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# Corrigendum to: The sum of powers of subtree sizes for conditioned Galton-Watson trees* 
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#### Abstract

A serious typographical error in [1] is corrected.
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## 1 Corrigendum

There is a typographical error in [1, Theorem D.1]; the variance given in (D.2) there is incorrect and should be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}|\zeta|^{2}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \operatorname{Re} \frac{\Gamma\left(\mathrm{i} t-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\mathrm{i} t)} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formula (D.2) in [1] has, incorrectly, $\Gamma(\mathrm{it}-1)$ in the denominator, which comes from (D.5) which has the same error. Formula (D.8) in the proof is correct, with denominator $\Gamma$ (it), and yields (D.5) and (D.2) with the same denominator, i.e., (1.1).

Theorem D. 1 in [1] also claims that $\mathbb{E}|\zeta|^{2}>0$. The proof is based on the incorrect formula given there, but luckily the same proof applies also to the correct formula. In (D.14) we obtain $\Gamma(1-\mathrm{i} t)$ instead of $\Gamma(2-\mathrm{i} t)$ (and an immaterial change of sign); hence we have to show that $\Gamma(1-\mathrm{i} t) / \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}-\mathrm{i} t\right)$ is not real for $t \neq 0$. Thus, in (D.15), we should have $-\operatorname{Im} \int_{1}^{3 / 2} \psi(s-\mathrm{i} t) \mathrm{d} s$. We use (D.18) as before, and now see that if $t<0$, then $0>\arg \left(\Gamma(1-\mathrm{i} t) / \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}-\mathrm{i} t\right)\right)>-\pi / 4$, which completes the proof that the variance in (1.1) is nonzero.

[^0]
## Corrigendum

### 1.1 Other typographical errors

We take the opportunity to point out a few other typographical errors in [1]:

- (12.75): the lower summation limit should be $m=0$.
- Three lines after (12.75): "every $i$ " should be "every " $i \geqslant 1$ ".
- Appendix C, two lines before the statement of Theorem C.1: $\alpha^{-1} Y(\alpha)$ there should be $\alpha^{-1 / 2} Y(\alpha)$.
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