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We introduce the unified biunivalent function class $\mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{\delta, \lambda}(\gamma, \varphi)$ defined based on quasi-subordination and obtained the coefficient estimates for Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$. Several related classes of functions are also considered and connections to earlier known and new results are established.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathscr{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U}=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|z|<1\}$. Further, by $\mathcal{S}$ we denote the family of all functions in $\mathscr{A}$ which are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. Let $h(z)$ be an analytic function in $\mathbb{U}$ and $|h(z)| \leq 1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=h_{0}+h_{1} z+h_{2} z^{2}+h_{3} z^{3}+\cdots, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all coefficients are real. Also, let $\varphi$ be an analytic and univalent function with positive real part in $\mathbb{U}$ with $\varphi(0)=1$, $\varphi^{\prime}(0)>0$ and $\varphi$ maps the unit disk $\mathbb{U}$ onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. Taylor's series expansion of such function is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z^{2}+B_{3} z^{3}+\cdots, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all coefficients are real and $B_{1}>0$. Throughout this paper we assume that the functions $h$ and $\varphi$ satisfy the above conditions one or otherwise stated.

For two functions $f$ and $g$ are analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in $\mathbb{U}$ and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)<g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, with

$$
\begin{align*}
w(0) & =0 \\
|w(z)| & <1 \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=g(w(z)) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if the function $g$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, the above subordination is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(0)=g(0), \\
& f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

For two analytic functions $f$ and $g$, the function $f$ is quasi-subordinate to $g$ in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U}$ if there exist analytic functions $h$ and $w$, with $|h(z)| \leq 1, w(0)=0$, and $|w(z)|<1$, such that $f(z) / h(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ and written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(z)}{h(z)} \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also denote the above expression by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z) \prec_{q} g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=h(z) g(w(z)) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that if $h(z) \equiv 1$, then $f(z)=g(w(z))$, so that $f(z)<g(z)$ in $\mathbb{U}$. Also notice that if $w(z)=z$, then $f(z)=h(z) g(z)$ and it is said that $f$ is majorized by $g$ and written by $f(z) \ll g(z)$ in $\mathbb{U}$. Hence it is obvious that quasisubordination is a generalization of subordination as well as majorization (see [1]).

In [2] Ma and Minda introduced the unified classes $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$ and $\mathscr{K}(\varphi)$ given below:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi):=\left\{f: f \in \mathscr{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \varphi(z) ; z \in \mathbb{U}\right\}, \\
& \mathscr{K}(\varphi):=\left\{f: f \in \mathscr{A}, 1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} \prec \varphi(z) ; z \in \mathbb{U}\right\} . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

For the choice

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z} \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\beta} \quad(0<\beta \leq 1) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

the classes $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$ and $\mathscr{K}(\varphi)$ consist of functions known as the starlike (resp., convex) functions of order $\alpha$ or strongly starlike (resp., convex) functions of order $\beta$, respectively.

Recently, El-Ashwah and Kanas [3] introduced and studied the following two subclasses:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{S}_{q}^{*}(\gamma, \varphi):=\left\{f: f \in \mathscr{A}, \frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right) \prec_{q} \varphi(z)\right. \\
& -1 ; z \in \mathbb{U}, 0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{C}\}, \\
& \mathscr{K}_{q}(\gamma, \varphi):=\left\{f: f \in \mathscr{A}, \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} \prec_{q} \varphi(z)-1 ; z\right.  \tag{14}\\
& \in \mathbb{U}, 0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{C}\} .
\end{align*}
$$

We note that when $h(z) \equiv 1$, the classes $\mathcal{S}_{q}^{*}(\gamma, \varphi)$ and $\mathscr{K}_{q}(\gamma, \varphi)$ reduce, respectively, to the familiar classes $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\gamma, \varphi)$ and $\mathscr{K}(\gamma, \varphi)$ of Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions of complex order $\gamma(\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\})$ in $\mathbb{U}$ (see [4]). For $\gamma=1$, the classes $\mathcal{S}_{q}^{*}(\gamma, \varphi)$ and $\mathscr{K}_{q}(\gamma, \varphi)$ reduce to the classes $\mathcal{S}_{q}^{*}(\varphi)$ and $\mathscr{K}_{q}(\varphi)$, respectively, that are analogous to Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions, introduced by Mohd and Darus [5].

It is well known that every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse $f^{-1}$, defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{-1}(f(z))=z \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \\
& f\left(f^{-1}(w)\right)=w \quad\left(|w|<r_{0}(f) ; r_{0}(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(w)=w+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} w^{n} \quad\left(|w|<r_{0}(f)\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}=\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n!}\left|A_{i j}\right| \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left|A_{i j}\right|$ is the $(n-1)$ th order determinant whose entries are defined in terms of the coefficients of $f(z)$ by the following:

$$
\left|A_{i j}\right|= \begin{cases}{[(i-j+1) n+j-1] a_{i-j+2},} & i+1 \geq j  \tag{18}\\ 0, & i+1<j\end{cases}
$$

For initial values of $n$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{2}=-a_{2} \\
& b_{3}=2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}  \tag{19}\\
& b_{4}=5 a_{2} a_{3}-5 a_{2}^{3}-a_{4}
\end{align*}
$$

and so on. A function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ is said to be biunivalent in $\mathbb{U}$ if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. Let $\sigma$ denote the class of biunivalent functions in $\mathbb{U}$ given by (1). For a brief history and interesting examples of functions which are in (or which are not in) the class $\sigma$, together with various other properties of the biunivalent function class $\sigma$, one can refer to the work of Srivastava et al. [6] and references therein. Recently, various subclasses of the biunivalent function class $\sigma$ were introduced and nonsharp estimates on the first two coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ in the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (1) were found in several recent investigations (see, e.g., [7-17]). But the problem of finding the coefficient bounds on $\left|a_{n}\right|$ ( $n=$ $3,4, \ldots$ ) for functions $f \in \sigma$ is still an open problem.

Motivated by the above mentioned works, we define the following subclass of function class $\sigma$.

A function $f \in \sigma$ given by (1) is said to be in the class $M_{q, \sigma}^{\delta, \lambda}(\gamma, \varphi), 0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \delta \geq 0$, if the following quasi-subordination conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\delta) \frac{z \mathscr{F}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathscr{F}_{\lambda}(z)}+\delta\left(1+\frac{z \mathscr{F}_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\mathscr{F}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)}\right)-1\right) \\
& \quad \prec_{q} \varphi(z)-1 \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}), \\
& \frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\delta) \frac{w \mathscr{G}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(w)}{\mathscr{G}_{\lambda}(w)}+\delta\left(1+\frac{w \mathscr{G}_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(w)}{\mathscr{G}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(w)}\right)-1\right)  \tag{20}\\
& \quad \prec_{q} \varphi(w)-1 \quad(w \in \mathbb{U}),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{F}_{\lambda}(z)=(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z), \\
& \mathscr{G}_{\lambda}(w)=(1-\lambda) g(w)+\lambda w g^{\prime}(w) \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
(0 \leq \lambda \leq 1),
$$

and the function $g$ is the extension of $f^{-1}$ to $\mathbb{U}$.
It is interesting to note that the special values of $\delta, \gamma, \lambda$, and $\varphi$ and the class $\mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{\delta, \lambda}(\gamma, \varphi)$ unify the following known and new classes.

Remark 1. Setting $\lambda=0$ in the above class, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{\delta, 0}(\gamma, \varphi):=\mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{\delta}(\gamma, \varphi) . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for $\gamma=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{\delta}(1, \varphi):=\mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{\delta}(\varphi) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which was introduced and studied by Goyal and Kumar [18, Definition 2.3, p. 541]. Also, we note that for $h(z) \equiv 1$ the class $\mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{\delta}(\varphi):=\mathscr{M}_{\sigma}^{\delta}(\varphi)$ was introduced and studied by Ali et al. [7] (see also [19]). If we take $\varphi(z)$ by (12) in the class $\mathscr{M}_{\sigma}^{\delta}(\varphi)$, we are led to the class which we denote, for convenience, by $\mathscr{M}_{\sigma}^{\delta}(\alpha)$, introduced and studied by Li and Wang [12, Definition 3.1., p. 1500], and upon replacing $\varphi$ by (13) in the class $\mathscr{M}_{\sigma}^{\delta}(\varphi)$, we have $\mathscr{M}_{\sigma}^{\delta}(\beta)$; this class was introduced and studied by Li and Wang [12, Definition 2.1., p. 1497].

Remark 2. Taking $\lambda=0$ and $\delta=0$ in the class $\mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{\delta, \lambda}(\gamma, \varphi)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{0,0}(\gamma, \varphi):=\delta_{q, \sigma}^{*}(\gamma, \varphi) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for $\gamma=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{q, \sigma}^{*}(1, \varphi):=\mathcal{S}_{q, \sigma}^{*}(\varphi) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The class $\mathcal{S}_{q, \sigma}^{*}(\varphi)$ is particular case of the class $\mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{\delta}(\varphi)$, when $\delta=0$ and it was introduced and studied by Goyal and Kumar [18, Definition 2.3, p. 541]. We note that, for $h(z) \equiv 1$, the class $\mathcal{S}_{q, \sigma}^{*}(\gamma, \varphi):=\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(\gamma, \varphi)$ was introduced and studied by Deniz [10]. Further, for $h(z) \equiv 1$, the class $\mathcal{S}_{q, \sigma}^{*}(\varphi):=\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(\varphi)$ was introduced by Ali et al. [7] and Srivastava et al. [16]. For $\varphi(z)$ given by (12), the class $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(\alpha)$ was introduced by Brannan and Taha [20] and studied by Bulut [8], Çaglar et al. [9], Li and Wang [12], and others.

Remark 3. Setting $\lambda=0$ and $\delta=1$ in the class $M_{q, \sigma}^{\delta, \lambda}(\gamma, \varphi)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{1,0}(\gamma, \varphi):=\mathscr{K}_{q, \sigma}(\gamma, \varphi) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for $\gamma=1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{K}_{q, \sigma}(1, \varphi):=\mathscr{K}_{q, \sigma}(\varphi) . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The class $\mathscr{K}_{q, \sigma}(\varphi)$ is particular case of the class $\mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{\delta}(\varphi)$, when $\delta=1$ and it was introduced and studied by Goyal and Kumar [18, Definition 2.3, p. 541]. We note that, for $h(z) \equiv 1$, the class $\mathscr{K}_{q, \sigma}(\gamma, \varphi):=\mathscr{K}_{\sigma}(\gamma, \varphi)$ was introduced and studied by Deniz [10]. Further, for $h(z) \equiv 1$, the class $\mathscr{K}_{q, \sigma}(\varphi):=\mathscr{K}_{\sigma}(\varphi)$ was considered by Ali et al. [7]. For $\varphi(z)$ given by (12), we get the class $\mathscr{K}_{\sigma}(\alpha)$, introduced by Brannan and Taha [20] and studied by Li and Wang [12] and others.

Remark 4. Taking $\delta=0$, we have the class $\mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{0, \lambda}(\gamma, \varphi) \equiv$ $\mathscr{P}_{q, \sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi)$ as defined below.

A function $f \in \sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathscr{P}_{q, \sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi)$, $0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, if the following quasi-subordinations hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)}-1\right) \prec_{q} \varphi(z)-1 \\
\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)+\lambda w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{(1-\lambda) g(w)+\lambda w g^{\prime}(w)}-1\right) \prec_{q} \varphi(w)-1 \tag{28}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $g(w)=f^{-1}(w)$. A function in the class $\mathscr{P}_{q, \sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi)$ is called both bi- $\lambda$-convex functions and bi- $\lambda$-starlike functions of complex order $\gamma$ of Ma-Minda type. For $h(z) \equiv 1$, the class $\mathscr{P}_{q, \sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi):=\mathscr{P}_{\sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi)$ was introduced and studied by Deniz [10].

Remark 5. Putting $\delta=1$, we have the class $\mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{1, \lambda}(\gamma, \varphi) \equiv$ $\mathscr{K}_{q, \sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi)$ as defined below.

A function $f \in \sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathscr{K}_{q, \sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi)$, $0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, if the following quasi-subordinations hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+(1+2 \lambda) z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\lambda z^{3} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}-1\right) \\
& \quad \prec_{q} \varphi(z)-1,  \tag{29}\\
& \frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)+(1+2 \lambda) w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)+\lambda w^{3} g^{\prime \prime \prime}(w)}{w g^{\prime}(w)+\lambda w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)}-1\right) \\
& \quad<_{q} \varphi(w)-1,
\end{align*}
$$

where $g(w)=f^{-1}(w)$.
Remark 6. For $h(z) \equiv 1$, the class $\mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{\delta, \lambda}(\gamma, \varphi):=\mathscr{M}_{\sigma}(\delta, \lambda$, $\gamma, \varphi)$ was introduced in [21].

In this paper we introduce the unified biunivalent function class $\mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{\delta, \lambda}(\gamma, \varphi)$ as defined above and obtain the coefficient estimates for Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ for functions belonging to $\mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{\delta, \lambda}(\gamma, \varphi)$. Some interesting applications of the results presented here are also discussed.

In order to derive our results, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 7 (see [22]). If $p \in \mathscr{P}$, then $\left|p_{i}\right| \leq 2$ for each $i$, where $\mathscr{P}$ is the family of all functions $p$, analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}\{p(z)\}>0 \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Coefficient Estimates for the Class $\mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{\delta, \lambda}(\gamma, \varphi)$

Theorem 8. Let $f(z)$ given by (1) be in the class $\mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{\delta, \lambda}(\gamma, \varphi)$, $0 \leq \lambda<1,0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\delta \geq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq & \frac{|\gamma|\left|h_{0}\right| B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|\gamma\left[2(1+2 \delta)(1+2 \lambda)-(1+3 \delta)(1+\lambda)^{2}\right] h_{0} B_{1}^{2}-(1+\delta)^{2}(1+\lambda)^{2}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)\right|}},  \tag{32}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq & \frac{|\gamma|\left|h_{1}\right| B_{1}}{2(1+2 \delta)(1+2 \lambda)}+\frac{|\gamma|\left|h_{0}\right|\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|}{\left|(1+\delta)(1+2 \lambda)-\lambda^{2}(1+3 \delta)\right|} \\
& +\frac{|\gamma|\left|h_{0}\right| B_{1}\left[(1+3 \delta)(1+\lambda)^{2}+\left|(3+5 \delta)(1+2 \lambda)-\lambda^{2}(1+3 \delta)\right|\right]}{4(1+2 \delta)(1+2 \lambda)\left|(1+\delta)(1+2 \lambda)-\lambda^{2}(1+3 \delta)\right|} . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Since $f \in \mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{\delta, \lambda}(\gamma, \varphi)$, there exist two analytic functions $r, s: \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$, with $r(0)=0=s(0)$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\delta) \frac{z \mathscr{F}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathscr{F}_{\lambda}(z)}+\delta\left(1+\frac{z \mathscr{F}_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\mathscr{F}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)}\right)-1\right) \\
& \quad=h(z)(\varphi(r(z))-1) \\
& \frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\delta) \frac{w \mathscr{G}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(w)}{\mathscr{G}_{\lambda}(w)}+\delta\left(1+\frac{w \mathscr{G}_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(w)}{\mathscr{G}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(w)}\right)-1\right)  \tag{34}\\
& \quad=h(w)(\varphi(s(w))-1) .
\end{align*}
$$

Define the functions $u$ and $v$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(z)=\frac{1+r(z)}{1-r(z)}=1+u_{1} z+u_{2} z^{2}+u_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \\
& v(z)=\frac{1+s(z)}{1-s(z)}=1+v_{1} z+v_{2} z^{2}+v_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{align*}
& r(z)=\frac{u(z)-1}{u(z)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{1} z+\left(u_{2}-\frac{u_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(u_{3}+\frac{u_{1}}{2}\left(\frac{u_{1}^{2}}{2}-u_{2}\right)-\frac{u_{1} u_{2}}{2}\right) z^{3}+\cdots\right), \\
& s(z)=\frac{v(z)-1}{v(z)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{1} z+\left(v_{2}-\frac{v_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}\right.  \tag{36}\\
& \left.\quad+\left(v_{3}+\frac{v_{1}}{2}\left(\frac{v_{1}^{2}}{2}-v_{2}\right)-\frac{v_{1} v_{2}}{2}\right) z^{3}+\cdots\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(w)\left[\varphi\left(\frac{q(w)-1}{q(w)+1}\right)-1\right]=\frac{1}{2} h_{0} B_{1} v_{1} w \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{1}{2} h_{1} B_{1} v_{1}+\frac{1}{2} h_{0} B_{1}\left(v_{2}-\frac{1}{2} v_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} h_{0} B_{2} v_{1}^{2}\right) w^{2} \\
& \quad+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (37) and (38) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\gamma}(1+\delta)(1+\lambda) a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} h_{0} B_{1} u_{1}, \\
& \frac{1}{\gamma}\left[2(1+2 \delta)(1+2 \lambda) a_{3}-(1+3 \delta)(1+\lambda)^{2} a_{2}^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \\
& \quad \cdot h_{1} B_{1} u_{1}+\frac{1}{2} h_{0} B_{1}\left(u_{2}-\frac{1}{2} u_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} h_{0} B_{2} u_{1}^{2}, \\
& -\frac{1}{\gamma}(1+\delta)(1+\lambda) a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} h_{0} B_{1} v_{1}, \\
& \frac{1}{\gamma}\left[4\left((1+2 \delta)(1+2 \lambda)-(1+3 \delta)(1+\lambda)^{2}\right) a_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-2(1+2 \delta)(1+2 \lambda) a_{3}\right]=\frac{1}{2} h_{1} B_{1} v_{1}+\frac{1}{2} h_{0} B_{1}\left(v_{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{1}{2} v_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} h_{0} B_{2} v_{1}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (39) and (41), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=\frac{\gamma h_{0} B_{1} u_{1}}{2(1+\delta)(1+\lambda)}=\frac{-\gamma h_{0} B_{1} v_{1}}{2(1+\delta)(1+\lambda)} ; \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{1}=-v_{1}  \tag{44}\\
8(1+\delta)^{2}(1+\lambda)^{2} a_{2}^{2}=h_{0}^{2} B_{1}^{2} \gamma^{2}\left(u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{45}
\end{gather*}
$$

Adding (40) and (42), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{2}^{2} & \frac{1}{\gamma}\left[4(1+2 \delta)(1+2 \lambda)-2(1+3 \delta)(1+\lambda)^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{h_{0} B_{1}}{2}\left(u_{2}+v_{2}\right)+\frac{h_{0}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)}{4}\left(u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right) . \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (43) and (44) into (46), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{\gamma^{2} h_{0}^{2} B_{1}^{3}\left(u_{2}+v_{2}\right)}{4 \gamma\left[2(1+2 \delta)(1+2 \lambda)-(1+3 \delta)(1+\lambda)^{2}\right] h_{0} B_{1}^{2}-4(1+\delta)^{2}(1+\lambda)^{2}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)} . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 7 in (47), we get desired inequality (32). Subtracting (40) from (42) and a computation using (44) finally lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=a_{2}^{2}+\frac{\gamma h_{1} B_{1} u_{1}}{4(1+2 \delta)(1+2 \lambda)}+\frac{\gamma h_{0} B_{1}\left(u_{2}-v_{2}\right)}{8(1+2 \delta)(1+2 \lambda)} . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again applying Lemma 7, (48) yields desired inequality (33). This completes the proof of Theorem 8.

In light of Remarks 1-5, we have following corollaries.
Corollary 9. If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{q, \sigma}^{*}(\gamma, \varphi), 0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma|\left|h_{0}\right| B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|\gamma h_{0} B_{1}^{2}-B_{2}+B_{1}\right|}},  \tag{49}\\
& \left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma|\left|h_{1}\right| B_{1}}{2}+|\gamma|\left|h_{0}\right|\left[B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 10. Corollary 9 reduces to [23, Corollary 2.3, p. 82].
Corollary 11. If $f \in \mathscr{K}_{q, \sigma}(\gamma, \varphi), 0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma|\left|h_{0}\right| B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|2 \gamma h_{0} B_{1}^{2}-4\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)\right|}}  \tag{50}\\
& \left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma|\left|h_{1}\right| B_{1}}{6}+\frac{|\gamma|\left|h_{0}\right|\left[B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|\right]}{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Corollary 12. If $f \in \mathscr{M}_{q, \sigma}^{\delta}(\gamma, \varphi), 0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\delta \geq 0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma|\left|h_{0}\right| B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|\gamma h_{0} B_{1}^{2}(1+\delta)-\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)(1+\delta)^{2}\right|}}  \tag{51}\\
& \left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma|\left|h_{1}\right| B_{1}}{2+4 \delta}+\frac{|\gamma|\left|h_{0}\right|\left[B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|\right]}{1+\delta}
\end{align*}
$$

Corollary 13. If $f \in \mathscr{P}_{q, \sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi), 0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq & \frac{|\gamma|\left|h_{0}\right| B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|\gamma\left(1+2 \lambda-\lambda^{2}\right) h_{0} B_{1}^{2}-(1+\lambda)^{2}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)\right|}} \\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq & \frac{|\gamma|\left|h_{1}\right| B_{1}}{2+4 \lambda}+\frac{|\gamma|\left|h_{0}\right|\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|}{\left|1+2 \lambda-\lambda^{2}\right|}  \tag{52}\\
& +\frac{|\gamma|\left|h_{0}\right| B_{1}\left[(1+\lambda)^{2}+\left|3+6 \lambda-\lambda^{2}\right|\right]}{4(1+2 \lambda)\left|1+2 \lambda-\lambda^{2}\right|}
\end{align*}
$$

Corollary 14. If $f \in \mathscr{K}_{q, \sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi), 0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, then
$\left|a_{2}\right|$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \frac{|\gamma|\left|h_{0}\right| B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|\gamma\left(2+4 \lambda-4 \lambda^{2}\right) h_{0} B_{1}^{2}-4(1+\lambda)^{2}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)\right|}} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|a_{3}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{|\gamma|\left|h_{1}\right| B_{1}}{6+12 \lambda}+\frac{|\gamma|\left|h_{0}\right|\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|}{\left|2+4 \lambda-4 \lambda^{2}\right|}  \tag{54}\\
& +\frac{|\gamma|\left|h_{0}\right| B_{1}\left[(1+\lambda)^{2}+\left|2+4 \lambda-\lambda^{2}\right|\right]}{3(1+2 \lambda)\left|2+4 \lambda-4 \lambda^{2}\right|} .
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 15. Taking $h(z) \equiv 1$ in Corollary 9, we get estimates in [10, Corollary 2.3, p. 54] and setting $h(z) \equiv 1$ in Corollary 11 we have bounds in [10, Corollary 2.2, p. 53]. For $h(z) \equiv 1$ and $\gamma=1$, the inequalities obtained in Corollary 11 coincide with [7, Corollary 2.2, p. 349]. For $h(z) \equiv 1$ and $\gamma=1$, the estimates in Corollary 12 reduce to a known result in [7, Theorem 2.3, p. 348]. Further, for $h(z) \equiv 1, \gamma=1$, and $\varphi$ given by (12) the inequalities in Corollary 12 reduce to a result proven earlier by [12, Theorem 3.2, p. 1500] and for $h(z) \equiv 1, \gamma=1$, and $\varphi$ given by (13) the inequalities in Corollary 12 would reduce to known result in [12, Theorem 2.2, p. 1498]. Also, for $h(z) \equiv 1$, the estimates in Corollary 13 provide improvement over the estimates derived by Deniz [10, Theorem 2.1, p. 32]. For $h(z) \equiv 1$, the results obtained in this paper coincide with results in [21]. Furthermore, various other interesting corollaries and consequences of our results could be derived similarly by specializing $\varphi$.
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