

BIPARTITE STEINHAUS GRAPHS*

Yueh-Shin Lee and G. J. Chang

Abstract. A Steinhaus matrix is a symmetric 0-1 matrix $[a_{i,j}]_{n \times n}$ such that $a_{i,i} = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $a_{i,j} \equiv (a_{i-1,j-1} + a_{i-1,j}) \pmod{2}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n-1$. A Steinhaus graph is a graph whose adjacency matrix is a Steinhaus matrix. In this paper, we present a new characterization of bipartite Steinhaus graphs.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *Steinhaus matrix* is a symmetric 0-1 matrix $[a_{i,j}]_{n \times n}$ such that $a_{i,i} = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $a_{i,j} \equiv (a_{i-1,j-1} + a_{i-1,j}) \pmod{2}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n-1$. A *Steinhaus triangle* is the upper triangular part of a Steinhaus matrix. Note that a Steinhaus matrix and a Steinhaus triangle determine each other. A *Steinhaus graph* is a graph whose adjacency matrix is a Steinhaus matrix. Fig. 1 shows a Steinhaus matrix and its corresponding graph. Note that a binary string $a_{0,0}a_{0,1} \dots a_{0,n-1}$ (with $a_{0,0} = 0$) completely determines a Steinhaus matrix (graph). It is often said that the binary string *generates* the Steinhaus matrix (graph).

The concept of Steinhaus triangles was first introduced by Steinhaus [16]. Harborth [12, 13], Wang [17], and Chang [5] studied the number of ones in Steinhaus triangles. Molluzzo [15] introduced the concept of Steinhaus graphs. This class of graphs was then extensively studied by Dymàček [7, 8, 9] (also see [1, 2, 3, 4]). Recently, Dymàček and Whaley [11] characterized all binary strings that generate bipartite Steinhaus graphs, and gave a recursive formula for the number $b(n)$ of bipartite Steinhaus graphs of order n . For a good survey, see [10].

Received October 15, 1997; revised February 5, 1998.

Communicated by S.-Y. Shaw.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 05C50.

Key words and phrases: Steinhaus graph, Steinhaus triangle, binary string, adjacency matrix.

*Supported in part by the National Science Council under grant NSC83-0208-M009-050.

FIG. 1. A Steinhaus matrix and its corresponding graph.

In this paper, we give a new characterization of bipartite Steinhaus graphs, which is also proved in [6] alternatively and used to give a solution of $b(n)$ in terms of the binary representation of $n - 2$ (also see [14]).

For any Steinhaus graph G with adjacency matrix $[a_{i,j}]_{n \times n}$, the Steinhaus graph generated by $a_{r,r}a_{r,r+1} \dots a_{r,s}$, where $0 \leq r \leq s \leq n - 1$, is precisely the subgraph of G induced by the vertex subset $\{r, r + 1, \dots, s\}$. Denote by $\text{Adj}(i)$ the set of all vertices adjacent to i and $\text{Adj}^+(i)$ the set of all vertices $j > i$ adjacent to i . Note that $\text{Adj}(0)$ completely determines a Steinhaus graph. For instance, the Steinhaus graph with $\text{Adj}(0) = \{1\}$ (respectively, $\{n - 1\}$, \emptyset) is a path (respectively, a star, $\overline{K_n}$). It is also the case that a Steinhaus graph is completely determined by $v \equiv \min \text{Adj}(0)$ and $\text{Adj}^+(v)$. Note that $v \equiv \min \text{Adj}(0)$ gives that $a_{i,j} = 0$ and $a_{i,v} = 1$ for all $0 \leq i < j < v$. This together with $\text{Adj}^+(v)$ determines $\text{Adj}^+(v - 1)$, and then $\text{Adj}^+(v - 2)$, ... etc.

2. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF BIPARTITE STEINHAUS GRAPHS

This section gives a new characterization of bipartite Steinhaus graphs (see Theorem 7).

Suppose $A = [a_{i,j}]$ is an $n \times n$ Steinhaus matrix. Denote by $M_1(A)$ the $2n \times 2n$ Steinhaus matrix $[a'_{i,j}]$ generated by $a'_{0,0}a'_{0,1} \dots a'_{0,2n-1}$, where $a'_{0,2j} = a_{0,j}$ and $a'_{0,2j+1} = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$. For $k \geq 2$, recursively define $M_k(A) = M_1(M_{k-1}(A))$. Note that $M_k(A)$ is precisely the $2^k n \times 2^k n$ Steinhaus matrix $[a''_{i,j}]$ generated by $a''_{0,0}a''_{0,1} \dots a''_{0,2^k n-1}$, where $a''_{0,2^k j} = a_{0,j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and all other $a''_{0,j} = 0$.

Lemma 1. *For any $n \times n$ Steinhaus matrix $A = [a_{i,j}]$ with $M_1(A) = [a'_{i,j}]$, we have $a'_{2i,2j} = a'_{2i+1,2j} = a'_{2i+1,2j+1} = a_{i,j}$ and $a'_{2i,2j+1} = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n - 1$.*

Proof. We shall prove the lemma by induction on i . Suppose $i = 0$. By the definition of $M_1(A)$, we have $a'_{2i,2j} = a'_{0,2j} = a_{0,j} = a_{i,j}$ and $a'_{2i,2j+1} = a'_{0,2j+1} = 0$. For $j = i$ ($= 0$),

$$a'_{2i+1,2j} = a'_{2j,2i+1} = 0 = a_{i,j} \quad \text{and} \quad a'_{2i+1,2j+1} = 0 = a_{i,j}.$$

For $j > i$ ($= 0$),

$$a'_{2i+1,2j} = (a'_{2i,2j-1} + a'_{2i,2j}) \bmod 2 = (0 + a_{i,j}) \bmod 2 = a_{i,j} \quad \text{and}$$

$$a'_{2i+1,2j+1} = (a'_{2i,2j} + a'_{2i,2j+1}) \bmod 2 = (a_{i,j} + 0) \bmod 2 = a_{i,j}.$$

Therefore, the lemma holds for $i = 0$. Suppose the lemma is true for any $i' < i$. Consider the case with $i \geq 1$. For any $j \geq i$ (≥ 1),

$$a'_{2i,2j+1} = (a'_{2(i-1)+1,2j} + a'_{2(i-1)+1,2j+1}) \bmod 2.$$

By the induction hypothesis, $a'_{2(i-1)+1,2j} = a'_{2(i-1)+1,2j+1} = a_{i-1,j}$. Therefore, $a'_{2i,2j+1} = 0$. For $j = i$ (≥ 1), since $a'_{2i+1,2j} = a'_{2j,2i+1} = 0$,

$$a'_{2i,2j} = a'_{2i+1,2j} = a'_{2i+1,2j+1} = 0 = a_{i,j}.$$

For $j > i$ (≥ 1), by the induction hypothesis, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} a'_{2i,2j} &= (a'_{2(i-1)+1,2(j-1)+1} + a'_{2(i-1)+1,2j}) \bmod 2 \\ &= (a_{i-1,j-1} + a_{i-1,j}) \bmod 2 = a_{i,j}, \end{aligned}$$

$$a'_{2i+1,2j} = (a'_{2i,2(j-1)+1} + a'_{2i,2j}) \bmod 2 = (0 + a_{i,j}) \bmod 2 = a_{i,j}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$a'_{2i+1,2j+1} = (a'_{2i,2j} + a'_{2i,2j+1}) \bmod 2 = (a_{i,j} + 0) \bmod 2 = a_{i,j}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary 2. Suppose $A = [a_{i,j}]$ is an $n \times n$ Steinhaus matrix and $M_k(A) = [a''_{i,j}]$. For $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n - 1$, we have $a''_{i',2^k j} = a_{i,j}$ for $2^k i \leq i' < 2^k(i + 1)$ and $a''_{2^k i, j'} = 0$ for $2^k j < j' < 2^k(j + 1)$.

Proof. The corollary follows from Lemma 1 and an induction on k . ■

Corollary 3. Suppose G and H are Steinhaus graphs corresponding to Steinhaus matrices A and $M_k(A)$, respectively. Then G is isomorphic to the subgraph of H induced by $\{2^k i : 0 \leq i \leq n - 1\}$.

Proof. The corollary follows from $a'_{2^k i, 2^k j} = a_{i,j}$ for $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n - 1$. ■

Lemma 4. *Suppose G and H are Steinhaus graphs corresponding to Steinhaus matrices A and $M_1(A)$, respectively. Then G is bipartite if and only if H is bipartite.*

Proof. The necessity follows from Corollary 3. Suppose G is a bipartite graph with a bipartition (X, Y) . Consider the partition of $V(H)$ into (X', Y') where $X' = \{2i, 2i + 1 : i \in X\}$ and $Y' = \{2j, 2j + 1 : j \in Y\}$. H has no edge of the form $\{2i, 2j + 1\}$ with $i \leq j$ since $a'_{2i, 2j+1} = 0$ by Lemma 1. Also, for $i < j$ in X (or Y), $a_{i,j} = 0$ implies $a'_{2i, 2j} = a'_{2i+1, 2j} = a'_{2i+1, 2j+1} = a_{i,j} = 0$. So (X', Y') is a bipartition for H . ■

Theorem 5. *Suppose G and H are Steinhaus graphs corresponding to Steinhaus matrices A and $M_k(A)$, respectively. Then G is bipartite if and only if H is bipartite.*

Now consider the function f from positive integers \mathbb{Z}^+ to $\mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{\infty\}$ defined by

$$f(w) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } w = 2^k \text{ for some integer } k, \\ 2^k & \text{if } w = 2^k x, \text{ where } x \text{ is an odd integer greater than } 2. \end{cases}$$

Note that $w = 2^k x$ with x an odd integer greater than 2 if and only if the binary representation of w has at least two 1's.

Lemma 6. *If G is a Steinhaus graph of n vertices with $\text{Adj}(0) = \{w\}$, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) G is bipartite,
- (2) G has no triangles,
- (3) $f(w) \geq n - w$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) is clear.

(2) \implies (3). Suppose G has no triangles but $f(w) < n - w$. In this case, $f(w) = 2^k$ and $w = 2^k x$ for some odd integer greater than 2. Now

$$\lceil \frac{n}{2^k} \rceil = \lceil \frac{w}{2^k} + \frac{n-w}{2^k} \rceil > x + 1.$$

Consider the Steinhaus graph H of order $\lceil \frac{n}{2^k} \rceil$ with $\text{Adj}(0) = \{x\}$ and adjacency matrix $A = [a_{i,j}]$. Then, $a_{i,x} = 1$ for $0 \leq i < x$. Also $a_{2i, x+1} = 0$ and $a_{2i+1, x+1} = 1$ for $0 \leq 2i < 2i+1 \leq x$. In particular, $a_{1,x} = a_{1,x+1} = a_{x,x+1} = 1$. Consider the Steinhaus graph G'' corresponding to $M_k(A) = [a''_{i,j}]$. By Corollary 2, $\text{Adj}(0) = \{2^k x\} = \{w\}$ in G'' . So G is a subgraph of G'' induced by

$\{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$. By Corollary 2, $a''_{2^k, w} = a_{1, x} = 1$ and $a''_{2^k, w+2^k} = a_{1, x+1} = 1$ and $a''_{w, w+2^k} = a_{x, x+1} = 1$. So, $\{2^k, w, w + 2^k\}$ induces a triangle T in G'' . However, $2^k = f(w) \leq n - w - 1$; i.e., $w + 2^k \leq n - 1$, and so G contains the triangle T , which is impossible.

(3) \implies (1). Suppose $f(w) \geq n - w$. There are two cases. For the first case, $f(w) = \infty$, we have $w = 2^k$ for some integer k . Consider the Steinhaus graph P of order $\lceil \frac{n}{w} \rceil$ with $\text{Adj}(0) = \{1\}$. P is a path and so is bipartite. If A is the adjacency matrix of P , then the graph H corresponding to $M_k(A)$ is bipartite by Theorem 5. Since G is the subgraph of H induced by $\{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$, G is also bipartite.

Next, consider the case with $f(w) = 2^k$ and $w = 2^k x$ where x is an odd integer greater than 2. Since $2^k \geq n - w \geq 1$, we have $\lceil \frac{n}{2^k} \rceil = \lceil \frac{w}{2^k} + \frac{n-w}{2^k} \rceil = x + 1$. Consider the Steinhaus graph S of order $x + 1$ with $\text{Adj}(0) = \{x\}$. Now S is a star and so is bipartite. If A is the adjacency matrix of S , then the Steinhaus graph H corresponding to $M_k(A)$ is bipartite by Theorem 5, and H is of order $w + 2^k \geq n$ with $\text{Adj}(0) = \{w\}$. Since G is the subgraph of H induced by $\{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$, G is also bipartite. \blacksquare

Theorem 7. *If G is a Steinhaus graph of order n with $v = \min \text{Adj}(0)$, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) G is bipartite,
- (2) G has no triangles,
- (3) $\text{Adj}^+(v) = \emptyset$ or $\text{Adj}^+(v) = \{v + w\}$ with $f(w) \geq \max\{n - v - w, v\}$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) is clear.

(2) \implies (3). Let $A = [a_{i, j}]_{n \times n}$ be the adjacency matrix of G . Suppose $|\text{Adj}^+(v)| \geq 2$. Choose the smallest vertex x and the second smallest vertex y of $\text{Adj}^+(v)$. By the Steinhaus property, $a_{v-1, v} = 1$. For all $v < z < x$, since $a_{v, z} = 0$, we have $a_{v-1, z} = 1$. Since $a_{v-1, x-1} = a_{v, x} = 1$, we have $a_{v-1, x} = 0$. For all $x < z < y$, since $a_{v, z} = 0$, we have $a_{v-1, z} = 0$. Since $a_{v-1, y-1} = 0$ and $a_{v, y} = 1$, $a_{v-1, y} = 1$. Thus $\{v - 1, v, y\}$ induces a triangle in G , which is impossible.

Assume $\text{Adj}^+(v) = \{v + w\}$ for some positive integer w . Since G has no triangles, the subgraph H of G induced by $\{v, v + 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ has no triangles. Note that H is isomorphic to the Steinhaus graph of order $n - v$ with $\text{Adj}(0) = \{w\}$. By Lemma 6, $f(w) \geq n - v - w$.

Suppose $f(w) < v$. Let $w = 2^k x$, where x is an odd integer greater than 2. Then, $2^k < v$ and so $u \equiv \lceil \frac{v}{2^k} \rceil \geq 2$. Consider the Steinhaus graph H of order $\lceil \frac{n}{2^k} \rceil$ with $u = \min \text{Adj}(0)$ and $\text{Adj}^+(u) = \{u + x\}$. Let $A = [a_{i, j}]$ be the adjacency matrix of H . Since $\text{Adj}^+(u) = \{u + x\}$, $a_{u, j} = 0$ for $u < j < u + x$

and $a_{u,u+x} = 1$. These together with $a_{u-1,u} = 1$ imply that $a_{u-1,j} = 1$ for $u < j < u + x$ and $a_{u-1,u+x} = 0$. These new values together with $a_{u-2,u} = 1$ imply $a_{u-2,j} \equiv (j - u - 1) \pmod{2}$ for $u < j < u + x$ and $a_{u-2,u+x} = 1$. Let G'' be the Steinhaus graph whose adjacency matrix is $M_k(A) = [a''_{i,j}]$. By Corollary 2, $\min \text{Adj}(0) = 2^k u \geq v$ and $\text{Adj}^+(2^k u) = \{2^k(u+x)\} = \{2^k u + w\}$ in G'' . Then, the subgraph of G'' induced by $\{2^k u - v, 2^k u - v + 1, \dots, 2^k u - v + n - 1\}$ is precisely the Steinhaus graph of n vertices in which $\min \text{Adj}(0) = v$ and $\text{Adj}^+(v) = \{v + w\}$, which is just G . Note that $a_{u-2,u} = a_{u-2,u+x} = a_{u,u+x} = 1$. By Corollary 2, $a''_{2^k u - 2^k - 1, 2^k u} = a''_{2^k u - 2^k - 1, 2^k u + w} = a''_{2^k u, 2^k u + w} = 1$; i.e., $\{2^k u - 2^k - 1, 2^k u, 2^k u + w\}$ induces a triangle in G'' . But, $2^k u - v \leq 2^k u - 2^k - 1 < 2^k u < 2^k u + w \leq 2^k u - v + n - 1$. So, this triangle is also a triangle in G , a contradiction. Thus, $f(w) \geq v$.

(3) \implies (1). For the case of $\text{Adj}^+(v) = \emptyset$, $V(G)$ can be partitioned into $X = \{0, 1, \dots, v - 1\}$ and $Y = \{v, v + 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ such that every edge of G has one vertex in X and the other vertex in Y . So, we may assume that $\text{Adj}^+(v) = \{v + w\}$ with $f(w) \geq \max\{n - v - w, v\}$. Let $w = 2^k x$, where x is a positive odd integer. Let H be the Steinhaus graph of order $\lceil \frac{n-v}{2^k} \rceil + 1$ with $1 = \min \text{Adj}(0)$ and $\text{Adj}^+(1) = \{1 + x\}$. $H - 0$ is precisely the Steinhaus graph of order $\lceil \frac{n-v}{2^k} \rceil$ with $\text{Adj}(0) = \{x\}$. Also,

$$f(x) = f\left(\frac{w}{2^k}\right) = \frac{f(w)}{2^k} \geq \frac{n - v - w}{2^k} = \frac{n - v}{2^k} - x$$

implies $f(x) \geq \lceil \frac{n-v}{2^k} \rceil - x$. By Lemma 6, $H - 0$ is bipartite. Note that in H , $\text{Adj}(0) = \{1, 2, \dots, x\}$ and $x + 1$ is adjacent to $1, 2, \dots, x$. Then, H is also bipartite. Let A be the adjacency matrix of H , and G'' the Steinhaus graph whose adjacency matrix is $M_k(A)$. By Corollary 2, in G'' we have $2^k = \min \text{Adj}(0)$ and $\text{Adj}^+(2^k) = \{2^k + 2^k x\} = \{2^k + w\}$. Then the subgraph of G'' induced by $\{2^k - v, 2^k - v + 1, \dots, 2^k - v + n - 1\}$ is precisely the Steinhaus graph of n vertices in which $\min \text{Adj}(0) = v$ and $\text{Adj}^+(v) = \{v + w\}$, which is G . By Theorem 5, G'' is bipartite and so is G . \blacksquare

We close this paper by noting that the equivalence of (1) and (2) in Theorem 7 was also proved in [9]; and (3) is also proved in [6] in an alternative way and is used to obtain a formula for the number of bipartite Steinhaus graphs of order n in terms of $n - 2$ (also see [14]).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

The authors thank the referee for many useful suggestions on revising the paper.

REFERENCES

1. N. Brand, Almost all Steinhaus graphs have diameter 2, *J. Graph Theory* **16** (1992), 213-219.
2. N. Brand, S. Curran, S. Das and T. Jacob, Probability of diameter two for Steinhaus graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **41** (1993), 165-171.
3. R. C. Brigham, J. R. Carrington and R. D. Dutton, Embedding in Steinhaus graphs, *J. Combin. Inform. System Sci.* **17** (1992), 257-270.
4. R. C. Brigham and R. D. Dutton, Distances and diameters in Steinhaus graphs, *Congr. Numer.* **76** (1990), 7-14.
5. G. J. Chang, Binary triangles, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* **11** (1983), 209-225.
6. G. J. Chang, B. DasGupta, W. M. Dymàček, M. Fürer, M. Koerlin, Y.-S. Lee and T. Whaley, Characterizations of bipartite Steinhaus graphs, submitted.
7. W. M. Dymàček, Steinhaus graphs, *Congr. Numer.* **23-24** (1979), 399-412.
8. W. M. Dymàček, Complements of Steinhaus graphs, *Discrete Math.* **37** (1981), 167-180.
9. W. M. Dymàček, Bipartite Steinhaus graphs, *Discrete Math.* **59** (1986), 9-20.
10. W. M. Dymàček, M. Koerlin and T. Whaley, A survey of Steinhaus graphs, to appear in *the Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Graph Theory, Combinatorics, Algorithms and Applications* (Kalamazoo, Michigan, 1996).
11. W. M. Dymàček and T. Whaley, Generating strings for bipartite Steinhaus graphs, *Discrete Math.* **141** (1995), 95-107.
12. H. Harborth, Solution of Steinhaus's problem with plus and minus signs, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **12** (1972), 253-259.
13. H. Harborth, Aufgabe 785, *Elem. Math.* **33** (1978), 49-50; solution by O. P. Lossers.
14. Y.-S. Lee, *Counting Bipartite Steinhaus Graphs*, Master Thesis, Department of Applied Math., National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan, 1994.
15. J. C. Molluzzo, Steinhaus graphs, in: *Theory and Applications of Graphs*, Y. Alavi and D. R. Lick, eds. (Kalamazoo, Michigan, 1976), Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 642, Springer, Berlin, 1978, pp.394-402.
16. H. Steinhaus, *One Hundred Problems in Elementary Mathematics*, Dover, New York, 1979. This is a republication of the English translation first published in 1964 by Basic Books, Inc., 10 E. 53rd St., New York, NY 10022.
17. E. T. H. Wang, Problem E 2541, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **82** (1975), 659-660; solution by M. Joseph in same journal **83** (1976), 660-661.

Department of Applied Mathematics
National Chiao Tung University
Hsinchu 30050, Taiwan
E-mail: gjchang@math.nctu.edu.tw