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#### Abstract

We study the blow-up and global solutions for a class of quasilinear parabolic problems with Robin boundary conditions. By constructing auxiliary functions and using maximum principles, the sufficient conditions for the existence of blow-up solution, an upper bound for the "blow-up time," an upper estimate of the "blow-up rate," the sufficient conditions for the existence of global solution, and an upper estimate of the global solution are specified.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we are going to investigate the blow-up and global solutions of the following quasilinear parabolic problem with Robin boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
(g(u))_{t}=\nabla \cdot(a(u, t) b(x) \nabla u)+h(t) f(u) \\
\text { in } D \times(0, T), \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+\gamma u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial D \times(0, T),  \tag{1}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)>0 \quad \text { in } \bar{D},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial D, \partial / \partial n$ represents the outward normal derivative on $\partial D, \gamma$ is a positive constant, $u_{0}$ is the initial value, $T$ is the maximal existence time of $u$, and $\bar{D}$ is the closure of $D$. Set $\mathbb{R}^{+}:=(0,+\infty)$. We assume, throughout the paper, that $g$ is a $C^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$function, $g^{\prime}(s)>0$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, a$ is a positive $C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}^{+}}\right)$function, $b$ is a positive $C^{1}(\bar{D})$ function, $h$ is a positive $C^{1}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}^{+}}\right)$function, $f$ is a positive $C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$ function, and $u_{0}(x)$ is a positive $C^{2}(\bar{D})$ function. Under the above assumptions, the classical parabolic equation theory [1] assures that there exists a unique classical solution $u(x, t)$ with some $T>0$ for problem (1) and the solution is positive
over $\bar{D} \times[0, T)$. Moreover, by regularity theorem [2], $u(x, t) \in$ $C^{3}(D \times(0, T)) \cap C^{2}(\bar{D} \times[0, T))$.

Many authors have studied the blow-up and global solutions of nonlinear parabolic problems (see, for instance, [314]). Some special cases of the problem (1) have been treated already. Enache [15] investigated the following problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t}=\nabla \cdot(a(u) \nabla u)+f(u) \quad \text { in } D \times(0, T) \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+\gamma u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial D \times(0, T)  \tag{2}\\
u(x, 0)=h(x) \geq 0 \quad \text { in } \bar{D}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial D$. Some conditions on nonlinearities and the initial data were established to guarantee that $u(x, t)$ is global existence or blows up at some finite $T$. In addition, an upper bound and a lower bound for $T$ were derived. Zhang [16] dealt with the following problem:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
u_{t}=\nabla \cdot(a(u) b(x) \nabla u)+h(t) f(u) \\
\text { in } D \times(0, T), \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+\gamma u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial D \times(0, T),  \tag{3}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)>0 \quad \text { in } \bar{D},
\end{array}
$$

where $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial D$. By constructing auxiliary functions and using maximum principles, the sufficient conditions characterized by functions $a, b, h, f$, and $u_{0}$ were given for the existence of blow-up solution. Ding [17] considered the following problem:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(g(u))_{t}=\nabla \cdot(a(u) \nabla u)+f(u) \\
\quad \text { in } D \times(0, T), \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+\gamma u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial D \times(0, T),  \tag{4}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)>0 \quad \text { in } \bar{D},
\end{array}
$$

where $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial D$. By constructing some appropriate auxiliary functions and using a first-order differential inequality technique, the sufficient conditions were specified for the existence of blow-up and global solutions. For the blow-up solution, a lower bound on blow-up time is also obtained. Some authors also discussed blow-up phenomena for parabolic problems with Robin boundary conditions and obtained a lot of interesting results (see [18-24] and the references cited therein).

As everyone knows, parabolic equation describes the process of heat conduction. Blow-up and global solutions for parabolic equations reflect the unsteady state and steady state of heat conduction process, respectively. In the problems (2) and (4), the heat conduction coefficient $a(u)$ depends only on the temperature variable $u$. In the problem (3), the heat conduction coefficient $a(u) b(x)$ depends on the temperature variable $u$ and space variable $x$. However, in a lot of processes of heat conduction, heat conduction coefficient depends not only on the temperature variable $u$ but also on the space variable $x$ and the time variable $t$. Therefore, in this paper, we study the problem (1). It seems that the method of [1517] is not applicable for the problem (1). In this paper, by constructing completely different auxiliary functions with those in [15-17] and technically using maximum principles, we obtain some existence theorems of blow-up solution, an upper bound of "blow-up time," an upper estimates of "blowup rate," the existence theorems of global solution, and an upper estimate of the global solution. Our results extend and supplement those obtained in [15-17].

We proceed as follows. In Section 2, we study the blow-up solution of (1). Section 3 is devoted to the global solution of (1). A few examples are presented in Section 4 to illustrate the applications of the abstract results.

## 2. Blow-Up Solution

The main results for the blow-up solution are Theorems 1-3. For simplicity, we define the constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha:=\min _{\bar{D}}\left\{1+\frac{\nabla \cdot\left(a\left(u_{0}, 0\right) b(x) \nabla u_{0}\right)}{h(0) f\left(u_{0}\right)}\right\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Theorems 1-3, the three cases $0<\alpha<1, \alpha=1$, and $\alpha>1$ are considered, respectively. In the first case, $0<\alpha<1$, we have the following conclusions.

Theorem 1. Let u be a solution of the problem (1). Suppose the following.
(i) Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\alpha<1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) For $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{1}{a(s, t)}\left(\frac{a(s, t) f(s)}{g^{\prime}(s)}\right)_{s}\right]_{s} \geq 0, \quad\left(\frac{a_{s}(s, t)}{a(s, t)}\right)_{t} \geq 0}  \tag{7}\\
& \left(\frac{a(s, t)}{g^{\prime}(s)}\right)_{s} \leq 0, \quad\left(\frac{h(t)}{a(s, t)}\right)_{t} \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

(iii) For $s \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(s g^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\prime} \geq 0, \quad\left(\frac{f(s)}{s g^{\prime}(s)}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## (iv) Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s<\alpha \int_{0}^{+\infty} h(t) d t, \quad M_{0}:=\max _{\bar{D}} u_{0}(x) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the solution $u$ of the problem (1) must blow up in a finite time T, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& T \leq P^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s\right) \\
& u(x, t) \leq H^{-1}\left(\alpha \int_{t}^{T} h(t) d t\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
P(z):=\int_{0}^{z} h(t) d t, \quad z>0 \\
H(z):=\int_{z}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s, \quad z>0 \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

and $P^{-1}$ and $H^{-1}$ are the inverse functions of $P$ and $H$, respectively.

Proof. In order to discuss the blow-up solution by using maximum principles, we construct an auxiliary function

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x, t):=g^{\prime}(u) u_{t}-\alpha h(t) f(u), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla Q=g^{\prime \prime} u_{t} \nabla u+g^{\prime} \nabla u_{t}-\alpha h f^{\prime} \nabla u  \tag{13}\\
\Delta Q=g^{\prime \prime \prime}|\nabla u|^{2} u_{t}+2 g^{\prime \prime} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{t} \\
+g^{\prime \prime} u_{t} \Delta u+g^{\prime} \Delta u_{t}-\alpha h f^{\prime \prime}|\nabla u|^{2}-\alpha h f^{\prime} \Delta u \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{t}= & {\left[g^{\prime}(u) u_{t}-\alpha h(t) f(u)\right]_{t} } \\
= & {\left[(g(u))_{t}-h(t) f(u)+(1-\alpha) h(t) f(u)\right]_{t} } \\
= & {[\nabla \cdot(a(u, t) b(x) \nabla u)+(1-\alpha) h(t) f(u)]_{t} } \\
= & a_{u} b u_{t} \Delta u+a_{t} b \Delta u+a b \Delta u_{t}  \tag{15}\\
& +a_{u u} b|\nabla u|^{2} u_{t}+a_{u t} b|\nabla u|^{2}+2 a_{u} b \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{t} \\
& +a_{u} u_{t} \nabla b \cdot \nabla u+a_{t} \nabla b \cdot \nabla u \\
& +a \nabla b \cdot \nabla u_{t}+(1-\alpha) h^{\prime} f+(1-\alpha) h f^{\prime} u_{t} .
\end{align*}
$$

By (14) and (15), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{a b}{g^{\prime}} \Delta Q-Q_{t} \\
&=\left(\frac{a b g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime}}-a_{u u} b\right)|\nabla u|^{2} u_{t}+\left(2 \frac{a b g^{\prime \prime}}{g^{\prime}}-2 a_{u} b\right) \nabla u \\
& \cdot \nabla u_{t}+\left(\frac{a b g^{\prime \prime}}{g^{\prime}}-a_{u} b\right) u_{t} \Delta u  \tag{16}\\
&-\left(\alpha \frac{a b h f^{\prime \prime}}{g^{\prime}}+a_{u t} b\right)|\nabla u|^{2}-\left(\alpha \frac{a b h f^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}}+a_{t} b\right) \Delta u \\
&-a_{u} u_{t} \nabla b \cdot \nabla u-a_{t} \nabla b \cdot \nabla u \\
&-a \nabla b \cdot \nabla u_{t}+(\alpha-1) h^{\prime} f+(\alpha-1) h f^{\prime} u_{t} .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u=\frac{g^{\prime}}{a b} u_{t}-\frac{a_{u}}{a}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{1}{b} \nabla b \cdot \nabla u-\frac{h f}{a b} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we substitute (17) into (16) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{a b}{g^{\prime}} \Delta Q & -Q_{t} \\
= & \left(\frac{a b g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime}}-a_{u u} b-\frac{a_{u} b g^{\prime \prime}}{g^{\prime}}+\frac{\left(a_{u}\right)^{2} b}{a}\right)|\nabla u|^{2} u_{t} \\
& +\left(2 \frac{a b g^{\prime \prime}}{g^{\prime}}-2 a_{u} b\right) \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{t} \\
& +\left(g^{\prime \prime}-\frac{a_{u} g^{\prime}}{a}\right)\left(u_{t}\right)^{2}-\frac{a g^{\prime \prime}}{g^{\prime}} u_{t} \nabla b \cdot \nabla u \\
& +\left(\frac{a_{u} h f}{a}-\frac{f g^{\prime \prime} h}{g^{\prime}}-h f^{\prime}-\frac{a_{t} g^{\prime}}{a}\right) u_{t}  \tag{18}\\
& +\left.\left(\alpha \frac{a_{u} b h f^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}}-\alpha \frac{a b h f^{\prime \prime}}{g^{\prime}}+\frac{a_{u} a_{t} b}{a}-a_{u t} b\right) \nabla u\right|^{2} \\
& +\alpha \frac{a h f^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}} \nabla b \cdot \nabla u-a \nabla b \cdot \nabla u_{t} \\
& +\alpha \frac{h^{2} f f^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}}+\frac{a_{t} h f}{a}+(\alpha-1) h^{\prime} f .
\end{align*}
$$

With (13), it has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla u_{t}=\frac{1}{g^{\prime}} \nabla Q-\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{g^{\prime}} u_{t} \nabla u+\alpha \frac{h f^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}} \nabla u . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute (19) into (18) to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{a b}{g^{\prime}} \Delta Q+\left[2 b\left(\frac{a}{g^{\prime}}\right)_{u} \nabla u+\frac{a}{g^{\prime}} \nabla b\right] \cdot \nabla Q-Q_{t} \\
&=\left(\frac{a b g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime}}-a_{u u} b+\frac{a_{u} b g^{\prime \prime}}{g^{\prime}}+\frac{\left(a_{u}\right)^{2} b}{a}-2 \frac{a b\left(g^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}}{\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\right) u_{t} \\
& \times|\nabla u|^{2} \\
&+\left(2 \alpha \frac{a b h f^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}-\alpha \frac{a_{u} b h f^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}}-\alpha \frac{a b h f^{\prime \prime}}{g^{\prime}}+\frac{a_{u} a_{t} b}{a}-a_{u t} b\right) \\
& \times|\nabla u|^{2}+\left(g^{\prime \prime}-\frac{a_{u} g^{\prime}}{a}\right)\left(u_{t}\right)^{2} \\
&+\left(\frac{a_{u} h f}{a}-\frac{f g^{\prime \prime} h}{g^{\prime}}-h f^{\prime}-\frac{a_{t} g^{\prime}}{a}\right) u_{t}+\alpha \frac{h^{2} f f^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}} \\
&+\frac{a_{t} h f}{a}+(\alpha-1) h^{\prime} f . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\frac{1}{g^{\prime}} Q+\alpha \frac{h f}{g^{\prime}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (21) into (20), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{a b}{g^{\prime}} \Delta Q+ & {\left[2 b\left(\frac{a}{g^{\prime}}\right)_{u} \nabla u+\frac{a}{g^{\prime}} \nabla b\right] \cdot \nabla Q } \\
+ & \left\{a b\left[\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{a}{g^{\prime}}\right)_{u}\right]_{u}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{a}{g^{\prime}}\right)_{u}\right. \\
& \left.\times[Q+(2 \alpha-1) h f]+\frac{h f^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}}+\frac{a_{t}}{a}\right\} Q-Q_{t}  \tag{22}\\
= & -a b h\left\{\alpha\left[\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{a f}{g^{\prime}}\right)_{u}\right]_{u}+\frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{a_{u}}{a}\right)_{t}\right\}|\nabla u|^{2} \\
& -\alpha(\alpha-1) \frac{h^{2} f^{2}}{a}\left(\frac{a}{g^{\prime}}\right)_{u}+(\alpha-1) a f\left(\frac{h}{a}\right)_{t} .
\end{align*}
$$

The assumptions (6) and (7) imply that the right-hand side of (22) is nonpositive; that is,

$$
\frac{a b}{g^{\prime}} \Delta Q+\left[2 b\left(\frac{a}{g^{\prime}}\right)_{u} \nabla u+\frac{a}{g^{\prime}} \nabla b\right] \cdot \nabla Q
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\left\{a b\left[\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{a}{g^{\prime}}\right)_{u}\right]_{u}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{a}{g^{\prime}}\right)_{u}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times[Q+(2 \alpha-1) h f]+\frac{h f^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}}+\frac{a_{t}}{a}\right\} \\
& \times Q-Q_{t} \leq 0, \text { in } D \times(0, T) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the maximum principle [25], it follows from (23) that $Q$ can attain its nonpositive minimum only for $\bar{D} \times\{0\}$ or $\partial D \times(0, T)$. For $\bar{D} \times\{0\}$, (5) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min _{\bar{D}} Q(x, 0) \\
& \quad=\min _{\bar{D}}\left\{g^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\left(u_{0}\right)_{t}-\alpha h(0) f\left(u_{0}\right)\right\} \\
& \quad=\min _{\bar{D}}\left\{\left(g\left(u_{0}\right)\right)_{t}-h(0) f\left(u_{0}\right)+(1-\alpha) h(0) f\left(u_{0}\right)\right\} \\
& \quad=\min _{\bar{D}}\left\{\nabla \cdot\left(a\left(u_{0}, 0\right) b(x) \nabla u_{0}\right)+(1-\alpha) h(0) f\left(u_{0}\right)\right\} \\
& \quad=\min _{\bar{D}}\left\{h(0) f\left(u_{0}\right)\left[1+\frac{\nabla \cdot\left(a\left(u_{0}, 0\right) b(x) \nabla u_{0}\right)}{h(0) f\left(u_{0}\right)}-\alpha\right]\right\} \\
& \quad=0 \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

We claim that $Q$ cannot take a negative minimum at any point $(x, t) \in \partial D \times(0, T)$. Indeed, if $Q$ take a negative minimum at point $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \partial D \times(0, T)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)<0,\left.\quad \frac{\partial Q}{\partial n}\right|_{\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)}<0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (1) and (21) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial Q}{\partial n} \\
&=g^{\prime \prime} u_{t} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+g^{\prime} \frac{\partial u_{t}}{\partial n}-\alpha h f^{\prime} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \\
&=-\gamma g^{\prime \prime} u u_{t}+g^{\prime}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right)_{t}+\gamma \alpha h f^{\prime} u \\
&=-\gamma g^{\prime \prime} u u_{t}+g^{\prime}(-\gamma u)_{t}+\gamma \alpha h f^{\prime} u \\
&=-\gamma\left(u g^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} u_{t}+\gamma \alpha h f^{\prime} u \\
&=-\gamma\left(u g^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{g^{\prime}} Q+\alpha \frac{h f}{g^{\prime}}\right)+\gamma \alpha h f^{\prime} u \\
&=-\gamma \frac{\left(u g^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}} Q+\gamma \alpha u^{2} g^{\prime} h\left(\frac{f}{u g^{\prime}}\right) \quad \text { on } \partial D \times(0, T) . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, by using (8) and the fact $Q\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)<0$, it follows from (26) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial Q}{\partial n}\right|_{\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)} \geq 0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts inequality (25). Thus, we know that the minimum of $Q$ in $\bar{D} \times[0, T)$ is zero. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q \geq 0 \text { in } \bar{D} \times[0, T) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g^{\prime}(u)}{f(u)} u_{t} \geq \alpha h(t) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the point $x^{*} \in \bar{D}$, where $u_{0}\left(x^{*}\right)=M_{0}$, integrate (29) over $[0, t]$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \frac{g^{\prime}(u)}{f(u)} u_{t} d t=\int_{M_{0}}^{u\left(x^{*}, t\right)} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s \geq \alpha \int_{0}^{t} h(t) d t \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that $u$ must blow up in finite time. In fact, suppose $u$ is a global solution of (1), then, for any $t>0$, it follows from (30) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s>\int_{M_{0}}^{u\left(x^{*}, t\right)} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s \geq \alpha \int_{0}^{t} h(t) d t \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to the limit as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ in (31) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s \geq \alpha \int_{0}^{+\infty} h(t) d t \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts assumption (9). This shows that $u$ must blow up in a finite time $t=T$. Furthermore, letting $t \rightarrow T$ in (30), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T} \int_{M_{0}}^{u\left(x^{*}, t\right)} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s \geq \lim _{t \rightarrow T} \alpha \int_{0}^{t} h(t) d t ; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s \geq \int_{0}^{T} h(t) d t=P(T) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \leq P^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

By integrating inequality (29) over $[t, s](0<t<s<T)$, for each fixed $x$, one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
H(u(x, t)) & \geq H(u(x, t))-H(u(x, s)) \\
& =\int_{u(x, t)}^{u(x, s)} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s \geq \alpha \int_{t}^{s} h(t) d t . \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by letting $s \rightarrow T$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(u(x, t)) \geq \alpha \int_{t}^{T} h(t) d t \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $H$ is a decreasing function, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \leq H^{-1}\left(\alpha \int_{t}^{T} h(t) d t\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is complete.

In the second case, $\alpha=1$, the following two assumptions (i) ${ }_{\mathrm{a}}$ and (ii) ${ }_{\mathrm{a}}$ can guarantee that inequality (23) holds.
(i) ${ }_{\mathrm{a}}$ Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=1 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) ${ }_{\mathrm{a}}$ For $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{1}{a(s, t)}\left(\frac{a(s, t) f(s)}{g^{\prime}(s)}\right)_{s}\right]_{s} \geq 0, \quad\left(\frac{a_{s}(s, t)}{a(s, t)}\right)_{t} \geq 0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by repeating the proof of Theorem 1, we have the following results.

Theorem 2. Let u be a solution of the problem (1). Suppose that $(i)_{a}$ and (ii) ${ }_{a}$ hold and assumptions (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 1 hold. Then, the conclusions of Theorem 1 are valid.

In the third case, $\alpha>1$, the following two assumptions $(\mathrm{i})_{\mathrm{b}}$ and (ii) imply that inequality (23) holds.
(i) ${ }_{b}$ Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha>1 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\text { ii) } \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{b}} \text { For }(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right. \\
{\left[\frac{1}{a(s, t)}\left(\frac{a(s, t) f(s)}{g^{\prime}(s)}\right)_{s}\right]_{s} \geq 0, \quad\left(\frac{a_{s}(s, t)}{a(s, t)}\right)_{t} \geq 0}  \tag{42}\\
\left(\frac{a(s, t)}{g^{\prime}(s)}\right)_{s} \geq 0, \quad\left(\frac{h(t)}{a(s, t)}\right)_{t} \leq 0
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 3. Let u be a solution of the problem (1). Suppose that $(i)_{b}$ and (ii) ${ }_{b}$ hold and assumptions (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 1 hold. Then, the results stated in Theorem 1 still hold.

Remark 4. When

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{+\infty} h(t) d t=+\infty \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

(9) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s<+\infty, \quad M_{0}=\max _{\bar{D}} u_{0}(x) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

When

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{+\infty} h(t) d t<+\infty \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

(9) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s<+\infty, \quad \int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s<\alpha \int_{0}^{+\infty} h(t) d t \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Global Solution

We define the constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta:=\max _{\bar{D}}\left\{1+\frac{\nabla \cdot\left(a\left(u_{0}, 0\right) b(x) \nabla u_{0}\right)}{h(0) f\left(u_{0}\right)}\right\} . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following Theorems 5-7 are the main results for the global solution. In Theorems 5-7, we study the three cases $0<\beta<1, \beta=1$, and $\beta>1$, respectively. In the first case, $0<\beta<1$, we have the following results.

Theorem 5. Let u be a solution of the problem (1). Suppose the following.
(i) Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\beta<1 . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) For $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\frac{1}{a(s, t)}\left(\frac{a(s, t) f(s)}{g^{\prime}(s)}\right)_{s}\right]_{s} \leq 0, \quad\left(\frac{a_{s}(s, t)}{a(s, t)}\right)_{t} \leq 0}  \tag{49}\\
\left(\frac{a(s, t)}{g^{\prime}(s)}\right)_{s} \geq 0, \quad\left(\frac{h(t)}{a(s, t)}\right)_{t} \leq 0
\end{gather*}
$$

(iii) For $s \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(s g^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\prime} \geq 0, \quad\left(\frac{f(s)}{s g^{\prime}(s)}\right)^{\prime} \leq 0 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s \geq \beta \int_{0}^{+\infty} h(t) d t, \quad M_{0}:=\max _{\bar{D}} u_{0}(x) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the solution $u$ to the problem (1) must be a global solution and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
u(x, t) \leq F^{-1}\left(\beta \int_{0}^{t} h(t) d t+F\left(u_{0}(x)\right)\right)  \tag{52}\\
(x, t) \in \bar{D} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}^{+}}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z):=\int_{m_{0}}^{z} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s, \quad z \geq m_{0}, \quad m_{0}:=\min _{\bar{D}} u_{0}(x) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $F^{-1}$ is the inverse function of $F$.
Proof. In order to study the global solution by using maximum principles, we construct an auxiliary function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, t):=g^{\prime}(u) u_{t}-\beta h(t) f(u) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $Q$ and $\alpha$ with $G$ and $\beta$ in (22), respectively, gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{a b}{g^{\prime}} \Delta G & +\left[2 b\left(\frac{a}{g^{\prime}}\right)_{u} \nabla u+\frac{a}{g^{\prime}} \nabla b\right] \cdot \nabla G \\
+ & \left\{a b\left[\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{a}{g^{\prime}}\right)_{u}\right]_{u}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{a}{g^{\prime}}\right)_{u}\right. \\
& \left.\times[G+(2 \beta-1) h f]+\frac{h f^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}}+\frac{a_{t}}{a}\right\} G-G_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & -a b h\left\{\beta\left[\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{a f}{g^{\prime}}\right)_{u}\right]_{u}+\frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{a_{u}}{a}\right)_{t}\right\}|\nabla u|^{2} \\
& -\beta(\beta-1) \frac{h^{2} f^{2}}{a}\left(\frac{a}{g^{\prime}}\right)_{u}+(\beta-1) a f\left(\frac{h}{a}\right)_{t} \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

Assumptions (48) and (49) guarantee that the right side in equality (55) is nonnegative; that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{a b}{g^{\prime}} \Delta G+\left[2 b\left(\frac{a}{g^{\prime}}\right)_{u} \nabla u+\frac{a}{g^{\prime}} \nabla b\right] \cdot \nabla G \\
& +\left\{a b\left[\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{a}{g^{\prime}}\right)_{u}\right]_{u}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{a}{g^{\prime}}\right)_{u}\right.  \tag{56}\\
& \left.\quad \times[G+(2 \beta-1) h f]+\frac{h f^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}}+\frac{a_{t}}{a}\right\} G-G_{t} \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (47) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{\bar{D}} G(x, 0) \\
& \quad=\max _{\bar{D}}\left\{g^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\left(u_{0}\right)_{t}-\beta h(0) f\left(u_{0}\right)\right\} \\
& =\max _{\bar{D}}\left\{\left(g\left(u_{0}\right)\right)_{t}-h(0) f\left(u_{0}\right)+(1-\beta) h(0) f\left(u_{0}\right)\right\} \\
& =\max _{\bar{D}}\left\{\nabla \cdot\left(a\left(u_{0}, 0\right) b(x) \nabla u_{0}\right)+(1-\beta) h(0) f\left(u_{0}\right)\right\} \\
& =\max _{\bar{D}}\left\{h(0) f\left(u_{0}\right)\left[1+\frac{\nabla \cdot\left(a\left(u_{0}, 0\right) b(x) \nabla u_{0}\right)}{h(0) f\left(u_{0}\right)}-\beta\right]\right\} \\
& =0 . \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing $Q$ and $\alpha$ with $G$ and $\beta$ in (26), respectively, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial G}{\partial n}=-\gamma \frac{\left(u g^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}} G+\gamma \beta u^{2} g^{\prime} h\left(\frac{f}{u g^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime} \quad \text { on } \partial D \times(0, T) \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (56)-(58) with (50) and applying the maximum principles again, it follows that the maximum of $G$ in $\bar{D} \times[0, T)$ is zero. Thus,

$$
\begin{gather*}
G \leq 0 \text { in } \bar{D} \times[0, T),  \tag{59}\\
\frac{g^{\prime}(u)}{f(u)} u_{t} \leq \beta h(t) . \tag{60}
\end{gather*}
$$

For each fixed $x \in \bar{D}$, integration of (60) from 0 to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \frac{g^{\prime}(u)}{f(u)} u_{t} d t=\int_{u_{0}(x)}^{u(x, t)} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s \leq \beta \int_{0}^{t} h(t) d t \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $u$ must be a global solution. Actually, if $u$ blows up at a finite time $T$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{-}} u(x, t)=+\infty \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow T^{-}$in (61), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{u_{0}(x)}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s & \leq \beta \int_{0}^{T} h(t) d t<\beta \int_{0}^{+\infty} h(t) d t \\
\int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s & =\int_{M_{0}}^{u_{0}(x)} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s+\int_{u_{0}(x)}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s  \tag{63}\\
& \leq \int_{u_{0}(x)}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s<\beta \int_{0}^{+\infty} h(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

which contradicts with assumption (51). This shows that $u$ is global. Moreover, it follows from (61) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{u_{0}(x)}^{u(x, t)} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s & =\int_{m_{0}}^{u(x, t)} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s-\int_{m_{0}}^{u_{0}(x)} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s \\
& =F(u(x, t))-F\left(u_{0}(x)\right) \leq \beta \int_{0}^{t} h(t) \mathrm{d} t . \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $F$ is an increasing function, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \leq F^{-1}\left(\beta \int_{0}^{t} h(t) d t+F\left(u_{0}(x)\right)\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is complete.
In the second case $\beta=1$ and the third case $\beta>1$, we have the following results.

Theorem 6. Let u be a solution of the problem (1). Suppose that assumptions $(i)_{c}$ and (ii) hold.
$(i){ }_{c}$ Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=1 \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
(i i)_{c} \text { For }(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+},
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{1}{a(s, t)}\left(\frac{a(s, t) f(s)}{g^{\prime}(s)}\right)_{s}\right]_{s} \leq 0, \quad\left(\frac{a_{s}(s, t)}{a(s, t)}\right)_{t} \leq 0 \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

And assumptions (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 5 hold. Then, the results of Theorem 5 are valid.

Theorem 7. Let u be a solution of the problem (1). Suppose that assumptions $(i)_{d}$ and $(i i)_{d}$ hold.
(i) ${ }_{\mathrm{d}}$ Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta>1 \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\right.$ ii) ${ }_{\mathrm{d}} \operatorname{For}(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\frac{1}{a(s, t)}\left(\frac{a(s, t) f(s)}{g^{\prime}(s)}\right)_{s}\right]_{s} \leq 0, \quad\left(\frac{a_{s}(s, t)}{a(s, t)}\right)_{t} \leq 0}  \tag{69}\\
\left(\frac{a(s, t)}{g^{\prime}(s)}\right)_{s} \leq 0, \quad\left(\frac{h(t)}{a(s, t)}\right)_{t} \geq 0
\end{gather*}
$$

And assumptions (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 5 hold. Then, the conclusions stated in Theorem 5 still hold.

Remark 8. When

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{+\infty} h(t) d t=+\infty \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

(51) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s=+\infty, \quad M_{0}=\max _{\bar{D}} u_{0}(x) \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

When

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{+\infty} h(t) d t<+\infty \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

(51) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s=+\infty \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s<+\infty, \quad \int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s \geq \beta \int_{0}^{+\infty} h(t) d t \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Applications

When $g(u) \equiv u, a(u, t) \equiv a(u), b(x) \equiv 1$ and $h(t) \equiv 1$ or $g(u) \equiv u$ and $a(u, t) \equiv a(u)$ or $a(u, t) \equiv a(u), b(x) \equiv 1$ and $h(t) \equiv 1$, the conclusions of Theorems 1-3 and 5-7 still hold true. In this sense, our results extend and supplement the results of [15-17].

In what follows, we present several examples to demonstrate the applications of the abstract results.

Example 9. Let $u$ be a solution of the following problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(u^{p}\right)_{t}=\nabla \cdot\left(u^{n} \nabla u\right)+u^{q} \quad \text { in } D \times(0, T), \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+\gamma u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial D \times(0, T)  \tag{75}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)>0 \quad \text { in } \bar{D},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial D, p>0,-\infty<n<+\infty,-\infty<q<+\infty$. Here,

$$
\begin{gather*}
g(u)=u^{p}, \quad a(u, t)=u^{n}, \\
b(x)=1, \quad h(t)=1, \quad f(u)=u^{q} . \tag{76}
\end{gather*}
$$

Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\min _{\bar{D}}\left\{1+\frac{\nabla \cdot\left(u_{0}^{n} \nabla u_{0}\right)}{u_{0}^{q}}\right\}>0 \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

and one of the following three assumptions holds.
(i) In the case $0<\alpha<1, p-q<0 \leq n+1 \leq p$ or $p-q \leq n+1<0$.
(ii) In the case $\alpha=1, p-q<0 \leq n+1$ or $p-q \leq n+1<0$.
(iii) In the case $\alpha>1, p-q<0<p \leq n+1$.

It follows from Theorems $1-3$ that $u$ blows up in a finite time $T$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
T \leq P^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s\right)=\frac{p}{\alpha(q-p) M_{0}^{q-p}}, \\
u(x, t) \leq H^{-1}\left(\alpha \int_{0}^{T} h(t) d t\right)=\left(\frac{p}{\alpha(q-p)(T-t)}\right)^{1 /(q-p)} . \tag{78}
\end{gather*}
$$

Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\max _{\bar{D}}\left\{1+\frac{\nabla \cdot\left(u_{0}^{n} \nabla u_{0}\right)}{u_{0}^{q}}\right\}>0 \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

and one of the following three assumptions holds.
(i) In the case $0<\beta<1,0 \leq p-q \leq p \leq n+1$ or $p<p-q \leq n+1$.
(ii) In the case $\beta=1,0 \leq p-q \leq n+1$.
(iii) In the case $\beta>1,0 \leq p-q \leq n+1 \leq p$.

By Theorems 5-7, $u$ must be a global solution and

$$
\begin{align*}
u(x, t) & \leq F^{-1}\left(\beta \int_{0}^{t} h(t) d t+F\left(u_{0}(x)\right)\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}{\left[\frac{\beta(p-q)}{p} t+\left(u_{0}(x)\right)^{p-q}\right]^{1 /(p-q)},} & p-q>0 \\
u_{0}(x) e^{\alpha t / p}, & p-q=0 .\end{cases} \tag{80}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 10. Let $u$ be a solution of the following problem:

$$
\left(u e^{u}\right)_{t}=\nabla \cdot\left(e^{u+t}\left(2-\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2}\right) \nabla u\right)+u(1+u)^{2} e^{u+t}
$$

in $D \times(0, T)$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+2 u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial D \times(0, T)  \tag{81}\\
u(x, 0)=2-\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2} \quad \text { in } \bar{D}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $D=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2}<1\right\}$ is the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Now, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
g(u)=u e^{u}, \quad a(u, t)=e^{u+t}, \quad b(x)=2-\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2}, \\
h(t)=e^{t}, \quad f(u)=u(1+u)^{2} e^{u}, \\
u_{0}(x)=2-\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2}, \quad \gamma=2 . \tag{82}
\end{gather*}
$$

In order to determine the constant $\alpha$, we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
w:=\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2} \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $0 \leq w \leq 1$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha & =\min _{\bar{D}}\left\{1+\frac{\nabla \cdot\left(a\left(u_{0}, 0\right) b(x) \nabla u_{0}\right)}{h(0) f\left(u_{0}\right)}\right\} \\
& =\min _{\bar{D}}\left\{1+\frac{-12+18 \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2}-4\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(2-\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2}\right)\left(3-\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right\}  \tag{84}\\
& =\min _{0 \leq w \leq 1}\left\{1+\frac{-12+18 w-4 w^{2}}{(2-w)(3-w)^{2}}\right\}=\frac{1}{3}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to check that (6)-(9) hold. By Theorem $1, u$ must blow up in a finite time $T$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& T \leq P^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{f(s)} d s\right)=\ln \left(\frac{1}{3} \ln \frac{3}{2}+1\right),  \tag{85}\\
& u(x, t) \leq H^{-1}\left(\alpha \int_{t}^{T} h(t) d t\right)=\frac{1}{e^{(1 / 3)\left(e^{T}-e^{t}\right)}-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 11. Let $u$ be a solution of the following problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(u e^{u}\right)_{t}=\nabla \cdot\left(e^{u-t}\left(2-\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2}\right) \nabla u\right)+(1+u) e^{u-t} \\
\text { in } D \times(0, T) \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+2 u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial D \times(0, T)  \tag{86}\\
u(x, 0)=2-\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2} \quad \text { in } \bar{D}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $D=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2}<1\right\}$ is the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Now,

$$
\begin{gather*}
g(u)=u e^{u}, \quad a(u, t)=e^{u-t}, \quad b(x)=2-\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2}, \\
h(t)=e^{-t}, \quad f(u)=(1+u) e^{u}, \\
u_{0}(x)=2-\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2}, \quad \gamma=2 . \tag{87}
\end{gather*}
$$

By setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
w:=\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have $0 \leq w \leq 1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta & =\max _{\bar{D}}\left\{1+\frac{\nabla \cdot\left(a\left(u_{0}, 0\right) b(x) \nabla u_{0}\right)}{h(0) f\left(u_{0}\right)}\right\} \\
& =\max _{\bar{D}}\left\{1+\frac{-12+18 \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2}-4\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}{3-\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2}}\right\} \\
& =\max _{0 \leq w \leq 1}\left\{1+\frac{-12+18 w-4 w^{2}}{(3-w)}\right\}=2
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that (68)-(69) and (50)-(51) hold. By Theorem 7, $u$ must be a global solution, and

$$
\begin{align*}
u(x, t) & \leq F^{-1}\left(\beta \int_{0}^{t} h(t) d t+F\left(u_{0}(x)\right)\right) \\
& =2\left(2-e^{-t}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2} \tag{90}
\end{align*}
$$
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