

## Research Article

# The Numbers of Positive Solutions by the Lusternik-Schnirelmann Category for a Quasilinear Elliptic System Critical with Hardy Terms

Mustapha Khiddi 

*E.G.A.L., Dépt. Maths, Fac. Sciences, Université Ibn Tofail, BP 133, Kénitra, Morocco*

Correspondence should be addressed to Mustapha Khiddi; mostapha-2@hotmail.com

Received 21 March 2018; Revised 12 June 2018; Accepted 18 December 2018; Published 3 January 2019

Academic Editor: Chun-Lei Tang

Copyright © 2019 Mustapha Khiddi. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, we study the quasilinear elliptic system with Sobolev critical exponent involving both concave-convex and Hardy terms in bounded domains. By employing the technique introduced by Benci and Cerami (1991), we obtain at least  $\text{cat}(\Omega) + 1$  distinct positive solutions.

## 1. Introduction and Main Result

In this paper, we are concerned with the multiplicity of positive solutions of the following critical problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\Delta_p u - \nu \frac{|u|^{p-2} u}{|x|^p} &= \frac{1}{p^*} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(x, u, \nu) + f_\lambda(x) |u|^{q-2} u && \text{in } \Omega, \\
 -\Delta_p \nu - \nu \frac{|\nu|^{p-2} \nu}{|x|^p} &= \frac{1}{p^*} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \nu}(x, u, \nu) + g_\mu(x) |\nu|^{q-2} \nu && (1) \\
 &&& \text{in } \Omega, \\
 u = \nu = 0 &&& \text{on } \partial\Omega,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a smooth bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 3$ ,  $0 \in \Omega$ ,  $1 < q < p < N$ ,  $p^* = pN/(N-p)$  is the critical Sobolev exponent,  $0 < \nu < \bar{\nu}$  where  $\bar{\nu} = ((N-p)/p)^p$  is the best Hardy constant, and the parameter  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\mu > 0$ , we assume that  $f_\lambda(x) = \lambda f_+(x) + f_-(x)$  and  $g_\mu(x) = \mu g_+(x) + g_-(x)$  where the weight functions  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$(H_1) f, g \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \text{ with } \|f_+\|_\infty = \|g_+\|_\infty = 1, \text{ where } f_\pm = \max\{\pm f, 0\} \neq 0 \text{ and } g_\pm = \max\{\pm g, 0\} \neq 0.$$

And the function  $F$  satisfies the following conditions:

$$(f_1) F \in C^1(\bar{\Omega} \times (\mathbb{R}^+)^2, \mathbb{R}^+), \text{ such that } \forall t > 0$$

$$F(x, tu, t\nu) = t^{p^*} F(x, u, \nu) \quad \forall (x, u, \nu) \in \bar{\Omega} \times (\mathbb{R}^+)^2. \quad (2)$$

$$(f_2) F(x, u, 0) = F(x, 0, \nu) = (\partial F / \partial u)(x, u, 0) = (\partial F / \partial \nu)(x, 0, \nu) = 0, \text{ where } u, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

$$(f_3) \partial F(x, u, \nu) / \partial u = \partial F(x, u, \nu) / \partial \nu \text{ are strictly increasing functions about } u \text{ and } \nu \text{ for all } u > 0, \nu > 0.$$

$$(f_4) (u, \nu) \cdot \nabla F(x, u, \nu) = p^* F(x, u, \nu) \text{ with } (\partial F(x, u, \nu) / \partial u, \partial F(x, u, \nu) / \partial \nu) = \nabla F.$$

$$(f_5) F(x, u, \nu) \leq K(|u|^p + |\nu|^p)^{p^*/p} \text{ for some constant } K > 0.$$

*Remark 1.* We deduce from the conditions  $(f_1)$ ,  $(f_2)$ , and  $(f_3)$  that the functional  $(u, \nu) \rightarrow \psi(u, \nu) = \int_\Omega F(x, u, \nu) dx$  is of class  $C^1(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \mathbb{R}^+)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\langle \psi'(u, \nu), (a, b) \rangle \\
 &= \int_\Omega \left( \frac{\partial F(x, u, \nu)}{\partial u} a + \frac{\partial F(x, u, \nu)}{\partial \nu} b \right) dx, \quad (3)
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $(u, v), (a, b) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , and  $\partial F/\partial u, \partial F/\partial v \in C^1(\Omega \times (\mathbb{R}^+)^2, \mathbb{R}^+)$  such that  $(\partial F/\partial u)(x, tu, tv) = t^{p^*-1}(\partial F/\partial u)(x, u, v)$  and  $(\partial F/\partial v)(x, tu, tv) = t^{p^*-1}(\partial F/\partial v)(x, u, v)$ .

Moreover, there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(x, u, v) \right| &\leq C \left( |u|^{p^*-1} + |v|^{p^*-1} \right) \\ \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(x, u, v) \right| &\leq C \left( |u|^{p^*-1} + |v|^{p^*-1} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$\forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, u, v \in \mathbb{R}^+.$

The proof is almost the same as that in Chu and Tang [1].

Recently, many papers have studied the multiplicity of positive solutions by way of fibering method and the notions of topological indices category for different semilinear, quasilinear, and nonlocal problems involving a critical exponent and concave and convex nonlinearities (see [2–4]). Our goal here is to give a new result for this system by linking the number of positive solutions with the topology of the domain  $\Omega$ . More precisely with the Category index, let us note  $\text{cat}_Y(X)$  is the least number of closed and contractible sets in  $Y$  which cover  $X$ . Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $N > p^2$  and  $p^* - N/(N-p) \leq q < p$ . Suppose that  $F$  satisfies  $(f_1) - (f_5)$  and the functions  $f, g$  satisfy the condition  $(H_1)$ . Then, there exists  $\Lambda_* > 0$  such that if for each  $\lambda^{p/(p-q)} + \mu^{p/(p-q)} \in (0, \Lambda_*)$ , problem (1) has at least  $\text{cat}(\Omega) + 1$  distinct positive solutions.*

This paper is composed of four sections. In Section 2, we give some results for the Nehari manifold associated of the energy functional and fibering maps. In Section 3, we will build homotopies between  $\Omega$  and certain sublevel set of the energy functional associated with (1). Finally we prove the result in Section 4.

## 2. The Nehari Manifold Associated with the Energy Functional and Fibering Maps

Let the Sobolev space  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  with the usual norm:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u, v)\|_W &= (\|u\|^p + \|v\|^p)^{1/p}, \\ \|u\| &= \|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p - v \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} dx \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$v \in [0, \bar{v}).$

Also, the standard norm of the space  $L^p(\Omega)$  is  $\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = (\int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx)^{1/p}$ . Moreover, a pair of functions  $(u, v) \in W$  is said to be a weak solution of problem (1) if

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi_1 - v \frac{|u|^{p-2} u}{|x|^p} \varphi_1 \right) dx \\ + \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla \varphi_2 - v \frac{|v|^{p-2} v}{|x|^p} \varphi_2 \right) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial F(x, u, v)}{\partial u} \varphi_1 + \frac{\partial F(x, u, v)}{\partial v} \varphi_2 \right) dx \\ - \int_{\Omega} f_{\lambda} |u|^{q-2} u \varphi_1 dx - \int_{\Omega} g_{\mu} |v|^{q-2} v \varphi_2 dx = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

for all  $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in W$ .

We know that looking for weak solutions of (1) is like looking for the critical points of the associated functional

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda, \mu}(u, v) &= \frac{1}{p} \|(u, v)\|_W^p - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u^+, v^+) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{q} K_{f_{\lambda}, g_{\mu}}(u^+, v^+) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $K_{f_{\lambda}, g_{\mu}}(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} (f_{\lambda}(x)|u|^q + g_{\mu}(x)|v|^q) dx$ .

By the above Remark 1, the functional  $J_{\lambda, \mu}(u, v)$  is well defined on the space  $W$  and is of class  $C^1(W, \mathbb{R})$ .

Therefore, the solutions of (1) correspond to critical points of  $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ . Let us denote by  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$  the Nehari manifold related to  $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ , given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu} &:= \{(u, v) \in W, (u, v) \neq (0, 0) : \langle J'_{\lambda, \mu}(u, v), (u, v) \rangle \\ &= 0\} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu} &:= \left\{ u \in W, (u, v) \neq (0, 0) : \|(u, v)\|_W^p \right. \\ &= \left. \int_{\Omega} F(x, u^+, v^+) dx + K_{f_{\lambda}, g_{\mu}}(u^+, v^+) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Notice that the functional  $J_{\lambda, \mu}$  is not bounded below on the total space for that we consider the functional on the Nehari manifold.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\lambda, \mu}(u, v) &= \langle J'_{\lambda, \mu}(u, v), (u, v) \rangle \\ &= \|(u, v)\|_W^p - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u, v) dx \\ &\quad - K_{f_{\lambda}, g_{\mu}}(u, v). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Let  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$ , and by easy calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi'_{\lambda, \mu}(u, v), (u, v) \rangle &= p \|(u, v)\|_W^p \\ &\quad - p^* \int_{\Omega} F(x, u, v) dx \\ &\quad - q K_{\lambda, \mu}(u, v) \\ &= (p - p^*) \int_{\Omega} F(x, u, v) dx \\ &\quad - (q - p) K_{f_{\lambda}, g_{\mu}}(u, v) \\ &= (p - q) \|(u, v)\|_W^p \\ &\quad - (p^* - q) \int_{\Omega} F(x, u, v) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (p - p^*) \|(u, v)\|_W^p \\
 &\quad - (q - p^*) K_{f_{\lambda, g_{\mu}}}(u, v).
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

**Lemma 3.** *The functional  $J_{\lambda, \mu}$  is bounded below on the Nehari manifold  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$ , and applying the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem, Young inequality, and Condition  $(H_1)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_{f_{\lambda, g_{\mu}}}(u, v) &\leq S^{-q/p} |\Omega|^{(p^*-q)/p^*} \\
 &\quad \cdot (\lambda^{p/(p-q)} + \mu^{p/(p-q)})^{(p-q)/p} \|(u, v)\|_W^q,
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{\lambda, \mu}(u, v) &= \left(\frac{p^* - p}{p^* p}\right) \|(u, v)\|_W^p - \left(\frac{p^* - q}{p^* q}\right) \\
 &\quad \cdot K_{f_{\lambda, g_{\mu}}}(u, v) \geq \frac{p^* - p}{p^* p} \|(u, v)\|_W^p - \frac{p^* - q}{p^* q} \\
 &\quad \cdot S^{-q/p} |\Omega|^{(p^*-q)/p^*} (\lambda^{p/(p-q)} + \mu^{p/(p-q)})^{(p-q)/p} \\
 &\quad \cdot \|(u, v)\|_W^q
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Thus,  $J_{\lambda, \mu}$  is coercive and bounded below on  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$ .  $\square$

Now, we split the Nehari manifold  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$  into three parts, namely,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^+ &:= \{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu} : \langle \chi'_{\lambda, \mu}(u, v), (u, v) \rangle > 0\} \\
 \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^- &:= \{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu} : \langle \chi'_{\lambda, \mu}(u, v), (u, v) \rangle < 0\} \\
 \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^0 &:= \{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu} : \langle \chi'_{\lambda, \mu}(u, v), (u, v) \rangle = 0\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Then, we have the following results.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $(u_0, v_0) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$  be a local minimizer of  $J_{\lambda, \mu}$  and  $(u_0, v_0) \notin \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^0$ . Then  $(u_0, v_0)$  is a critical point of  $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is standard; you can see [4].  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *There exists  $\Lambda_* > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda, \mu > 0$  such that  $0 < \lambda^{p/(p-q)} + \mu^{p/(p-q)} < \Lambda_*$  then  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^0 = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose the contrary; that is, there exist  $\lambda, \mu > 0$  with  $0 < \lambda^{p/(p-q)} + \mu^{p/(p-q)} < \Lambda_*$ , but  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^0 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^0$ ; we have

$$(p - q) \|(u, v)\|_W^p = (p^* - q) \int_{\Omega} F(x, u, v) dx \tag{15}$$

and

$$(p^* - p) \|(u, v)\|_W^p = (p^* - q) K_{f_{\lambda, g_{\mu}}}(u, v). \tag{16}$$

By  $(f_5)$  and applying the Minkowski inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\Omega} F(x, u, v) dx \\
 &\leq K \left( \int_{\Omega} (|u|^p + |v|^p)^{p^*/p} dx \right)^{(p/p^*)(p^*/p)} \\
 &\leq K \left( \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{p/p^*} + \left( \int_{\Omega} |v|^{p^*} dx \right)^{p/p^*} \right)^{p^*/p} \\
 &\leq KS^{-p^*/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p dx) \right)^{p^*/p},
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

so

$$\int_{\Omega} F(x, u, v) dx \leq KS^{-p^*/p} \|(u, v)\|_W^{p^*}. \tag{18}$$

Combining (15) and (18), we have

$$(p^* - q) KS^{-p^*/p} \|(u, v)\|_W^{p^*} \geq (p - q) \|(u, v)\|_W^p, \tag{19}$$

then

$$\|(u, v)\|_W \geq \left( \frac{(p - q) S^{p^*/p}}{(p^* - q) K} \right)^{1/(p^* - p)}, \tag{20}$$

By (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (p^* - p) \|(u, v)\|_W^p &= (p^* - q) K_{f_{\lambda, g_{\mu}}}(u, v) \leq (p^* - q) \\
 &\quad \cdot S^{-q/p} |\Omega|^{(p^*-q)/p^*} (\lambda^{p/(p-q)} + \mu^{p/(p-q)})^{(p-q)/p} \\
 &\quad \cdot \|(u, v)\|_W^q,
 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(u, v)\|_W &\leq \left( \frac{(p^* - q) S^{-q/p} |\Omega|^{(p^*-q)/p^*}}{(p^* - p)} \right)^{1/(p-p^*)} \\
 &\quad \cdot (\lambda^{p/(p-q)} + \mu^{p/(p-q)})^{1/p}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

We deduct from (20) and (22) that

$$(\lambda^{p/(p-q)} + \mu^{p/(p-q)}) > \Lambda_*, \tag{23}$$

which is a contradiction.  $\square$

So, we have  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu} = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^- \cup \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^+$ , and we define

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_{\lambda, \mu} &= \inf_{(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}} J(u, v), \\
 c_{\lambda, \mu}^+ &= \inf_{(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^+} J_{\lambda, \mu}(u, v), \\
 c_{\lambda, \mu}^- &= \inf_{(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^-} J_{\lambda, \mu}(u, v).
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

**Lemma 6. (i)** *For some  $\Lambda_* > 0$  and for  $\lambda^{q/(p-q)} + \mu^{q/(p-q)} \in ]0, \Lambda_*[$  so, there exists (PS) $_{c_{\lambda, \mu}^-}$ -sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  of  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$  for  $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ .*

**(ii)** *If  $0 < \lambda^{q/(p-q)} + \mu^{q/(p-q)} < \Lambda_*$ , then there exists a (PS) $_{c_{\lambda, \mu}^-}$ -sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  of  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^-$  for  $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ .*

*Proof.* You find the same proof in the following reference [5].  $\square$

Denote

$$S_F = \inf_{(u,v) \in W \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \frac{\|(u,v)\|_W^p}{\left(\int_{\Omega} F(x,u,v) dx\right)^{p/p^*}} : \int_{\Omega} F(x,u,v) dx > 0 \right\}. \quad (25)$$

We define a cut-off function  $\eta(x) \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $\eta(x) = 1$  for  $|x| < \rho_0$ ,  $\eta(x) = 0$  for  $|x| > 2\rho_0$ ,  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ , and  $|\nabla\eta| \leq C$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{\eta(x)}{(\varepsilon + |x|^{p/(p-1)})^{(N-p)/p}}. \quad (26)$$

From Li Wang, Qiaoling Wei, and Dongsheng Kang [6], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_\varepsilon|^p dx\right)^{p/p^*} &= \varepsilon^{-(N-p)/p} \|U\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + O(\varepsilon), \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p dx &= \varepsilon^{-(N-p)/p} \|\nabla U\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + O(1), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |u_\varepsilon|^p dx\right)^{p/p^*}} = S + O(\varepsilon^{(N-p)/p}),$$

where  $U(x) = (1 + |x|^{p/(p-1)})^{-(N-p)/p} \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , and verifying  $S$ , this

$$S = \frac{\|\nabla U\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p}{\|U\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p} = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p}{\|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p}. \quad (28)$$

**Lemma 7.**

$$c_{0,0} = \frac{1}{N} S_F^{N/p}. \quad (29)$$

*Proof.* Set  $u_0 = e_1 u_\varepsilon$  and  $v_0 = e_2 u_\varepsilon$  and  $(u_0, v_0) \in W$ , where  $e_1, e_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $e_1^p + e_2^p = 1$ , and  $\inf_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} F(x, e_1, e_2) \geq K$ . Then by  $(f_5)$ , the definition of  $S_F$ , and (27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{0,0} &\leq \sup_{t \geq 0} J_{0,0}(tu_0, tv_0) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left( \frac{(e_1^p + e_2^p) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} F(x, e_1 u_\varepsilon, e_2 v_\varepsilon) dx\right)^{p/p^*}} \right)^{N/p} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \left( \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p dx}{K^{p/p^*} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dx\right)^{p/p^*}} \right)^{N/p} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \left( \frac{1}{K^{p/p^*}} \right)^{N/p} (S + O(\varepsilon^{(N-p)/p}))^{N/p} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left( \frac{1}{K^{p/p^*}} \right)^{N/p} S^{N/p} + O(\varepsilon^{(N-p)/p}) \leq \frac{1}{N} S_F^{N/p} \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$c_{0,0} \leq \frac{1}{N} S_F^{N/p}, \quad (31)$$

We use the following relation:

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \left( \frac{t^p}{p} A - \frac{t^{p^*}}{p^*} B \right) = \frac{1}{N} \left( \frac{A}{B^{p/p^*}} \right)^{N/p}, \quad A, B > 0. \quad (32)$$

For the reverse inequality, the application of the mountain pass theorem gives us a Palais-Smale sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset W$  for  $J_{0,0}$  at level  $c_{0,0}$  and from here we can show that  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  is bounded in  $W$  using standard arguments. Since

$$\|(u_n^-, v_n^-)\|^p = \langle I'(u_n, v_n), (u_n^-, v_n^-) \rangle \rightarrow 0. \quad (33)$$

Assuming that  $u_n, v_n \geq 0$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_n, v_n)\|^p &\rightarrow l \\ \text{and } \left(\int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n, v_n) dx\right) &\rightarrow l. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

From definition (25) of  $S_F$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_F l^{p/p^*} &= S_F \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n, v_n) dx\right)^{p/p^*} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|(u_n, v_n)\|^p = l, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

then

$$l \geq S_F^{N/p}. \quad (36)$$

Since  $J_{0,0}(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow c_{0,0}$  implies  $l = c_{0,0}N$ , we deduce from (36) that

$$c_{0,0} \geq \frac{1}{N} S_F^{N/p}. \quad (37)$$

Then from (31) and (37) we obtain

$$c_{0,0} = \frac{1}{N} S_F^{N/p}. \quad (38)$$

$\square$

Next we prove that  $J_{\lambda,\mu}$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition under some level. Before, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 8.** Let  $F \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}, (\mathbb{R}^+)^2)$  with  $F(x, 0, 0) = 0$  and  $|\partial F(x, u, v)/\partial u|, |\partial F(x, u, v)/\partial v| \leq C_1(|u|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1})$  for some  $p \leq \infty$ .  $C_1 > 0$ . Let  $\{(u_k, v_k)\}$  be a bounded sequence in  $L^p(\overline{\Omega}, (\mathbb{R}^+)^2)$ , such that  $(u_k, v_k) \rightharpoonup (u, v)$  weakly in  $W$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_k, v_k) dx &\rightarrow \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_k - u, v_k - v) dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} F(x, u, v) dx \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* (The idea of this proof was borrowed from [7])  $\square$

**Lemma 9.**  $J_{\lambda,\mu}$  satisfies the  $(PS)_c$ -condition for

$$-\infty < c < c_\infty := \frac{1}{N} S_F^{N/p} - C \left( \lambda^{p/(p-q)} + \mu^{p/(p-q)} \right), \quad (40)$$

where  $C > 0$  is independent on  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.1 in [8].  $\square$

Let  $(u, v) \in W$ , with  $\int_\Omega F(x, u, v) dx > 0$ , and put

$$t_{\max} = t_{\max}(u, v, \lambda, \mu) := \left( \frac{(p-q) \|(u, v)\|_W^p}{(p^* - q) \int_\Omega F(x, u, v) dx} \right)^{1/(p^* - p)} > 0. \quad (41)$$

Then the following lemma holds. Its proof is similar to the lemma [4] (or see Tarantello [9]).

**Lemma 10.** Let  $(u, v) \in W$ , with  $\int_\Omega F(x, u, v) dx > 0$ , so there are unique number positives  $t^+$  and  $t^-$  such that  $0 < t^+ < t_{\max} < t^-$  with

$$\begin{aligned} (t^+ u, t^+ v) &\in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}^+, \\ (t^- u, t^- v) &\in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}^-, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda,\mu}(t^+ u, t^+ v) &= \min_{0 \leq t \leq t_{\max}} J_{\lambda,\mu}(tu, tv), \\ J_{\lambda,\mu}(t^- u, t^- v) &= \max_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda,\mu}(tu, tv). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

**Lemma 11.** For some  $\lambda, \mu > 0$ , and  $\Lambda_* > 0$  such that  $0 < \lambda^{p/(p-q)} + \mu^{p/(p-q)} < \Lambda_*$ , we have

$$c_{\lambda,\mu}^- < c_\infty. \quad (44)$$

*Proof.* First, we claim that there exist positive constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$0 < C_1 < t_\varepsilon = t^-(u_0, v_0, \lambda, \mu) < C_2 < \infty \quad (45)$$

Let  $u_0 = e_1 u_\varepsilon$  and  $v_0 = e_2 v_\varepsilon$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_0, v_0)\|^p - t_\varepsilon^{p^* - p} \int_\Omega F(x, u_0, v_0) dx \\ = t_\varepsilon^{q-p} K_{f_\lambda, g_\mu}(u_0, v_0). \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Then, by  $(f_5)$  and (27) we deduct that

$$t_\varepsilon^{p^* - p} \leq \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla U|^p dx}{\left(K \int_\Omega |U|^{p^*} dx\right)^{p/p^*}} + O(\varepsilon^{(N-p)/p}), \quad (47)$$

then  $t_\varepsilon$  is bounded above as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Using Lemma 10, we have

$$t_\varepsilon \geq t_{\max}(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon, \lambda, \mu) > 0, \quad (48)$$

then we can also suppose that  $t_\varepsilon$  is bounded below. By a direct calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |u_\varepsilon|^q dx \\ \geq \begin{cases} C \varepsilon^{-(N-p)/p q + N((p-1)/p)} & \text{if } p^* - \frac{N}{N-p} < q, \\ C \varepsilon^{-(N-p)/p q + N((p-1)/p)} |\ln \varepsilon|, & \text{if } q = p^* - \frac{N}{N-p}, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

and the constant  $C$  is a positive. So

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda,\mu}(t_\varepsilon u_0, t_\varepsilon v_0) &\leq \frac{1}{N} S_F^{N/p} + O(\varepsilon^{(N-p)/p}) - (\lambda + \mu) \\ &\cdot \begin{cases} C \varepsilon^{((p-1)/p)(N-q((N-p)/p))}, & \text{if } p^* - \frac{N}{N-p} < q, \\ C \varepsilon^{((p-1)/p)(N-q((N-p)/p))} |\ln \varepsilon|, & \text{if } q = p^* - \frac{N}{N-p} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

We have  $p^* - \frac{N}{N-p} < q < p$ , and there exist  $\tau > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p-q}{q} \frac{p-1}{p} \left( N - q \frac{N-p}{p} \right) < \tau \\ < \frac{N-p}{p} - \frac{p-1}{p} \left( N - q \frac{N-p}{p} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Let

$$\lambda + \mu = \varepsilon^\tau \quad (52)$$

By the following relation, for  $x, y > 0$  and  $s \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $(x + y)^s < x^s + y^s$ , and we obtain

$$\lambda^{p/(p-q)} + \mu^{p/(p-q)} < \varepsilon^{\tau(p/(p-q))}. \quad (53)$$

By (51) we have

$$\tau + \frac{p-1}{p} \left( N - q \frac{N-p}{p} \right) < \min \left\{ \tau \frac{p}{p-q}, \frac{N-p}{p} \right\}. \quad (54)$$

Then, there exists  $\Lambda_* > 0$  such that  $\lambda^{p/(p-q)} + \mu^{p/(p-q)} \in (0, \Lambda_*)$ , and we have

$$J_{\lambda,\mu}(t_\varepsilon u_0, t_\varepsilon v_0) \leq c_\infty \quad (55)$$

so by definition  $c_{\lambda,\mu}^-$  we deduct that

$$c_{\lambda,\mu}^- < c_\infty. \quad (56)$$

For the case  $q = p^* - N/(N-p)$ , so we get the same result.  $\square$

For the existence of the first solution of our problem (1)

**Lemma 12.** There exists  $\Lambda_* > 0$  such that if  $\lambda, \mu \in (0, \Lambda_*)$ , then  $J_{\lambda,\mu}$  has a minimizer  $(u_{\lambda,\mu}^+, v_{\lambda,\mu}^+) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}^+$  and its satisfies

- (i)  $J_{\lambda,\mu}(u_{\lambda,\mu}^+, v_{\lambda,\mu}^+) = c_{\lambda,\mu}^+$
- (ii)  $(u_{\lambda,\mu}^+, v_{\lambda,\mu}^+)$  is a positive solution of (1).

*Proof.* Taking into account the fact that  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}^- \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}$  and Lemma 11 we have

$$c_{\lambda,\mu} \leq c_{\lambda,\mu}^- < c_{0,0}. \quad (57)$$

Hence, for the proof of (i) just use the following Lemmas 11 and 9. Now let  $(u_{\lambda,\mu}^+, v_{\lambda,\mu}^+)$  be solution of problem (1) such that  $J_{\lambda,\mu}(u_{\lambda,\mu}^+, v_{\lambda,\mu}^+) = c_{\lambda,\mu}$ . Moreover, we have  $(u_{\lambda,\mu}^+, v_{\lambda,\mu}^+) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}^+$ . In fact, if  $(u_{\lambda,\mu}^+, v_{\lambda,\mu}^+) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}^-$ , by Lemma 10, there are unique  $t_0^+, t_0^-$  such that  $(t_0^+ u_{\lambda,\mu}^+, t_0^+ v_{\lambda,\mu}^+) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}^+$  and  $(t_0^- u_{\lambda,\mu}^+, t_0^- v_{\lambda,\mu}^+) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}^-$ . In particular, we have  $t_0^+ < t_0^- = 1$ . Since

$$\frac{d}{dt} J_{\lambda,\mu}(t_0^+ u_{\lambda,\mu}^+, t_0^+ v_{\lambda,\mu}^+) = 0 \quad (58)$$

$$\text{and } \frac{d^2}{dt^2} J_{\lambda,\mu}(t_0^+ u_{\lambda,\mu}^+, t_0^+ v_{\lambda,\mu}^+) > 0,$$

there exists  $t_0^+ < \bar{t} \leq t_0^-$  such that  $J_{\lambda,\mu}(t_0^+ u_{\lambda,\mu}^+, t_0^+ v_{\lambda,\mu}^+) < J_{\lambda,\mu}(\bar{t} u_{\lambda,\mu}^+, \bar{t} v_{\lambda,\mu}^+)$ . By Lemma 10

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda,\mu}(t_0^+ u_{\lambda,\mu}^+, t_0^+ v_{\lambda,\mu}^+) &< J_{\lambda,\mu}(\bar{t} u_{\lambda,\mu}^+, \bar{t} v_{\lambda,\mu}^+) \\ &\leq J_{\lambda,\mu}(t_0^- u_{\lambda,\mu}^+, t_0^- v_{\lambda,\mu}^+) \\ &= J_{\lambda,\mu}(u_{\lambda,\mu}^+, v_{\lambda,\mu}^+), \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

which is impossible and by the maximum principle, we deduce that  $(u_{\lambda,\mu}^+, v_{\lambda,\mu}^+)$  is a positive solution of problem (1).  $\square$

### 3. Some Technical Results

**Lemma 13.** Let  $(\lambda_n)$  and  $(\mu_n)$  decreasing sequences in  $(0, \Lambda_*)$  for some  $\Lambda_* > 0$  and converging to 0, so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} c_{\lambda_n, \mu_n}^- = c_{0,0}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 6 there exists a sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}^-$ ,  $u_n, v_n \geq 0$  such that

$$J_{\lambda_n, \mu_n}(u_n, v_n) = c_{\lambda_n, \mu_n}^- \quad (60)$$

$$\text{and } J'_{\lambda_n, \mu_n}(u_n, v_n) = 0.$$

There exists a real number sequence  $t_n$  satisfying  $(t_n u_n, t_n v_n) \in \mathcal{N}_{0,0}$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} c_{0,0} &\leq J_{0,0}(t_n u_n, t_n v_n) \\ &= J_{\lambda_n, \mu_n}(t_n u_n, t_n v_n) + \frac{t_n^q}{q} K_{f_{\lambda_n}, g_{\mu_n}}(u_n^+, v_n^+) \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

$$\leq c_{\lambda_n, \mu_n}^- + \frac{t_n^q}{q} K_{f_{\lambda_n}, g_{\mu_n}}(u_n^+, v_n^+) \quad (62)$$

Since, by Lemma 10 for all  $n$  we have

$$0 < c_{\lambda_1, \mu_1}^- \leq c_{\lambda_n, \mu_n}^- \leq c_{0,0}. \quad (63)$$

Moreover,  $(t_n u_n, t_n v_n) \in \mathcal{N}_{0,0}$  implies that

$$\|(u_n, v_n)\|_W = t_n^{q-p} K_{f_{\lambda_n}, g_{\mu_n}}(u_n^+, v_n^+) \quad (64)$$

and we deduce that  $J_{\lambda_n, \mu_n}(u_n, v_n) = c_{\lambda_n, \mu_n}^- \leq c_{0,0}$  and  $J'_{\lambda_n, \mu_n}(u_n, v_n) = 0$ . We get that  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  is bounded in  $W$ .

We can now say that  $t_n$  is a bounded sequence, if we assume by contradiction that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} t_n = \infty$ . We find  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} K_{f_{\lambda_n}, g_{\mu_n}}(u_n^+, v_n^+) = 0$ , so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_W = 0$  which implies by (60) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} c_{\lambda_n, \mu_n}^- = 0 \quad (65)$$

which is a contradiction with (63).  $\square$

We consider the following lemma. See section 5.3 in [10].

**Lemma 14.** Suppose that  $X$  is Banach space and  $F \in \mathcal{C}^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ . Assume that, for  $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

- (1)  $F$  satisfies the  $(PS)_c$  condition for  $c \leq c_0$ ,
- (2)  $\text{cat}(\{x \in X, F(x) \leq c_0\}) \geq k$ .

Then  $F$  has at least  $k$  critical points in  $\{x \in X, F(x) \leq c_0\}$ .

Let us consider tow subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$

$$\Omega_r^+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \text{dist}(x, \Omega) < r\}, \quad (66)$$

$$\Omega_r^- := \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > r\}$$

Note that  $\Omega_r^+$  and  $\Omega_r^-$  are homotopically equivalent to  $\Omega$  for some  $r > 0$ . We may assume  $B_r := B_r(0) \subset \Omega$ . We consider

$$\begin{aligned} W_r & \\ &:= \{(u, v) \in W_0^{1,p}(B_r) \times W_0^{1,p}(B_r) : u, v \text{ are radial}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

Recall that  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(B_r)$  implies that  $u$  is extension in  $\Omega$  with  $u = 0$  outside of  $B_r$ . Let  $J_{\lambda,\mu, B_r} : W_r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda,\mu, B_r}(u, v) &:= \frac{1}{p} \|(u, v)\|_{W_r}^p - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u^+, v^+) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{q} K_{f_{\lambda}, g_{\mu}}(u^+, v^+). \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

We denote by

$$\tilde{c}_{\lambda,\mu} := \inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu, B_r}^-} J_{\lambda,\mu, B_r}(u, v). \quad (69)$$

Similar to  $J_{\lambda,\mu}$ ,  $J_{\lambda,\mu, B_r}$  can be shown to satisfy restricted versions of the same three Lemmas 7, 9, and 11. We consider

$$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu, \tilde{c}_{\lambda,\mu}}^- := \{(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}^- : J_{\lambda,\mu}(u, v) \leq \tilde{c}_{\lambda,\mu}\} \quad (70)$$

Let

$$\zeta(u, v) := \frac{N}{S_F^{N/p}} \int_{\Omega} x F(x, u, v) dx, \quad (71)$$

for all  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}^-$ , that is,  $\zeta(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^N$

and the map  $\omega : \Omega_r^- \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,\tilde{c}_{\lambda,\mu}}^-$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(y)(x) &:= \begin{cases} (u_{\lambda,\mu}(x-y), v_{\lambda,\mu}(x-y)) & \text{if } x \in B_r(y), \\ o & \text{if } x \notin B_r(y), \end{cases} \quad (72) \end{aligned}$$

with  $u_{\lambda,\mu}, v_{\lambda,\mu}$  radial. For all  $y \in \Omega_r^-$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S_F^{N/p}}{N} (\zeta \circ \omega)(y) &= \int_{\Omega} x F(x, u_{\lambda,\mu}(x-y), v_{\lambda,\mu}(x-y)) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (y+z) F(x, u_{\lambda,\mu}(z), v_{\lambda,\mu}(z)) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} y F(x, u_{\lambda,\mu}(z), v_{\lambda,\mu}(z)) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

Then  $\zeta \circ \omega$  can be rewritten

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta \circ \omega(y) &= \frac{N}{S_F^{N/p}} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_{\lambda,\mu}(z), v_{\lambda,\mu}(z)) dx \\ &=: \chi(\lambda, \mu) y. \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

*Remark 15.*

$$\lim_{\lambda,\mu \rightarrow 0} \tilde{c}_{\lambda,\mu} = \tilde{c}_{0,0}, \quad (75)$$

and

$$\lim_{\lambda,\mu \rightarrow 0} \chi(\lambda, \mu) = 1. \quad (76)$$

Next, we define the map  $H_{\lambda,\mu} : [0, 1] \times \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,\tilde{c}_{\lambda,\mu}}^- \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  by

$$H_{\lambda,\mu}(t, u, v) := \left( t + \frac{1-t}{\chi(\lambda, \mu)} \right) \zeta(u, v). \quad (77)$$

**Lemma 16.** For some  $\Lambda_*$  such that  $\lambda^{p/(p-q)} + \mu^{p/(p-q)} \in (0, \Lambda_*)$  we have

$$H_{\lambda,\mu}([0, 1] \times \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,\tilde{c}_{\lambda,\mu}}^-) \subset \Omega_r^+. \quad (78)$$

*Proof.* We show by the absurd that there exist  $(t_n)$  sequence of  $[0, 1]$ ,  $\lambda_n, \mu_n \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_n,\mu_n,\tilde{c}_{\lambda_n,\mu_n}}^-$  such that

$$H_{\lambda_n,\mu_n}(t_n, u_n, v_n) \notin \Omega_r^+, \quad (79)$$

and let  $t_n \rightarrow t_0 \in [0, 1]$  (up to a subsequence of  $(t_n)$ ). By Remark 15, we have

$$\chi(\lambda_n, \mu_n) \rightarrow 1 \quad (80)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\lambda_n,\mu_n}^- &\leq \frac{1}{p} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_W^p - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n, v_n) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{q} K_{f_{\lambda_n}, g_{\mu_n}}(u_n^+, v_n^+) \leq \tilde{c}_{\lambda_n,\mu_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_W^p - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n, v_n) dx - K_{f_{\lambda_n}, g_{\mu_n}}(u_n^+, v_n^+) \\ = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

Standard calculations show that  $(u_n, v_n)$  is bounded in  $W$  and by this we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\lambda_n,\mu_n}^- + o(1) &\leq \frac{1}{p} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_W^p \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n, v_n) dx \\ &\leq \tilde{c}_{\lambda_n,\mu_n} + o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

and

$$\|(u_n, v_n)\|_W^p - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n, v_n) dx = o(1), \quad (84)$$

as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . We have by Lemmas 13 and 7 and its restricted version for  $J_{\lambda,\mu,B_r}$  that

$$c_{\lambda_n,\mu_n}^- \text{ and } \tilde{c}_{\lambda_n,\mu_n} \text{ both converge to } \frac{1}{N} S_F^{N/p}, \quad (85)$$

then by (83), (84) and (85)

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_W^p &\rightarrow S_F^{N/p} \\ \text{and } \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n, v_n) dx &\rightarrow S_F^{N/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

Now, it is easy to see that the sequence  $(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) &= \left( \frac{u_n}{\left(\int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n, v_n) dx\right)^{1/p^*}}, \frac{v_n}{\left(\int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n, v_n) dx\right)^{1/p^*}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

verifies

$$\int_{\Omega} F(x, \tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) dx = 1 \quad (88)$$

$$\text{and } \|(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)\|_W^p \rightarrow S_F$$

For a subsequence of  $\{(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)\}$  we have

$$(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) \rightarrow (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^N$$

$$|\nabla \tilde{u}_n - \tilde{u}|^p + |\nabla \tilde{v}_n - \tilde{v}|^p dx \rightarrow \omega \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (89)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} F(x, \tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) dx \rightarrow \tau \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

By the same way used in [[10], Lemma 1.40] (see also [7]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_F &= \|(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\|^p + \|\omega\|, \\ 1 &= \int_{\Omega} F(x, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) dx + \|\tau\| \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

and

$$\|\tau\|^{p/p^*} \leq S_F \|\omega\|. \tag{91}$$

Since

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} F(x, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) dx\right)^{p/p^*} \leq S_F^{-1} \|(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\|_W^p. \tag{92}$$

It is easy to confirm that  $\int_{\Omega} F(x, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) dx$  and  $\|\omega\|$  are equal either to 0 or to 1. Since  $S_F$  is independent of  $\Omega$  and is never achieved except when  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$  (see also [11]), so necessarily  $\int_{\Omega} F(x, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) dx = 0$ . Then the measure  $\omega$  is concentrated at a single point  $y$  of  $\bar{\Omega}$ , and we have

$$\zeta(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} x d\omega(x) = y \in \bar{\Omega} \subset \Omega_r^+. \tag{93}$$

Therefore

$$H_{\lambda, \mu, t_n}(t_n, u_n, v_n) := \left(t_n + \frac{t_n - 1}{\chi(\lambda, \mu)}\right) \zeta(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow y \in \bar{\Omega} \subset \Omega_r^+, \tag{94}$$

and this is impossible. □

**Lemma 17.** For some  $\Lambda_* > 0$  such that  $0 < \lambda^{p/(p-q)} + \mu^{p/(p-q)} < \Lambda_*$ , we have

$$cat\left(\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \bar{c}_{\lambda, \mu}}^-\right) \geq cat(\Omega). \tag{95}$$

*Proof.* This classic proof is omitted for brevity. An identical proof can be found in [12], Lemma 14. □

### 4. The Proof of Theorem 2

Denote by  $J_{\lambda, \mu}^-$  the restriction of  $J_{\lambda, \mu}$  on  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^-$ .

**Lemma 18.** If  $0 < \lambda^{p/(p-q)} + \mu^{p/(p-q)} < \Lambda^*$ , for some  $\Lambda^* > 0$ , so the functional  $J_{\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^-}$  verifies the Palais-Smale condition for  $c < c_{\infty}$ .

*Proof.* By [[10], Proposition 5.12], there exists a sequence  $\{\sigma_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ . If  $(u_n, v_n)$  is a  $(PS)_c$  for  $J_{\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^-}$  at level  $c$ , there exists a sequence  $\{\sigma_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$J'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n) = \sigma_n \chi'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n, v_n) + o(1), \tag{96}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n, v_n) &= \langle J'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n) \rangle \\ &= \|(u_n, v_n)\|_W^p - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n, v_n) dx \\ &\quad - K_{f_{\lambda, g_{\mu}}}(u_n, v_n) \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

Recall that  $(u_n, v_n) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^-$ , so  $\langle \chi'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n) \rangle < 0$ .

If  $\langle \chi'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n) \rangle \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$(p-q) \|(u_n, v_n)\|_W^p = (p^* - q) \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n, v_n) dx + o(1) \tag{98}$$

and

$$(p^* - p) \|(u_n, v_n)\|_W^p = (p^* - q) K_{f_{\lambda, g_{\mu}}}(u_n, v_n) + o(1). \tag{99}$$

By the same argument employed in Lemma 5, we get

$$\|(u_n, v_n)\|_W \geq \left(\frac{(p-q) S^{p^*/p}}{(p^* - q) K}\right)^{1/(p^* - p)} + o(1), \tag{100}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_W &\leq \left(\frac{(p^* - q) S^{-q/p} |\Omega|^{(p^* - q)/p^*}}{(p^* - p)}\right)^{1/(p - q)} \\ &\quad \cdot (\lambda^{p/(p-q)} + \mu^{p/(p-q)})^{1/p} \end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

and we deduct that  $\lambda^{p/(p-q)} + \mu^{p/(p-q)} > \Lambda^*$ . This is contradiction.

Moreover we assume that  $\langle \chi'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n) \rangle \rightarrow l$ , as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Since  $\langle J'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n) \rangle = 0$ , so  $\sigma_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  then  $J'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Thus,

$$J_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow c \in (0, c_{\lambda, \mu}), \tag{102}$$

$$\text{and } J'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

then by Lemma 9 the proof is finished. □

**Lemma 19.** For some  $\Lambda^* > 0$  such that if  $0 < \lambda^{p/(p-q)} + \mu^{p/(p-q)} < \Lambda^*$ , then every critical point  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^-$  of  $J_{\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^-}$  is a critical point of  $J_{\lambda, \mu}$  in  $W$ .

*Proof.* For the proof of this lemma, it is similar to Lemma 18. □

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Applying Lemmas 9 and 12,  $J_{\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^-}$  satisfies  $(PS)_c$  condition for all  $c \in (0, c_{\lambda, \mu})$ . Then, by Lemmas 17 and 14,  $J_{\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^-}$  admits at least  $cat(\Omega)$  critical points in  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \bar{c}_{\lambda, \mu}}^-$ . Hence, we deduce from Lemma 19 that  $J_{\lambda, \mu}$  has at least  $cat(\Omega)$  critical points in  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^-$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^- \cap \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^+ = \emptyset$ ,  $J_{\lambda, \mu}$  at least  $cat(\Omega) + 1$  critical points in  $W$ . □

### Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

### Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## References

- [1] C.-M. Chu and C.-L. Tang, “Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for semilinear elliptic systems with Sobolev critical exponents,” *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications. An International Multidisciplinary Journal*, vol. 71, no. 11, pp. 5118–5130, 2009.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti, H. Brézis, and G. Cerami, “Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems,” *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 122, no. 2, pp. 519–543, 1994.
- [3] S. Benmouloud, R. Echarchaoui, and S. M. Sbaï, “Multiplicity of positive solutions for a critical quasilinear elliptic system with concave and convex nonlinearities,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 396, no. 1, pp. 375–385, 2012.
- [4] T.-S. Hsu, “Multiple positive solutions for a critical quasilinear elliptic system with concave-convex nonlinearities,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 71, no. 7-8, pp. 2688–2698, 2009.
- [5] T.-F. Wu, “On semilinear elliptic equations involving concave-convex nonlinearities and sign-changing weight function,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 318, no. 1, pp. 253–270, 2006.
- [6] L. Wang, Q. Wei, and D. Kang, “Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions to elliptic systems involving critical exponents,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 383, no. 2, pp. 541–552, 2011.
- [7] J. Chabrowski et al., “On multiple solutions for the nonhomogeneous  $p$ -laplacian with a critical sobolev exponent,” *Differential and Integral Equations*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 705–716, 1995.
- [8] P. Han, “Quasilinear elliptic problems with critical exponents and Hardy terms,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 61, no. 5, pp. 735–758, 2005.
- [9] G. Tarantello, “On nonhomogeneous elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent,” in *Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré (C) Non Linear Analysis*, vol. 9, pp. 281–304, Elsevier, 2016.
- [10] M. Willem, *Minimax theorems, progress in nonlinear differential equations and applications*, vol. 24, 1996.
- [11] M. Struwe and M. Struwe, *Variational methods*, Springer, 1990.
- [12] R. Echarchaoui, M. Khiddi, and S. M. Sbaï, “Multiple positive solutions for a Choquard equation involving both concave-convex and Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev critical exponent,” *Differential Equations & Applications*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 505–520, 2017.