

## ON A THEORY BY SCHECHTER AND TINTAREV

Biagio Ricceri

Dedicated to Professor Wataru Takahashi on the occasion of his 65th birthday

**Abstract.** In this paper, we show that the beautiful theory developed by M. Schechter and K. Tintarev in [9] can be applied to the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

when

$$\limsup_{|\xi| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_0^\xi f(t) dt}{\xi^2} < +\infty$$

and, for each  $\lambda$  in a suitable interval, the problem has a unique positive solution.

Here and in the sequel,  $X$  is an infinite-dimensional real Hilbert space and  $J : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a sequentially weakly continuous  $C^1$  functional, with  $J(0) = 0$ .

For each  $r > 0$ , set

$$S_r = \{x \in X : \|x\|^2 = r\}$$

as well as

$$\gamma(r) = \sup_{x \in S_r} J(x) .$$

Also, set

$$r^* = \inf\{r > 0 : \gamma(r) > 0\} .$$

In Section 2 of [9], M. Schechter and K. Tintarev developed a very elegant, transparent and precise theory whose aspects which are relevant for the present paper can be summarized as follows:

---

Received June 2, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35J20, 35P30, 47A75, 47J10, 47J30, 49K40, 49R50.

*Key words and phrases*: Global minimum, Uniqueness, Well-posedness, Nonlinear eigenvalue problem, Dirichlet problem.

**Theorem A.** *Assume that  $J$  has no local maximum in  $X \setminus \{0\}$ . Moreover, let  $I \subseteq ]r^*, +\infty[$  be an open interval such that, for each  $r \in I$ , there exists a unique  $\hat{x}_r \in S_r$  satisfying  $J(\hat{x}_r) = \gamma(r)$ .*

*Then, the following conclusions hold:*

- (i) *the function  $r \rightarrow \hat{x}_r$  is continuous in  $I$  ;*
- (ii) *the function  $\gamma$  is  $C^1$  and increasing in  $I$  ;*
- (iii) *one has*

$$J'(\hat{x}_r) = 2\gamma'(r)\hat{x}_r$$

*for all  $r \in I$ .*

At page 895 of [9], the authors say: "It is not yet clear, if there are general conditions providing uniqueness of the point of spherical maximum  $\hat{x}_r$ ." Then, in the subsequent Lemma 2.14, they note that such an uniqueness does occur if  $J$  is concave.

One year after [9], Schechter and Tintarev reconsidered this question assuming it as the starting point for [10]. Actually, at page 454 of [10], after declaring that the uniqueness assumption made in Theorem A is difficult to verify in applications, they recall, as just observed in [11], that it implied by the concavity of  $J$ , but, as they say, "this condition is rather restrictive". Finally, they declare that the purpose of [10] is to give applications of Theorem A in which the uniqueness hypothesis can be verified without assuming the concavity of  $J$ .

In [7], in spite of the above recalled "pessimistic" assertions of Schechter and Tintarev, we proved that if  $J'$  is Lipschitzian in a neighbourhood of 0 and  $J'(0) \neq 0$ , then there exists an explicitly determined  $\delta > 0$  such that, for each  $r \in ]0, \delta[$ , the restriction of  $J$  to  $S_r$  has a unique global maximum. Therefore, the result of [7] shows that Theorem A can actually be applied to a very large class of functionals.

We then applied the method of [7] (that we first introduced in [4] and adopted in [5, 6] too) to prove, in [8], a very general result that we now state in a (partial) form which is enough for our purposes (with the conventions  $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$ ,  $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$ ):

**Theorem B.** *Let  $Y$  be a Hausdorff topological space and let  $\Phi, \Psi : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be such that the function  $\Phi + \lambda\Psi$  has sequentially compact sub-level sets and admits a unique global minimum, say  $\hat{v}_\lambda$ , for all  $\lambda \in ]a, b[$ , where  $-\infty \leq a < b \leq +\infty$ . Set*

$$\eta = \max \left\{ \inf_Y \Psi, \sup_{V_b} \Psi \right\} ,$$

$$\theta = \min \left\{ \sup_Y \Psi, \inf_{V_a} \Psi \right\} ,$$

where  $V_a$  (resp.  $V_b$ ) denotes either the set of all global minima of the function  $\Phi + a\Psi$  (resp.  $\Phi + b\Psi$ ) or the empty set according to whether  $a$  (resp.  $b$ ) is finite or not. Assume that  $\eta < \theta$ .

Then, for every  $r \in ]\eta, \theta[$ , there exists  $\lambda_r \in ]a, b[$  such that  $\hat{v}_{\lambda_r} \in \Psi^{-1}(r)$ .

The aim of the present paper is to establish Theorem 1 below which can be regarded as the most complete fruit of a joint application of Theorems A and B.

**Theorem 1.** *Set*

$$\rho = \limsup_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{J(x)}{\|x\|^2}$$

and

$$\sigma = \sup_{x \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{J(x)}{\|x\|^2} .$$

Let  $a, b$  satisfy

$$\max\{0, \rho\} \leq a < b \leq \sigma .$$

Assume that  $J$  has no local maximum in  $X \setminus \{0\}$ , and that, for each  $\lambda \in ]a, b[$ , the functional  $x \rightarrow \lambda\|x\|^2 - J(x)$  has a unique global minimum, say  $\hat{y}_\lambda$ . Let  $M_a$  (resp.  $M_b$  if  $b < +\infty$  or  $M_b = \emptyset$  if  $b = +\infty$ ) be the set of all global minima of the functional  $x \rightarrow a\|x\|^2 - J(x)$  (resp.  $x \rightarrow b\|x\|^2 - J(x)$  if  $b < +\infty$ ). Set

$$\alpha = \max \left\{ 0, \sup_{x \in M_b} \|x\|^2 \right\}$$

and

$$\beta = \inf_{x \in M_a} \|x\|^2 .$$

Then, the following assertions hold:

- (a<sub>1</sub>) one has  $r^* \leq \alpha < \beta$  ;
- (a<sub>2</sub>) the function  $\lambda \rightarrow g(\lambda) := \|\hat{y}_\lambda\|^2$  is decreasing in  $]a, b[$  and its range is  $]\alpha, \beta[$ ;
- (a<sub>3</sub>) for each  $r \in ]\alpha, \beta[$ , the point  $\hat{x}_r := \hat{y}_{g^{-1}(r)}$  is the unique global maximum of  $J|_{S_r}$  towards which every maximizing sequence in  $S_r$  converges ;
- (a<sub>4</sub>) the function  $r \rightarrow \hat{x}_r$  is continuous in  $]\alpha, \beta[$  ;
- (a<sub>5</sub>) the function  $\gamma$  is  $C^1$ , increasing and strictly concave in  $]\alpha, \beta[$  ;
- (a<sub>6</sub>) one has

$$J'(\hat{x}_r) = 2\gamma'(r)\hat{x}_r$$

for all  $r \in ]\alpha, \beta[$  ;

(a<sub>7</sub>) one has

$$\gamma'(r) = g^{-1}(r)$$

for all  $r \in ]\alpha, \beta[$ .

Before giving the proof of Theorem 1, let us recall the following proposition:

**Proposition 1.** ([4], Proposition 1). *Let  $Y$  be a non-empty set,  $\Phi, \Psi : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  two functions, and  $\lambda, \mu$  two real numbers, with  $\lambda < \mu$ . Let  $\hat{v}_\lambda$  be a global minimum of the function  $\Phi + \lambda\Psi$  and let  $\hat{v}_\mu$  be a global minimum of the function  $\Phi + \mu\Psi$ . Then, one has*

$$\Psi(\hat{v}_\mu) \leq \Psi(\hat{v}_\lambda) .$$

If either  $\hat{v}_\lambda$  or  $\hat{v}_\mu$  is strict and  $\hat{v}_\lambda \neq \hat{v}_\mu$ , then

$$\Psi(\hat{v}_\mu) < \Psi(\hat{v}_\lambda) .$$

Now, we prove Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* First of all, observe that, by Proposition 1, the function  $g$  is non-increasing in  $]a, b[$  and  $g(]a, b[) \subseteq ]\alpha, \beta[$ . Now, let  $I \subset ]a, b[$  be a non-degenerate interval. If  $g$  was constant in  $I$ , then, by Proposition 1 again, the function  $\lambda \rightarrow \hat{y}_\lambda$  would be constant in  $I$ . Let  $y^*$  be its unique value. Then,  $y^*$  would be a critical point of the functional  $x \rightarrow \lambda\|x\|^2 - J(x)$  for all  $\lambda \in I$ . That is to say

$$2\lambda y^* = J'(y^*)$$

for all  $\lambda \in I$ . This would imply that  $y^* = 0$ , and so (since  $J(0) = 0$ ) we would have  $\inf_{x \in X} (\lambda\|x\|^2 - J(x)) = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in I$ , against the fact that  $\inf_{x \in X} (\lambda\|x\|^2 - J(x)) < 0$  for all  $\lambda < \sigma$ . Consequently,  $g$  is decreasing in  $]a, b[$ , and so, in particular,  $\alpha < \beta$ . Next, observe that

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} (\lambda\|x\|^2 - J(x)) = +\infty$$

for each  $\lambda > \max\{0, \rho\}$ . From this, recalling that  $J$  is sequentially weakly continuous, it clearly follows that we can apply Theorem B, taking  $Y = X$  with the weak topology,  $\Phi = -J$ ,  $\Psi(\cdot) = \|\cdot\|^2$ . Consequently, for every  $r \in ]\alpha, \beta[$ , there exists  $\lambda_r \in ]a, b[$  such that  $\|\hat{y}_{\lambda_r}\|^2 = r$ . Therefore, by the strict monotonicity of  $g$ , we have  $g(]a, b[) = ]\alpha, \beta[$ . Now, let us prove (a<sub>3</sub>). Fix  $r \in ]\alpha, \beta[$ . Clearly, we have

$$\|\hat{x}_r\|^2 = r .$$

Since

$$g^{-1}(r)\|\hat{x}_r\|^2 - J(\hat{x}_r) \leq g^{-1}(r)\|x\|^2 - J(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , we then have

$$J(x) \leq J(\hat{x}_r)$$

for all  $x \in S_r$ . Hence,  $\hat{x}_r$  is a global maximum of  $J|_{S_r}$ . On the other hand, if  $v$  is a global maximum of  $J|_{S_r}$ , then

$$g^{-1}(r)\|v\|^2 - J(v) = g^{-1}(r)\|\hat{x}_r\|^2 - J(\hat{x}_r)$$

and hence, since

$$\inf_{x \in X} (g^{-1}(r)\|x\|^2 - J(x)) = g^{-1}(r)\|\hat{x}_r\|^2 - J(\hat{x}_r) ,$$

we have  $v = \hat{x}_r$ . In other words,  $\hat{x}_r$  is the unique global maximum of  $J|_{S_r}$ . Since the sub-level sets of the functional  $x \rightarrow g^{-1}(r)\|x\|^2 - J(x)$  are sequentially weakly compact, it is a classical remark ([2], p. 3) that any minimizing sequence of this functional in  $X$  converges weakly to  $\hat{x}_r$ . Now, let  $\{w_n\}$  be any sequence in  $S_r$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(w_n) = \gamma(r)$ . Then, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g^{-1}(r)\|w_n\|^2 - J(w_n)) = \inf_{x \in X} (g^{-1}(r)\|x\|^2 - J(x))$$

and so  $\{w_n\}$  converges weakly to  $\hat{x}_r$ . But then, since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\| = \|\hat{x}_r\|$  and  $X$  is a Hilbert space, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n - \hat{x}_r\| = 0$  by a classical result. Let us prove that  $r^* \leq \alpha$ . Arguing by contradiction, assume that  $\alpha < r^*$ . Choose  $r \in ]\alpha, \min\{r^*, \beta\}[$ . Then, since  $\gamma$  is non-decreasing in  $]0, +\infty[$  (see Lemma 2.1 of [9]) and  $J$  is continuous, we would have  $\gamma(r) = 0$ , and so  $J(\hat{x}_r) = 0$ , and this would contradict the fact that  $\inf_{x \in X} (g^{-1}(r)\|x\|^2 - J(x)) < 0$  since  $g^{-1}(r) < \sigma$ . At this point, we are allowed to apply Theorem A taking  $I = ]\alpha, \beta[$ . Consequently, the function  $\gamma$  is  $C^1$  and increasing in  $] \alpha, \beta [$ , and  $(a_4)$ ,  $(a_6)$  come directly from  $(i)$ ,  $(iii)$  respectively. Fix  $r \in ]\alpha, \beta[$  again. Since  $\hat{x}_r$  is a critical point of the functional  $x \rightarrow g^{-1}(r)\|x\|^2 - J(x)$ , we

$$2g^{-1}(r)\hat{x}_r = J'(\hat{x}_r)$$

and then  $(a_7)$  follows from a comparison with  $(a_6)$ . Finally, from  $(a_7)$ , since  $g^{-1}$  is decreasing in  $] \alpha, \beta [$ , it follows that  $\gamma$  is strictly concave there, and the proof is complete. ■

The following two remarks show two very broad classes of functionals to which Theorem 1 can be applied.

**Remark 1.** If  $J'$  is Lipschitzian in  $X$ , with Lipschitz constant  $L$ , then, for each  $\lambda > \frac{L}{2}$ , the functional  $\lambda\|x\|^2 - J(x)$  is coercive and has a unique global minimum in  $X$  ([4]).

**Remark 2.** If the derivative of  $J$  is compact and if, for some  $\lambda > \rho$ , the functional  $x \rightarrow \lambda\|x\|^2 - J(x)$  has at most two critical points in  $X$ , then the same functional has a unique global minimum in  $X$ . Indeed, if this functional had at least two global minima, taken into account that it satisfies the classical Palais-Smale condition ([11], Example 38.25), it would have at least three critical points by Corollary 1 of [3].

Remark 2, in particular, allows a systematic application of Theorem 1 to boundary value problems admitting a unique non-zero solution, provided that the involved non-linearity has, for instance, a linear growth. Note that the specific case treated in the already recalled [10] falls in this setting.

The remainder of the paper is just devoted to this point.

So, from now on,  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  is an open, bounded and connected set, with sufficiently smooth boundary, and  $X$  denotes the space  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , with the usual norm

$$\|u\| = \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .$$

Let  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a continuous function satisfying

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbf{R}} \frac{|f(\xi)|}{1 + |\xi|^p} < +\infty ,$$

where  $0 < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  if  $n > 2$  and  $0 < p < +\infty$  if  $n = 2$ , and with no growth condition if  $n = 1$ . For each  $u \in X$ , set

$$J_f(u) = \int_{\Omega} F(u(x)) dx$$

where

$$F(\xi) = \int_0^{\xi} f(t) dt .$$

From classical results, the functional  $J_f$  is  $C^1$  and  $J_f'$  is compact, and so  $J_f$  is sequentially weakly continuous.

For  $\lambda > 0$ , we consider the problem

$$(P_{\lambda}) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega . \end{cases}$$

As usual, a weak solution of  $(P_{\lambda})$  is any  $u \in X$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u(x) \nabla v(x) dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u(x)) v(x) dx$$

for all  $v \in X$ .

Hence, the weak solutions of  $(P_\lambda)$  are exactly the critical points in  $X$  of the functional  $u \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \lambda J_f(u)$ .

A classical solution of  $(P_\lambda)$  is any  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ , zero on  $\partial\Omega$ , which satisfies the equation pointwise in  $\Omega$ . If  $f$  is locally Hölder continuous, then the weak solutions of  $(P_\lambda)$  are classical.

Let us also recall that if  $f$  is zero in  $] - \infty, 0[$  and non-negative in  $[0, +\infty[$ , then any non-zero classical solution of the problem is positive in  $\Omega$ .

Consequently, if  $f$  is zero in  $] - \infty, 0[$  and non-negative and locally Hölder continuous in  $[0, +\infty[$ , and if problem  $(P_\lambda)$  has a unique positive classical solution  $u$ , then  $u$  turns out to be the only non-zero weak solution of  $(P_\lambda)$ .

The result about problem  $(P_\lambda)$  coming out from Theorem 1 reads as follows:

**Theorem 2.** *Set*

$$\rho_f = \liminf_{\|u\| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{J_f(u)}{\|u\|^2},$$

$$\sigma_f = \sup_{u \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{J_f(u)}{\|u\|^2}$$

and

$$\gamma_f(r) = \sup_{\|u\|^2=r} J_f(u)$$

for all  $r > 0$ . Let  $a, b$  satisfy

$$\max\{0, \rho_f\} \leq a < b \leq \sigma_f.$$

Assume that  $J_f$  has no local minima in  $X \setminus \{0\}$  and that, for each  $\lambda \in ]a, b[$ , the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \frac{1}{2\lambda} f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

has a unique non-zero weak solution, say  $\hat{u}_\lambda$ . Let  $M_a$  (resp.  $M_b$  if  $b < +\infty$  or  $M_b = \emptyset$  if  $b = +\infty$ ) be the set of all global minima in  $X$  of the functional  $u \rightarrow a\|u\|^2 - J_f(u)$  (resp.  $u \rightarrow b\|u\|^2 - J_f(u)$  if  $b < +\infty$ ). Set

$$\alpha_f = \max \left\{ 0, \sup_{u \in M_b} \|u\|^2 \right\}$$

and

$$\beta_f = \inf_{u \in M_a} \|u\|^2.$$

Then, the following assertions hold:

- (b<sub>1</sub>) one has  $\alpha_f < \beta_f$  ;  
 (b<sub>2</sub>) the function  $\lambda \rightarrow g_f(\lambda) := \|\hat{u}_\lambda\|^2$  is decreasing in  $]a, b[$  and its range is  $]\alpha_f, \beta_f[$  ;  
 (b<sub>3</sub>) for each  $r \in ]\alpha_f, \beta_f[$ , the function  $\hat{v}_r := \hat{u}_{g_f^{-1}(r)}$  is the unique global maximum of  $(J_f)|_{S_r}$  towards which every maximizing sequence in  $S_r$  converges ;  
 (b<sub>4</sub>) the function  $r \rightarrow \hat{v}_r$  is continuous in  $]\alpha_f, \beta_f[$  ;  
 (b<sub>5</sub>) the function  $\gamma_f$  is  $C^1$ , increasing and strictly concave in  $]\alpha_f, \beta_f[$  ;  
 (b<sub>6</sub>) for each  $r \in ]\alpha_f, \beta_f[$ , the function  $\hat{v}_r$  is the unique non-zero solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \frac{1}{2\gamma'_f(r)} f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega ; \end{cases}$$

- (b<sub>7</sub>) one has

$$\gamma'_f(r) = g_f^{-1}(r)$$

for all  $r \in ]\alpha_f, \beta_f[$  ;

- (b<sub>8</sub>) for each  $\lambda \in ]a, b[$ , there exists a unique  $r \in ]\alpha_f, \beta_f[$  such that  $\lambda = \gamma'_f(r)$  and  $\hat{u}_\lambda = \hat{v}_r$ .

*Proof.* If  $\lambda \in ]a, b[$ , the functional  $u \rightarrow \lambda\|u\|^2 - J_f(u)$  is coercive and has negative infimum in  $X$ , and hence  $\hat{u}_\lambda$  turns out to be the unique global minimum of it. At this point, we are allowed to apply Theorem 1 taking  $J = J_f$ . So, each (b<sub>*i*</sub>), with  $i < 8$ , follows directly from the corresponding (a<sub>*i*</sub>). Concerning (b<sub>8</sub>), it is clear that, for each  $\lambda \in ]a, b[$ , the unique  $r \in ]\alpha_f, \beta_f[$  with the claimed property is  $g_f(\lambda)$ . ■

**Remark 3.** The hypotheses of Theorem 2 are most general but do not deal directly with  $f$ . It is therefore useful to point out some conditions, involving directly  $f$ , which imply them. To this end, let  $\lambda_1$  denote the first eigenvalue of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 . \end{cases}$$

Recall that  $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|$  for all  $u \in X$ . Now, set

$$\tilde{\rho}_f = \limsup_{|\xi| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(\xi)}{\xi^2}$$

and

$$\tilde{\sigma}_f = \liminf_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F(\xi)}{\xi^2} .$$

It is easily seen that

$$\rho_f \leq \frac{\tilde{\rho}_f}{\lambda_1}$$

and that

$$\sigma_f \geq \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_f}{\lambda_1}.$$

Moreover, it is clear that  $\sigma_f > 0$  when  $\sup_{\xi \in \mathbf{R}} F(\xi) > 0$ . Consequently, Theorem 2 is potentially applicable when  $\max\{0, \tilde{\rho}_f\} < \tilde{\sigma}_f$  or when  $\tilde{\rho}_f \leq 0$  and  $\sup_{\xi \in \mathbf{R}} F(\xi) > 0$ . Further, note that  $J_f$  has no local maxima in  $X$  if either  $f(0) \neq 0$  or  $f$  is zero in  $] - \infty, 0]$  and positive in  $]0, +\infty[$  (see [10], p. 456).

To conclude, we show a sample of application of Theorem 2.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $g \in C^1([0, +\infty[)$ . Assume that  $g(0) = 0$ ,  $g'(0) > 0$ ,  $g(\xi) > 0$  for all  $\xi > 0$ ,  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \xi g'(\xi) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} g(\xi)$  exists and is finite and positive. Let  $f$  defined by*

$$f(\xi) = \begin{cases} g(\xi) & \text{if } \xi \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \xi < 0. \end{cases}$$

*Then, the conclusions of Theorem 2 hold with  $a = 0$ , with some  $b \in ]0, \frac{g'(0)}{2\lambda_1}]$  and with  $\beta_f = +\infty$ .*

*Proof.* By [1], there exists  $\lambda^* > 0$  such that, for every  $\mu > \lambda^*$ , the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \mu g(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution. Consequently, if  $\lambda \in ]0, \frac{1}{2\lambda^*}[$ , the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \frac{1}{2\lambda} f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

has a unique non-zero weak solution. Of course, we have  $\rho_f \leq 0$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_f = \frac{g'(0)}{2}$ . At this point, we are allowed to apply Theorem 2 taking  $a = 0$  and  $b = \min\{\frac{1}{2\lambda^*}, \frac{g'(0)}{2\lambda_1}\}$ . Moreover, we have  $\beta_f = +\infty$  since  $J_f$  has no global maxima. ■

For instance, Proposition 2 can be applied to the function

$$g(\xi) = \text{arctg}\xi + c \frac{\xi^q}{\xi^p + 1}$$

where  $1 \leq q \leq p$  and  $c \geq 0$ .

**Remark 4.** Note that Theorem 2 applies also when  $f$  is zero in  $] - \infty, 0]$  and  $\xi \rightarrow \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi}$  is positive and decreasing in  $]0, +\infty[$ . This is just the case treated in [10]. Another case where Theorem 2 applies is when  $f(0) \neq 0$  and  $f$  is Lipschitzian (see [4]).

#### REFERENCES

1. E. N. Dancer, On the number of positive solutions of weakly non-linear elliptic equations when a parameter is large, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **53** (1986), 429-452.
2. A. L. Dontchev and T. Zolezzi, *Well-posed optimization problems*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1543, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
3. P. Pucci and J. Serrin, A mountain pass theorem, *J. Differential Equations*, **60** (1985), 142-149.
4. B. Ricceri, Uniqueness properties of functionals with Lipschitzian derivative, *Port. Math. (N.S.)*, **63** (2006), 393-400.
5. B. Ricceri, On the existence and uniqueness of minima and maxima on spheres of the integral functional of the calculus of variations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **324** (2006), 1282-1287.
6. B. Ricceri, On the well-posedness of optimization problems on spheres in  $H_0^1(0, 1)$ , *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, **7** (2006), 525-528.
7. B. Ricceri, The problem of minimizing locally a  $C^2$  functional around non-critical points is well-posed, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **135** (2007), 2187-2191.
8. B. Ricceri, Well-posedness of constrained minimization problems via saddle-points, *J. Global Optim.*, **40** (2008), 389-397.
9. M. Schechter and K. Tintarev, Spherical maxima in Hilbert space and semilinear elliptic eigenvalue problems, *Differential Integral Equations*, **3** (1990), 889-899.
10. M. Schechter and K. Tintarev, Families of 'first eigenfunctions' for semilinear elliptic eigenvalue problems, *Duke Math. J.*, **62** (1991), 453-465.
11. E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications*, Vol. III, Springer-Verlag, 1985.

Biagio Ricceri  
 Department of Mathematics,  
 University of Catania,  
 Viale A. Doria 6,  
 95125 Catania,  
 Italy  
 E-mail: ricceri@dmi.unict.it