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#### Abstract

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space and let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ be a family of mappings of $C$ into itself such that the set of all common fixed points of $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ is nonempty. We consider a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by the hybrid method in mathematical programming and give the conditions of $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ under which $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a common fixed point of $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let $H$ be a real Hilbert space with inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$ and let $\mathbf{N}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ be the set of all positive integers and the set of all real numbers, respectively. Haugazeau [7] introduced a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by the hybrid method, that is, let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ be a family of mappings of $H$ into itself with $\cap_{n=0}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right) \neq \emptyset$, where $F\left(T_{n}\right)$ is the set of all fixed points of $T_{n}$ and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}=x \in H  \tag{1}\\
y_{n}=T_{n} x_{n} \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in H \mid\left(x_{n}-y_{n}, y_{n}-z\right) \geq 0\right\} \\
Q_{n}=\left\{z \in H \mid\left(x_{n}-z, x_{0}-x_{n}\right) \geq 0\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for each $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$, where $P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}}$ is the metric projection onto $C_{n} \cap Q_{n}$. He proved a strong convergence theorem when $T_{n}=P_{n(\bmod m)+1}$ for every $n \in$

[^0]$\mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$, where $P_{i}$ is the metric projection onto a nonempty closed convex subset $C_{i}$ of $H$ for each $i=1,2, \cdots, m$ and $\cap_{i=1}^{m} C_{i} \neq \emptyset$. Later, Solodov and Svaiter [21] proved a strong convergence theorem for a maximal monotone operator and Bauschke and Combettes [4] proved the following theorem: Let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ be a family of mappings of $H$ into itself with $\cap_{n=0}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right) \neq \emptyset$ which satisfies the following conditions: (I) $\left(x-T_{n} x, T_{n} x-z\right) \geq 0$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}, x \in H$ and $z \in F\left(T_{n}\right)$; (II) (coherent) for every bounded sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ in $H$, there holds that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|z_{n+1}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$ imply $\omega_{w}\left(z_{n}\right) \subset$ $\cap_{n=0}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)$, where $\omega_{w}\left(z_{n}\right)$ is the set of all weak cluster points of $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by (1) converges strongly to $z_{0}=P_{F}\left(x_{0}\right)$, where $F=\cap_{n=0}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)$. On the other hand, Nakajo and Takahashi [13] proved the following theorem: Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$ and let $T$ be a nonexpansive mapping of $C$ into itself such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by
\[

\left\{$$
\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}=x \in C  \tag{2}\\
y_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T x_{n} \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C \mid\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right\} \\
Q_{n}=\left\{z \in C \mid\left(x_{n}-z, x_{0}-x_{n}\right) \geq 0\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}
$$\right.
\]

for each $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$, where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[0, a]$ for some $a \in[0,1)$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}=P_{F(T)}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Later, Nakajo and Takahashi [14], Kikkawa and Takahashi [11], Atsushiba and Takahashi [3], Iiduka, Takahashi and Toyoda [9] and Iiduka and Takahashi [10] studied strong convergence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by type (2). And recently, Nakajo, Shimoji and Takahashi [15] studied strong convergence by type (1) and (2).

Motivated by Bauschke and Combettes [4] and Nakajo, Shimoji and Takahashi [15], in this paper, we consider unification of types of (1) and (2) and prove a strong convergence theorem.

## 2. Preliminaries and Lemmas

We write $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ to indicate that a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $x$. Similarly, $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ will symbolize strong convergence. We know that $H$ satisfies Opial's condition [16], that is, for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset H$ with $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$, the inequality $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|$ holds for every $y \in H$ with $y \neq x$. It is known that $\|\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y\|^{2}=\lambda\|x\|^{2}+(1-\lambda)\|y\|^{2}-\lambda(1-\lambda)\|x-y\|^{2}$ for each $x, y \in H$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. We also know that the norm is lower semicontinuous, that is, for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset H$ with $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x,\|x\| \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|$ holds. Further, it is known that for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset H$ with $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ and
$\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\|x\|, x_{n} \rightarrow x$ holds. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$ and let $T$ be a mapping of $C$ into itself. $T$ is said to be firmly nonexpansive if $\|T x-T y\|^{2} \leq\|x-y\|^{2}-\|(I-T) x-(I-T) y\|^{2}$ for every $x, y \in C$, where $I$ is the identity mapping. $T$ is said to be nonexpansive if $\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\|$ for every $x, y \in C$. If $T$ is firmly nonexpansive, $T$ is nonexpansive. We know that $P_{C}$ is firmly nonexpansive. It is known that $F(T)$ is closed and convex if $T$ is a nonexpansive mapping of $C$ into itself.
An operator $A: H \longrightarrow 2^{H}$ is said to be monotone if $\left(x_{1}-x_{2}, y_{1}-y_{2}\right) \geq 0$ whenever $y_{1} \in A x_{1}$ and $y_{2} \in A x_{2}$. A monotone operator $A$ is said to be maximal if the graph of $A$ is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone operator. It is known that a monotone operator $A$ is maximal if and only if $R(I+\lambda A)=H$ for every $\lambda>0$, where $R(I+\lambda A)$ is the range of $I+\lambda A$. It is also known that a monotone operator $A$ is maximal if and only if for $(u, v) \in H \times H,(x-u, y-v) \geq 0$ for every $(x, y) \in A$ implies $v \in A u$. For a maximal monotone operator $A$, we know that $A^{-1} 0=\{x \in H \mid 0 \in A x\}$ is closed and convex. If $A$ is monotone, then we can define, for each $\lambda>0$, a mapping $J_{\lambda}: R(I+\lambda A) \longrightarrow D(A)$ by $J_{\lambda}=(I+\lambda A)^{-1}$, where $D(A)$ is the domain of $A . J_{\lambda}$ is called the resolvent of $A$. We also define the Yosida approximation $A_{\lambda}$ by $A_{\lambda}=\left(I-J_{\lambda}\right) / \lambda$; see [24, 25] for more details. The following are the fundamental results for resolvents of monotone operators; see [17, 24, 25].

Lemma 2.1. Let $A: H \longrightarrow 2^{H}$ be a monotone operator and $\lambda>0$. Then, the following hold:
(i) $F\left(J_{\lambda}\right)=A^{-1} 0$;
(ii) $\left\|J_{\lambda} x-J_{\lambda} y\right\|^{2} \leq\|x-y\|^{2}-\left\|\left(I-J_{\lambda}\right) x-\left(I-J_{\lambda}\right) y\right\|^{2}$ for every $x, y \in$ $R(I+\lambda A)$.

Let $\alpha>0$ and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. An operator $A: C \longrightarrow H$ is said to be $\alpha$-inverse-strongly-monotone [5, 12, 14] if $(x-y, A x-$ $A y) \geq \alpha\|A x-A y\|^{2}$ for all $x, y \in C$. We have the following lemma for inverse-strongly-monotone operators; see [14].

Lemma 2.2. Let $\alpha>0$. Let $A: H \longrightarrow H$ be an $\alpha$-inverse-strongly-monotone operator with $D(A)=H$ and let $B: H \longrightarrow 2^{H}$ be a maximal monotone operator such that $(A+B)^{-1} 0 \neq \emptyset$. Then the following hold:
(i) $A$ is maximal monotone;
(ii) $A+B$ is maximal monotone and $(A+B)^{-1} 0$ is closed and convex;
(iii) for every $\lambda \in[0,2 \alpha], I-\lambda A: H \longrightarrow H$ is nonexpansive;
(iv) for every $\lambda \in(0, \infty), T_{\lambda} \equiv J_{\lambda}^{B}(I-\lambda A)$ is well defined and $(A+B)^{-1} 0=F\left(T_{\lambda}\right)$, where $J_{\lambda}^{B}=(I+\lambda B)^{-1}$ and $F\left(T_{\lambda}\right)$ is the set of all fixed points of $T_{\lambda}$;
(v) for every $\lambda \in(0,2 \alpha], T_{\lambda}$ is nonexpansive.

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$ and let $A$ be a mapping of $C$ into $H$. Then, an element $x$ in $C$ is a solution of the variational inequality of $A$ if $(y-x, A x) \geq 0$ for all $y \in C$. It is known that for $\lambda>0, x \in C$ is a solution of the variational inequality of $A$ if and only if $x=P_{C}(I-\lambda A) x$. We denote by $\operatorname{VI}(C, A)$ the set of all solutions of the variational inequality of $A$. We know that $V I(C, A)$ is a closed convex subset of $C$ if $A$ is monotone and continuous. We also have the following result for inverse-strongly -monotone operators.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\alpha>0$ and $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. Let $A: C \longrightarrow H$ be an $\alpha$-inverse-strongly-monotone operator with $\operatorname{VI}(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Then, for every $\lambda>0, x \in C$ and $z \in V I(C, A),\left\|P_{C}(I-\lambda A) x-z\right\|^{2} \leq$ $\|x-z\|^{2}-\frac{2 \alpha-\lambda}{2 \alpha}\left\|x-P_{C}(I-\lambda A) x\right\|^{2}$.

Proof. Let $\lambda>0, x \in C$ and $z \in V I(C, A)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| & P_{C}(I-\lambda A) x-z \|^{2} \\
\leq & \|(I-\lambda A) x-(I-\lambda A) z\|^{2}-\left\|\left(I-P_{C}\right)(I-\lambda A) x-\left(I-P_{C}\right)(I-\lambda A) z\right\|^{2} \\
= & \|(x-z)-\lambda(A x-A z)\|^{2}-\left\|\left(x-P_{C}(I-\lambda A) x\right)-\lambda(A x-A z)\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \|x-z\|^{2}-2 \alpha \lambda\|A x-A z\|^{2}+2 \lambda\|A x-A z\| \\
& \cdot\left\|x-P_{C}(I-\lambda A) x\right\|-\left\|x-P_{C}(I-\lambda A) x\right\|^{2} \\
= & \|x-z\|^{2}-2 \alpha \lambda\left\{\|A x-A z\|-\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left\|x-P_{C}(I-\lambda A) x\right\|\right\}^{2} \\
& -\frac{2 \alpha-\lambda}{2 \alpha}\left\|x-P_{C}(I-\lambda A) x\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \|x-z\|^{2}-\frac{2 \alpha-\lambda}{2 \alpha}\left\|x-P_{C}(I-\lambda A) x\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. Let $\left\{S_{n}\right\}$ be a family of mappings of $C$ into itself and let $\left\{\beta_{n, k}: n, k \in \mathbf{N}, 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $0 \leq \beta_{i, j} \leq 1$ for every $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$ with $i \geq j$. Then, for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$, Takahashi [19, 23, 25] introduced a mapping $W_{n}$ of $C$ into itself as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{n, n} & =\beta_{n, n} S_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n, n}\right) I, \\
U_{n, n-1} & =\beta_{n, n-1} S_{n-1} U_{n, n}+\left(1-\beta_{n, n-1}\right) I, \\
\vdots & \\
U_{n, k} & =\beta_{n, k} S_{k} U_{n, k+1}+\left(1-\beta_{n, k}\right) I, \\
\vdots & \\
U_{n, 2} & =\beta_{n, 2} S_{2} U_{n, 3}+\left(1-\beta_{n, 2}\right) I, \\
W_{n}=U_{n, 1} & =\beta_{n, 1} S_{1} U_{n, 2}+\left(1-\beta_{n, 1}\right) I .
\end{aligned}
$$

Such a mapping $W_{n}$ is called the $W$-mapping generated by $S_{n}, S_{n-1}, \cdots, S_{1}$ and $\beta_{n, n}, \beta_{n, n-1}, \cdots, \beta_{n, 1}$. The following lemma was proved by Takahashi and Shimoji [26] (see also [25]).

Lemma 2.4. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. Let $S_{1}, S_{2}$, $\cdots, S_{n}$ be nonexpansive mappings of $C$ into itself with $\cap_{i=1}^{n} F\left(S_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and let $\beta_{n, 1}, \beta_{n, 2}, \cdots \beta_{n, n}$ be real numbers with $0<\beta_{n, i}<1$ for every $i=2, \cdots, n$ and $0<\beta_{n, 1} \leq 1$. Let $W_{n}$ be the $W$-mapping generated by $S_{n}, S_{n-1}, \cdots, S_{1}$ and $\beta_{n, n}, \beta_{n, n-1}, \cdots, \beta_{n, 1}$. Then, $F\left(W_{n}\right)=\cap_{i=1}^{n} F\left(S_{i}\right)$.

We have that if $\beta_{n, k}=\beta_{k}(\forall n=k, k+1, \cdots)$ for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $0<\beta_{k} \leq b<1(\forall k \in \mathbf{N})$ for some $b \in(0,1)$ and $\left\{S_{n}\right\}$ is a family of nonexpansive mappings of $C$ into itself with $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(S_{n}\right) \neq \emptyset, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} U_{n, k} x$ exists for every $x \in C$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$; see [19]. By this, we define a mapping $W$ of $C$ into itself as follows:

$$
W x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} W_{n} x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} U_{n, 1} x
$$

for every $x \in C$. Such a $W$ is called the $W$-mapping generated by $S_{1}, S_{2}, \cdots$ and $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \cdots$. We have that $F(W)=\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F\left(S_{i}\right)$; see [19].

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. A family $\mathcal{S}=\{T(s) \mid 0 \leq s<$ $\infty\}$ of mappings of $C$ into itself is called a one-parameter nonexpansive semigroup on $C$ if it satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $T(0) x=x$ for all $x \in C$;
(ii) $T(s+t)=T(s) T(t)$ for every $s, t \geq 0$;
(iii) $\|T(s) x-T(s) y\| \leq\|x-y\|$ for each $s \geq 0$ and $x, y \in C$;
(iv) for all $x \in C, s \longmapsto T(s) x$ is continuous.

We denote by $F(\mathcal{S})$ the set of all common fixed points of $\mathcal{S}$, that is, $F(\mathcal{S})=$ $\cap_{0 \leq s<\infty} F(T(s))$. It is known that $F(\mathcal{S})$ is closed and convex. The following lemma was proved by Shimizu and Takahashi [18]; see also [2, 6, 20].

Lemma 2.5. Let $C$ be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of $H$ and let $\mathcal{S}=\{T(s) \mid 0 \leq s<\infty\}$ be a one-parameter nonexpansive semigroup on $C$. Then, for any $h \geq 0$,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{x \in C}\left\|\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} T(s) x d s-T(h)\left(\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} T(s) x d s\right)\right\|=0 .
$$

Let $S$ be a semigroup and let $B(S)$ be the Banach space of all bounded real valued functions on $S$ with supremum norm. Then, for every $s \in S$ and $f \in B(S)$,
we can define $r_{s} f \in B(S)$ and $l_{s} f \in B(S)$ by $\left(r_{s} f\right)(t)=f(t s)$ and $\left(l_{s} f\right)(t)=$ $f(s t)$ for each $t \in S$, respectively. We also denote by $r_{s}^{*}$ and $l_{s}^{*}$ the conjugate operators of $r_{s}$ and $l_{s}$, respectively. Let $D$ be a subspace of $B(S)$ containing constants and let $\mu$ be an element of $D^{*}$. A linear functional $\mu$ is called a mean on $D$ if $\|\mu\|=\mu(1)=1$. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. A family $\mathcal{S}=\{T(s) \mid s \in S\}$ of mappings of $C$ into itself is called a nonexpansive semigroup on $C$ if it satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $T(s t)=T(s) T(t)$ for all $s, t \in S$;
(ii) $\|T(s) x-T(s) y\| \leq\|x-y\|$ for every $s \in S$ and $x, y \in C$.

It is known that $F(\mathcal{S})$ is closed and convex. Takahashi [22] proved the following; see also [8].

Lemma 2.6. Let $S$ be a semigroup. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$ and let $\mathcal{S}=\{T(s) \mid s \in S\}$ be a nonexpansive semigroup on $C$ such that $F(\mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset$. Let $D$ be a subspace of $B(S)$ such that $D$ contains constants and $(T(\cdot) x, y) \in D$ for every $x \in C$ and $y \in H$. Then, for any mean $\mu$ on $D$ and $x \in C$, there exists a unique element $T_{\mu} x$ in $C$ such that $\left(T_{\mu} x, z\right)=\mu_{s}(T(s) x, z)$ for all $z \in H$. And $T_{\mu}$ is a nonexpansive mapping of $C$ into itself.

Further, Atsushiba and Takahashi [1] proved the following.
Lemma 2.7. Let $C$ be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of $H$ and let $S$ be a semigroup. Let $\mathcal{S}=\{T(s) \mid s \in S\}$ be a nonexpansive semigroup on $C$ and let $D$ be a subspace of $B(S)$ containing constants and invariant under $l_{s}$ for all $s \in S$. Suppose that for every $x \in C$ and $z \in H$, the function $t \mapsto(T(t) x, z)$ is in $D$. Let $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of means on $D$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mu_{n}-l_{s}^{*} \mu_{n}\right\|=0$ for each $s \in S$. Then, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{x \in C}\left\|T_{\mu_{n}} x-T(t) T_{\mu_{n}} x\right\|=0$ for all $t \in S$.

## 3. Strong Convergence Theorems

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$ and let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ be a family of mappings of $C$ into itself with $\cap_{n=0}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right) \neq \emptyset$ which satisfies the following condition: There exists $\left\{a_{n}\right\} \subset(-1, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{n} x-z\right\|^{2} \leq\|x-z\|^{2}-a_{n}\left\|\left(I-T_{n}\right) x\right\|^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}, x \in C$ and $z \in F\left(T_{n}\right)$. Then, we know that $\cap_{n=0}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)$ is closed (see [15]). We also have that $\cap_{n=0}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)$ is convex. In fact, let $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ and let $z_{1}, z_{2} \in F\left(T_{n}\right), 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $x=\alpha z_{1}+(1-\alpha) z_{2}$. Suppose that $x \neq T_{n} x$. For some $\beta \in(0,1)$ with $a_{n}>-\beta$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\beta x+(1-\beta) T_{n} x-z_{1}\right\|^{2}= & \beta\left\|x-z_{1}\right\|^{2}+(1-\beta)\left\|T_{n} x-z_{1}\right\|^{2}-\beta(1-\beta)\left\|x-T_{n} x\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \beta\left\|x-z_{1}\right\|^{2}+(1-\beta)\left\{\left\|x-z_{1}\right\|^{2}-a_{n}\left\|x-T_{n} x\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
& -\beta(1-\beta)\left\|x-T_{n} x\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left\|x-z_{1}\right\|^{2}-(1-\beta)\left(a_{n}+\beta\right)\left\|x-T_{n} x\right\|^{2}<\left\|x-z_{1}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, $\left\|\beta x+(1-\beta) T_{n} x-z_{2}\right\|<\left\|x-z_{2}\right\|$ holds. So, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\| & \leq\left\|z_{1}-\left\{\beta x+(1-\beta) T_{n} x\right\}\right\|+\left\|\left\{\beta x+(1-\beta) T_{n} x\right\}-z_{2}\right\| \\
& <\left\|x-z_{1}\right\|+\left\|x-z_{2}\right\|=(1-\alpha)\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\|+\alpha\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\|=\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore, $F\left(T_{n}\right)$ is convex. Let us define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ as follows:
(4)

$$
\text { 4) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}=x \in C, \\
y_{n}=T_{n} P_{C}\left(x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}\right), \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C \mid\left\|y_{n}-z\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}-z\right\|^{2}-a_{n}\left\|P_{C}\left(x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}\right)-y_{n}\right\|^{2}\right\}, \\
Q_{n}=\left\{z \in C \mid\left(x_{n}-z, x_{0}-x_{n}\right) \geq 0\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for each $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$, where $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\} \subset H$ and $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}>-1$. Now, we get the following.

## Theorem 3.1. The followings hold:

(i) A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by (4) is well defined and $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset C$;
(ii) assume that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|\varepsilon_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$ and for every bounded sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ in $C$, there holds that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|z_{n+1}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$ imply $\omega_{w}\left(z_{n}\right) \subset \cap_{n=0}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}=P_{F}\left(x_{0}\right)$, where $F=\cap_{n=0}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)$;
(iii) assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\varepsilon_{n}\right\|=0$ and for every bounded sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ in $C$, there holds that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|=0$ implies $\omega_{w}\left(z_{n}\right) \subset \cap_{n=0}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}=P_{F}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Proof. As in the proof of [15, Theorem 4.2], we get that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is well defined, $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset C$ and $F \subset C_{n} \cap Q_{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$. So, the proof of (i) is complete. We have, for $z_{0}=P_{F}\left(x_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{0}\right\| \leq\left\|z_{0}-x_{0}\right\| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\left(x_{n+1}-x_{0}\right)+\left(x_{0}-x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{0}\right\|^{2}+2\left(x_{n+1}-x_{0}, x_{0}-x_{n}\right)+\left\|x_{0}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{0}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\|^{2}+2\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}, x_{0}-x_{n}\right) \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{0}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ by $\left(x_{0}-x_{n}, x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right) \leq 0$. So, we obtain that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\| \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists. We get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}>-1$, there exists $a \in(0,1)$ such that $a_{n} \geq-a$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$. Let $\beta \in\left(0, \frac{1-a}{a}\right)$ and $\alpha=\frac{1-a(1+\beta)}{a}(>0)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|P_{C}\left(x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}\right)-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left(\left\|x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|\right)^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\left\|x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}+(1+\alpha)\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\left\|x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}+(1+\alpha)\left\|x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad-(1+\alpha) a_{n}\left\|P_{C}\left(x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}\right)-y_{n}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \beta\left\|P_{C}\left(x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}\right)-y_{n}\right\|^{2} & =\{1-(1+\alpha) a\}\left\|P_{C}\left(x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}\right)-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\{1+(1+\alpha) a_{n}\right\}\left\|P_{C}\left(x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}\right)-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(2+\alpha+\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\left\|x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$. So, we get
(8) $\quad\left\|P_{C}\left(x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}\right)-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{2\left(2+\alpha+\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{a \beta}\left(\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\varepsilon_{n}\right\|^{2}\right)$
for every $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$.
(ii) Assume that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|\varepsilon_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$. If $z_{n}=P_{C}\left(x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}\right)$, we have that $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|P_{C}\left(x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}\right)-y_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty
$$

from (7) and (8). Further we obtain

$$
\left\|z_{n}-z_{n+1}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\left(x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}\right)-\left(x_{n+1}+\varepsilon_{n+1}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq 3\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}+3\left\|\varepsilon_{n}\right\|^{2}+3\left\|\varepsilon_{n+1}\right\|^{2}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ which implies $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|z_{n}-z_{n+1}\right\|^{2}<\infty$. Therefore, we have $\omega_{w}\left(z_{n}\right) \subset F$. As $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|=0$ from $\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|\varepsilon_{n}\right\|$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$, we get $\omega_{w}\left(x_{n}\right) \subset F$. So, assume that a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{i}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $w_{1} \in F$. We have

$$
\left\|x_{0}-z_{0}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{0}-w_{1}\right\| \leq \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{0}-x_{n_{i}}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{0}-z_{0}\right\|
$$

by the lower semicontinuity of the norm, (5) and (6). Thus, we obtain $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \| x_{n_{i}}-$ $x_{0}\|=\| x_{0}-w_{1}\|=\| x_{0}-z_{0} \|$. This implies

$$
x_{n_{i}} \rightarrow w_{1}=z_{0} .
$$

Therefore, we have $x_{n} \rightarrow z_{0}$. So, the proof of (ii) is complete.
(iii) Assume $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\varepsilon_{n}\right\|=0$. If $z_{n}=P_{C}\left(x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}\right)$, we get that $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|=0$ by (7) and (8). Therefore, we obtain $\omega_{w}\left(x_{n}\right) \subset F$. As in the proof of (ii), we have $x_{n} \rightarrow z_{0}$. So, the proof of (iii) is complete.

The following is the result proved by Bauschke and Combettes [4].
Theorem 3.2. Let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ be a family of mappings of $H$ into itself with $\cap_{n=0}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right) \neq \emptyset$ which satisfies the following conditions: (I) $\left(x-T_{n} x, T_{n} x-z\right) \geq$ 0 for every $n \in \boldsymbol{N} \cup\{0\}, x \in H$ and $z \in F\left(T_{n}\right)$; (II) (coherent)for every bounded sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ in $H$, there holds that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|z_{n+1}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$ imply $\omega_{w}\left(z_{n}\right) \subset \cap_{n=0}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by (1) converges strongly to $z_{0}=P_{F}\left(x_{0}\right)$, where $F=\cap_{n=0}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)$.

Proof. If $C=H, a_{n}=1$ and $\varepsilon_{n}=0$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ in Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
\left\|T_{n} x-z\right\|^{2} \leq\|x-z\|^{2}-\left\|x-T_{n} x\right\|^{2} \Longleftrightarrow\left(x-T_{n} x, T_{n} x-z\right) \geq 0
$$

for each $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}, x \in H$ and $z \in F\left(T_{n}\right)$ and $C_{n}=\left\{z \in H \mid\left(x_{n}-y_{n}, y_{n}-z\right) \geq\right.$ $0\}$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$. Further, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|\varepsilon_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$. Therefore, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}=P_{F}\left(x_{0}\right)$ from (ii) in Theorem 3.1.

The following is a generalization of the result proved by Solodov and Svaiter [21].

Theorem 3.3. Let $A: H \longrightarrow 2^{H}$ be a maximal monotone operator such that $A^{-1} 0 \neq \emptyset$ and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}=x \in H, \\
y_{n}=J_{\lambda_{n}}\left(x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}\right), \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in H \mid\left(x_{n}-y_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}, y_{n}-z\right) \geq 0\right\}, \\
Q_{n}=\left\{z \in H \mid\left(x_{n}-z, x_{0}-x_{n}\right) \geq 0\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for every $n \in \boldsymbol{N} \cup\{0\}$, where $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset(0, \infty)$ and $J_{\lambda_{n}}=\left(I+\lambda_{n} A\right)^{-1}$ for each
 and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|\varepsilon_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$, then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}=P_{A^{-1} 0}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Proof. If $C=H$ and $T_{n}=J_{\lambda_{n}}$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ in Theorem 3.1, we have $F\left(T_{n}\right)=A^{-1} 0$ and $a_{n}=1$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ by (i) and (ii) in Lemma 2.1. So we get $C_{n}=\left\{z \in H \mid\left(x_{n}-y_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}, y_{n}-z\right) \geq 0\right\}$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$.
(i) Assume that $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}>0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\varepsilon_{n}\right\|=0$. There exists $\lambda>0$ with $\lambda_{n} \geq \lambda$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence in $H$ which satisfies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-J_{\lambda_{n}} z_{n}\right\|=0$. And suppose that $z_{n} \rightharpoonup w$. For all $(u, v) \in A$, we obtain

$$
\left(J_{\lambda_{n}} z_{n}-u, \frac{z_{n}-J_{\lambda_{n}} z_{n}}{\lambda_{n}}-v\right) \geq 0
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(J_{\lambda_{n}} z_{n}-u,-v\right) & \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\left(J_{\lambda_{n}} z_{n}-u, J_{\lambda_{n}} z_{n}-z_{n}\right) \\
& \geq-\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\left\|J_{\lambda_{n}} z_{n}-u\right\| \cdot\left\|J_{\lambda_{n}} z_{n}-z_{n}\right\| \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$. As a sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\left\|J_{\lambda_{n}} z_{n}-u\right\|\right\}$ is bounded, we have $(w-u,-v) \geq 0$ for each $(u, v) \in A$. Therefore, $w \in A^{-1} 0$ from maximality of $A$. By (iii) in Theorem 3.1, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}$.
(ii) Assume that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}^{2}=\infty$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|\varepsilon_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence in $H$ which satisfies $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|z_{n+1}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|z_{n}-J_{\lambda_{n}} z_{n}\right\|^{2}<$ $\infty$. And suppose that $z_{n} \rightharpoonup w$. We get $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-J_{\lambda_{n}} z_{n}\right\|=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}} \| z_{n}-$ $J_{\lambda_{n}} z_{n} \|=0$ by $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\left\|z_{n}-J_{\lambda_{n}} z_{n}\right\|\right)^{2}<\infty$. From (9), we obtain

$$
(w-u,-v) \geq-\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\left\|J_{\lambda_{n}} z_{n}-z_{n}\right\| \cdot\left\|J_{\lambda_{n}} z_{n}-u\right\|=0
$$

for all $(u, v) \in A$. So, we have $w \in A^{-1} 0$. By (ii) in Theorem 3.1, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}$.

The following is a genaralization of the result proved by Nakajo and Takahashi [13].

Theorem 3.4. Let $A: H \longrightarrow 2^{H}$ be a maximal monotone operator such that $A^{-1} 0 \neq \emptyset$ and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}=x \in H \\
y_{n}=J_{\lambda_{n}}\left(x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}\right) \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in H \mid\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}-z\right\|\right\} \\
Q_{n}=\left\{z \in H \mid\left(x_{n}-z, x_{0}-x_{n}\right) \geq 0\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for every $n \in N \cup\{0\}$, where $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset(0, \infty)$ and $J_{\lambda_{n}}=\left(I+\lambda_{n} A\right)^{-1}$ for each $n \in N \cup\{0\}$. If (i) $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}>0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\varepsilon_{n}\right\|=0$ or (ii) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}^{2}=\infty$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|\varepsilon_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$, then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}=P_{A^{-1} 0}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Proof. If $C=H$ and $T_{n}=J_{\lambda_{n}}$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ in Theorem 3.1, we can select $a_{n}=0$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.3, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}$.

The following is the result proved by Nakajo and Takahashi [13].
Theorem 3.5. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$ and let $T$ be a nonexpansive mapping of $C$ into itself such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}=x \in C \\
y_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T x_{n} \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C \mid\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right\} \\
Q_{n}=\left\{z \in C \mid\left(x_{n}-z, x_{0}-x_{n}\right) \geq 0\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for each $n \in N \cup\{0\}$, where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[0, a]$ for some $a \in[0,1)$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}=P_{F(T)}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Proof. If $T_{n}=\alpha_{n} I+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T$ and $\varepsilon_{n}=0$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ in Theorem 3.1, we have that $F\left(T_{n}\right)=F(T)$ and $a_{n}=0$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence in $C$ which satisfies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|=0$. We obtain
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T z_{n}\right\|=0$. So, by Opial's condition, we get $\omega_{w}\left(z_{n}\right) \subset F(T)$. Therefore, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}$ from (ii) or (iii) in Theorem 3.1.

The following is a generalization of the result proved by Nakajo and Takahashi [14].

Theorem 3.6. Let $\alpha>0$. Let $A: H \longrightarrow H$ be an $\alpha$-inverse-stronglymonotone operator with $D(A)=H$ and let $B: H \longrightarrow 2^{H}$ be a maximal monotone operator such that $(A+B)^{-1} 0 \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}=x \in H \\
y_{n}=J_{\lambda_{n}}^{B}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) x_{n} \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in H \mid\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\| \|\right\} \\
Q_{n}=\left\{z \in H \mid\left(x_{n}-z, x_{0}-x_{n}\right) \geq 0\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for every $n \in \boldsymbol{N} \cup\{0\}$, where $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset(0,2 \alpha]$ with $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}^{2}=\infty$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}=P_{(A+B)^{-1} 0}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Proof. If $C=H, T_{n}=J_{\lambda_{n}}^{B}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right)$ and $\varepsilon_{n}=0$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ in Theorem 3.1, we have $F\left(T_{n}\right)=(A+B)^{-1} 0$ and $a_{n}=0$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ by (iv) and (v) in Lemma 2.2. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence in $H$ which satisfies $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|z_{n+1}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|z_{n}-J_{\lambda_{n}}^{B}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) z_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$. We obtain $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-J_{\lambda_{n}}^{B}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) z_{n}\right\|=0$ and $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\left\|z_{n}-J_{\lambda_{n}}^{B}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) z_{n}\right\|=0$ from $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}^{2}\left\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\left\|z_{n}-J_{\lambda_{n}}^{B}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) z_{n}\right\|\right\}^{2}<\infty$. Assume that $z_{n} \rightharpoonup w$. As in the proof of [14, Theorem 3.1], we get

$$
\left(u_{n}-u, \frac{z_{n}-u_{n}}{\lambda_{n}}-A z_{n}-(v-A u)\right) \geq 0
$$

for every $(u, v) \in A+B$ and $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$, where $u_{n}=J_{\lambda_{n}}^{B}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) z_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$. So, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(u_{n}-u,-v\right) & \geq\left(u_{n}-u, \frac{u_{n}-z_{n}}{\lambda_{n}}+\left(A z_{n}-A u\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}-u,\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) u_{n}-\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) z_{n}\right)+\left(u_{n}-u, A u_{n}-A u\right) \\
& \geq-\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\| \cdot\left\|\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) u_{n}-\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) z_{n}\right\| \\
& \geq-\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\| \cdot\left\|u_{n}-z_{n}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

by (iii) in Lemma 2.2 which implies

$$
(w-u,-v) \geq-\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\| \cdot\left\|u_{n}-z_{n}\right\|=0
$$

for every $(u, v) \in A+B$ since a sequence $\left\{u_{n}-u\right\}$ is bounded. Therefore, $w \in(A+B)^{-1} 0$ as $A+B$ is maximal monotone from (ii) in Lemma 2.2. Therefore, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}$ by (ii) in Theorem 3.1.

The following is a generalization of the result proved by Iiduka, Takahashi and Toyoda [9].

Theorem 3.7. Let $\alpha>0$ and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. Let $A: C \longrightarrow H$ be an $\alpha$-inverse-strongly-monotone operator such that $V I(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}=x \in C, \\
y_{n}=P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) x_{n} \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C \mid\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\| \|,\right. \\
Q_{n}=\left\{z \in C \mid\left(x_{n}-z, x_{0}-x_{n}\right) \geq 0\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for every $n \in \boldsymbol{N} \cup\{0\}$, where $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset(0,2 \alpha]$ with $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}^{2}=\infty$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}=P_{V I(C, A)}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Proof. If $T_{n}=P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right)$ and $\varepsilon_{n}=0$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ in Theorem 3.1, we have $F\left(T_{n}\right)=V I(C, A)$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$. Further, we get $a_{n}=0$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ from Lemma 2.3. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence in $C$ which satisfies $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|z_{n+1}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|z_{n}-v_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$, where $v_{n}=P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) z_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$. We get $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-v_{n}\right\|=0$ and $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\left\|z_{n}-v_{n}\right\|=0$ from $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}^{2}\left\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\left\|z_{n}-v_{n}\right\|\right\}^{2}<\infty$. Assume that $z_{n} \rightharpoonup w$. For every $u \in C$, we have

$$
\left(z_{n}-\lambda_{n} A z_{n}-v_{n}, v_{n}-u\right) \geq 0
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A u, u-v_{n}\right) & \geq\left(A v_{n}-A u, v_{n}-u\right)+\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\left(\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) v_{n}-\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) z_{n}, v_{n}-u\right) \\
& \geq-\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\left\|v_{n}-u\right\| \cdot\left\|\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) v_{n}-\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) z_{n}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ since $A$ is monotone. And we obtain

$$
\left\|\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) v_{n}-\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) z_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|v_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}+\lambda_{n}\left(\lambda_{n}-2 \alpha\right)\left\|A v_{n}-A z_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|v_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}
$$

and hence

$$
\left(A u, u-v_{n}\right) \geq-\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\left\|v_{n}-u\right\| \cdot\left\|v_{n}-z_{n}\right\|
$$

for every $u \in C$ and $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$. So, we get $(A u, u-w) \geq 0$ for each $u \in C$ as a sequence $\left\{v_{n}-u\right\}$ is bounded. Since $A$ is continuous, we obtain $(u-w, A w) \geq 0$ for all $u \in C$, that is, $w \in \operatorname{VI}(C, A)$. Therefore, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}$ by (ii) in Theorem 3.1.

The following are the results by Iiduka and Takahashi [10].

Theorem 3.8. Let $\alpha>0$ and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. Let $T$ be a nonexpansive mapping of $C$ into itself and let $A: C \longrightarrow H$ be an $\alpha$-inverse-strongly-monotone operator such that $F(T) \cap V I(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}=x \in C \\
y_{n}=P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) T x_{n} \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C \mid\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right\} \\
Q_{n}=\left\{z \in C \mid\left(x_{n}-z, x_{0}-x_{n}\right) \geq 0\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for every $n \in \boldsymbol{N} \cup\{0\}$, where $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset[a, b]$ for some $a, b \in(0,2 \alpha)$ with $a \leq b$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}=P_{F(T) \cap V I(C, A)}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Proof. If $T_{n}=P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) T$ and $\varepsilon_{n}=0$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ in Theorem 3.1, we have $F\left(T_{n}\right)=F(T) \cap V I(C, A)$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$. In fact, $F(T) \cap$ $V I(C, A) \subset F\left(T_{n}\right)$ is trivial. Let $z \in F\left(T_{n}\right)$ and $u \in F(T) \cap V I(C, A)$. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|z-u\|^{2} & =\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) T z-u\right\|^{2} \leq\|T z-u\|^{2}-\frac{2 \alpha-\lambda_{n}}{2 \alpha}\left\|T z-P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) T z\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\|z-u\|^{2}-\frac{2 \alpha-b}{2 \alpha}\left\|T z-P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) T z\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

from Lemma 2.3. So we obtain $T z=P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) T z$ which implies $T z=z$. And we have $P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) z=P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) T z=z$. Therefore, $F\left(T_{n}\right) \subset$ $F(T) \cap V I(C, A)$. And we get $a_{n}=0$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ by nonexpansivity of $T$ and Lemma 2.3. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence in $C$ which satisfies
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|=0$ and let $u \in F(T) \cap V I(C, A)$. We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n}-u\right\|^{2} \leq & \left(\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|T_{n} z_{n}-u\right\|\right)^{2} \\
= & \left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2}+2\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\| \\
& \cdot\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) T z_{n}-u\right\|+\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) T z_{n}-u\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2}+2\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\| \cdot\left\|T z_{n}-u\right\| \\
& +\left\{\left\|T z_{n}-u\right\|^{2}-\frac{2 \alpha-\lambda_{n}}{2 \alpha}\left\|T z_{n}-P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) T z_{n}\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
\leq & \left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2}+2\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\| \cdot\left\|z_{n}-u\right\| \\
& +\left\|z_{n}-u\right\|^{2}-\frac{2 \alpha-b}{2 \alpha}\left\|T z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ from Lemma 2.3. So, we have

$$
\frac{2 \alpha-b}{2 \alpha}\left\|T z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2}+2\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\| \cdot\left\|z_{n}-u\right\|
$$

for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and hence $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|=0$. Therefore, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \| z_{n}-$ $T z_{n} \|=0$ by $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|=0$. From Opial's condition, we get $\omega_{w}\left(z_{n}\right) \subset$ $F(T)$. Further, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| z_{n}- & P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) z_{n} \| \\
\leq & \left\|z_{n}-T z_{n}\right\|+\left\|T z_{n}-P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) T z_{n}\right\| \\
& \quad+\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) T z_{n}-P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) z_{n}\right\| \\
\leq & 2\left\|z_{n}-T z_{n}\right\|+\left\|T z_{n}-P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) T z_{n}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ by nonexpansivity of $P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right)$ and hence $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \| z_{n}-P_{C}(I-$ $\left.\lambda_{n} A\right) z_{n} \|=0$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.7, we have $\omega_{w}\left(z_{n}\right) \subset V I(C, A)$. So, $\omega_{w}\left(z_{n}\right) \subset F(T) \cap V I(C, A)$. Therefore, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}$ by (ii) or (iii) in Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.9. Let $\alpha>0$ and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. Let $T$ be a nonexpansive mapping of $C$ into itself and let $A: C \longrightarrow H$ be an $\alpha$-inverse-strongly-monotone operator such that $F(T) \cap V I(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}=x \in C \\
y_{n}=T P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) x_{n} \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C \mid\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right\}, \\
Q_{n}=\left\{z \in C \mid\left(x_{n}-z, x_{0}-x_{n}\right) \geq 0\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for every $n \in N \cup\{0\}$, where $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset[a, b]$ for some $a, b \in(0,2 \alpha)$ with $a \leq b$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}=P_{F(T) \cap V I(C, A)}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Proof. If $T_{n}=T P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right)$ and $\varepsilon_{n}=0$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ in Theorem 3.1, we have $F\left(T_{n}\right)=F(T) \cap V I(C, A)$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$. In fact, similarly in Theorem 3.8, we get
$\|z-u\|^{2} \leq\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) z-u\right\|^{2} \leq\|z-u\|^{2}-\frac{2 \alpha-b}{2 \alpha}\left\|z-P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) z\right\|^{2}$ for $z \in F\left(T_{n}\right)$ and $u \in F(T) \cap V I(C, A)$. Hence we obtain $z=P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) z$ and further $z=T z$, too. And we have $a_{n}=0$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ by Lemma 2.3. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence in $C$ which satisfies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|=0$ and let $u \in F(T) \cap V I(C, A)$. Similarly in Theorem 3.8, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n}-u\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2}+2\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\| \\
& \cdot\left\|z_{n}-u\right\|+\left\|z_{n}-u\right\|^{2}-\frac{2 \alpha-b}{2 \alpha}\left\|z_{n}-P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) z_{n}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. So, we obtain $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) z_{n}\right\|=0$ which implies $\omega_{w}\left(z_{n}\right) \subset V I(C, A)$. Further, we have
$\left\|z_{n}-T z_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|T_{n} z_{n}-T z_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) z_{n}-z_{n}\right\|$
for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Therefore, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T z_{n}\right\|=0$. By Opial's condition, we get $\omega_{w}\left(z_{n}\right) \subset F(T)$. So, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}$ from (ii) or (iii) in Theorem 3.1.

The following theorem contains the result proved by Kikkawa and Takahashi [11].

Theorem 3.10. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. Let $\left\{S_{n}\right\}$ be a family of nonexpansive mappings of $C$ into itself with $\cap{ }_{i=1}^{\infty} F\left(S_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and let $\left\{\beta_{n, k}: n, k \in N, 1 \leq k \leq n\right\} \subset(0,1)$ be a sequence of real numbers such that ( $i$ ) $\beta_{n, k}=\beta_{k}(\forall n=k, k+1, \cdots)$ for every $k \in \boldsymbol{N}$ such that $0<\beta_{k} \leq b<1(\forall k \in \boldsymbol{N})$ for some $b \in(0,1)$ or (ii) $a \leq \beta_{i, j} \leq b$ for every $i, j \in \boldsymbol{N}(i \geq j)$ for some $a, b \in(0,1)$ with $a \leq b$. Let $W_{n}(n=1,2, \cdots)$ be the $W$-mapping generated by $S_{n}, S_{n-1}, \cdots, S_{1}$ and $\beta_{n, n}, \beta_{n, n-1}, \cdots, \beta_{n, 1}$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}=x \in C \\
y_{n}=W_{n} x_{n} \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C \mid\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right\} \\
Q_{n}=\left\{z \in C \mid\left(x_{n}-z, x_{1}-x_{n}\right) \geq 0\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}}\left(x_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for each $n \in \boldsymbol{N}$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}=P_{\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F\left(S_{i}\right)}\left(x_{1}\right)$.
Proof. If $T_{n}=W_{n}$ and $\varepsilon_{n}=0$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ in Theorem 3.1, we have $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)=\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(W_{n}\right)=\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F\left(S_{i}\right)$ and $a_{n}=0$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ by Lemma 2.4 and nonexpansivity of $W_{n}$. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence in $C$ which satisfies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|=0$.
(i) Let $W$ be the $W$-mapping generated by $S_{1}, S_{2}, \cdots$ and $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \cdots$. Assume that $z_{n} \rightharpoonup w$. As in the proof of [11, Theorem 3.1], if we suppose that $w \neq W w$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-w\right\| & <\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-W w\right\| \\
& \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|z_{n}-W_{n} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|W_{n} z_{n}-W_{n} w\right\|+\left\|W_{n} w-W w\right\|\right) \\
& \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|z_{n}-W_{n} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|z_{n}-w\right\|+\left\|W_{n} w-W w\right\|\right) \\
& =\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-w\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

by Opial's condition. This is a contradiction. So, we get $\omega_{w}\left(z_{n}\right) \subset F(W)=$ $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(S_{n}\right)$.
(ii) We get $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-S_{1} U_{n, 2} z_{n}\right\|=0$ from $0<a \leq \beta_{n, 1}$. Let $z \in \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(S_{n}\right)$. We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n}-z\right\|^{2} \leq & \left(\left\|z_{n}-S_{1} U_{n, 2} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|S_{1} U_{n, 2} z_{n}-z\right\|\right)^{2} \\
= & \left\|z_{n}-S_{1} U_{n, 2} z_{n}\right\|\left(\left\|z_{n}-S_{1} U_{n, 2} z_{n}\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+2\left\|S_{1} U_{n, 2} z_{n}-z\right\|\right)+\left\|S_{1} U_{n, 2} z_{n}-z\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & M\left\|z_{n}-S_{1} U_{n, 2} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|U_{n, 2} z_{n}-z\right\|^{2} \\
= & M\left\|z_{n}-S_{1} U_{n, 2} z_{n}\right\|+\beta_{n, 2}\left\|S_{2} U_{n, 3} z_{n}-z\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n, 2}\right)\left\|z_{n}-z\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n, 2}\left(1-\beta_{n, 2}\right)\left\|S_{2} U_{n, 3} z_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & M\left\|z_{n}-S_{1} U_{n, 2} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|z_{n}-z\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n, 2}\left(1-\beta_{n, 2}\right)\left\|S_{2} U_{n, 3} z_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, where $M=\sup _{n \in \mathbf{N}}\left\{\left\|z_{n}-S_{1} U_{n, 2} z_{n}\right\|+2\left\|S_{1} U_{n, 2} z_{n}-z\right\|\right\}$. So, we obtain $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|S_{2} U_{n, 3} z_{n}-z_{n}\right\|=0$. By induction, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|S_{m} U_{n, m+1} z_{n}-z_{n}\right\|=0
$$

for all $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n}-S_{m} z_{n}\right\| & \leq\left\|z_{n}-S_{m} U_{n, m+1} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|S_{m} U_{n, m+1} z_{n}-S_{m} z_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|z_{n}-S_{m} U_{n, m+1} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|U_{n, m+1} z_{n}-z_{n}\right\| \\
& =\left\|z_{n}-S_{m} U_{n, m+1} z_{n}\right\|+\beta_{n, m+1}\left\|S_{m+1} U_{n, m+2} z_{n}-z_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|z_{n}-S_{m} U_{n, m+1} z_{n}\right\|+b\left\|S_{m+1} U_{n, m+2} z_{n}-z_{n}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we get $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-S_{m} z_{n}\right\|=0$ for all $m \in \mathbf{N}$. By Opial's condition, $\omega_{w}\left(z_{n}\right) \subset F\left(S_{m}\right)$ for each $m \in \mathbf{N}$ which implies $\omega_{w}\left(z_{n}\right) \subset \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)$. Therefore, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}$ from (ii) or (iii) in Theorem 3.1.

The following is the result proved by Nakajo and Takahashi [13].
Theorem 3.11. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$ and let $\mathcal{S}=\{T(s) \mid 0 \leq s<\infty\}$ be a one-parameter nonexpansive semigroup on $C$ such that $F(\mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}=x \in C \\
y_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} T(s) x_{n} d s \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C \mid\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right\} \\
Q_{n}=\left\{z \in C \mid\left(x_{n}-z, x_{0}-x_{n}\right) \geq 0\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for every $n \in N \cup\{0\}$, where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[0, a]$ for some $a \in[0,1)$ and $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ is a positive real divergent sequence. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}=P_{F(\mathcal{S})}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Proof. If $T_{n} x=\alpha_{n} x+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} T(s) x d s(\forall x \in C)$ and $\varepsilon_{n}=0$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ in Theorem 3.1, we have that $T_{n}: C \longrightarrow C$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$, $\cap_{n=0}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)=F(\mathcal{S})$ and $a_{n}=0$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence in $C$ which satisfies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|=0$. At first, we have
$(1-a)\left\|z_{n}-\frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} T(s) z_{n} d s\right\| \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-\frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} T(s) z_{n} d s\right\|=\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|$
for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ which implies

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-\frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} T(s) z_{n} d s\right\|=0
$$

And as in the proof of [13, Theorem 4.1], we have, for every $h \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n}-T(h) z_{n}\right\| \leq & \left\|z_{n}-\frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} T(s) z_{n} d s\right\| \\
& +\left\|\frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} T(s) z_{n} d s-T(h)\left(\frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} T(s) z_{n} d s\right)\right\| \\
& +\left\|T(h) z_{n}-T(h)\left(\frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} T(s) z_{n} d s\right)\right\| \\
\leq & 2\left\|z_{n}-\frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} T(s) z_{n} d s\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\left\|\frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} T(s) z_{n} d s-T(h)\left(\frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} T(s) z_{n} d s\right)\right\|
$$

for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. So, we get

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T(h) z_{n}\right\|=0
$$

for all $h \geq 0$ by Lemma 2.5. By Opial's condition, we obtain $\omega_{w}\left(z_{n}\right) \subset F(\mathcal{S})$. Therefore, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}$ from (ii) or (iii) in Theorem 3.1.

The following is the result proved by Atsushiba and Takahashi [3].
Theorem 3.12. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$ and let $S$ be a commutative semigroup. Let $\mathcal{S}=\{T(t) \mid t \in S\}$ be a nonexpansive semigroup on $C$ such that $F(\mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset$. Let $D$ be a subspace of $B(S)$ such that $D$ contains constants, $D$ is invariant under $r_{s}$ for every $s \in S$ and $t \mapsto(T(t) x, y)$ is in $D$ for each $x \in C$ and $y \in H$. Let $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of means on $D$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mu_{n}-r_{s}^{*} \mu_{n}\right\|=0$ for all $s \in S$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}=x \in C \\
y_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{\mu_{n}} x_{n} \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C \mid\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right\} \\
Q_{n}=\left\{z \in C \mid\left(x_{n}-z, x_{0}-x_{n}\right) \geq 0\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for every $n \in \boldsymbol{N} \cup\{0\}$, where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[0, a]$ for some $a \in(0,1)$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}=P_{F(\mathcal{S})}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Proof. If $T_{n}=\alpha_{n} I+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{\mu_{n}}$ and $\varepsilon_{n}=0$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ in Theorem 3.1, we have $T_{n}: C \longrightarrow C, a_{n}=0$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ and $\cap_{n=0}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)=F(\mathcal{S})$ from Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence in $C$ which satisfies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|=0$. At first, we get

$$
(1-a)\left\|z_{n}-T_{\mu_{n}} z_{n}\right\| \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-T_{\mu_{n}} z_{n}\right\|=\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|
$$

for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ which implies

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T_{\mu_{n}} z_{n}\right\|=0
$$

And for all $t \in S$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n}-T(t) z_{n}\right\| \leq & \left\|z_{n}-T_{\mu_{n}} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|T_{\mu_{n}} z_{n}-T(t) T_{\mu_{n}} z_{n}\right\| \\
& +\left\|T(t) T_{\mu_{n}} z_{n}-T(t) z_{n}\right\| \\
\leq & 2\left\|z_{n}-T_{\mu_{n}} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|T_{\mu_{n}} z_{n}-T(t) T_{\mu_{n}} z_{n}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we obtain $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T(t) z_{n}\right\|=0$ for every $t \in S$ by Lemma 2.7. By Opial's condition, we get $\omega_{w}\left(z_{n}\right) \subset F(\mathcal{S})$. Therefore, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}$ by (ii) or (iii) in Theorem 3.1.
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