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Self-similar blow-up solutions for the generalized deterministic KPZ equation $u_{t}=u_{x x}+\left|u_{x}\right|^{q}$ with $q>2$ are considered. The asymptotic behavior of self-similar solutions is studied.

## 1. Introduction

We consider the generalized deterministic KPZ equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^{q} \quad \text { for }(x, t) \in S_{T}:=\mathbb{R} \times(0, T) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q>2$ and $T>0$. Equation (1) was first considered in the case $q=2$ by Kardar et al. [1] in connection with the study of the growth of surfaces. When $q=2$, (1) has since been referred to as the deterministic KPZ equation. For $q \neq 2$ it also called the generalized deterministic KPZ equation or Krug-Spohn equation because it was introduced in [2]. We refer to the review article [3] for references and a detailed historical account of the KPZ equation.

The existence and uniqueness of a classical solution of the Cauchy problem for (1) with $q=1$ and initial function $u_{0} \in C_{0}^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ were proven in [4]. This result was extended to $u_{0} \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap W^{2, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $q \geq 1$ in [5] and to $u_{0} \in$ $C\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $q \geq 0$ in [6]. Several papers [7-11] were devoted to the investigation of the Cauchy problem for irregular initial data, namely, for $u_{0} \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), 1 \leq p<\infty$, or for bounded measures. The existence and uniqueness of a solution to the Cauchy problem with unbounded initial datum are proved in [12]. To confirm the optimality of obtained existence conditions, the authors of [12] analyze the asymptotic behavior of self-similar blow-up solutions of (1) for $q<2$.

In this paper we investigate the asymptotic behavior of self-similar blow-up solutions of (1) with $q>2$ having the form

$$
u(x, t)=(T-t)^{\alpha} f(\xi)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { where } \xi=|x|(T-t)^{\beta}, 0<t<T \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

After substitution of (2) into (1) we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha=\frac{q-2}{2(q-1)}  \tag{3}\\
& \beta=-\frac{1}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

and $f$ should satisfy the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}+\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}-\frac{1}{2} \xi f^{\prime}+\alpha f=0 \quad \text { on } \quad(0,+\infty) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will add to (4) the following initial data:

$$
\begin{align*}
f(0) & =-f_{0}<0, \\
f^{\prime}(0) & =0 . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\left[\frac{1}{q-1}\left(\frac{q-1}{q}\right)^{q}\right]^{1 /(q-1)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us state the main result.

Theorem 1. Let u be a self-similar blow-up solution of (1) with $q>2$ which is defined in (2)-(5). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T} u(x, t)(T-t)^{1 /(q-1)}=C|x|^{q /(q-1)} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A simple computation shows that Theorem 1 is a consequence of the following statement.

Theorem 2. Let $q>2$ and let $f$ be a solution of problem (4), (5). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi^{q /(q-1)}}=C . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The behavior of self-similar solutions for (1) of the type $u(x, t)=t^{\alpha} g\left(x t^{\beta}\right)$ has been analyzed in [13].

## 2. The Proof of Theorem 2

We start with a simple result which is used later on.
Lemma 3. Let $f$ be a solution of problem (4), (5) defined on $[0, \bar{\xi})$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime}(\xi) & >0 \\
f^{\prime \prime}(\xi) & >0 \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\text { for } \xi \in(0, \bar{\xi}) \text {. }
$$

Proof. Obviously, $f^{\prime \prime}(0)=\alpha f_{0}>0$. Therefore, by continuity, $f^{\prime \prime}>0$ and $f^{\prime}>0$ in some right-neighborhood of 0 . Suppose that there exists $\xi_{0}$ such that $0<\xi_{0}<\bar{\xi}, f^{\prime \prime}>0$ on $\left[0, \xi_{0}\right)$ and $f^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{0}\right)=0$. Then $f^{\prime}>0$ on $\left(0, \xi_{0}\right]$ and $f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\xi_{0}\right) \leq 0$. From (4) we find that $f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\xi_{0}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(\xi_{0}\right) /[2(q-1)]>0$. This contradiction proves (9).

Now we will obtain the upper bound for $f^{\prime}$.
Lemma 4. There exists $\xi_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(\xi)<\left\{\frac{\xi}{2}\right\}^{1 /(q-1)} \quad \text { for } \xi \geq \xi_{0} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Lemma 3 implies that $f(\xi) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\xi \rightarrow \bar{\xi}$ and that there exists unique point $\xi_{0} \in(0, \bar{\xi})$ such that $f<0$ on $\left(0, \xi_{0}\right)$ and $f>0$ on $\left(\xi_{0}, \bar{\xi}\right)$. Substituting $f^{\prime \prime}>0$ and $f \geq 0$ in (4) yields $f^{\prime}(\xi)<\{\xi / 2\}^{1 /(q-1)}$ for $\xi \in\left[\xi_{0}, \bar{\xi}\right)$. Thus, $\bar{\xi}=\infty$ and (10) holds.

Changing variables in (4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(\xi)=\xi^{1 /(q-1)} g(t), \quad \xi=\exp t \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get the new equation

$$
\begin{align*}
g^{\prime \prime} & +\frac{3-q}{q-1} g^{\prime}-\frac{q-2}{(q-1)^{2}} g  \tag{12}\\
& =\left\{\frac{1}{2} g^{\prime}-\left(g^{q}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{q-1} g-\frac{q}{q-1} g^{q}\right\} \exp (2 t)
\end{align*}
$$

By (9), (10), and (11), there hold

$$
\begin{align*}
g(t) & >0 \quad \text { for any } t \in \mathbb{R},  \tag{13}\\
g(t) & <\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}^{1 /(q-1)},  \tag{14}\\
g^{\prime}(t) & >-\frac{g}{q-1}
\end{align*}
$$

for large values of $t$. Put

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{0}=\left\{\frac{1}{q}\right\}^{1 /(q-1)} \\
& C_{1}=\left\{\frac{1}{2 q}\right\}^{1 /(q-1)} . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

It is obvious that $C_{0}>C_{1}$. Now we will establish the asymptotic behavior of $g(t)$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.

Lemma 5. Assume that $g$ is defined in (11). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} g(t)=C_{0} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From a careful inspection of (12) we conclude that a local maximum of $g(t)$ can happen only when $g(t)>C_{0}$.

At first we suppose that $g(t)$ does not tend to $C_{0}$ as $t \rightarrow$ $+\infty$ and $g(t)$ is monotonic solution of (12) for large values of $t$. Then there exists $\bar{C} \neq C_{0}$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=\bar{C}$. It is not difficult to show that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exist $A>0$ and a sequence $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with the properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}=+\infty \\
&\left|g^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right| \leq A  \tag{17}\\
&\left|g^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon
\end{align*}
$$

Indeed, let $g^{\prime} \geq 0$ for the definiteness. We suppose that $g^{\prime}(t)$ is not monotonic function for large values of $t$ since otherwise (17) is obvious. Denote by $\left\{\tau_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ a sequence of local minima for $g^{\prime}$. Then (17) holds for some subsequence of $\left\{\tau_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

Passing to the limit in (12) as $t=t_{k} \rightarrow+\infty$ and choosing $\varepsilon$ in a suitable way we get that the left-hand side is bounded, while the right-hand side tends to infinity if $\bar{C} \neq 0$. Let $\bar{C}=0$. Using (13) and (14) we conclude from (12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3-q}{q-1} g^{\prime} \geq \frac{g}{3(q-1)} \exp (2 t) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large values of $t$. Then for large values of $k$ (17) and (18) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(t_{k}\right) \leq \gamma \exp \left(-2 t_{k}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where positive constant $\gamma$ does not depend on $k$. Setting $\xi_{k}=$ $\operatorname{expt}_{k}$, from (11) and (19), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right) \leq \gamma \xi_{k}^{(3-2 q) /(q-1)} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

that contradicts (9).

Now until the end of the proof we assume that $g(t)$ is not monotonic solution of (12) for large values of $t$. Suppose that $\lim \inf _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)<C_{0}$. Then there exist positive unbounded increasing sequences $\left\{s_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $t_{k}>s_{k}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(t) \leq 0 \quad \text { for } t \in\left[s_{k}, t_{k}\right] \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $g\left(s_{k}\right)=C_{0}, g\left(t_{k}\right)=C_{\star}$, where $C_{1}<C_{\star}<C_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} g^{\prime}-\left(g^{q}\right)^{\prime} & =-q\left(g^{q-1}-C_{1}^{q-1}\right) g^{\prime}  \tag{22}\\
& \geq-q\left(C_{\star}^{q-1}-C_{1}^{q-1}\right) g^{\prime} \geq 0 \quad \text { on }\left[s_{k}, t_{k}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

So, (12) and (22) imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
& g^{\prime \prime}(t)+\frac{3-q}{q-1} g^{\prime}(t) \\
& \quad \geq-q\left(C_{\star}^{q-1}-C_{1}^{q-1}\right) g^{\prime}(t) \exp \left(2 s_{k}\right)  \tag{23}\\
& \qquad \text { for } t \in\left[s_{k}, t_{k}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, integrating with respect to $t$ from $s_{k}$ to $t_{k}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left\{g^{\prime}(t)+\frac{3-q}{q-1} g(t)\right\}\right|_{s_{k}} ^{t_{k}}  \tag{24}\\
& \quad \geq q\left(C_{\star}^{q-1}-C_{1}^{q-1}\right)\left(C_{0}-C_{\star}\right) \exp \left(2 s_{k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

This leads to a contradiction, since (13), (14), and (21) imply that the left-hand side of the last inequality is bounded, while the right-hand side becomes unbounded as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us prove that $\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=C_{0}$. Indeed, otherwise, there exist $\varepsilon>0$ and a sequence $\left\{\tau_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of local minima for $g$ with the properties $\tau_{k} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $k \rightarrow+\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\tau_{k}\right) \geq C_{0}+\varepsilon \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing in (12) to the limit as $t=\tau_{k} \rightarrow+\infty$ we get a contradiction.

To end the proof we show that $\lim _{\sup _{t \rightarrow \infty}} g(t)=$ $C_{0}$. Otherwise, $\lim \sup _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)>C_{0}$. Then there exist unbounded increasing sequences $\left\{s_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $t_{k}>s_{k}>2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
g^{\prime}\left(s_{k}\right) & =0, \\
g^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right) & =0, \\
g^{\prime}(t) & \geq 0 \\
g\left(t_{k}\right) & >C_{0}+\delta,  \tag{26}\\
\left|g\left(s_{k}\right)-C_{0}\right| & <\varepsilon,
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\text { for } t \in\left[s_{k}, t_{k}\right]
$$

where $\delta>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon=\min \left\{\frac{\delta}{2}, \frac{q-1}{4 C_{0}} \delta^{2},\left[1-\left(\frac{7}{8}\right)^{1 /(q-1)}\right] C_{0}\right\} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of a generality we can suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}-\varepsilon<g\left(s_{k}\right)<C_{0} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0} \leq g\left(s_{k}\right)<C_{0}+\varepsilon \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let (28) be valid. If (29) is realized, the arguments are similar and simpler. Denote by $\left\{\bar{t}_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ a sequence such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{t}_{k} & \in\left(s_{k}, t_{k}\right), \\
g\left(\bar{t}_{k}\right) & =C_{0} . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Hölder's inequality we derive

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\bar{t}_{k}}^{t_{k}} g^{\prime}(\tau) d \tau \leq & \left(\int_{\bar{t}_{k}}^{t_{k}}\left(g^{\prime}(\tau)\right)^{2} \exp (2 \tau) d \tau\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \cdot\left(\int_{\bar{t}_{k}}^{t_{k}} \exp (-2 \tau) d \tau\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\bar{t}_{k}}^{t_{k}}\left(g^{\prime}(\tau)\right)^{2} \exp (2 \tau) d \tau \geq 2 \delta^{2} \exp \left(2 \bar{t}_{k}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

We multiply (12) by $g^{\prime}(t)$ and integrate after over $\left[s_{k}, t_{k}\right]$. Using (15), (26)-(28), (30), and (32) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
- & \frac{q-2}{2(q-1)^{2}} g^{2}\left(t_{k}\right) \leq \frac{q-3}{q-1} \int_{s_{k}}^{t_{k}}\left(g^{\prime}(\tau)\right)^{2} d \tau \\
& +\int_{s_{k}}^{t_{k}}\left(g^{\prime}(\tau)\right)^{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}-q g^{q-1}(\tau)\right] \exp (2 \tau) d \tau \\
& +\frac{\exp \left(2 \bar{t}_{k}\right)}{q-1} \\
& \cdot \int_{s_{k}}^{\bar{t}_{k}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{2}(\tau)\right)^{\prime}-\frac{q}{q+1}\left(g^{q+1}(\tau)\right)^{\prime}\right] d \tau \leq-\frac{1}{4}  \tag{33}\\
& \cdot \int_{\bar{t}_{k}}^{t_{k}}\left(g^{\prime}(\tau)\right)^{2} \exp (2 \tau) d \tau \\
& +\left.\frac{\exp \left(2 \bar{t}_{k}\right)}{q-1}\left(\frac{g^{2}(\tau)}{2}-\frac{q g^{q+1}(\tau)}{q+1}\right)\right|_{s_{k}} ^{\bar{t}_{k}} \\
& \leq\left[-\frac{\delta^{2}}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon C_{0}}{q-1}\right] \exp \left(2 \bar{t}_{k}\right) \leq-\frac{\delta^{2}}{4} \exp \left(2 \bar{t}_{k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Passing to the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ we get a contradiction with (14).

Now (8) is a simple consequence of Lemma 5 and the definition of $g(t)$.

Remark 6. Note that Theorem 2 demonstrates the optimality of Theorem 2.3 in [12]. The arguments are the same as in Remark 4.6 of that paper.

Our next result shows that (4) with initial data

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(0)=f_{0}>0,  \tag{34}\\
& f^{\prime}(0)=0
\end{align*}
$$

has no global solution.
Theorem 7. Let $q>2$ and let $f$ be a solution of problem (4), (34). Then there exists $\xi_{\star}$ such that $0<\xi_{\star}<+\infty$ and $f(\xi) \rightarrow$ $-\infty$ as $\xi \uparrow \xi_{\star}$.

Proof. Suppose that problem (4), (34) has a solution $f$ that is infinitely extendible to the right. Using the arguments of Lemma 3 we show that $f^{\prime}<0$ and $f^{\prime \prime}<0$ on $(0,+\infty)$. From (4) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}(\xi)<-\left(\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{q}\right)^{\prime} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

After the integration of (35) over $[0, \xi]$ we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)<-\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{q} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (36) over $\left[\xi_{1}, \xi\right]\left(0<\xi_{1}<\xi\right)$ we infer

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(q-1)\left|f^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right|^{q-1}}>\xi-\xi_{1} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to the limit as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain a contradiction which proves the theorem.
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