mathbf{y}) \neq 0)$ may be replaced by $\mathbf{1}(n\mu + \mathbf{y} \in \Lambda_n)$; also, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q_\mu^n(n\mu + \mathbf{y})$ may be replaced by $q_\mu^n((n-k)\mu + \mathbf{y})$, hence by $q_\mu^{n+k}(n\mu + \mathbf{y})$. Thus we can reformulate Lemma 3 as in the following

Corollary 4. Let K be a compact set contained in the interior of Ξ . Then for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, uniformly for $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 - n\mu$ and for $\mu \in \nabla\phi(K)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$q_\mu^{n+k}(n\mu + \mathbf{y}) = \frac{\nu \mathbf{1}(n\mu + \mathbf{y} \in \Lambda_{n+k})}{2\pi n \sigma_\mu^2} e^{-Q_\mu^{-1}(\mathbf{y})/2n} \left[1 + P_\mu^{n,N}(\mathbf{y}) \right] + O\left([\mathbf{y}^2 \vee n]^{-N/2} \right),$$

with the same notation as in Lemma 3.

Proof of Proposition 2. In Lemma 3 we take $\mu = \mathbf{x}/n$. It follows that with $\lambda = m(\mu)$

$$Q_\mu = \nabla \log \phi(\lambda) + [\nabla \phi(\lambda)/\phi(\lambda)]^2 = Q + O(|\mu|),$$

so that $\sigma_\mu^2 = \sigma^2 + O(|\mu|)$. In view of (2.4) and Lemma 3 we have only to compute asymptotic form of

$$E[e^{m(\mu) \cdot X}]^n e^{-m(\mu) \cdot \mathbf{x}} = \exp\{n[\phi(m(\mu)) - m(\mu) \cdot \mu]\}.$$

By (2.1) and (2.3)

$$\phi(m(\mu)) - m(\mu) \cdot \mu = -\frac{1}{2}Q^{-1}(\mu) + \frac{1}{6}E[(Q^{-1}X \cdot \mu)^3] + \kappa_4(\mu) + O(|\mu|^5)$$

for $|\mu|$ small enough, where $\kappa_4(\mu)$ is a polynomial of degree 4.

Assume that all the third moments of X vanish. Then, in place of (2.1) and (2.2) we have

$$\lambda = m(\mu) = Q^{-1}\mu + b(\mu). \tag{2.7}$$

with $b(\mu) = O(|\mu|^3)$ and

$$\phi(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}Q(\lambda) - \frac{1}{8}[Q(\lambda)]^2 + \frac{1}{24}E[(X \cdot \lambda)^4] + O(|\lambda|^5), \tag{2.8}$$

respectively. Substituting these formulae into $m(\mu) \cdot \mu - \phi(m(\mu))$ we observe that the term involving $b(\mu)$ disappears from the fourth order term by cancellation and hence that

$$\phi(m(\mu)) - m(\mu) \cdot \mu = -\frac{1}{2}Q^{-1}(\mu) - \frac{1}{8}[Q(\lambda)]^2 + \frac{1}{24}E[(Q^{-1}X \cdot \mu)^4] + O(|\mu|^5),$$

in which we find the explicit form of $\kappa_4(\mu)$ as presented in the proposition. \square

2.3. Proof of (1.3).

The proof is based on the identity

$$E[Z_n; S_n = \mathbf{x}] = nq^n(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f_0(k)q^{n-k}(\mathbf{x})(n-k) \tag{2.9}$$

(cf. [12], Lemma 1.1) as well as Corollary 4. Let $q^n(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$. Remembering $E[e^{m(\mu) \cdot X}] = e^{\phi(m(\mu))}$ we obtain from (2.4) that

$$\frac{q^{n-k}(\mathbf{x})(n-k)}{q^n(\mathbf{x})n} = e^{-k\phi(m(\mu))} \frac{q_\mu^{n-k}(\mathbf{x})(n-k)}{q_\mu^n(\mathbf{x})n}.$$

On writing $\mu := \mathbf{x}/n$ and $\mathbf{x} = (n-k)\mu + k\mu$, Corollary 4 gives

$$\begin{aligned} q_\mu^{n-k}(\mathbf{x})(n-k) &= \frac{\nu \mathbf{1}(\mathbf{x} \in \Lambda_{n-k})}{2\pi\sigma_\mu^2} e^{-Q_\mu^{-1}(k\mu)/2(n-k)} \left[1 + F_\mu^{n-k,N}(k\mu) \right] \\ &\quad + O(|k\mu|^2 \vee (n-k)^{-N}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$q_\mu^n(\mathbf{x})n = \frac{\nu}{2\pi\sigma_\mu^2} \left[1 + O(1/n) \right].$$

Let $1/\sqrt{n} \leq |\mu|$ and $\mu \in \nabla\phi(K)$. Noting that σ_μ^2 is then bounded away from zero for $\mu \in \nabla\phi(K)$ we see

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q^{n-k}(\mathbf{x})(n-k)}{q^n(\mathbf{x})n} &= \mathbf{1}(\mathbf{x} \in \Lambda_{n-k}) e^{-k\phi(m(\mu))} e^{-Q_\mu^{-1}(k\mu)/2(n-k)} \left[1 + O(1/\sqrt{n}) \right] \\ &\quad + O\left(e^{-k\phi(m(\mu))} n^{-N} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Since $\sum_{k>n^{1/3}} f_0(k) = O(1/\log n)$, it follows that

$$E[Z_n; S_n = \mathbf{x}] = nq^n(\mathbf{x}) \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n^{1/3}} f_0(k) \left(1 - \mathbf{1}(\mathbf{x} \in \Lambda_{n-k}) e^{-k\phi(c(\mathbf{x}/n))}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right) \right].$$

Under the condition $q^n(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$, it follows from $f_0(k) \neq 0$ that $\mathbf{x} \in \Lambda_{n-k}$. Hence

$$E[Z_n | S_n = \mathbf{x}] = n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_0(k) \left(1 - e^{-k\phi(c(\mathbf{x}/n))}\right) + O\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right). \quad (2.11)$$

We still need to obtain the error bound $O(n/|\log \mu|^2)$ instead of $O(n/\log n)$. To this end, on applying the asymptotic formula

$$f_0(k) = \frac{2\pi|Q|^{1/2}}{k(\log k)^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{k(\log k)^3}\right)$$

(cf. [13]) we see, on the one hand, that for $0 < \phi < 1/2$

$$\sum_{k>\delta/\phi} f_0(k) e^{-k\phi} = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log \phi)^2}\right), \quad (2.12)$$

where δ is an arbitrarily fixed positive constant, and by using (2.3), on the other hand, we see

$$\phi(m(\mu)) > c|\mu|^2 \geq c/n$$

(the second inequality is nothing but our present supposition that $|\mathbf{x}| \geq \sqrt{n}$). As in a similar way to the derivation of (2.11) we deduce from (2.9) with the help of (2.12) as well as of (2.10) that

$$\frac{E[Z_n; S_n = \mathbf{x}]}{nq^n(\mathbf{x})} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_0(k) \left(1 - e^{-k\phi(c(\mathbf{x}/n))}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log |\mu|)^2}\right),$$

if it is true that as $\mu \rightarrow 0$

$$\sum_{k<c/2\phi(m(\mu))} f_0(k) e^{-k\phi(m(\mu))} (1 - e^{-Q^{-1}(k\mu)/2(n-k)}) = O(1/(\log |\mu|)^2). \quad (2.13)$$

Since $c/2\phi(m(\mu)) \leq n/2$, the sum on the left-hand side of (2.13) is at most a constant multiple of

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k<c/2\phi(m(\mu))} f_0(k) \frac{Q^{-1}(k\mu)}{n} &= \frac{Q^{-1}(\mu)}{n} \sum_{k<c/2\phi(m(\mu))} f_0(k) k^2 \\ &\leq \frac{c'|\mu|^2}{n} \frac{k^2}{(\log k)^2} \Big|_{k=c/2\phi(m(\mu))} = O\left(\frac{1}{n(\log |\mu|)^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

verifying (2.13) (with a better bound).

Thus we have proved (1.3) and hence Theorem 1.

3 Appendix

(A) In the case when the period ν is larger than 1 the evaluation of the integral in (2.5) is reduced to that for the case $\nu = 1$ by consideration of a property of its integrand that reflects the periodicity. By an elementary algebra one can find a point $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^2$ that satisfies that for $j = 0, 1, \dots, \nu - 1$,

$$\eta \cdot \mathbf{x} - j\nu^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in \Lambda_j$$

The mean number of sites visited

(Λ_j is defined shortly after (2.6)). From this relation it follows that

$$\psi(\theta + 2\pi k\eta) = \psi(\theta)e^{i2\pi k/\nu} \quad (k = 0, \dots, \nu - 1).$$

Now consider the expression $q_\mu^n(x) = (2\pi)^{-2} \int_T [\psi(\theta)]^n e^{-ix\cdot\theta} d\theta$. Observe that if $x \in \Lambda_j$,

$$[\psi(\theta + 2\pi k\eta)]^n e^{-ix\cdot(\theta+2\pi k\eta)} = [\psi(\theta)]^n e^{-ix\cdot\theta} e^{i2\pi(n-j)k/\nu} \quad (k = 0, \dots, \nu - 1)$$

and the right-hand sides equal $[\psi(\theta)]^n e^{-ix\cdot\theta}$ for all k if $n - j$ equals zero in mod ν , while their sum over k vanishes otherwise. Choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, we may replace $2\pi\eta$ by a unique $\eta_k \in [-1 - \varepsilon, 1 + \varepsilon]$ such that $\eta_k - \eta \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and apply the usual method for evaluation of Fourier integral.

(B) Put $\psi(\lambda) = E[e^{\lambda \cdot X}]$, so that $\mu = \nabla\phi(\lambda) = E[Xe^{\lambda \cdot X}]/\psi(\lambda)$. At $\lambda = m(\mu)$ we have

$$\nabla^2\phi(\lambda) = E[X^2 e^{\lambda \cdot X}]/\psi(\lambda) - \mu^2 = Q_\mu,$$

where μ^2 is understood to be 2×2 matrix: $\mu^2 = (\mu_i\mu_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$, and similarly for X^2 and ∇^2 . Since $id = \nabla m(\mu) \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \lambda} = \nabla m(\mu) \nabla^2\phi(m(\mu)) = \nabla m(\mu) Q_\mu$, it holds that

$$\nabla m(\mu) = Q_\mu^{-1}.$$

Therefore, from the defining formula of H we have

$$\nabla H(\mu) = C(\mu) \nabla(\phi \circ m)(\mu) = C(\mu) Q_\mu^{-1} \mu,$$

where

$$C(\mu) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k f_0(k) e^{-k\phi(m(\mu))}.$$

Let E^μ designate the expectation w.r.t. q_μ , i.e.,

$$E^\mu[\cdot] = \left[E[\cdot e^{\lambda \cdot X}]/\psi(\lambda) \right]_{\lambda=m(\mu)}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\mu^{-1} \mu &= \frac{1}{\det Q_\mu} \begin{bmatrix} E^\mu[X_2^2] - \mu_2^2 & \mu_1\mu_2 - E^\mu[X_1X_2] \\ \mu_1\mu_2 - E^\mu[X_1X_2] & E^\mu[X_1^2] - \mu_1^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\det Q_\mu} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 E^\mu[X_2^2] - \mu_2 E^\mu[X_1X_2] \\ \mu_2 E^\mu[X_1^2] - \mu_1 E^\mu[X_1X_2] \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\nabla H(\mu) \cdot \mu^\perp = \frac{1}{\det Q_\mu} C(\mu) \left(\mu_1\mu_2 E^\mu[X_2^2 - X_1^2] + (\mu_1^2 - \mu_2^2) E^\mu[X_1X_2] \right).$$

For the simple random walk in Example 1, $\det Q_\mu = (\cosh \alpha + \cosh \beta)^{-2}$, $E^\mu[X_1X_2] = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} E^\mu[X_2^2 - X_1^2] &= \frac{\cosh \beta - \cosh \alpha}{2\psi(m(\mu))} = \frac{\sinh^2 \beta - \sinh^2 \alpha}{(\cosh \alpha + \cosh \beta)^2} \\ &= \mu_2^2 - \mu_1^2 \quad (\lambda = m(\mu)), \end{aligned}$$

showing the last formula of Example 1 with $C_0(\mu) = C(\mu)(\cosh \alpha + \cosh \beta)^2$.

References

- [1] M. van den Berg and E. Bolthausen, On the expected volume of the Wiener sausage for a Brownian bridge, *Math. Z.* **224** (1997) 33-48. MR-1427702
- [2] A. Dvoretzky and P. Erdős, Some problems on random walk in space. Proc. Second Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Probab., Berkeley, Univ. C. P. (1951)353-367. MR-0047272
- [3] J.F. Le Gall, Sur une conjecture de M. Kac, *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* **78** (1988) 389-402. MR-0949180
- [4] Y. Hamana, A remark on the range of three dimensional pinned random walks, *Kumamoto J. Math.*, Vol. 19 (2006) 83-98. MR-2211634
- [5] J. F. C. Kingman, Continuous time Markov processes, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **13** (1963), 593-604. MR-0154334
- [6] I. McGillivray, The spectral shift function for planar obstacle scattering at low energy, *Math. Nachr.* **286** (2013), 1208-1239. MR-3092282
- [7] I. McGillivray, Large time volume of the pinned Wiener sausage to second order. *Math. Nachr.* **284** (2011), 142-165. MR-2790877
- [8] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, vol. 1.
- [9] B.V. Gnedenko and A.N. Kolmogorov, *Limit distributions for sums of independent random variables* (translated from Russian), Addison-Wesley, Reading 1954 MR-0062975
- [10] F. Spitzer, *Principles of Random Walk*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1964. MR-0171290
- [11] F. Spitzer, Some theorems concerning 2-dimensional Brownian motion, *TAMS.* **87** (1958), 187-197. MR-0104296
- [12] K. Uchiyama, The mean number of sites visited by a pinned random walk, *Math. Zeit.* **261** (2009), 277-295. MR-2457300
- [13] K. Uchiyama, The first hitting time of a single point for random walks. *Elect. J. Probab.* **16**, no. 71, 1160-2000 (2011). MR-2851052
- [14] K. Uchiyama, The expected area of Wiener sausage swept by a disk. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **123** 191-211 (2013). MR-2988115

Electronic Journal of Probability

Electronic Communications in Probability

Advantages of publishing in EJP-ECP

- Very high standards
- Free for authors, free for readers
- Quick publication (no backlog)

Economical model of EJP-ECP

- Low cost, based on free software (OJS¹)
- Non profit, sponsored by IMS², BS³, PKP⁴
- Purely electronic and secure (LOCKSS⁵)

Help keep the journal free and vigorous

- Donate to the IMS open access fund⁶ (click here to donate!)
- Submit your best articles to EJP-ECP
- Choose EJP-ECP over for-profit journals

¹OJS: Open Journal Systems <http://pkp.sfu.ca/ojs/>

²IMS: Institute of Mathematical Statistics <http://www.imstat.org/>

³BS: Bernoulli Society <http://www.bernoulli-society.org/>

⁴PK: Public Knowledge Project <http://pkp.sfu.ca/>

⁵LOCKSS: Lots of Copies Keep Stuff Safe <http://www.lockss.org/>

⁶IMS Open Access Fund: <http://www.imstat.org/publications/open.htm>