# Research Article

# Strong Convergence Theorems for Solutions of Equilibrium Problems and Common Fixed Points of a Finite Family of Asymptotically Nonextensive Nonself Mappings

#### Lijuan Zhang, Hui Tong, and Ying Liu

College of Mathematics and Computer, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Lijuan Zhang; zhanglj@hbu.edu.cn

Received 28 January 2014; Accepted 14 April 2014; Published 29 April 2014

Academic Editor: Jinlu Li

Copyright © 2014 Lijuan Zhang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

An iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of common fixed points of a finite family of asymptotically nonextensive nonself mappings and the set of solutions for equilibrium problems is discussed. A strong convergence theorem of common element is established in a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space.

#### 1. Introduction

Let *E* be a real Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , let  $E^*$  denote the dual of *E*, and let  $\langle x, f \rangle$  denote the value of  $f \in E^*$  at  $x \in E$ . Suppose that *C* is a nonempty, closed convex subset of *E*. Let *f* be a bifunction of  $C \times C$  into *R*, where *R* is the set of real numbers. The equilibrium problem for  $f : C \times C \to R$  is to find  $x \in C$  such that

$$f(x, y) \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$
(1)

The set of solutions of (1) is denoted by EP(f). Given a mapping  $T : C \to E^*$ , let  $f(x, y) = \langle Tx, y - x \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in C$ . Then,  $p \in EP(f)$  if and only if  $\langle Tp, y - p \rangle \ge 0$  for all  $y \in C$ ; that is, p is a solution of the variational inequality. Numerous problems in physics, optimization, and economics reduce to find a solution of (1). Some methods have been proposed to solve the equilibrium problems; see [1–5].

Let *J* be the normalized duality mapping from *E* into  $2^{E^*}$  given by

$$Jx = \{ f \in E^* : \langle x, f \rangle = ||x|| ||f||, ||x|| = ||f|| \}$$
(2)

for all  $x \in E$ . It is well known that if E is uniformly smooth, then J is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on

each bounded subset of *E*. It is also well known that *E* is uniformly smooth if and only if  $E^*$  is uniformly convex.

Let *C* be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space *H* and let  $P_C : H \rightarrow C$  be the metric projection of *H* onto *C*; then  $P_C$  is nonexpansive. This fact actually characterizes Hilbert spaces and consequently it is not available in more general Banach spaces. In this connection, Alber [6] recently introduced a generalized projection operator  $\Pi_C$  in a Banach space *E* which is an analogue of the metric projection in Hilbert spaces. Consider the functional defined by

$$\phi(x, y) = \|x\|^{2} - 2\langle x, Jy \rangle + \|y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in E.$$
(3)

Observe that, in a Hilbert space *H*, (3) reduces to  $\phi(x, y) = ||x - y||^2$ ,  $x, y \in H$ . The generalized projection  $\Pi_C : E \to C$  is a map that assigns to an arbitrary point  $x \in E$  the minimum point of the functional  $\phi(x, y)$ ; that is,  $\Pi_C x = \overline{x}$ , where  $\overline{x}$  is the solution to the minimization problem

$$\phi\left(\overline{x},x\right) = \min_{y \in C} \phi\left(y,x\right),\tag{4}$$

existence and uniqueness of the operator  $\Pi_C$  follows from the properties of the functional  $\phi(x, y)$  and strict monotonicity of

the mapping *J*. In Hilbert spaces,  $\Pi_C = P_C$ . It is obvious from the definition of function  $\phi$  that

$$(\|x\| - \|y\|)^2 \le \phi(y, x) \le (\|x\| + \|y\|)^2, \quad \forall x, y \in E,$$
 (5)

$$\phi(x, y) = \phi(x, z) + \phi(z, y) + 2 \langle x - z, Jz - Jy \rangle,$$
  
$$\forall x, y, z \in E.$$
 (6)

$$\phi(x, y) = \langle x, Jx - Jy \rangle + \langle y - x, Jy \rangle$$
  
$$\leq ||x|| ||Jx - Jy|| + ||y - x|| ||y||, \quad \forall x, y, z \in E.$$
(7)

Let *C* be a nonempty subset of *E* and let  $T : C \to E$  be a mapping. The set of fixed points of *T* is denoted by F(T).  $T : C \to E$  is called asymptotically nonextensive if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{k_n\} \in [1, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} k_n = 1$ , such that

$$\phi\left(T(\Pi_C T)^{n-1}x, T(\Pi_C T)^{n-1}y\right) \le k_n \phi\left(x, y\right),$$
  
$$\forall x, y \in C, \quad n \ge 1.$$
(8)

Asymptotically nonextensive mappings coincide with asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces.

In [7], Chidume et al. studied the fixed point problem of an asymptotically nonextensive nonself mapping and obtained weak convergence theorem. Recently, in [8], liu introduced the following iterative scheme for approximating a common fixed point of two asymptotically nonextensive nonself mappings in a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space:

$$y_{n} = \Pi_{C} \left( J^{-1} \left( \beta_{n} J x_{n} + (1 - \beta_{n}) J S (\Pi_{C} S)^{n-1} x_{n} \right) \right),$$
  

$$x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C} \left( J^{-1} \left( \alpha_{n} J x_{n} + (1 - \alpha_{n}) J T (\Pi_{C} T)^{n-1} y_{n} \right) \right).$$
(9)

Liu obtained strong convergence theorem.

Inspired and motivated by the facts above, the purpose of this paper is to prove a strong convergence theorem for finding a common element of the set of common fixed points of a finite family of asymptotically nonextensive nonself mappings and the set of solutions for equilibrium problems in a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space.

#### 2. Preliminaries

Let *E* be a real Banach space. When  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in *E*, we denote strong convergence of  $\{x_n\}$  to  $x \in E$  by  $x_n \to x$  and weak convergence by  $x_n \to x$ . *E* is said to have the Kadec-Klee property if and only if for a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of *E* satisfying that  $x_n \to x \in E$  and  $||x_n|| \to ||x||$ , then  $x_n \to x$ . It is known that if *E* is uniformly convex, then *E* has the Kadec-Klee property.

A mapping  $T : C \to C$  is said to be closed; if for any sequence  $\{x_n\} \in C$  with  $x_n \to x$  and  $Tx_n \to y$ , then Tx = y.

**Lemma 1.** Let *E* be a uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space which enjoys the Kadec-Klee property, let *C* be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of *E*, and let  $T : C \rightarrow$  *E* be an asymptotically nonextensive nonself mapping with a sequence  $\{k_n\} \in [1, \infty)$  such that *T* is closed. Then F(T) is closed and convex.

*Proof.* Take  $x, y \in F(T), t \in (0, 1)$ . Put z := tx + (1 - t)y. Using the same argument presented in the proof of [9, Theorem 2.1, page 854-855], we can obtain that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} T(\Pi_C T)^{n-1}z = z$ . By the continuity of  $\Pi_C$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (\Pi_C T)^n z = z.$$
 (10)

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \left( \Pi_C T \right)^n z - T \left( \Pi_C T \right)^n z \right) = 0.$$
 (11)

By (10), (11) and the closedness of *T*, we have  $z \in F(T)$  which implies that F(T) is convex.

Let  $x_n \in F(T)$  and  $x_n \to q$ ; then, we have  $x_n - Tx_n \to 0$ . It follows from the closedness of *T* that  $q \in F(T)$ . This implies that F(T) is closed.

**Lemma 2** (see [6]). Let *E* be a reflexive, strictly convex, and smooth Banach space; let *C* be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of *E*. Then the following conclusions hold:

- (1)  $\phi(y, \Pi_C x) + \phi(\Pi_C x, x) \le \phi(y, x)$ , for all  $y \in C$ , and  $x \in E$ ;
- (2) if  $x \in E$  and  $z \in C$ , then  $z = \prod_C x$  if and only if  $\langle z y, Jx Jz \rangle \ge 0$ , for all  $y \in C$ ;
- (3) for  $x, y \in E$ ,  $\phi(y, x) = 0$  if and only if x = y.

**Lemma 3** (see [10]). Let *E* be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space and let  $\{y_n\}, \{z_n\}$  be two sequences of *E*. If  $\phi(y_n, z_n) \rightarrow 0$  and either  $\{y_n\}$  or  $\{z_n\}$  is bounded, then  $y_n - z_n \rightarrow 0$ .

**Lemma 4** (see [11]). Let *E* be a smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and let r > 0. Then there exists a strictly increasing, continuous, and convex function  $g : [0, 2r] \rightarrow R$ such that g(0) = 0 and

$$\|tx + (1-t)y\|^{2} \le t\|x\|^{2} + (1-t)\|y\|^{2} - t(1-t)g(\|x-y\|)$$
(12)

for all  $x, y \in B_r$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where  $B_r = \{z \in E : ||z|| \le r\}$ .

For solving the equilibrium problem, let us assume that a bifunction  $f: C \times C \rightarrow R$  satisfies the following conditions:

(A1) f(x, x) = 0 for all  $x \in C$ ; (A2) f is monotone; that is,  $f(x, y) + f(y, x) \le 0$  for all  $x, y \in C$ ;

(A3) for each  $x, y, z \in C$ ,

$$\lim_{t \to 0} f(tz + (1 - t)x, y) \le f(x, y);$$
(13)

(A4) for each  $x \in C$ ,  $y \mapsto f(x, y)$  is convex and lower semicontinuous.

**Lemma 5** (see [12]). Let C be a closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space E, let f be a bifunction from  $C \times C$  to R satisfying (A1)–(A4), and for r > 0 and  $x \in E$ , define a mapping  $T_r : E \to C$  as follows:

$$T_r x = \left\{ z \in C : f(z, y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, Jz - Jx \rangle \ge 0, \ \forall y \in C \right\}.$$
(14)

Then the following conclusions hold:

(1)  $T_r$  is single-valued;

(2)  $T_r$  is firmly nonexpansive; that is, for any  $x, y \in E$ ,

$$\langle T_r x - T_r y, J T_r x - J T_r y \rangle \le \langle T_r x - T_r y, J x - J y \rangle; \quad (15)$$

(3)  $F(T_r) = EP(f);$ 

(4) EP(f) is closed and convex;

(5)  $\phi(q, T_r x) + \phi(T_r x, x) \le \phi(q, x)$ , for all  $q \in F(T_r)$ .

#### 3. Main Results

**Theorem 6.** Let *C* be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space *E*. Let *f* be a bifunction from  $C \times C$  to *R* satisfying (A1)–(A4), and let *N* be some positive integer. Let  $S_i : C \rightarrow E$  be a closed asymptotically nonextensive nonself mapping with sequence  $\{k_{n,i}\} \subset [1,\infty)$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (k_{n,i} - 1) < \infty$  for every  $1 \le i \le N$ . Suppose that  $\Omega = \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F(S_i) \cap EP(f)$  is nonempty and bounded. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated by the following manner:

$$x_{0} \in E, \qquad C_{1} = C,$$

$$x_{1} = \Pi_{C_{1}} x_{0},$$

$$y_{n} = J^{-1} \left( \alpha_{n,0} J x_{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n,i} J S_{i} (\Pi_{C} S_{i})^{n-1} x_{n} \right),$$

$$u_{n} = T_{r_{n}} y_{n},$$

$$C_{n+1} = \left\{ z \in C_{n} : \phi(z, u_{n}) \le \phi(z, x_{n}) + \theta_{n} \right\},$$

$$x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{0},$$
(16)

where  $\theta_n = (k_n - 1) \sup_{z \in \Omega} \phi(z, x_n)$ ,  $k_n = \max\{k_{n,i}\}$ .  $\{\alpha_{n,i}\}$  is a real number sequence in (0, 1) for every  $0 \le i \le N$ ,  $\{r_n\}$  is a real number sequence in  $[a, \infty)$ , where a is some positive real number. Assume that  $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{n,i} = 1$  and  $\liminf_{n \to \infty} \alpha_{n,0} \alpha_{n,i} > 0$  for every  $1 \le i \le N$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $\prod_{\Omega} x_0$ .

*Proof.* First, we show that  $C_n$  is closed and convex. From the definitions of  $C_n$ , it is obvious  $C_n$  is closed. Moreover, since  $\phi(z, u_n) \leq \phi(z, x_n) + \theta_n$  is equivalent to  $2\langle z, Jx_n - Ju_n \rangle \leq ||x_n||^2 - ||u_n||^2 + \theta_n$ , it follows that  $C_n$  is convex. From Lemmas 1 and 5, we have that  $\Omega$  is closed and convex. Then  $\{x_n\}$  is well defined.

Next, we prove  $\Omega \subset C_n$  for all  $n \ge 1$ .  $\Omega \subset C_1 = C$  is obvious. Suppose that  $\Omega \subset C_n$  for some  $n \ge 2$ ; for each  $z \in \Omega$ , from Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{split} \phi(z, u_{n}) &= \phi(z, T_{r_{n}}y_{n}) \leq \phi(z, y_{n}) \\ &= \|z\|^{2} - 2\left\langle z, \alpha_{n,0}Jx_{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha_{n,i}JS_{i}(\Pi_{C}S_{i})^{n-1}x_{n} \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\| \alpha_{n,0}Jx_{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha_{n,i}JS_{i}(\Pi_{C}S_{i})^{n-1}x_{n} \right\|^{2} \\ &\leq \|z\|^{2} - 2\alpha_{n,0}\langle z, Jx_{n} \rangle - 2\sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha_{n,i}\langle z, JS_{i}(\Pi_{C}S_{i})^{n-1}x_{n} \rangle \\ &+ \alpha_{n,0}\|x_{n}\|^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha_{n,i}\|S_{i}(\Pi_{C}S_{i})^{n-1}x_{n}\|^{2} \\ &= \alpha_{n,0}\phi(z, x_{n}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha_{n,i}\phi(z, S_{i}(\Pi_{C}S_{i})^{n-1}x_{n}) \\ &\leq \alpha_{n,0}\phi(z, x_{n}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha_{n,i}k_{n,i}\phi(z, x_{n}) \\ &\leq \alpha_{n,0}\phi(z, x_{n}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha_{n,i}k_{n,i}\phi(z, x_{n}) \\ &= \phi(z, x_{n}) + (1 - \alpha_{n,0})(k_{n} - 1)\phi(z, x_{n}) \\ &\leq \phi(z, x_{n}) + \theta_{n}. \end{split}$$

This implies that  $z \in C_{n+1}$ , and so  $\Omega \subset C_{n+1}$ . From  $x_n = \prod_{C_n} x_0$ , one sees

$$\langle x_n - u, Jx_0 - Jx_n \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall u \in C_n.$$
 (18)

Since  $\Omega \subset C_{n+1}$ , we arrive at

$$\langle x_n - z, Jx_0 - Jx_n \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall z \in \Omega.$$
 (19)

Next we show that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. From Lemma 2, we have

$$\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right) = \phi\left(\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}, x_{0}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, x_{0}\right) - \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, x_{0}\right),$$
(20)

for each  $z \in \Omega \subset C_n$  and for all  $n \ge 1$ . Therefore, the sequence  $\{\phi(x_n, x_0)\}$  is bounded. It follows from (5) that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is also bounded. By the assumption, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \theta_n = 0. \tag{21}$$

On the other hand, noticing that  $x_n = \prod_{C_n} x_0$  and  $x_{n+1} = \prod_{C_{n+1}} x_0 \in C_{n+1} \subset C_n$ , one has

$$\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{0}\right) \tag{22}$$

for all  $n \ge 1$ . Therefore,  $\{\phi(x_n, x_0)\}$  is nondecreasing. It follows that the limit of  $\{\phi(x_n, x_0)\}$  exists. By the definition of  $C_n$ , one has that  $C_m \subset C_n$  and  $x_m = \prod_{C_m} x_0 \in C_n$  for any positive integer  $m \ge n$ . It follows that

$$\phi(x_{m}, x_{n}) = \phi(x_{m}, \Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0})$$

$$\leq \phi(x_{m}, x_{0}) - \phi(\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}, x_{0})$$

$$= \phi(x_{m}, x_{0}) - \phi(x_{n}, x_{0}).$$
(23)

Letting  $m, n \to \infty$  in (23), we have  $\phi(x_m, x_n) \to 0$ . It follows from Lemma 3 that  $x_m - x_n \to 0$  as  $m, n \to \infty$ . Hence,  $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since *E* is a Banach space and *C* is a closed and convex, one can assume that  $x_n \to \overline{x} \in C$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Next we show that  $\overline{x} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F(S_i)$ . By taking m = 1 in (23), we have that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_n\right) = 0.$$
(24)

From Lemma 3, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0.$$
 (25)

Noticing that  $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1}$ , we obtain

$$\phi\left(x_{n+1}, u_n\right) \le \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_n\right) + \theta_n. \tag{26}$$

It follows from (21) and (24) that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\left(x_{n+1}, u_n\right) = 0. \tag{27}$$

From Lemma 3, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_{n+1} - u_n\| = 0.$$
(28)

Combining (25) with (28), we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - u_n\| = 0.$$
 (29)

It follows from  $x_n \to \overline{x}$  as  $n \to \infty$  that  $u_n \to \overline{x}$ , as  $n \to \infty$ . Since *J* is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on each bounded set, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|Jx_n - Ju_n\| = 0.$$
(30)

On the other hand, we have

$$\phi(z, x_n) - \phi(z, u_n)$$

$$= ||x_n||^2 - ||u_n||^2 - 2 \langle z, Jx_n - Ju_n \rangle$$

$$\leq ||x_n - u_n|| (||x_n|| + ||u_n||) + 2 ||z|| ||Jx_n - Ju_n||.$$
(31)

We obtain that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \phi\left(z, x_n\right) - \phi\left(z, u_n\right) \right) = 0.$$
(32)

Since *E* is a uniformly smooth Banach space, we know that  $E^*$  is a uniformly convex Banach space. From Lemma 4, we find that

$$\begin{split} \phi(z, u_{n}) &= \phi(z, T_{r_{n}}y_{n}) \leq \phi(z, y_{n}) \\ &= \|z\|^{2} - 2\left\langle z, \alpha_{n,0}Jx_{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha_{n,i}JS_{i}(\Pi_{C}S_{i})^{n-1}x_{n} \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\| \alpha_{n,0}Jx_{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha_{n,i}JS_{i}(\Pi_{C}S_{i})^{n-1}x_{n} \right\|^{2} \\ &\leq \|z\|^{2} - 2\alpha_{n,0}\left\langle z, Jx_{n} \right\rangle - 2\sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha_{n,i}\left\langle z, JS_{i}(\Pi_{C}S_{i})^{n-1}x_{n} \right\rangle \\ &+ \alpha_{n,0}\|x_{n}\|^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha_{n,i}\|S_{i}(\Pi_{C}S_{i})^{n-1}x_{n}\|^{2} \\ &- \alpha_{n,0}\alpha_{n,1}g\left( \|Jx_{n} - JS_{1}(\Pi_{C}S_{1})^{n-1}x_{n}\| \right) \\ &= \alpha_{n,0}\phi\left(z, x_{n}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha_{n,i}\phi\left(z, S_{i}(\Pi_{C}S_{i})^{n-1}x_{n}\right) \\ &- \alpha_{n,0}\alpha_{n,1}g\left( \|Jx_{n} - JS_{1}(\Pi_{C}S_{1})^{n-1}x_{n}\| \right) \\ &\leq \alpha_{n,0}\phi\left(z, x_{n}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha_{n,i}k_{n,i}\phi\left(z, x_{n}\right) \\ &- \alpha_{n,0}\alpha_{n,1}g\left( \|Jx_{n} - JS_{1}(\Pi_{C}S_{1})^{n-1}x_{n}\| \right) \\ &\leq \alpha_{n,0}\phi\left(z, x_{n}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha_{n,i}k_{n}\phi\left(z, x_{n}\right) \\ &- \alpha_{n,0}\alpha_{n,1}g\left( \|Jx_{n} - JS_{1}(\Pi_{C}S_{1})^{n-1}x_{n}\| \right) \\ &\leq \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right) + (1 - \alpha_{n,0})\left(k_{n} - 1\right)\phi\left(z, x_{n}\right) \\ &- \alpha_{n,0}\alpha_{n,1}g\left( \|Jx_{n} - JS_{1}(\Pi_{C}S_{1})^{n-1}x_{n}\| \right) \\ &\leq \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right) + \theta_{n} - \alpha_{n,0}\alpha_{n,1}g\left( \|Jx_{n} - JS_{1}(\Pi_{C}S_{1})^{n-1}x_{n}\| \right) . \end{split}$$

Therefore we have

$$\alpha_{n,0}\alpha_{n,1}g\left(\left\|Jx_{n}-JS_{1}(\Pi_{C}S_{1})^{n-1}x_{n}\right\|\right)$$

$$\leq\phi\left(z,x_{n}\right)-\phi\left(z,u_{n}\right)+\theta_{n}.$$
(34)

From  $\liminf_{n \to \infty} \alpha_{n,0} \alpha_{n,1} > 0$  and (21), (32), we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} g\left( \left\| Jx_n - JS_1 (\Pi_C S_1)^{n-1} x_n \right\| \right) = 0.$$
(35)

Therefore, from the property of g we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| J x_n - J S_1 (\Pi_C S_1)^{n-1} x_n \right\| = 0.$$
 (36)

Since  $J^{-1}$  is also uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on each bounded set, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| x_n - S_1 (\Pi_{\rm C} S_1)^{n-1} x_n \right\| = 0.$$
 (37)

Using (7), (34), and (36), we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\left(x_n, S_1(\Pi_C S_1)^{n-1} x_n\right) = 0.$$
(38)

By (6), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \phi\left(x_{n}, S_{1}x_{n}\right) &= \phi\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) + \phi\left(x_{n+1}, S_{1}x_{n}\right) \\ &+ 2\left\langle x_{n} - x_{n+1}, Jx_{n+1} - JS_{1}x_{n}\right\rangle \\ &= \phi\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) + \phi\left(x_{n+1}, S_{1}(\Pi_{C}S_{1})^{n}x_{n+1}\right) \\ &+ \phi\left(S_{1}(\Pi_{C}S_{1})^{n}x_{n+1}, S_{1}(\Pi_{C}S_{1})^{n}x_{n}\right) \\ &+ \phi\left(S_{1}(\Pi_{C}S_{1})^{n}x_{n}, S_{1}x_{n}\right) \\ &+ 2\left\langle S_{1}(\Pi_{C}S_{1})^{n}x_{n+1} - S_{1}(\Pi_{C}S_{1})^{n}x_{n}, JS_{1}(\Pi_{C}S_{1})^{n}x_{n+1}\right. \\ &- JS_{1}x_{n}\right\rangle \\ &+ 2\left\langle x_{n} - x_{n+1}, Jx_{n+1} - JS_{1}x_{n}\right\rangle. \end{split}$$
(39)

Since  $\phi(x_n, (\Pi_C S_1)^n x_n) \leq \phi(x_n, S_1(\Pi_C S_1)^{n-1} x_n)$ , from (38), we have  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_n, (\Pi_C S_1)^n x_n) = 0$ . Since  $\phi(S_1(\Pi_C S_1)^n x_n, S_1 x_n) \leq k_1 \phi((\Pi_C S_1)^n x_n, x_n)$ , then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi \left( S_1 (\Pi_C S_1)^n x_n, S_1 x_n \right) = 0.$$
<sup>(40)</sup>

Applying (24), (38), (40), the definition of  $S_1$ , and Lemma 3 to (39), we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\left(x_n, S_1 x_n\right) = 0. \tag{41}$$

From Lemma 3, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - S_1 x_n\| = 0.$$
(42)

In the same way, we can obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - S_i x_n\| = 0, \quad 2 \le i \le N.$$
(43)

From the closedness of  $S_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le N$ , we have  $\overline{x} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i)$ . Next, we show  $\overline{x} \in EP(f)$ . From Lemma 5, we have

$$\phi(u_n, y_n) = \phi(Tr_n y_n, y_n)$$

$$\leq \phi(z, y_n) - \phi(z, Tr_n y_n)$$

$$\leq \phi(z, y_n) - \phi(z, u_n)$$

$$= \phi(z, x_n) + \theta_n - \phi(z, u_n).$$
(44)

It follows from (21) and (32) that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi(u_n, y_n) = 0$ . From Lemma 3, we see that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|y_n - u_n\| = 0.$$
 (45)

Since *J* is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on each bounded set, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|Jy_n - Ju_n\| = 0.$$
(46)

From  $r_n \ge a$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|Ju_n - Jy_n\|}{r_n} = 0.$$

$$\tag{47}$$

By  $u_n = T_{r_n} y_n$ , we have

$$f(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, Ju_n - Jy_n \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$
(48)

From (A2), we have

$$\|y - u_n\| \frac{\|Ju_n - Jy_n\|}{r_n} \ge \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, Ju_n - Jy_n \rangle$$

$$\ge -f(u_n, y) \ge f(y, u_n), \quad \forall y \in C.$$
(49)

Letting  $n \to \infty$ , we have from (A4), (47) and  $u_n \to \overline{x}$ , as  $n \to \infty$  that

$$f(y,\overline{x}) \le 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$
 (50)

For 0 < t < 1 and  $y \in C$ , let  $y_t = ty + (1 - t)\overline{x}$ . Since  $y \in C$  and  $\overline{x} \in C$ , we have  $y_t \in C$  and hence  $f(y_t, \overline{x}) \le 0$ . So, from (A1) and (A4) we have

$$0 = f(y_t, y_t) \le tf(y_t, y) + (1 - t) f(y_t, \overline{x}) \le tf(y_t, y).$$
(51)

Dividing by *t*, we have

$$f(y_t, y) \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$
 (52)

Letting  $t \to 0$ , from (A3), we have  $f(\overline{x}, y) \ge 0$ , for all  $y \in C$ . Therefore,  $\overline{x} \in EP(f)$ .

Finally, we show  $\overline{x} = \prod_{\Omega} x_0$ . By taking limit in (19), we have

$$\langle \overline{x} - z, Jx_0 - J\overline{x} \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall z \in \Omega.$$
 (53)

At this point, in view of Lemma 2, we have that  $\overline{x} = \prod_{\Omega} x_0$ . This completes the proof.

*Remark 7.* Theorem 6 improves the main theorem in [8] in the following senses.

- Theorem 6 generalizes this theorem from two asymptotically nonextensive operators to a finite family of asymptotically nonextensive operators.
- (2) Theorem 6 removes the condition that  $S_i$  is completely continuous or semicompact.

# **Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

## Acknowledgments

The research was supported by the NSF of Hebei province (A2012201054), the NSF of China (11201110), and NSFY of Hebei province (Y2012021).

## References

- [1] A. Moudafi, "Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and equilibrium problems," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 37–43, 2008.
- [2] A. Tada and W. Takahashi, "Weak and strong convergence theorems for a nonexpansive mapping and an equilibrium problem," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 133, no. 3, pp. 359–370, 2007.
- [3] L.-C. Ceng and J.-C. Yao, "A hybrid iterative scheme for mixed equilibrium problems and fixed point problems," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 214, no. 1, pp. 186– 201, 2008.
- [4] S. Takahashi and W. Takahashi, "Viscosity approximation methods for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 331, no. 1, pp. 506–515, 2007.
- [5] P. L. Combettes and S. A. Hirstoaga, "Equilibrium programming in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 117–136, 2005.
- [6] Y. I. Alber, "Metric and generalized projection operators in Banach spaces: properties and applications," in *Theory and Applications of Nonlinear Operators of Accretive and Monotone Type*, vol. 178, pp. 15–50, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 1996.
- [7] C. E. Chidume, M. Khumalo, and H. Zegeye, "Generalized projection and approximation of fixed points of nonself maps," *Journal of Approximation Theory*, vol. 120, no. 2, pp. 242–252, 2003.
- [8] Y. Liu, "Convergence theorems for common fixed points of nonself asymptotically nonextensive mappings," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 2013.
- [9] L. Yang and X. Xie, "Weak and strong convergence theorems of three step iteration process with errors for nonselfasymptotically nonexpansive mappings," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 52, no. 5-6, pp. 772–780, 2010.
- [10] S.-y. Matsushita and W. Takahashi, "A strong convergence theorem for relatively nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space," *Journal of Approximation Theory*, vol. 134, no. 2, pp. 257– 266, 2005.
- [11] H. K. Xu, "Inequalities in Banach spaces with applications," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 16, no. 12, pp. 1127–1138, 1991.
- [12] E. Blum and W. Oettli, "From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems," *The Mathematics Student*, vol. 63, no. 1–4, pp. 123–145, 1994.