

## Short Proof and Generalization of a Menon-type Identity by Li, Hu and Kim

László Tóth

Abstract. We present a simple proof and a generalization of a Menon-type identity by Li, Hu and Kim, involving Dirichlet characters and additive characters.

### 1. Motivation and main result

Menon’s classical identity states that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,n)=1}}^n (a-1, n) = \varphi(n)\tau(n),$$

where  $(a-1, n)$  stands for the greatest common divisor of  $a-1$  and  $n$ ,  $\varphi(n)$  is Euler’s totient function and  $\tau(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1$  is the divisor function. Identity (1.1) was generalized by several authors in various directions. Zhao and Cao [7] proved that

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{a=1}^n (a-1, n)\chi(a) = \varphi(n)\tau(n/d),$$

where  $\chi$  is a Dirichlet character (mod  $n$ ) with conductor  $d$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d | n$ ). If  $\chi$  is the principal character (mod  $n$ ), that is  $d = 1$ , then (1.2) reduces to Menon’s identity (1.1). Generalizations of (1.2) involving even functions (mod  $n$ ) were deduced by the author [6], using a different approach.

Li, Hu and Kim [4] proved the following generalization of identity (1.2):

**Theorem 1.1.** [4, Theorem 1.1] *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\chi$  be a Dirichlet character (mod  $n$ ) with conductor  $d$  ( $d | n$ ). Let  $b \mapsto \lambda_\ell(b) := \exp(2\pi i w_\ell b/n)$  be additive characters of the group  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , with  $w_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  ( $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ ). Then*

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{a, b_1, \dots, b_k=1}^n (a-1, b_1, \dots, b_k, n)\chi(a)\lambda_1(b_1) \cdots \lambda_k(b_k) = \varphi(n)\sigma_k((n/d, w_1, \dots, w_k)),$$

where  $\sigma_k(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^k$ .

---

Received August 3, 2018; Accepted September 9, 2018.

Communicated by Yu-Ru Liu.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11A07, 11A25.

*Key words and phrases.* Menon’s identity, Dirichlet character, additive character, arithmetic function, Euler’s totient function, congruence.

Note that in (1.2) and (1.3) the sums are, in fact, over  $1 \leq a \leq n$  with  $(a, n) = 1$ , since  $\chi(a) = 0$  for  $(a, n) > 1$ . In the case  $w_1 = \dots = w_k = 0$ , identity (1.3) was deduced by the same authors in paper [3]. For the proof, Li, Hu and Kim computed first the given sum in the case  $n = p^t$ , a prime power, and then they showed that the sum is multiplicative in  $n$ .

It is the goal of this paper to present a simple proof of Theorem 1.1. Our approach is similar to that given in [6], and leads to a direct evaluation of the corresponding sum for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We obtain, in fact, the following generalization of the above result. Let  $\mu$  denote the Möbius function and let  $*$  be the convolution of arithmetic functions.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $F$  be an arbitrary arithmetic function, let  $s_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\chi_j$  be Dirichlet characters (mod  $n$ ) with conductors  $d_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ) and  $\lambda_\ell$  be additive characters as defined above, with  $w_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  ( $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ ). Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.4) \quad & \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_k=1}^n F((a_1 - s_1, \dots, a_m - s_m, b_1, \dots, b_k, n)) \\
 & \times \chi_1(a_1) \cdots \chi_m(a_m) \lambda_1(b_1) \cdots \lambda_k(b_k) \\
 & = \varphi(n)^m \chi_1^*(s_1) \cdots \chi_m^*(s_m) \sum_{\substack{e|(n/d_1, \dots, n/d_m, w_1, \dots, w_k) \\ (n/e, s_1 \cdots s_m)=1}} \frac{e^k (\mu * F)(n/e)}{\varphi(n/e)^m},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\chi_j^*$  are the primitive characters (mod  $d_j$ ) that induce  $\chi_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ).

We remark that the sum in the left hand side of identity (1.4) vanishes provided that there is an  $s_j$  such that  $(s_j, d_j) > 1$ . If  $F(n) = n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ),  $m = 1$  and  $s_1 = 1$ , then identity (1.4) reduces to (1.3). We also remark that the special case  $F(n) = n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ),  $m \geq 1$ ,  $s_1 = \dots = s_m = 1$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $w_1 = \dots = w_k = 0$  was considered in the quite recent preprint [2]. Several other special cases of formula (1.4) can be discussed.

See the papers [3–7] and the references therein for other generalizations and analogues of Menon’s identity.

## 2. Proof

We need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $n, d, e \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d \mid n$ ,  $e \mid n$  and let  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,n)=1 \\ a \equiv r \pmod{d} \\ a \equiv s \pmod{e}}}^n 1 = \begin{cases} \frac{\varphi(n)}{\varphi(de)}(d, e) & \text{if } (r, d) = (s, e) = 1 \text{ and } (d, e) \mid r - s, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the special case  $e = 1$  this is known in the literature, usually proved by the inclusion-exclusion principle. See, e.g., [1, Theorem 5.32]. Here we use a different approach, in the spirit of our paper.

*Proof of Lemma 2.1.* For each term of the sum, since  $(a, n) = 1$ , we have  $(r, d) = (a, d) = 1$  and  $(s, e) = (a, e) = 1$ . Also, the given congruences imply  $(d, e) \mid r - s$ . We assume that these conditions are satisfied (otherwise the sum is empty and equals zero).

Using the property of the Möbius function, the given sum, say  $S$ , can be written as

$$(2.1) \quad S = \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ a \equiv r \pmod{d} \\ a \equiv s \pmod{e}}}^n \sum_{\delta \mid (a,n)} \mu(\delta) = \sum_{\delta \mid n} \mu(\delta) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \delta j \equiv r \pmod{d} \\ \delta j \equiv s \pmod{e}}}^{n/\delta} 1.$$

Let  $\delta \mid n$  be fixed. The linear congruence  $\delta j \equiv r \pmod{d}$  has solutions in  $j$  if and only if  $(\delta, d) \mid r$ , equivalent to  $(\delta, d) = 1$ , since  $(r, d) = 1$ . Similarly, the congruence  $\delta j \equiv s \pmod{e}$  has solutions in  $j$  if and only if  $(\delta, e) \mid s$ , equivalent to  $(\delta, e) = 1$ , since  $(s, e) = 1$ . These two congruences have common solutions in  $j$  due to the condition  $(d, e) \mid r - s$ . Furthermore, if  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  are solutions of these simultaneous congruences, then  $\delta j_1 \equiv \delta j_2 \pmod{d}$  and  $\delta j_1 \equiv \delta j_2 \pmod{e}$ . Since  $(\delta, d) = 1$ , this gives  $j_1 \equiv j_2 \pmod{[d, e]}$ . We deduce that there are

$$N = \frac{n}{\delta [d, e]}$$

solutions  $\pmod{n/\delta}$  and the last sum in (2.1) is  $N$ . This gives

$$S = \frac{n}{[d, e]} \sum_{\substack{\delta \mid n \\ (\delta, de)=1}} \frac{\mu(\delta)}{\delta} = \frac{n}{[d, e]} \cdot \frac{\varphi(n)/n}{\varphi(de)/(de)} = \frac{\varphi(n)}{\varphi(de)}(d, e). \quad \square$$

The next lemma is a known result. See, e.g., [6] for its (short) proof.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\chi$  be a primitive character  $\pmod{n}$ . Then for any  $e \mid n$ ,  $e < n$  and any  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,*

$$\sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ a \equiv s \pmod{e}}}^n \chi(a) = 0.$$

Now we prove

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\chi$  be a Dirichlet character  $\pmod{n}$  with conductor  $d$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d \mid n$ ) and let  $e \mid n$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ a \equiv s \pmod{e}}}^n \chi(a) = \begin{cases} \frac{\varphi(n)}{\varphi(e)} \chi^*(s) & \text{if } d \mid e \text{ and } (s, e) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\chi^*$  is the primitive character  $\pmod{d}$  that induces  $\chi$ .

*Proof.* We can assume  $(a, n) = 1$  in the sum. If  $a \equiv s \pmod{e}$ , then  $(s, e) = (a, e) = 1$ . Given the Dirichlet character  $\chi \pmod{n}$ , the primitive character  $\chi^* \pmod{d}$  that induces  $\chi$  is defined by

$$\chi(a) = \begin{cases} \chi^*(a) & \text{if } (a, n) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } (a, n) > 1. \end{cases}$$

We deduce

$$T := \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ a \equiv s \pmod{e}}}^n \chi(a) = \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,n)=1 \\ a \equiv s \pmod{e}}}^n \chi^*(a) = \sum_{r=1}^d \chi^*(r) \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,n)=1 \\ a \equiv r \pmod{d} \\ a \equiv s \pmod{e}}}^n 1,$$

where the inner sum is evaluated in Lemma 2.1. Since  $(s, e) = 1$ , as mentioned above, we have

$$T = \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\ (r,d)=1 \\ (d,e)|r-s}}^d \chi^*(r) \frac{\varphi(n)}{\varphi(de)}(d, e) = \frac{\varphi(n)}{\varphi(de)}(d, e) \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\ (r,d)=1 \\ r \equiv s \pmod{(d,e)}}}^d \chi^*(r) = \frac{\varphi(n)}{\varphi(de)}(d, e) \chi^*(s),$$

by Lemma 2.2 in the case  $(d, e) = d$ , that is  $d \mid e$ . We conclude that

$$T = \frac{\varphi(n)}{\varphi(de)} d \chi^*(s) = \frac{\varphi(n)}{\varphi(e)} \chi^*(s).$$

If  $d \nmid e$ , then  $T = 0$ . □

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $V$  denote the given sum. By using the identity  $F(n) = \sum_{e|n} (\mu * F)(e)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_k=1}^n \chi_1(a_1) \cdots \chi_m(a_m) \lambda_1(b_1) \cdots \lambda_k(b_k) \sum_{e|(a_1-s_1, \dots, a_m-s_m, b_1, \dots, b_k, n)} (\mu * F)(e) \\ &= \sum_{e|n} (\mu * F)(e) \sum_{\substack{a_1=1 \\ a_1 \equiv s_1 \pmod{e}}}^n \chi_1(a_1) \cdots \sum_{\substack{a_m=1 \\ a_m \equiv s_m \pmod{e}}}^n \chi_m(a_m) \sum_{\substack{b_1=1 \\ e|b_1}}^n \lambda_1(b_1) \cdots \sum_{\substack{b_k=1 \\ e|b_k}}^n \lambda_k(b_k). \end{aligned}$$

Here for every  $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{b_\ell=1 \\ e|b_\ell}}^n \lambda_\ell(b_\ell) = \sum_{c_\ell=1}^{n/e} \exp(2\pi i w_\ell c_\ell / (n/e)) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{e} & \text{if } \frac{n}{e} \mid w_\ell, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and using Lemma 2.3 we deduce that

$$V = \chi_1^*(s_1) \cdots \chi_m^*(s_m) \sum' (\mu * F)(e) \left( \frac{\varphi(n)}{\varphi(e)} \right)^m \left( \frac{n}{e} \right)^k,$$

where the sum  $\sum'$  is over  $e \mid n$  such that  $d_j \mid e$ ,  $(e, s_j) = 1$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$  and  $n/e \mid w_\ell$  for all  $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ . Interchanging  $e$  and  $n/e$ , the sum is over  $e$  such that  $e \mid n/d_j$ ,  $(n/e, s_j) = 1$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$  and  $e \mid w_\ell$  for all  $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the European Union, co-financed by the European Social Fund EFOP-3.6.1.-16-2016-00004.

### References

- [1] T. M. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
- [2] M. Chen, S. Hu and Y. Li, *On Menon-Sury's identity with several Dirichlet characters*, arXiv:1807.07241 [math.NT].
- [3] Y. Li, X. Hu and D. Kim, *A generalization of Menon's identity with Dirichlet characters*, Int. J. Number Theory, accepted.
- [4] ———, *A Menon-type identity with multiplicative and additive characters*, Taiwanese J. Math., accepted.
- [5] L. Tóth, *Menon's identity and arithmetical sums representing functions of several variables*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino **69** (2011), no. 1, 97–110.
- [6] ———, *Menon-type identities concerning Dirichlet characters*, Int. J. Number Theory **14** (2018), no. 4, 1047–1054.
- [7] X.-P. Zhao and Z.-F. Cao, *Another generalization of Menon's identity*, Int. J. Number Theory **13** (2017), no. 9, 2373–2379.

László Tóth

Department of Mathematics, University of Pécs, Ifjúság útja 6, 7624 Pécs, Hungary

*E-mail address*: ltoth@gamma.ttk.pte.hu