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Abstract. In this work, we consider the following nonlinear problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{t t}-M\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta u=f(u), \\
u=0 \text { in } \Gamma_{0} \times(0, T), \\
M\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)=-u_{t} \text { in } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, T), \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x),
\end{gathered}
$$

in a bounded domain $\Omega$. The existence, asymptotic behavior and nonexistence of solutions are discussed under some conditions.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we shall consider the third order parabolic initial boundary value problem of the type

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}-M\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta u=f(u)  \tag{1.1}\\
u=0 \text { in } \Gamma_{0} \times(0, T)  \tag{1.2}\\
M\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)=-u_{t} \text { in } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, T)  \tag{1.3}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x) \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

for any $x \in \Omega$, where $\Omega \subset R^{N}$ is a bounded domain in $R^{N}, N \geq 1$, with a $C^{2}$ boundary $\Gamma$, and $\left(\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma_{1}\right)$ be a partition of $\Gamma$, both parts having positive measure

[^0]with $\Gamma_{0} \cap \Gamma_{1}=\phi$, and $\nu$ be the unit normal vector pointing toward the exterior of $\Omega$. Here $M \in C^{1}([0, T), R)$ is a function such that $M(s) \geq m_{0}>0, \forall s \geq 0$ and $f$ is a nonlinear function like $f(u)=|u|^{p-2} u, p>2$.

The one dimension equation (1.1) with $f=0$ was first introduced by Kirchoff [1] in 1883 in order to describe the nonlinear vibrations of an elastic string. More precisely, the original equation is

$$
\rho h \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}+\delta \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\left\{p_{0}+\frac{E h}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{2} d x\right\} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+f
$$

for $0<x<L, t \geq 0$, where $u=u(x, t)$ is the lateral deflection, $E$ the Young modulus, $\rho$ the mass density, $h$ the cross-section area, $L$ the length, $p_{0}$ the initial axial tension, $\delta$ the resistence modulus, and $f$ the external force. There is a large literature concerned with the existence of the solutions of the initial boundary value problem with null Dirichlet boundary conditions for (1.1). Some works in a bounded domain can be found in Bernstein [2], Pohozaev [23], Lions [16], Arosio and Spagnolo [1], Nishihara [20], Ebihara Medeiros and Miranda [6] and Yamada [27]. For unbounded domain, it is investigated in Matos [17] and Bisognin [3]. On the other hand, the nonexistence of global solutions of nonlinear equations of hyperbolic type of second order was studied by many authors and the references cited therein [8, 21, 22, 25, 26].

It is interesting to observe that when $M \equiv 1$, problems without viscosity, that is $\Delta u_{t}=0$, and with a boundary damping on all or part of the boundary of the spatial domains were investigated by many authors, see G. Chen [5], Quinn-Rusell [24], Lagnese [13] and Komornik and Zuazua [11]. For the nonlinear boundary damping case, we quote the papers of Zuazua [28], Lasiecka and Tataru [14], Lasiecka and Ong [15] and Komornik [12]. Recently, some articles have appeared in which $M$ is not a constant function and with a dissipative term on all or part of the boundary of the spatial domains. Miranda and San Gil Jutucaln [36] discussed the global existence and boundary stabilization of the solution for (1.1) with $f(u)=0$ under linear boundary damping. Cavalcanti, Soriano and Prates Filho [4] studied the global existence and the exponential decay of solutions for (1.1) with viscosity under internal force on part of the boundary. Park and Bae[2] proved the existence and uniqueness of weak solution to problem (1.1). These articles investigated the existence result and asymptotic behavior of solutions, yet the nonexistence phenomena are not studied. Motivated by these works, we consider problem (1.1)-(1.4) with external force and a special kind of boundary damping condition (1.3). Our intention here is to show the local existence result for the problem (1.1)-(1.4) and prove that the energy decays exponentially by using the perturbed energy method [28]. Moreover, the nonexistence of the global solution for (1.1)-(1.4) is obtained by direct approach [19]. In this way, we can extend the asymptotic result of $[4,9]$ to a boundary linear damping and the result of blow-up properties.

Our paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give some notations, lemmas and assumptions which will be used in the paper. In section 3, we first use Galerkin's approximation to study the existence of the simpler problem (3.1)-(3.4). Then, we obtain the local existence Theorem 3.2 by using contraction mapping principle. In section4, we obtain exponential decay for solutions obtained in section 3. Finally, the blow-up properties are derived.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we shall give some lemmas and notations that will be used later. Let

$$
V=\left\{v \in H^{1}(\Omega) \mid v=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{0}\right\}
$$

which endowed with the norm $\|\nabla \cdot\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$ is a Hilbert subspace of $H^{1}(\Omega)$ and we denote

$$
\|u\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}=\int_{\Gamma_{1}}|u(x)|^{2} d \Gamma
$$

Lemma 2.1. (Sobolev-Poincaré inequality [21]). If $2 \leq p \leq \frac{2 N}{N-2}$, then

$$
\|u\|_{p} \leq B_{1}\|\nabla u\|_{2}
$$

for $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ holds with some constant $B_{1}$, where $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ denotes the norm of $L^{p}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.2. ([19]). Let $\delta>0$ and $B(t) \in C^{2}(0, \infty)$ be a nonnegative function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\prime \prime}(t)-4(\delta+1) B^{\prime}(t)+4(\delta+1) B(t) \geq 0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\prime}(0)>r_{2} B(0)+K_{0} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
B^{\prime}(t)>K_{0}
$$

for $t>0$, where $K_{0}$ is a constant, $r_{2}=2(\delta+1)-2 \sqrt{(\delta+1) \delta}$ is the smallest root of the equation

$$
r^{2}-4(\delta+1) r+4(\delta+1)=0
$$

Lemma 2.3. ([19]). If $J(t)$ is a nonincreasing function on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), t_{0} \geq 0$ and satisfies the differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\prime}(t)^{2} \geq a+b J(t)^{2+\frac{1}{\delta}} \text { for } t_{0} \geq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a>0, b \in R$, then there exists a finite time $T^{*}$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{*-}} J(t)=0
$$

and the upper bound of $T^{*}$ is estimated respectively by the following cases:
(i) If $b<0$ and $J\left(t_{0}\right)<\min \left\{1, \sqrt{\frac{a}{-b}}\right\}$ then

$$
T^{*} \leq t_{0}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{-b}} \ln \frac{\sqrt{\frac{a}{-b}}}{\sqrt{\frac{a}{-b}}-J\left(t_{0}\right)}
$$

(ii) If $b=0$, then

$$
T^{*} \leq t_{0}+\frac{J\left(t_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{a}}
$$

(iii) If $b>0$, then

$$
T^{*} \leq \frac{J\left(t_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{a}}
$$

or

$$
T^{*} \leq t_{0}+2^{\frac{3 \delta+1}{2 \delta}} \frac{\delta c}{\sqrt{a}}\left\{1-\left[1+c J\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{\frac{-1}{2 \delta}}\right\}
$$

where $c=\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2+\frac{1}{\delta}}$. Now, we state the general hypothesis :
(A1) $f(0)=0$ and there is a positive constant $k_{1}$ such that

$$
|f(u)-f(v)| \leq k_{1}|u-v|\left(|u|^{p-2}+|v|^{p-2}\right)
$$

where $2<p \leq \frac{2(N-1)}{N-2}$ and $u, v \in R$.

## 3. Local Existence

In this section, we will discuss the local existence of solutions for nonlinear wave equations (1.1) - (1.4) by using contraction mapping principle. An important tool in the proof of local existence theorem 3.2 is the study of the following simpler problem :

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}-M\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta u=f_{1}(x, t) \text { on } \Omega \times(0, t)  \tag{3.1}\\
u=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{0} \times(0, T) \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
M\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)=-u_{t} \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, T)  \tag{3.3}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), x \in \Omega \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, $T>0$ and $f_{1}$ is a fixed forcing term on $\Omega \times(0, T)$.
Lemma 3.1. Suppose that (A1) holds and that $u_{0} \in V, u_{1} \in V$ and $f_{1} \in$ $L^{2}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Then the problem $(3.1)-(3.4)$ admits a unique solution $u$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & \in C([0, T) ; V) \\
u_{t} & \in C\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{2}([0, T) ; V)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
u_{t t} \in L^{2}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
$$

Proof. Let $\left(w_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ be a basis in $V$ and $V_{n}$ be the space generated by $w_{1}, \cdots, w_{n}, n=1,2,3, \cdots$. Let us consider

$$
u_{n}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i n}(t) w_{i}
$$

be the weak solution of the following approximate problem corresponding to (3.1) (3.4)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t) w d x+M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n}(t) \cdot \nabla w d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n}^{\prime}(t) \cdot \nabla w d x+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} u_{n}^{\prime}(t) w d \Gamma  \tag{3.5}\\
= & \int_{\Omega} f_{1}(x, t) w d x, \text { for } w \in V_{n},
\end{align*}
$$

with initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(0)=u_{0 n} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i n} w_{i} \rightarrow u_{0} \text { in } V \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}^{\prime}(0)=u_{1 n} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i n} w_{i} \rightarrow u_{1} \text { in } V \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{i n}=\int_{\Omega} u_{0} w_{i} d x, q_{i n}=\int_{\Omega} u_{1} w_{i} d x$ and $u^{\prime}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$.
By standard methods in differential equations, we prove the existence of solutions to (3.5) - (3.7) on some interval $\left[0, t_{n}\right), 0<t_{n}<T$. In order to extend the solution of $(3.5)-(3.7)$ to the whole interval $[0, T)$, we need the following a priori estimates.

Step 1. Setting $w=u_{n}^{\prime}(t)$ in (3.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left\|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\hat{M}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right)+\left\|\nabla u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}  \tag{3.8}\\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{M}(s)=\int_{0}^{s} M(r) d r$. By integrating (3.8) over $(0, t)$, we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\hat{M}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} d t+2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} d t  \tag{3.9}\\
\leq & c_{1}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} d t .
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{1}=\left\|u_{1 n}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\hat{M}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0 n}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2} d t$. Thus, employing Gronwall's Lemma, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\hat{M}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} d t \leq L_{1} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in[0, T)$ and $L_{1}$ is a positive constant independent of $n \in N$.
Step 2. Setting $w=u_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ in (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{d}{d t}\left[M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n}(t) \cdot \nabla u_{n}^{\prime}(t) d x\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
\leq & {\left[\frac{d}{d t} M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right] \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n}(t) \cdot \nabla u_{n}^{\prime}(t) d x } \\
& +M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

By Hölder inequality and (3.10), we note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{d}{d t} M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right] \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n}(t) \cdot \nabla u_{n}^{\prime}(t) d x } \\
= & 2 M^{\prime}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla u_{n}^{\prime} d x\right)^{2}  \tag{3.12}\\
\leq & \frac{2 M_{1} L_{1}}{m_{0}}\left\|\nabla u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

and by (3.10) again, we also get

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq M_{2}\left\|\nabla u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{1}=\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\{\left|M^{\prime}(s)\right| ; 0 \leq s \leq \frac{L_{1}}{m_{0}}\right\}$ and $M_{2}=\sup _{0 \leq \leq T}\left\{|M(s)| ; 0 \leq s \leq \frac{L_{1}}{m_{0}}\right\}$.
Thus, integrating (3.11) over $(0, t)$ and using (3.12) - (3.13), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} d t+2 M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n}(t) \cdot \nabla u_{n}^{\prime}(t) d x \\
& +\left\|\nabla u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}  \tag{3.14}\\
\leq c_{2} & +c_{3} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)\right\|_{2}^{2} d \tau
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{2}=2 M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0 n}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla u_{0 n}\right\|_{2}\left\|\nabla u_{1 n}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{1 n}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{1 n}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2} d t$ and $c_{3}=\left(\frac{4 M_{1} L_{1}}{m_{0}}+2 M_{2}\right)$. By Hölder inequality, Young's inequality and (3.10), we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\| 2 M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n}(t) \cdot \nabla u_{n}^{\prime}(t) d x\left\|\leq c_{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right\| \nabla u_{n}^{\prime}(t) \|_{2}^{2},\right. \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{4}=\frac{2 M_{2}^{2} L_{1}}{m_{0}}$. Then, from (3.14) and by (3.15), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} d t+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} \\
\leq & c_{5}+c_{3} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)\right\|_{2}^{2} d \tau,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{5}=c_{4}+c_{2}$. Hence, by Gronwall's Lemma, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} d t+\left\|\nabla u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} \leq L_{2} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T)$ and $L_{2}$ is a positive constant independent of $n \in N$.
Step 3. Let $n_{2} \geq n_{1}$ be two natural numbers and consider $z_{n}=u_{n_{2}}-u_{n_{1}}$. Then $z_{n}$ satisfies the following system :

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}^{\prime \prime}-\Delta z_{n}^{\prime}-M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n_{2}}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u_{n_{2}}+M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n_{1}}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u_{n_{1}}=0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{n}=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{0} \times(0, T)  \tag{3.18}\\
& M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n_{2}}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u_{n_{2}}}{\partial \nu}-M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n_{1}}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u_{n_{1}}}{\partial \nu}  \tag{3.19}\\
& +\frac{\partial z_{n}}{\partial \nu}=-z_{n}^{\prime} \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, T)
\end{align*}
$$

and initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}(x, 0)=u_{0 n_{2}}-u_{0 n_{1}}, \quad z_{n}^{\prime}(x, 0)=u_{1 n_{2}}-u_{1 n_{1}}, x \in \Omega \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (3.17) by $2 z_{n}^{\prime}$ and integrating it over $\Omega$,, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left\|z_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n_{2}}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla z_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +2\left\|\nabla z_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+2\left\|z_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} \\
& =2 \int_{\Omega}\left[M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n_{1}}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)-M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n_{2}}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right] \nabla u_{n_{1}} \cdot \nabla z_{n}^{\prime} d x  \tag{3.21}\\
& \quad+\left[\frac{d}{d t} M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\right]\left\|\nabla z_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that (3.10) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n_{1}}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)-M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n_{2}}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right| \\
\leq & \int_{\left\|\nabla u_{n_{1}}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}}^{\left\|\nabla u_{n_{2}}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}}\left|M^{\prime}(s)\right| d s  \tag{3.22}\\
\leq & M_{1}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n_{2}}(t)\right\|_{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{n_{1}}(t)\right\|_{2}\right)\left\|\nabla z_{n}(t)\right\|_{2} \\
\leq & c_{6}\left\|\nabla z_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{6}=2 M_{1}\left(\frac{L_{1}}{m_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then, from (3.22), (3.10) and by Hölder inequality and Young's inequality, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\left[M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n_{1}}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)-M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n_{2}}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right] \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n_{1}} \cdot \nabla z_{n}^{\prime} d x  \tag{3.23}\\
\leq & c_{7}\left\|\nabla z_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla z_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{7}=\frac{c_{6}^{2} L_{1}}{m_{0}}$.
Again from (3.10) and (3.16), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{d}{d t} M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n_{2}}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right]\left\|\nabla z_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq c_{8}\left\|\nabla z_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{8}=2 M_{1}\left(\frac{L_{1} L_{2}}{m_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
Thus, by (3.22) - (3.24), we have from (3.21)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left[\left\|z_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n_{2}}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla z_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right]+\left\|\nabla z_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{3.25}\\
+ & 2\left\|z_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} \leq c_{9}\left\|\nabla z_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{9}=c_{7}+c_{8}$. By integrating (3.25) over $(0, t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|z_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n_{2}}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla z_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla z_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} d t+2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\|z_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} d t \\
\leq & c_{10}+\frac{c_{9}}{m_{0}} \int_{0}^{t} M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n_{2}}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla z_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{10}=\left\|z_{1 n}\right\|_{2}^{2}+M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{1 n}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla z_{1 n}\right\|_{2}^{2}$. Hence, by Gronwall's Lemma, we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|z_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n_{2}}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla z_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla z_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} d t  \tag{3.26}\\
+ & 2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\|z_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} d t \leq L_{3},
\end{align*}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T)$ and $L_{3}$ is a positive constant independent of $n \in N$. Therefore, from (3.10), (3.16) and (3.26), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i} \rightarrow u \text { strongly in } C([0, T) ; V) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime} \text { strongly in } C\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime} \text { strongly in } L^{2}([0, T) ; V) \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime} \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right), \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime} \quad \text { weak-* in } L^{\infty}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime} \quad \text { weak-* in } L^{\infty}([0, T) ; V), \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime \prime} \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) . \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.27), we have

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{i}\right\|_{2} \rightarrow\|\nabla u\|_{2} \text { in } C(0, T) .
$$

and since $M \in C^{1}\left([0, \infty) ; R^{+}\right)$, we obtain

$$
M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{i}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \rightarrow M\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \text { in } C(0, T) .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{i}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) u_{i} \rightarrow M\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) u \quad \text { in } C([0, T) ; V) . \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (3.5) by $\theta \in D(0, T)$ and integrating it over $(0, T)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{\prime \prime} v \theta d x d t+\int_{0}^{T} M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla v \theta d x d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n}^{\prime} \cdot \nabla v \theta d x d t+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} u_{n}^{\prime} v \theta d \Gamma d t  \tag{3.35}\\
= & \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} f_{1} v \theta d x d t, \text { for } v \in V .
\end{align*}
$$

The convergences (3.27) - (3.34) are sufficient to pass the limit in (3.35) in order to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u^{\prime \prime} v \theta d x d t+\int_{0}^{T} M\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \theta d x d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u^{\prime} \cdot \nabla v \theta d x d t+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} u^{\prime} v \theta d \Gamma d t \\
= & \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} f_{1} v \theta d x d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\theta \in D(0, T)$ and $v \in V$. In particular, let $v \theta \in D((0, T) \times \Omega)$, then we see that

$$
u_{t t}-M\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u-\Delta u_{t}=f_{1}(x, t) \text { in } D^{\prime}((0, T) \times \Omega) .
$$

Since $u^{\prime \prime} \in L^{2}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$, we have

$$
\Delta\left(M\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+u_{t}\right)=u^{\prime \prime}-f_{1}(x, t) \in L^{2}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) .\right.
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-M\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u-\Delta u_{t}=f_{1}(x, t) \text { in } L^{2}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) . \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.36) and by Green's formula, we get

$$
M\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)=-u_{t} \quad \text { in } \quad D^{\prime}\left([0, T) ; H^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)
$$

but $u_{t} \in L^{2}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)$, so we deduce that

$$
M\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)=-u_{t} \text { in } L^{2}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)
$$

Next, we want to show the uniqueness of $(3.1)-(3.4)$. Let $u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}$ be two solutions of $(3.1)-(3.4)$. Then $z=u^{(1)}-u^{(2)}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{t t}-\Delta z_{t}+M\left(\left\|\nabla u^{(2)}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u^{(2)}-M\left(\left\|\nabla u^{(1)}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u^{(1)}=0 \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
z=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{0} \times(0, T)
$$

$M\left(\left\|\nabla u^{(1)}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u^{1}}{\partial \nu}-M\left(\left\|\nabla u^{(2)}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u^{(2)}}{\partial \nu}+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial \nu}\right)=-z_{t} \quad$ on $\Gamma_{1} \times(0, T)$,
and initial conditions

$$
z(x, 0)=0, z^{\prime}(x, 0)=0, \quad x \in \Omega
$$

Setting $w=z^{\prime}(t)$ in (3.37), then as in deriving (3.10), we see that

$$
\left\|z^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\hat{M}\left(\|\nabla z(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right) \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left\|z^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} d t
$$

Thus, employing Gronwall's Lemma, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}=\|\nabla z(t)\|_{2}=0 \text { for all } t \in[0, T] \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have the uniqueness. We have just showed the existence of solutions to problem (3.1) - (3.4) when the initial data are smooth. However, when

$$
\left\{u_{0}, u_{1}, f\right\} \in V \times L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
$$

there exists

$$
\left\{u_{0}^{\mu}, u_{1}^{\mu}, f_{\mu}\right\} \in V \times V \times H^{1}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
$$

such that

$$
\left\{u_{0}^{\mu}, u_{1}^{\mu}, f_{\mu}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{u_{0}, u_{1}, f\right\} \text { in } V \times L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
$$

and using the density arguments and proceeding analogous to the estimate of step $1-3$, we can find a sequence $\left\{u_{\mu}\right\}$ of solution to problem $(3.1)-(3.4)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\mu} & \rightarrow u \text { strongly in } C([0, T) ; V) \\
u_{\mu}^{\prime} & \rightarrow u^{\prime} \text { strongly in } C\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \\
u_{\mu}^{\prime} & \rightarrow u^{\prime} \text { strongly in } L^{2}([0, T) ; V) \\
u_{\mu}^{\prime} & \rightarrow u^{\prime} \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right), \\
u_{\mu}^{\prime \prime} & \rightarrow u^{\prime \prime} \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The above convergences are sufficient to pass to the limit in order to obtain a weak solution of (3.1) - (3.4) which satisfies

$$
u_{t t}-M\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u-\Delta u_{t}=f_{1}(x, t) \text { in } L^{2}\left([0, T) ; V^{\prime}\right)
$$

Moreover, as the same way in [4], we also deduce

$$
M\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)=-u_{t} \text { in } L^{2}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)
$$

Now, we are ready to show the local existence of the problem (1.1) - (1.4).
Theorem 3.2. Suppose that (A1) hold, and that $u_{0} \in V$ and $u_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, then there exists a unique solution $u$ of (1.1) - (1.4) satisfying

$$
u \in C([0, T] ; V), u_{t} \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{2}([0, T] ; V)
$$

Moreover, at least one of the following statements is valid :
(i) $T=\infty$,
(ii) $e(u(t)) \equiv\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow T^{-}$.

Proof. Define the following two-parameter space :

$$
X_{T, R_{0}}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
v: v \in C([0, T) ; V) \\
v_{t} \in C\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{2}([0, T) ; V) \\
e(v(t)) \leq R_{0}^{2}, \text { for all } t \in[0, T), v(0)=u_{0} \text { and } v_{t}(0)=u_{1}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

for $T>0, R_{0}>0$. Then $X_{T, R_{0}}$ is a complete metric space with the distance

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(y, z)=\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} e(y(t)-z(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y, z \in X_{T, R_{0}}$. Given $v \in X_{T, R_{0}}$, we consider the following problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-M\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u-\Delta u_{t}=f(v), \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{0} \times(0, T), \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)=-u_{t} \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, T), \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), x \in \Omega . \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, we observe by (A1) that $f(v) \in L^{2}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Thus, by Lemma 3.1, there exists a unique solution $u \in$ of (3.40) - (3.43). We define the nonlinear mapping $S v=u$, and then, we shall show that there exist $T>0$ and $R_{0}>0$ such that
(i) $S: X_{T, R_{0}} \rightarrow X_{T, R_{0}}$,
(ii) $S$ is a contraction mapping in $X_{T, R_{0}}$ with respect to the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ defined in (3.39).
(i) Multiplying (3.40) by $2 u_{t}$ and then integrating it over $\Omega \times(0, t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\hat{M}\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right)+2\left\|\nabla u_{t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+2\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} \\
\leq & 2 \int_{\Omega} f(v) u_{t} d x . \tag{3.44}
\end{align*}
$$

By (A1), Hölder inequality and Poincaré inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \int_{\Omega} f(v) u_{t} d x \\
\leq & 2 k_{1} B_{1}^{p-1}\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{p-1}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}  \tag{3.45}\\
\leq & 2 k_{1} B_{1}^{p-1} R_{0}^{p-1} e(u(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then integrating (3.44) and using (3.45), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(u(t)) \leq \eta_{0}^{2}+2 c_{11} k_{1}\left(B_{1} R_{0}\right)^{p-1} \int_{0}^{t} e(u)^{\frac{1}{2}} d t \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{0}=c_{11}\left[\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\hat{M}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right]$ and $c_{11}^{-1}=\min \left(1, m_{0}\right)$. Thus, by Gronwall's Lemma, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(u(t)) \leq \chi\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, R_{0}, T\right)^{2} \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t \in[0, T)$ and

$$
\chi\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, R_{0}, T\right)=\eta_{0}+c_{11} k_{1}\left(B_{1} R_{0}\right)^{p-1} T
$$

We see that if parameters $T$ and $R_{0}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, R_{0}, T\right)^{2} \leq R_{0}^{2} \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $S$ maps $X_{T, R_{0}}$ into itself. Therefore, we show that S maps $X_{T, R_{0}}$ into itself. Next, we will show that $S$ is a contraction mapping with respect to the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Let $v_{i} \in X_{T, R_{0}}$ and $u^{(i)}$ be the corresponding solution to (3.40) - (3.43). By the above argument, we see that $u^{(i)} \in X_{T, R_{0}}, i=1,2$. Setting $w(t)=\left(u^{(1)}-u^{(2)}\right)(t)$, then $w$ satisfy the following system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{t t}-M\left(\left\|\nabla u^{(1)}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta w-\Delta w_{t}  \tag{3.49}\\
= & f\left(v_{1}\right)-f\left(v_{2}\right)+\left[M\left(\left\|\nabla u^{(1)}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)-M\left(\left\|\nabla u^{(2)}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right] \Delta u^{(2)},
\end{align*}
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
w=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{0} \times(0, T)  \tag{3.50}\\
M\left(\left\|\nabla u^{(1)}(t)\right\|^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u^{1}}{\partial \nu}-M\left(\left\|\nabla u^{(2)}(t)\right\|^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u^{(2)}}{\partial \nu}+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}\right)  \tag{3.51}\\
=-w_{t} \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, T)
\end{gather*}
$$

and initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x, 0)=0, w_{t}(x, 0)=0, x \in \Omega \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (3.47) by $2 w_{t}$ and integrating it over $\Omega$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left[\left\|w_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+M\left(\left\|\nabla u^{(1)}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2}\right]+2\left\|\nabla w_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+2\left\|w_{t}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}  \tag{3.53}\\
& =I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
I_{1}=-2\left[M\left(\left\|\nabla u^{(1)}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)-M\left(\left\|\nabla u^{(2)}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right] \int_{\Omega} \nabla u^{(2)} \cdot \nabla w_{t} d x
$$

$$
I_{2}=2 \int_{\Omega}\left(f\left(v_{1}\right)-f\left(v_{2}\right)\right) w_{t} d x
$$

and

$$
I_{3}=\left(\frac{d}{d t} M\left(\left\|\nabla u^{(1)}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right)\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2} .
$$

By Hölder inequality and Young's inequality, we observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq 2 M_{3}\left(\left\|\nabla u^{(1)}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\nabla u^{(2)}\right\|_{2}\right)\left\|\nabla u^{(1)}-\nabla u^{(2)}\right\|_{2}\left\|\nabla u^{(2)}\right\|_{2}\left\|\nabla w_{t}\right\|_{2}  \tag{3.54}\\
& \leq 2 M_{3}^{2} R_{0}^{4} d\left(u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}\right)^{2}+2\left\|\nabla w_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}, \\
& \quad\left|I_{2}\right| \leq k_{1}^{2} B_{1}^{2(p-1)} R_{0}^{2(p-2)} d\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)^{2}+d\left(u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}\right)^{2}, \tag{3.55}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{3}\right| \leq 2 M_{3}\left\|\nabla u^{(1)}\right\|_{2}\left\|\nabla u_{t}^{(1)}\right\|_{2}\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2} \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{3}=\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\{\left|M^{\prime}(s)\right| ; 0 \leq s \leq R_{0}\right\}$. Note that, as in the estimate Step 2, we deduce

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{t}^{(1)}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq c_{12}+c_{13} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{t}^{(1)}\right\|_{2}^{2} d t
$$

where $c_{12}=2 M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}\left\|\nabla u_{1}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}+k_{1} B_{1}^{(p-1)} R_{0}^{(p-1)} T$ $+2 M_{4}^{2} R_{0}^{2}$ and $c_{13}=4 M_{3} R_{0}^{2}+2 M_{4}$, here $M_{4}=\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\{|M(s)| ; 0 \leq s \leq R_{0}\right\}$.
Since, by Gronwall's Lemma, we have

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{t}^{(1)}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq c_{12} \mathrm{e}^{c_{13} T},
$$

then, from (3.54), we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{3}\right| \leq \sqrt{c_{12}} M_{3} R_{0} e^{\frac{c_{13} T}{2}} d\left(u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.51) and by (3.52) - (3.55), we obtain
(3.58) $\frac{d}{d t}\left[\left\|w_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+M\left(\left\|\nabla u^{(1)}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2}\right] \leq c_{14} d\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)^{2}+c_{15} d\left(u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}\right)^{2}$,
where $c_{14}=k_{1}^{2} B_{1}^{2(p-1)} R_{0}^{2(p-2)}$ and $c_{15}=2 M_{3}^{2} R_{0}^{4}+1+\sqrt{c_{12}} M_{3} R_{0} e^{\frac{c_{13} T}{2}}$. Integrating (3.56) over ( $0, t$ ) and using (3.39), we have

$$
d\left(u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}\right)^{2} \leq c_{16} T d\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)^{2}+c_{17} \int_{0}^{t} d\left(u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}\right)^{2} d t,
$$

where $c_{16}=c_{11} c_{14}$ and $c_{17}=c_{11} c_{15}$. Thus, by Gronwall's Lemma, we see that

$$
d\left(u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}\right)^{2} \leq c_{16} T \mathrm{e}^{c_{17} T} d\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)^{2} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}\right) \leq C\left(T, R_{0}\right) d\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
C\left(T, R_{0}\right)=\sqrt{c_{16} T} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{c_{17} T}{2}} .
$$

Therefore, under inequality (3.48), $S$ is a contraction mapping if $C\left(T, R_{0}\right)<1$. Indeed, we choose $R_{0}$ sufficiently large and $T$ sufficiently small so that (3.47) and (3.59) are satisfied at the same time. By applying Banach fixed point theorem, we obtain the local existence result.

## 4. Global Existence

In this section, we consider the global solution and energy decay of solutions for a kind of the problem (1.1) - (1.4) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-M\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right) \Delta u-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta u=|u|^{p-2} u \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=0 \text { in } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, T), \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)=-u_{t} \text { in } \Gamma_{0} \times(0, T), \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2<p \leq \frac{2(N-1)}{N-2}$ and $M \in C^{\prime}([0, \infty), R)$ is a nondecreasing function. Let

$$
I_{2}(u(t)) \equiv I_{2}(t)=M\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-\|u\|_{p}^{p}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u(t)) \equiv J(t)=\frac{1}{2} \hat{M}\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{p}^{p} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u \in V$. Let $u$ be the solution of (4.1) - (4.4), we define the energy function

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}+J(t) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.1 $E(t)$ is a nonincreasing function on $[0, T)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(t)=-\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By using Divergence theorem and (4.1) - (4.4), we see that (4.9) follows at once.

Lemma 4.2. Let $u$ be the solution of (4.1) - (4.4). Assume the conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold. If $I_{1}(0)>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{2 p B_{1}^{p}}{(p-2) m_{0}}\left(\frac{2 p}{m_{0}(p-2)} E(0)\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}<1, \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $I_{2}(t)>0$ for all $t \in[0, T)$.
Proof. Since $I_{1}(0)>0$, it follows from the continuity of $u(t)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}(t) \geq 0, \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some interval near $t=0$. Let $t_{\max }>0$ be a maximal time (possibly $t_{\max }=T$ ), when (4.11) holds on $\left[0, t_{\max }\right)$. From (4.7) and (4.5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
J(t) & \geq \frac{1}{2} m_{0}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \geq \frac{(p-2) m_{0}}{2 p}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{p} I_{1}(t), t \in\left[0, t_{\max }\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

By using (4.12), (4.9) and Lemma 4.1, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{2 p}{(p-2) m_{0}} J(t) \leq \frac{2 p}{(p-2) m_{0}} E(t) \leq \frac{2 p}{(p-2) m_{0}} E(0) . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from Poincaré inequality, (4.13) and (4.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u\|_{p}^{p} & \leq B_{1}^{p}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{p} \leq \frac{B_{1}^{p}}{m_{0}}\left(\frac{2 p}{m_{0}(p-2)} E(0)\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} m_{0}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{4.14}\\
& =\frac{(p-2) \alpha}{2 p} m_{0}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}<m_{0}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \text { on }\left[0, t_{\max }\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}(t)=m_{0}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-\|u\|_{p}^{p}>0 \text { on }\left[0, t_{\max }\right) . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that we can take $t_{\max }=T$. But, from (4.5) and (4.6), we see that

$$
I_{2}(t) \geq I_{1}(t), t \in[0, T) .
$$

Therefore, we have $I_{2}(t)>0, t \in[0, T)$.
Moreover, from (4.13), we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{2 p}{(p-2) m_{0}} E(0) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (4.14) and (4.12), we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2 E(t) \leq 2 E(0) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, it follows by (4.16) and (4.17) that

$$
e(u(t)) \leq c_{1} E(0),
$$

where $c_{1}=\frac{2 p}{(p-2) m_{0}}+2$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.2, we have $T=\infty$.
Let us consider the perturbed energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\varepsilon}(t)=E(t)+\varepsilon \Psi(t) \text { for } \varepsilon>0, \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Psi(t)=\int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x+\frac{1}{2}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|u\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}\right) .
$$

Lemma 4.3. There exists a positive constant $k_{2}$ such that

$$
\left|E_{\varepsilon}(t)-E(t)\right| \leq k_{2} \varepsilon E(t) \text { for } \varepsilon>0 \text { and } t \geq 0
$$

Proof. By Hölder inequality, Young's inequality and Poincaré inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Psi(t)| & \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{B_{1}^{2}+1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} \\
& \leq E(t)+c_{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq k_{2} E(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{2}=\frac{\lambda^{2}+\left(1+B_{1}^{2}\right)}{2}, k_{2}=1+\frac{2 p c_{2}}{m_{0}(p-2)}$, here $\lambda$ is a positive constant such that $\|u\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}} \leq \lambda\|\nabla u\|_{2}$ for $u \in V$.

Lemma 4.4. There exist $k_{3}=1-\frac{\alpha(p-1)}{p}$ and $\varepsilon_{1}=\frac{2}{3 B_{1}}$ such that for $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{1}\right]$, we have

$$
E_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t) \leq-k_{3} \varepsilon E(t) \text { for } t \geq 0
$$

Proof. By (4.1)-(4.4) and Divergence Theorem, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi^{\prime}(t) & =\int_{\Omega} u_{t t} u d x+\int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{t}+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} u_{t} u d \Gamma  \tag{4.19}\\
& =-M\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|u\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, from (4.18) and by Lemma 4.1 and (4.19), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t) & =E^{\prime}(t)+\varepsilon \Psi^{\prime}(t) \\
& =-\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}+\varepsilon\left[-M\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|u\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] \\
& \leq\left(-1+\frac{3 \varepsilon B_{1}}{2}\right)\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}-k_{3} \varepsilon E(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k_{3}=1-\frac{\alpha(p-1)}{p}>0$. Thus

$$
E_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t) \leq\left(-1+\frac{3 \varepsilon B_{1}}{2}\right)\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-k_{3} \varepsilon E(t)
$$

Considering $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{1}\right]$ where $\varepsilon_{1}=\frac{2}{3 B_{1}}$, then we deduce

$$
E_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t) \leq-k_{3} \varepsilon E(t) \text { for } t \geq 0
$$

Hence, we have the result.
Theorem 4.5. (Global existence and Energy decay). Assume that $u_{0} \in V$, $u_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega), I_{1}(0)>0$ and (4.10) holds. Then there exists a unique solution $u$ of (4.1) - (4.4) satisfying

$$
u \in C([0, \infty) ; V), u_{t} \in C\left([0, \infty) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{2}([0, \infty) ; V)
$$

Moreover, we have the following estimate :

$$
E(t) \leq 3 E(0) e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} k_{3} t}
$$

where $t \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right], \varepsilon_{0}=\min \left\{\frac{1}{2 k_{2}}, \varepsilon_{1}\right\}$.
Proof. By Lemma 4.3, we have

$$
\left(1-k_{2} \varepsilon\right) E(t) \leq E_{\varepsilon}(t) \leq\left(1+k_{2} \varepsilon\right) E(t)
$$

Since $\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2 k_{2}}$, then

$$
\frac{1}{2} E(t) \leq E_{\varepsilon}(t) \leq \frac{3}{2} E(t) \leq 2 E(t) \text { for } t \geq 0
$$

and, therefore,

$$
-\varepsilon k_{2} E(t) \leq-\frac{\varepsilon k_{2}}{2} E_{\varepsilon}(t)
$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.4 , we see that

$$
E_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} k_{3} E_{\varepsilon}(t)
$$

Consequently,

$$
E_{\varepsilon}(t) \leq \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} k_{3} t} E_{\varepsilon}(0)
$$

Thus, we get

$$
E(t) \leq 3 E(0) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} k_{3} t}, t \geq 0
$$

## 5. Blow-up Property

In this section, we will consider the blow-up property of solutions for (1.1) (1.4) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-M\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right) \Delta u-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta u=f(u) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M \in C^{\prime}([0, \infty), R)$ is a function such that $M(s) \geq m_{0}>0, \nabla s \geq 0$ and $f$ satisfies assuaption (A1). In order to state our work, we impose further assumptions on $f$ and $M$ :
(A2) there exists a positive constant $\delta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s f(s) \geq(2+4 \delta) F(s), \text { for all } s \in R \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 \delta+1) \bar{M}(s) \geq M(s) s, \text { for all } s \geq 0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(r) d r \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{M}(s)=\int_{0}^{s} M(r) d r \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Remark.

(1) In this case, we define the energy function of the solution $u$ of (5.1), (1.2) and (1.3) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \hat{M}\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right)-\int_{\Omega} F(u(t)) d x \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq 0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=E(0)-\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} d t-\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} d t \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) It is clear that $f(u)=|u|^{p-2} u, p>\max \{2,2(\gamma+1)\}$ satisfies (A2) with $\frac{\gamma}{2} \leq \delta \leq \frac{p-2}{4}$ and $M(s)=m_{0}+b s^{\gamma}$, satisfies (A2) for $m_{0} \geq 0, b \geq 0$, $m_{0}+b>0, \gamma>0, s \geq 0$.
Let $u$ be a solution of (5.1), (1.2) - (1.3) and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t)=\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{t}\|u\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} d t, t \geq 0 \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.1. Assume that (A1) and (A2) hold, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
a^{\prime \prime}(t)-4(\delta+1) \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x \geq & (-4-8 \delta) E(0)+(4+8 \delta) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} d t \\
& +(4+8 \delta) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} d t \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From (5.7), we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime}(t)=2 \int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|u\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime \prime}(t)=2 \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x-2 M\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+2 \int f(u) u d x \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by Lemma 4.2, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a^{\prime \prime}(t)-4(\delta+1) \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x \\
= & (-4-8 \delta) E(0)+(4+8 \delta) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|^{2} d t+(4+8 \delta) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} d t \\
& +\left[(2+4 \delta) \hat{M}\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right)-2 M\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right] \\
& +\int_{\Omega} 2[f(u) u-(2+4 \delta) F(u)] d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by (5.2)-(5.3), we have(5.8). Now, we consider three different cases on the sign of the initial energy $E(0)$.
(1) If $E(0)<0$, then from (5.8), we have

$$
a^{\prime}(t) \geq a^{\prime}(0)-4(1+2 \delta) E(0) t, t \geq 0
$$

Thus we get $a^{\prime}(t)>\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}$ for $t>t^{*}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{*}=\max \left\{\frac{a^{\prime}(0)-\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}\right)}{4(1+2 \delta) E(0)}, 0\right\} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) If $E(0)=0$, then $a^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$. Furthermore, if $a^{\prime}(0)>\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+$ $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}$, then $a^{\prime}(t)>\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}, t \geq 0$
(3) For the case that $E(0)>0$, we first note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{t} d x d t=\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Hölder inequality and Young's inequality, we have from (5.12)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} d t \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} u u_{t} d \Gamma d t=\|u\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}-\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\|u\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} d t \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.9) and by Hölder and Young's inequality again, we see that

$$
a^{\prime}(t) \leq\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|u\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}
$$

Then, from (5.13), (5.14) and (5.7), we deduce

$$
\begin{gather*}
a^{\prime}(t) \leq a(t)+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} d t  \tag{5.15}\\
+\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, by (5.8) and (5.15), we obtain

$$
a^{\prime \prime}(t)-4(\delta+1) a^{\prime}(t)+4(\delta+1) a(t)+K_{1} \geq 0,
$$

where

$$
K_{1}=(4+8 \delta) E(0)+4(\delta+1)\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}\right) .
$$

Let

$$
b(t)=a(t)+\frac{K_{1}}{4(1+\delta)}, t>0
$$

Then $b(t)$ satisfies (2.1). By (2.2), we see that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime}(0)>r_{2}\left[a(0)+\frac{K_{1}}{4(1+\delta)}\right]+\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $a^{\prime}(t)>\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}, t>0$. Consequently, we have
Lemma 5.2. Assume that (A1)-(A2) hold and that either one of the following statements is satisfied :
(i) $E(0)<0$,
(ii) $E(0)=0$ and $a^{\prime}(0)>\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}$,
(iii) $E(0)>0$ and (5.16) holds, then $a^{\prime}(t)>\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}$ for $t>t_{0}$, where $t_{0}=t^{*}$ is given by (5.11) in case (i) and $t_{0}=0$ in cases (ii) and (iii).

Now, we will find the estimate for the life span of $a(t)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(t)=\left[a(t)+\left(T_{1}-t\right)\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}\right)\right]^{-\delta}, \text { for } t \in\left[0, T_{1}\right] \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{1}>0$ is a certain constant which will be specified later. Then we have,

$$
J^{\prime}(t)=-\delta J(t)^{1+\frac{1}{\delta}}\left[a^{\prime}(t)-\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}\right)\right]
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\prime \prime}(t)=-\delta J(t)^{1+\frac{2}{\delta}} V(t), \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
V(t)= & a^{\prime \prime}(t)\left[a(t)+\left(T_{1}-t\right)\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}\right)\right] \\
& -(1+\delta)\left[a^{\prime}(t)-\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}\right)\right]^{2} . \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

For simplicity of calculation, we denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1} & =\int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x, P_{2}=\int_{0}^{t}\|u\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} d t \\
W_{1} & =\int_{0}^{t}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} d t, W_{2}=\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} d t \\
S_{1} & =\int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x, S_{2}=\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (5.9) and using (5.13), (5.14) and Holder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
a^{\prime}(t)= & 2 \int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x+\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{t} d x d t \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} u u_{t} d \Gamma d t+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}  \tag{5.20}\\
\leq & 2\left(\sqrt{S_{1} P_{1}}+\sqrt{P_{2} S_{2}}+\sqrt{W_{1} W_{2}}\right)+\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq(-4-8 \delta) E(0)+4(1+\delta)\left(S_{1}+W_{2}+S_{2}\right) \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (5.20) and (5.21), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(t) \geq & {\left[(-4-8 \delta) E(0)+4(1+\delta)\left(S_{1}+W_{2}+S_{2}\right)\right][a(t)+} \\
& \left.\left(T_{1}-t\right)\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}\right)\right] \\
& -4(1+\delta)\left(S_{1}+W_{2}+S_{2}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

And by (5.17), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(t) \geq & (-4-8 \delta) E(0) J(t)^{-\frac{1}{\delta}}+4(1+\delta)\left(S_{1}+W_{2}+S_{2}\right)\left(T_{1}-t\right)\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}\right)+4(1+\delta)\left[\left(S_{1}+W_{2}+S_{2}\right)\left(P_{1}+W_{1}+P_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(S_{1}+W_{2}+S_{2}\right)^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Schwarz inequality, the last term in the above inequality is nonnegative. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t) \geq(-4-8 \delta) E(0) J(t)^{-\frac{1}{\delta}}, t \geq t_{0} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (5.18) and (5.22), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq \delta(4+8 \delta) E(0) J(t)^{1+\frac{1}{\delta}}, t \geq t_{0} \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that by Lemma 5.2, $J^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t>t_{0}$. Multiplying (5.23) by $J^{\prime}(t)$ and integrating from $t_{0}$ to $t$, we get

$$
J^{\prime}(t)^{2} \geq \alpha+\beta J(t)^{2+\frac{1}{\delta}} \text { for } t \geq t_{0}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\delta^{2} J\left(t_{0}\right)^{2+\frac{2}{\delta}}\left[\left(a^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)-\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}\right)\right)^{2}-8 E(0) J\left(t_{0}\right)^{\frac{-1}{\delta}}\right] \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=8 \delta^{2} E(0) \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that

$$
\alpha>0 \quad \text { iff } E(0)<\frac{\left[a^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)-\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}\right)\right]^{2}}{8\left(a\left(t_{0}\right)+\left(T_{1}-t\right)\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}\right)\right)}
$$

Then by Lemma 2.3, there exists a finite time $T^{*}$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{*-}} J(t)=0$ and the upper bound of $T^{*}$ is estimated respectively according to the sign of $E(0)$. This will imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{*-}}\left[\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{t}\|u\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2} d t\right]=\infty \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we say that $u$ may be blow up in $\Omega$ or on the boundary $\Gamma_{1}$ in the above sense. In conclusion, we have

Theorem 5.3. Assume that (A1)-(A2) hold and that either one of the following statements is satisfied :
(i) $E(0)<0$,
(ii) $E(0)=0$ and $a^{\prime}(0)>\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}\right)$,
(iii) $0<E(0)<\frac{\left[a^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)-\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}\right)\right]^{2}}{8\left[a\left(t_{0}\right)+\left(T_{1}-t\right)\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}^{2}\right)\right]}$ and (5.16) holds, then the solution $u$ blows up at finite time $T^{*}$ in the sense of (5.26) .

In case ( $i$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} \leq t_{0}-\frac{J\left(t_{0}\right)}{J^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)} \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if $J\left(t_{0}\right)<\min \left\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{-\beta}}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} \leq t_{0}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\beta}} \ln \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{-\beta}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{-\beta}}-J\left(t_{0}\right)} \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case (ii),

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} \leq t_{0}-\frac{J\left(t_{0}\right)}{J^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)} \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} \leq t_{0}+\frac{J\left(t_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case (iii),

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} \leq \frac{J\left(t_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} \leq t_{0}+2^{\frac{3 \delta+1}{2 \delta}} \frac{\delta c}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\left\{1-\left[1+c J\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{\frac{-1}{2 \delta}}\right\} \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{2+\frac{1}{\delta}}$, here $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are in (5.24) and (5.25) respectively. Note that in case $(i), t_{0}=t^{*}$ is given in (5.11) and $t_{0}=0$ in case $(i i)$ and ( $i i i$ ).

Remark. The choice of $T_{1}$ in (5.17) is possible under some conditions as in [25, 26].
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