

Electron. J. Probab. **28** (2023), article no. 45, 1–3. ISSN: 1083-6489 https://doi.org/10.1214/23-EJP935

#### Addendum to "Isomorphism theorems, extended Markov processes and random interlacements"\*

Nathalie Eisenbaum<sup>†</sup>

Haya Kaspi<sup>‡</sup>

#### Abstract

This addendum clarifies Definition 5.1 in section 5.3 of the previously published paper Electron. J. Probab. 27, 1–27 (2022).

Keywords: Markov process; excessive measure; local time; Gaussian free fields; isomorphism theorem; random interlacements; Kuznetsov process; quasi-process.
MSC2020 subject classifications: 60A10; 60G05; 60G07; 60G15; 60G53; 60G57; 60J25; 60J35; 60J40; 60J45; 60J55.
Submitted to EUB on January 20, 2022, final variant accented on March 15, 2022.

Submitted to EJP on January 30, 2023, final version accepted on March 15, 2023.

This addendum clarifies Definition 5.1 in section 5.3. Definition 5.1, which defines random interlacements for continuous transient Borel processes in weak duality, should be replaced by a new version presented below. We keep the notation of the original paper and in particular of section 5.3.

In the original paper, Definition 5.1 makes use of the law of  $(\hat{X}_t, t \ge 0)$  under  $\hat{I}_x[$ .  $|\hat{X}(0,\infty) \cap B = \emptyset]$  for B compact subset of E. For x in  $E \setminus B$ , this law is well defined and not null, but this is not always so for x in  $\partial B$ . To replace this failing expression we will use a result of Getoor (Theorem 2.12 in *Splitting time and Shift functionals Z.W.* 47, 69-81(1979)), according to which one has in particular:

$$\hat{I\!\!P}_{\nu}[F(\hat{X}_{\hat{L}_B+s}, s>0)f(\hat{X}_{\hat{L}_B}); \ 0 < \hat{L}_B \le t] = \hat{I\!\!P}_{\nu}[\Gamma(\hat{X}_{\hat{L}_B}, F)f(\hat{X}_{\hat{L}_B}); \ 0 < \hat{L}_B \le t],$$
(1)

for every t > 0, where  $\hat{I}_{\nu}$  denotes  $\int_{E} \nu(dx) \hat{I}_{x}$  and  $(\Gamma(x, A), x \in E, A \in \mathcal{F})$  is a Markov kernel (see Getoor's paper for a full description of  $\Gamma$ ) independent of  $\nu$ .

To introduce the new version of Definition 5.1, we first set, for any path  $\omega$  of  $\mathcal{W}$ :  $\lambda_B(\omega) = \sup\{s \in (b(\omega), d(\omega)) : \omega(s) \in B\}$ , with  $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$  (we remind that  $b(\omega)$  and  $d(\omega)$  denote the birth and death times of  $\omega$ ). Note from Proposition 13.11 in Getoor and Sharpe [17] that  $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\nu}[.; 0 < \lambda_B \leq 1]$  is a finite measure ( $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\nu}$  denotes the Kuznetsov measure of the dual process  $\hat{X}$ ).

<sup>\*</sup>Addendum to: https://doi.org/10.1214/22-EJP887.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>CNRS, Université Paris Cité. E-mail: nathalie.eisenbaum@parisdescartes.fr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Department of Industrial Engineering and Management, Technion.

E-mail: iehaya@tx.tecnion.ac.il

#### Addendum

The capacitary measure  $\hat{e}_B$  of *B* with respect to  $\hat{X}$ , can also be expressed as follows:

$$\hat{e}_B(f) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\nu}[Z_0 \in E, f(Z_{\lambda_B}); 0 < \lambda_B \le t]$$

for every nonnegative measurable function f. Since one also has ((5.18) with (5.6))  $\hat{e}_B(f) = \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\nu}[f(Z_{\lambda_B}); 0 < \lambda_B \leq 1]$ , one obtains:

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\nu} [0 \le b < \lambda_B \le t] = 0.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Since  $\lambda_B$  is a stationary time, one has thanks to (2.1) and (2.3) in [14] for every t > 0, and every functional F:

$$\frac{1}{t}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\nu}[F(Z_{\lambda_{B}+s}, s \ge 0); 0 < \lambda_{B} \le t] = \hat{Q}_{\nu}[F(Z_{\lambda_{B}+s}, s \ge 0); 0 < \lambda_{B} \le 1],$$

which leads together with (2) to

$$\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\nu}[F(Z_{\lambda_B+s}, s>0)f(Z_{\lambda_B}); 0<\lambda_B\leq 1] = \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{1}{t}\hat{I}^{\rho}_{\nu}[F(\hat{X}_{\hat{L}_B+s}, s>0)f(\hat{X}_{\hat{L}_B}); 0<\hat{L}_B\leq t].$$

Hence using (1), one has:

$$\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\nu}[F(Z_{\lambda_B+s}, s>0)f(Z_{\lambda_B}); 0<\lambda_B\leq 1]=\hat{e}_B(\Gamma(., F)f),$$

which leads,  $\hat{e}_B(dx)$  a.e x, to

$$\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\nu}[F(Z_{\lambda_B+s},s>0); 0<\lambda_B\leq 1|Z_{\lambda_B}=x]=\hat{I\!\!P}_{\nu}[F(\hat{X}_{\hat{L}_B+s},s>0)|\hat{X}_{\hat{L}_B}=x].$$

We then define for  $\hat{e}_B(dx)$  a.e. x, the probability measure  $\hat{I\!\!P}_x^B$  on the set of E-valued paths indexed by  $I\!\!R_+$  by

$$\hat{I\!\!P}^B_x[F(Z_s,s\geq 0)] = \int_E \nu(dy)\hat{I\!\!P}_y[F(\hat{X}_{\hat{L}_B+s},s\geq 0) \mid \hat{X}_{\hat{L}_B} = x].$$

Remark that  $\hat{I\!\!P}_x^B$  is independent of the choice of  $\nu$  and that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one has:  $\hat{I\!\!P}_x^B[\{Z_s,s \ge \varepsilon\} \cap B \ne \emptyset] = 0.$ 

One finally sets the following definition for random interlacements.

**Definition 5.1** For u > 0 the random interlacements at level u associated to  $\{\nu, ((P_t)_{t\geq 0}, (\hat{P}_t)_{t\geq 0})\}$  is a PPP with intensity measure  $u\mu_{\nu}$  where  $\mu_{\nu}$  is the measure on  $(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{A})$  such that  $\mu_{\nu}(\omega \equiv \Delta) = 0$ , characterized by the following properties:

• for any compact subset B of E, define  $H_B = \inf\{t \in (b(\omega), d(\omega)) : \omega(t) \in B\}$  with  $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$ , then

$$\mu_{\nu}[\omega_{H_B} \in dx; H_B < \infty] = \hat{e}_B(dx) \tag{5.13}$$

where  $\hat{e}_B$  is the capacitary measure of *B* associated to  $\hat{X}$  with respect to  $\nu$ ;

• for every couple of A measurable functionals  $(F_1, F_2)$ 

$$\mu_{\nu}[F_{1}(\omega(H_{B}+t), t \geq 0); F_{2}(\omega(H_{B}-t), t \geq 0); H_{B} < \infty]$$
  
= 
$$\int_{E} \hat{e}_{B}(dx) \mathscr{P}_{x}[F_{1}(X_{t}, t \geq 0)] \hat{\mathscr{P}}_{x}^{B}[F_{2}(Z_{t}, t \geq 0)].$$
(5.14)

EJP 28 (2023), paper 45.

https://www.imstat.org/ejp

#### Addendum

With this modified Definition 5.1, the rest of the paper is unchanged except for the proof of (5.15) in Theorem 5.1. We now take the proof of (5.15) up from the equation (page 21 lines 16 and 17):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}_{\nu} & [F_1(Z(H_B+t), t \ge 0); F_2(Z(H_B-t), t \ge 0); 0 < H_B \le 1] \\ = & \mathbf{Q}_{\nu}[0 < H_B \le 1, \mathbb{P}_{Z(H_B)}[F_1(X_s, s \ge 0)]F_2(Z(H_B-s), s \ge 0)], \end{aligned}$$

which gives using (5.17), then (2.1), (2.3) in [14] and (5.6):

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Q}_{\nu} & [F_1(Z(H_B+t), t \ge 0); F_2(Z(H_B-t), t \ge 0); 0 < H_B \le 1] \\ = & \int_B \hat{e}_B(dx) P_x[F_1(X_s, s \ge 0)] \mathbf{Q}_{\nu}[0 < H_B \le 1, F_2(Z_{H_B-s}, s \ge 0)|Z_{H_B} = x] \\ = & \int_B \hat{e}_B(dx) I\!\!P_x[F_1(X_s, s \ge 0)] \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\nu}[F_2(Z_{\lambda_B+s}, s \ge 0); \ 0 < \lambda_B \le 1 \mid Z_{\lambda_B} = x]. \end{split}$$

To finish the proof of (5.15) one finally uses the definition of  $\hat{I\!\!P}_x^B$ .

Besides the formulation of the open question presented in Remark 5.4 has to be reformulated accordingly.

Finally we remind that in [30], for  $\hat{X}$  Brownian motion on  $\mathbb{R}^d$   $(d \geq 3)$ , B closed ball of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ and  $x \in \partial B$ , the law of the "Brownian motion avoiding B and starting from x" is defined as the weak limit of the law of  $\hat{X}$  under  $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_y[$ .  $|\hat{X}(0,\infty) \cap B = \emptyset]$  as y tends to x with y in  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B$ . This law is the one used then to set the definition of random interlacements of Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . As a consequence of Theorem 5.1 and [5] (Theorem 10), one obtains that in this particular case this law coincides with  $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x^B$  and that Definition 5.1 is indeed an extension of the definition of random interlacements of Brownian motion.

## Electronic Journal of Probability Electronic Communications in Probability

# Advantages of publishing in EJP-ECP

- Very high standards
- Free for authors, free for readers
- Quick publication (no backlog)
- Secure publication (LOCKSS<sup>1</sup>)
- Easy interface (EJMS<sup>2</sup>)

# **Economical model of EJP-ECP**

- Non profit, sponsored by IMS<sup>3</sup>, BS<sup>4</sup>, ProjectEuclid<sup>5</sup>
- Purely electronic

# Help keep the journal free and vigorous

- Donate to the IMS open access fund<sup>6</sup> (click here to donate!)
- Submit your best articles to EJP-ECP
- Choose EJP-ECP over for-profit journals

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>LOCKSS: Lots of Copies Keep Stuff Safe http://www.lockss.org/

 $<sup>^2</sup> EJMS: Electronic \ Journal \ Management \ System: \ \texttt{https://vtex.lt/services/ejms-peer-review/}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>IMS: Institute of Mathematical Statistics http://www.imstat.org/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>BS: Bernoulli Society http://www.bernoulli-society.org/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Project Euclid: https://projecteuclid.org/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>IMS Open Access Fund: https://imstat.org/shop/donation/