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#### Abstract

We discuss the global and blow-up solutions of the following nonlinear parabolic problems with a gradient term under Robin boundary conditions: $(b(u))_{t}=\nabla \cdot(h(t) k(x) a(u) \nabla u)+f\left(x, u,|\nabla u|^{2}, t\right)$, in $D \times(0, T),(\partial u / \partial n)+\gamma u=0$, on $\partial D \times(0, T), u(x, 0)=$ $u_{0}(x)>0$, in $\bar{D}$, where $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial D$. Under some appropriate assumption on the functions $f, h, k, b$, and $a$ and initial value $u_{0}$, we obtain the sufficient conditions for the existence of a global solution, an upper estimate of the global solution, the sufficient conditions for the existence of a blow-up solution, an upper bound for "blow-up time," and an upper estimate of "blow-up rate." Our approach depends heavily on the maximum principles.


## 1. Introduction

The study of global and blow-up solutions for nonlinear parabolic equations has received a lot of attention in the past several decades (see [1-4]). In most works, so far, a variety of approaches have been developed in dealing with different nonlinear parabolic problems, such as the existence of global solution, blow-up solution, an upper bound for "blow-up time," an upper estimate of "blow-up rate," or global solution. So far, some applications in physics, chemistry, and biology are relevant to blow-up phenomena which can be found in [5-11]. In this paper, we consider the global and blow-up solutions of the following nonlinear parabolic equation with Robin boundary condition:

$$
\begin{gather*}
(b(u))_{t}=\nabla \cdot(h(t) k(x) a(u) \nabla u)+f(x, u, q, t), \\
\quad \text { in } D \times(0, T), \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+\gamma u=0, \quad \text { on } \partial D \times(0, T)  \tag{1}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)>0, \quad \text { in } \bar{D},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $q=|\nabla u|^{2}, D \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial D, \partial u / \partial n$ represents the outward normal derivative on $\partial D, \gamma$ is positive constant, $u_{0}$ is the initial value,
$T$ is the maximal existence time of $u$, and $\bar{D}$ is the closure of $D$. Set $\mathbb{R}^{+}=(0,+\infty)$. We assume, throughout the paper, that $b(s)$ is a positive $C^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$function, $b^{\prime}(s)>0$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, $a(s)$ is a positive $C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$function, $k(x)$ is a positive $C^{1}(\bar{D})$ function, $h(t)$ is a positive $C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$function, $f(x, s, d, t)$ is a nonnegative $C^{1}\left(\bar{D} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$function, and $u_{0}(x)$ is a positive $C^{2}(\bar{D})$ function. Under these assumptions, the classical parabolic equation theory [12] ensures that there exists a unique classical solution $u(x, t)$ with some $T>0$ for the problem (1), and the solution is positive over $\bar{D} \times[0, T)$. Moreover, by the regularity theorem [13], $u(x, t) \in C^{3}(D \times$ $(0, T)) \cap C^{2}(\bar{D} \times[0, T))$.

The problems of the global and blow-up solutions for nonlinear parabolic equations have been investigated extensively by many authors and have got a lot of meaningful results. Some special cases of problem (1) have been treated already. Ding [14] deals with the following problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
(b(u))_{t}=\nabla \cdot(a(u) \nabla u)+f(u), \quad \text { in } D \times(0, T), \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+\gamma u=0, \quad \text { on } \partial D \times(0, T), \\
u(x, 0)=h(x)>0, \quad \text { in } \bar{D}, \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $D$ is a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ with smooth boundary $\partial D$. By constructing auxiliary functions and using a first-order differential inequality technique, Ding derives conditions on the data, which guarantee the existence of blow-up or global solution. The following problem is investigated by Enache in [15]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t}=\nabla \cdot(a(u) \nabla u)+f(u), \quad \text { in } D \times(0, T), \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+\gamma u=0, \quad \text { on } \partial D \times(0, T),  \tag{3}\\
u(x, 0)=h(x)>0, \quad \text { in } \bar{D},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $D$ is a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ with smooth boundary $\partial D$. By constructing auxiliary functions and firstorder differential inequality technique, Enache establishes some conditions on nonlinearities and the initial date to guarantee that $u(x, t)$ exists for all times $t>0$ or blows up at some finite time $T$. Besides, the following problem is investigated by Zhang in [16]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
(b(u))_{t}=\Delta u+f(u), \quad \text { in } D \times(0, T) \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+\gamma u=0, \quad \text { on } \quad \partial D \times(0, T)  \tag{4}\\
u(x, 0)=h(x)>0, \quad \text { in } \bar{D}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $D$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ with smooth boundary. Under appropriate assumptions on the functions $f, b$, and $h$, Zhang obtains the conditions under which the solutions may exist globally or blow up in a finite time. Moreover, upper estimates of the "blow-up time," blow-up rate, and global solutions are obtained also.

In this paper, we obtain the existence theorem of global and blow-up solution by constructing completely different auxiliary functions and technically using maximum principles. As a result, the sufficient conditions for the existence of a global solution and an upper estimate of the global solution and the sufficient conditions for the existence of a blow-up solution, an upper bound for "blow-up time," and an upper estimate of "blow-up rate" are specified under some appropriate assumption on the functions $f, h, k, b$, and $a$ and initial value $u_{0}$. Our results extend and supplement those obtained in [14-16].

The content of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 , we study the existence of the global solution of (1). In Section 3, we investigate the blow-up solution of (1). In Section 4, we will give a few examples to explain our results.

## 2. Global Solution

Our main result for the global solution is the following Theorem 1.

Theorem 1. Let $u$ be a solution of (1). Suppose that the following conditions (i)-(iv) are satisfied.
(i) For any $s \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(s b^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\prime} \geq 0 \\
s b^{\prime}(s)-\left(s b^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\prime} \leq 0, \\
\left(\frac{a(s)}{b^{\prime}(s)}\right)^{\prime} \leq 0 \\
{\left[\frac{1}{a(s)}\left(\frac{a(s)}{b^{\prime}(s)}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{b^{\prime}(s)}\right]^{\prime}+\frac{1}{a(s)}\left(\frac{a(s)}{b^{\prime}(s)}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{b^{\prime}(s)} \leq 0} \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

(ii) For any $(x, s, d, t) \in D \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{f(x, s, d, t)}{h(t)}\right)_{t} \leq 0 \\
f_{d}(x, s, d, t)\left[\left(\frac{1}{b^{\prime}(s)}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{b^{\prime}(s)}\right] \leq 0, \\
\left(\frac{f(x, s, d, t) b^{\prime}(s)}{a(s)}\right)_{s}-\frac{f(x, s, d, t) b^{\prime}(s)}{a(s)}  \tag{6}\\
+\frac{h^{\prime}(t)\left(b^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}}{a(s) h(t)} \leq 0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

(iii) Consider the integration

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{m_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s=+\infty, \quad m_{0}=\min _{\bar{D}} u_{0}(x) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) Consider

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\alpha=\max _{\bar{D}}\left\{\frac{\nabla \cdot\left(h(0) k(x) a\left(u_{0}\right) \nabla u_{0}\right)+f\left(x, u_{0}, q_{0}, 0\right)}{e^{u_{0}}}\right\}>0 \\
q_{0}=\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2} \tag{8}
\end{array}
$$

Then the solution $u$ to problem (1) must be a global solution and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \leq H^{-1}\left(\alpha t+H\left(u_{0}(x, t)\right)\right), \quad(x, t) \in \bar{D} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z)=\int_{m_{0}}^{z} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s, \quad z \geq m_{0} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $H^{-1}$ is the inverse function of $H$.
Proof. Consider the auxiliary function

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x, t)=b^{\prime}(u) u_{t}-\alpha e^{u} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla P=b^{\prime \prime} u_{t} \nabla u+b^{\prime} \nabla u_{t}-\alpha e^{u} \nabla u,  \tag{12}\\
\Delta P=b^{\prime \prime \prime} u_{t}|\nabla u|^{2}+2 b^{\prime \prime} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{t}  \tag{13}\\
+b^{\prime \prime} u_{t} \Delta u+b^{\prime} \Delta u_{t}-\alpha e^{u}|\nabla u|^{2}-\alpha e^{u} \Delta u .
\end{gather*}
$$

By (1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
(b(u))_{t}= & b^{\prime} u_{t}=\nabla \cdot(h(t) k(x) a(u) \nabla u)+f \\
= & h(t) k(x) a(u) \Delta u+h(t) k(x) a^{\prime}(u)|\nabla u|^{2} \\
& \quad+h(t) a(u)(\nabla k \cdot \nabla u)+f .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{t}= & \frac{a k h}{b^{\prime}} \Delta u+\frac{a^{\prime} k h}{b^{\prime}}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{a h}{b^{\prime}}(\nabla k \cdot \nabla u)+\frac{f}{b^{\prime}}, \\
\left(u_{t}\right)_{t}= & h^{\prime}\left(\frac{a k}{b^{\prime}} \Delta u+\frac{a^{\prime} k}{b^{\prime}}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{a}{b^{\prime}}(\nabla k \cdot \nabla u)\right) \\
& +h\left(\frac{a k}{b^{\prime}} \Delta u+\frac{a^{\prime} k}{b^{\prime}}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{a}{b^{\prime}}(\nabla k \cdot \nabla u)\right)_{t} \\
& +\left(\frac{f}{b^{\prime}}\right)_{t} \\
=( & \left.\frac{a^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}-\frac{a^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime}}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\right) h k u_{t}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{2 a^{\prime} k h}{b^{\prime}}\left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{t}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}-\frac{a b^{\prime \prime}}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\right) h u_{t}(\nabla k \cdot \nabla u)  \tag{15}\\
& +\frac{a h}{b^{\prime}}\left(\nabla k \cdot \nabla u_{t}\right)+\left(\frac{a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}-\frac{a b^{\prime \prime}}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\right) h k u_{t} \Delta u \\
& +\frac{a k h}{b^{\prime}} \Delta u_{t}+\frac{a^{\prime} k h^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{a h^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}(\nabla k \cdot \nabla u) \\
& +\frac{a k h^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}} \Delta u \\
& +\frac{f_{u} u_{t}+2 f_{q}\left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{t}\right)+f_{t}}{b^{\prime}}-\frac{f b^{\prime \prime} u_{t}}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{t}= & b^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{t}\right)^{2}+b^{\prime}\left(u_{t}\right)_{t}-\alpha e^{u} u_{t} \\
= & b^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{t}\right)^{2}+\left(a^{\prime}-\frac{a b^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}\right) k h u_{t} \Delta u+a k h \Delta u_{t} \\
& +a k h^{\prime} \Delta u+\left(a^{\prime \prime}-\frac{a^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}\right) k h u_{t}|\nabla u|^{2}+a^{\prime} k h^{\prime}|\nabla u|^{2} \\
& +\left(2 a^{\prime} k h+2 f_{q}\right)\left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\left(a^{\prime}-\frac{a b^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}\right) h u_{t}(\nabla k \cdot \nabla u)+a h\left(\nabla k \cdot \nabla u_{t}\right) \\
& +a h^{\prime}(\nabla k \cdot \nabla u) \\
& +\left(f_{u}-\frac{f b^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}-\alpha e^{u}\right) u_{t}+f_{t} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

By (13) and (16), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{a k h}{b^{\prime}} & \Delta P-P_{t} \\
& =\left(\frac{a k h b^{\prime \prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}+\frac{a^{\prime} k h b^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}-a^{\prime \prime} k h\right) u_{t}|\nabla u|^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{2 a k h b^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}-2 a^{\prime} k h-2 f_{q}\right)\left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{t}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{2 a k h b^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}-a^{\prime} k h\right) u_{t} \Delta u \\
& -\left(\frac{a k h}{b^{\prime}} \alpha e^{u}+a^{\prime} h^{\prime} k\right)|\nabla u|^{2}-\left(\frac{a k h}{b^{\prime}} \alpha e^{u}+a k h^{\prime}\right) \Delta u \\
& -b^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{t}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{f b^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}+\alpha e^{u}-f_{u}\right) u_{t} \\
& +\left(\frac{a h b^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}-a^{\prime} h\right) u_{t}(\nabla k \cdot \nabla u) \\
& -a h\left(\nabla k \cdot \nabla u_{t}\right)-a h^{\prime}(\nabla k \cdot \nabla u)-f_{t} . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

By (14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u=\frac{b^{\prime}}{a k h} u_{t}-\frac{a^{\prime}}{a}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{1}{k}(\nabla k \cdot \nabla u)-\frac{f}{a k h} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute (18) into (17) to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{a k h}{b^{\prime}} & \Delta P-P_{t} \\
= & \left(\frac{a k h b^{\prime \prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}+\frac{\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{2} k h}{a}-\frac{a^{\prime} k h b^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}-a^{\prime \prime} k h\right) u_{t}|\nabla u|^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{2 a k h b^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}-2 a^{\prime} k h-2 f_{q}\right)\left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{t}\right) \\
& +\left(b^{\prime \prime}-\frac{a^{\prime} b^{\prime}}{a}\right) u_{t}^{2}+\left(\frac{a^{\prime} f}{a}-\frac{f b^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}-f_{u}-\frac{b^{\prime} h^{\prime}}{h}\right) u_{t} \\
& +\left(\frac{a^{\prime} k h}{b^{\prime}} \alpha e^{u}-\frac{a k h}{b^{\prime}} \alpha e^{u}\right)|\nabla u|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{a h b^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}} u_{t}(\nabla k \cdot \nabla u)-a h\left(\nabla k \cdot \nabla u_{t}\right) \\
& +\frac{a h}{b^{\prime}} \alpha e^{u}(\nabla k \cdot \nabla u)+\frac{f}{b^{\prime}} \alpha e^{u}+\frac{f h^{\prime}}{h}-f_{t} . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

By (12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla u_{t}=\frac{1}{b^{\prime}} \nabla P-\frac{b^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}} u_{t} \nabla u+\alpha \frac{e^{u}}{b^{\prime}} \nabla u . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we substitute (20) into (19) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{a k h}{b^{\prime}} \Delta P-P_{t} \\
&=\left(\frac{2 a k h b^{\prime \prime}}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}-\frac{2 a^{\prime} k h}{b^{\prime}}-\frac{2 f_{q}}{b^{\prime}}\right)(\nabla u \cdot \nabla P)-\frac{a h}{b^{\prime}}(\nabla k \cdot \nabla P) \\
&+\left(\frac{a k h b^{\prime \prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}-\frac{2 a k h\left(b^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}+\frac{a^{\prime} k h b^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}-a^{\prime \prime} k h\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{2} k h}{a}+\frac{2 b^{\prime \prime} f_{q}}{b^{\prime}}\right) u_{t}|\nabla u|^{2} \\
&\left(\frac{2 a k h b^{\prime \prime}}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \alpha e^{u}-\frac{a^{\prime} k h}{b^{\prime}} \alpha e^{u}-\frac{a k h}{b^{\prime}} \alpha e^{u}-\frac{2 f_{q}}{b^{\prime}} \alpha e^{u}\right)|\nabla u|^{2} \\
&+\left(b^{\prime \prime}-\frac{a^{\prime} b^{\prime}}{a}\right) u_{t}^{2}+\left(\frac{a^{\prime} f}{a}-\frac{f b^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}-f_{u}-\frac{b^{\prime} h^{\prime}}{h}\right) u_{t} \\
&+\frac{f}{b^{\prime}} \alpha e^{u}+\frac{f h^{\prime}}{h}-f_{t} . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{a k h}{b^{\prime}} & \Delta P+\left(\frac{2 a^{\prime} k h}{b^{\prime}}+\frac{2 f_{q}}{b^{\prime}}-\frac{2 a k h b^{\prime \prime}}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& \times(\nabla u \cdot \nabla P)+\frac{a h}{b^{\prime}}(\nabla k \cdot \nabla P)-P_{t} \\
= & \left(\frac{a k h b^{\prime \prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}-\frac{2 a k h\left(b^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}+\frac{a^{\prime} k h b^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}-a^{\prime \prime} k h+\frac{\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{2} k h}{a}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2 b^{\prime \prime} f_{q}}{b^{\prime}}\right) u_{t}|\nabla u|^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{2 a k h b^{\prime \prime}}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \alpha e^{u}-\frac{a^{\prime} k h}{b^{\prime}} \alpha e^{u}-\frac{a k h}{b^{\prime}} \alpha e^{u}-\frac{2 f_{q}}{b^{\prime}} \alpha e^{u}\right)|\nabla u|^{2} \\
& +\left(b^{\prime \prime}-\frac{a^{\prime} b^{\prime}}{a}\right) u_{t}^{2}+\left(\frac{a^{\prime} f}{a}-\frac{f b^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime}}-f_{u}-\frac{b^{\prime} h^{\prime}}{h}\right) u_{t} \\
& +\frac{f}{b^{\prime}} \alpha e^{u}+\frac{f h^{\prime}}{h}-f_{t} . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

According to (11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\frac{1}{b^{\prime}} P+\alpha \frac{e^{u}}{b^{\prime}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (23) into (22), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{a k h}{b^{\prime}} \Delta P+\left[2 k h\left(\frac{a}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{2 f_{q}}{b^{\prime}}\right](\nabla u \cdot \nabla P)+\frac{a h}{b^{\prime}}(\nabla k \cdot \nabla P) \\
& +\left[\frac{a}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{f b^{\prime}}{a}\right)_{u}+\frac{h^{\prime}}{h}\right] P \\
& +\left[a k h\left(\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{a}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+2 f_{q}\left(\frac{1}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}\right]|\nabla u|^{2} P-P_{t} \\
& =\left(-\alpha e^{u}\right)\left(\frac{2 f_{q}}{b^{\prime}}-\frac{2 b^{\prime \prime} f_{q}}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\right)|\nabla u|^{2} \\
& \quad+\left(-\alpha e^{u}\right) k h\left(\frac{a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}-\frac{a b^{\prime \prime}}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\right)|\nabla u|^{2} \\
& \\
& +\alpha e^{u}\left(\frac{a k h b^{\prime \prime \prime}}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}-\frac{2 a k h\left(b^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{3}}+\frac{a^{\prime} k h b^{\prime \prime}}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}-\frac{a^{\prime \prime} k h}{b^{\prime}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{2} k h}{a b^{\prime}}\right)|\nabla u|^{2} \\
& \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Namely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{a k h}{b^{\prime}} \Delta P+ {\left[\left(2 k h\left(\frac{a}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{2 f_{q}}{b^{\prime}}\right) \nabla u+\frac{a h}{b^{\prime}} \nabla k\right] \cdot \nabla P } \\
&+\left\{\frac{a}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{f b^{\prime}}{a}\right)_{u}+\frac{h^{\prime}}{h}\right. \\
&+ {\left.\left[a k h\left(\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{a}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+2 f_{q}\left(\frac{1}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}\right]|\nabla u|^{2}\right\} P-P_{t} } \\
&=-\alpha e^{u}\left\{2 f_{q}\left[\left(\frac{1}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{b^{\prime}}\right]+a k h\right. \\
&\left.\times\left[\left(\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{a}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{a}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{b^{\prime}}\right]\right\}|\nabla u|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{a}\left(\frac{a}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime} u_{t}^{2}-\alpha e^{u} \frac{a}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \\
& \times\left[\left(\frac{f b^{\prime}}{a}\right)_{u}-\frac{f b^{\prime}}{a}+\frac{h^{\prime}\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{a h}\right]-h\left(\frac{f}{h}\right)_{t} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

The assumptions (5) and (6) guarantee that the right-hand side of (25) is nonnegative; that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{a k h}{b^{\prime}} \Delta P & +\left[\left(2 k h\left(\frac{a}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{2 f_{q}}{b^{\prime}}\right) \nabla u+\frac{a h}{b^{\prime}} \nabla k\right] \cdot \nabla P \\
+ & \left\{\frac{a}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{f b^{\prime}}{a}\right)_{u}+\frac{h^{\prime}}{h}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[a k h\left(\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{a}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+2 f_{q}\left(\frac{1}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}\right]|\nabla u|^{2}\right\} P-P_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\geq 0, \quad$ in $D \times(0, T)$.

By applying maximum principle (see [17]), it follows from (26) that $P$ can attain its nonnegative maximum only for $\bar{D} \times\{0\}$ or $\partial D \times(0, T)$.

For $\bar{D} \times\{0\}$, by (8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{\bar{D}} P(x, 0) \\
& =\max _{\bar{D}}\left\{b^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\left(u_{0}\right)_{t}-\alpha e^{u_{0}}\right\} \\
& =\max _{\bar{D}}\left\{\left[\nabla \cdot\left(h(0) k(x) a\left(u_{0}\right) \nabla u_{0}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+f\left(x, u_{0}, q_{0}, 0\right)\right]-\alpha e^{u_{0}}\right\} \\
& =\max _{\bar{D}}\left\{e ^ { u _ { 0 } } \left[\frac{\nabla \cdot\left(h(0) k(x) a\left(u_{0}\right) \nabla u_{0}\right)+f\left(x, u_{0}, q_{0}, 0\right)}{e^{u_{0}}}\right.\right. \\
& \quad-\alpha]\}=0 . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\partial D \times(0, T)$, we claim that $P$ cannot take a positive maximum at any point $(x, t)$. In fact, suppose that $P$ can take a positive maximum at one point $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \partial D \times(0, T)$; then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)>0,\left.\quad \frac{\partial P}{\partial n}\right|_{\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)}>0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combine (1) and (11) with (23); we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial P}{\partial n} & =b^{\prime \prime} u_{t} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+b^{\prime} \frac{\partial u_{t}}{\partial n}-\alpha e^{u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \\
& =-\gamma b^{\prime \prime} u u_{t}+b^{\prime}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right)_{t}+\gamma \alpha u e^{u} \\
& =-\gamma b^{\prime \prime} u u_{t}+b^{\prime}(-\gamma u)_{t}+\gamma \alpha u e^{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =-\gamma\left(u b^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} u_{t}+\gamma \alpha u e^{u} \\
& =-\gamma\left(u b^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{b^{\prime}} P+\alpha \frac{1}{b^{\prime}} e^{u}\right)+\gamma \alpha u e^{u} \\
& =-\gamma \frac{\left(u b^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}} P+\gamma \alpha e^{u} \frac{u b^{\prime}-\left(u b^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}, \\
& \quad \text { on } \partial D \times(0, T) . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, by using a part condition of (5) $\left(s b^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\prime} \geq 0, s b^{\prime}(s)-$ $\left(s b^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\prime} \leq 0$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial P}{\partial n}\right|_{\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)} \leq 0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts with inequality (28). Thus, we know that the maximum of $P$ in $\bar{D} \times[0, T)$ is zero; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \leq 0, \quad \text { in } \bar{D} \times[0, T) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

With (11), we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{b^{\prime}(u)}{e^{u}} u_{t} \leq \alpha . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each fixed $x \in \bar{D}$, we integrate (32) from 0 to $t$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \frac{b^{\prime}(u)}{e^{u}} u_{t} d t=\int_{u_{0}(x)}^{u(x, t)} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s \leq \alpha t, \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $u$ must be a global solution of (1). In fact, suppose that $u$ blows up at finite time $T$; then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{-}} u(x, t)=+\infty \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to the limit as $t \rightarrow T^{-}$in (33) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{u_{0}(x)}^{+\infty} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s \leq \alpha T \\
& \int_{m_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s=\int_{m_{0}}^{u_{0}(x)} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s+\int_{u_{0}(x)}^{+\infty} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s  \tag{35}\\
& \leq \int_{m_{0}}^{u_{0}(x)} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s+\alpha T<+\infty,
\end{align*}
$$

which contradicts with the condition (iii). This shows that $u$ is global solution. Moreover, it follows from (33) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{u_{0}(x)}^{u(x, t)} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s & =\int_{m_{0}}^{u(x, t)} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s-\int_{m_{0}}^{u_{0}(x)} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s  \tag{36}\\
& =H(u(x, t))-H\left(u_{0}(x)\right) \leq \alpha t
\end{align*}
$$

Since $H$ is an increasing function, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \leq H^{-1}\left(\alpha t+H\left(u_{0}(x)\right)\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is completed.

## 3. Blow-Up Solution

The following theorem is the main result for the blow-up solution of (1).

Theorem 2. Let u be a solution of problem (1). Assume that the following conditions (i)-(iv) are satisfied.
(i) For any $s \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(s b^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\prime} \geq 0, \quad s b^{\prime}(s)-\left(s b^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\prime} \geq 0, \quad\left(\frac{a(s)}{b^{\prime}(s)}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0 \\
& {\left[\frac{1}{a(s)}\left(\frac{a(s)}{b^{\prime}(s)}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{b^{\prime}(s)}\right]^{\prime}+\frac{1}{a(s)}\left(\frac{a(s)}{b^{\prime}(s)}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{b^{\prime}(s)} \geq 0} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) For any $(x, s, d, t) \in D \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{f(x, s, d, t)}{h(t)}\right)_{t} \geq 0 \\
f_{d}(x, s, d, t)\left[\left(\frac{1}{b^{\prime}(s)}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{b^{\prime}(s)}\right] \geq 0, \\
\left(\frac{f(x, s, d, t) b^{\prime}(s)}{a(s)}\right)_{s}-\frac{f(x, s, d, t) b^{\prime}(s)}{a(s)}  \tag{39}\\
+\frac{h^{\prime}(t)\left(b^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}}{a(s) h(t)} \geq 0
\end{gather*}
$$

(iii) Consider the integration

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s<+\infty, \quad M_{0}=\max _{\bar{D}} u_{0}(x) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) Consider

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\beta=\min _{\bar{D}}\left\{\frac{\nabla \cdot\left(h(0) k(x) a\left(u_{0}\right) \nabla u_{0}\right)+f\left(x, u_{0}, q_{0}, 0\right)}{e^{u_{0}}}\right\}>0 \\
q_{0}=\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2} \tag{41}
\end{array}
$$

Then the solution $u$ of problem (1) must blow up in finite time $T$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
T \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s  \tag{42}\\
u(x, t) \leq G^{-1}(\beta(T-t)), \quad(x, t) \in \bar{D} \times[0, T)
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\int_{z}^{+\infty} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s, \quad z>0 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $G^{-1}$ is the inverse function of $G$.

Proof. Construct the following auxiliary function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x, t)=b^{\prime}(u) u_{t}-\beta e^{u} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla Q=b^{\prime \prime} u_{t} \nabla u+b^{\prime} \nabla u_{t}-\beta e^{u} \nabla u \\
\Delta Q=b^{\prime \prime \prime} u_{t}|\nabla u|^{2}+2 b^{\prime \prime} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{t}+b^{\prime \prime} u_{t} \Delta u+b^{\prime} \Delta u_{t}  \tag{45}\\
-\beta e^{u}|\nabla u|^{2}-\beta e^{u} \Delta u
\end{gather*}
$$

As the previous derivation from (14) to (25), we can obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{a k h}{b^{\prime}} & \Delta Q \\
& +\left[\left(2 k h\left(\frac{a}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{2 f_{q}}{b^{\prime}}\right) \nabla u+\frac{a h}{b^{\prime}} \nabla k\right] \cdot \nabla Q \\
+ & \left\{\frac{a}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{f b^{\prime}}{a}\right)_{u}+\frac{h^{\prime}}{h}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[a k h\left(\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{a}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+2 f_{q}\left(\frac{1}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}\right]|\nabla u|^{2}\right\} Q-Q_{t} \\
= & -\beta e^{u}\left\{2 f_{q}\left[\left(\frac{1}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{b^{\prime}}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\frac{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{a}\left(\frac{a k h}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime} u_{t}^{2}-\beta e^{u} \frac{1}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{a}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{a}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{b^{\prime}}\right]\right\}|\nabla u|^{2} \\
\times & {\left[\left(\frac{f b^{\prime}}{a}\right)_{u}-\frac{f b^{\prime}}{a}+\frac{h^{\prime}\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{a h}\right]-h\left(\frac{f}{h}\right)_{t} }
\end{align*}
$$

It is seen from (38) and (39) that the right-hand side of (46) is nonpositive; that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{a k h}{b^{\prime}} \Delta Q \\
&+ {\left[\left(2 k h\left(\frac{a}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{2 f_{q}}{b^{\prime}}\right) \nabla u+\frac{a h}{b^{\prime}} \nabla k\right] \cdot \nabla Q } \\
&+\left\{\frac{a}{\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{f b^{\prime}}{a}\right)_{u}+\frac{h^{\prime}}{h}\right. \\
&\left.+\left[a k h\left(\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{a}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+2 f_{q}\left(\frac{1}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}\right]|\nabla u|^{2}\right\} Q-Q_{t} \\
& \leq 0, \quad \text { in } D \times(0, T) . \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

By applying maximum principle (see [17]), it follows from (47) that $Q$ can attain its nonpositive minimum only for $\bar{D} \times\{0\}$ or $\partial D \times(0, T)$.

For $\bar{D} \times\{0\}$, with (41), we have
$\min _{\bar{D}} Q(x, 0)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\min _{\bar{D}}\left\{b^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\left(u_{0}\right)_{t}-\beta e^{u_{0}}\right\} \\
& =\min _{\bar{D}}\left\{\nabla \cdot\left(h(0) k(x) a\left(u_{0}\right) \nabla u_{0}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+f\left(x, u_{0}, q_{0}, 0\right)-\beta e^{u_{0}}\right\} \\
& =\min _{\bar{D}}\left\{e ^ { u _ { 0 } } \left[\frac{\nabla \cdot\left(h(0) k(x) a\left(u_{0}\right) \nabla u_{0}\right)+f\left(x, u_{0}, q_{0}, 0\right)}{e^{u_{0}}}\right.\right. \\
& \quad-\beta]\}=0 . \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\partial D \times(0, T)$, substituting $P$ and $\alpha$ with $Q$ and $\beta$ in (29), respectively, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial n}=-\gamma \frac{\left(u b^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}} Q+\gamma \beta e^{u} \frac{u b^{\prime}-\left(u b^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}, \quad \text { on } \partial D \times(0, T) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (47)-(49) with condition (i), we can apply the maximum principles again to obtain that the minimum of $Q$ in $\bar{D} \times[0, T)$ is zero. Thus,

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q \geq 0, \quad \text { in } \bar{D} \times[0, T),  \tag{50}\\
\frac{b^{\prime}(u)}{e^{u}} u_{t} \geq \beta . \tag{51}
\end{gather*}
$$

At the point $x^{*} \in \bar{D}$, where $u_{0}\left(x^{*}\right)=M_{0}$, we can integrate (51) from 0 to $t$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \frac{b^{\prime}(u)}{e^{u}} u_{t} d t=\int_{M_{0}}^{u\left(x^{*}, t\right)} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s \geq \beta t \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $u$ must blow up in finite time. Actually, if $u$ is a global solution of (1), then, for any $t>0$, (52) shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s \geq \int_{M_{0}}^{u\left(x^{*}, t\right)} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s \geq \beta t \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow+\infty$ in (53), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s=+\infty \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts with assumption (40). This shows that $u$ must blow up in finite time $t=T$. Moreover, letting $t \rightarrow T$ in (52), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

By integrating inequality (51) over $[t, s](0<t<s<T)$, for each fixed $x$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
G(u(x, t)) & \geq G(u(x, t))-G(u(x, s)) \\
& =\int_{u(x, t)}^{+\infty} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s-\int_{u(x, s)}^{+\infty} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s \\
& =\int_{u(x, t)}^{u(x, s)} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s=\int_{t}^{s} \frac{b^{\prime}(u)}{e^{u}} u_{t} d t \geq \beta(s-t) . \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by letting $s \rightarrow T$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(u(x, t)) \geq \beta(T-t) . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $G$ is a decreasing function, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \leq G^{-1}(\beta(T-t)) . \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is completed.

## 4. Applications

When $h(t) \equiv 1, k(x) \equiv 1, f(x, u, q, t)=f(u)$ or $b(u)=u$, $h(t) \equiv 1, k(x) \equiv 1, f(x, u, q, t)=f(u)$, or $h(t) \equiv 1$, $k(x) \equiv 1, a(u) \equiv 1, f(x, u, q, t)=f(u)$, the conclusions of Theorems 1 and 2 still hold true. In this sense, our results extend and supplement the results in [14-16]. In what follows, we present several examples to demonstrate the applications of the abstract results.

Example 1. Let $u$ be a solution of the following problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(u e^{u}\right)_{t}= \nabla \cdot\left(\frac{1}{1+t} e^{|x|^{2}}(1+u) e^{u} \nabla u\right) \\
&+\frac{1}{1+t}\left(e^{-u}+e^{q}\right)\left(e^{-t}+|x|^{2}\right), \quad \text { in } D \times(0, T), \\
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+2 u=0, \quad \text { on } \partial D \times(0, T) \\
& u(x, 0)=2-|x|^{2}, \quad \text { in } \bar{D} \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

where $q=|\nabla u|^{2}, D=\left\{x=\left.\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)| | x\right|^{2}<1\right\}$ is the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Now we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
b(u)=u e^{u}, \quad h(t)=\frac{1}{1+t}, \\
k(x)=e^{|x|^{2}}, \quad a(u)=(1+u) e^{u}, \quad \gamma=2,  \tag{60}\\
f(x, u, q, t)=\frac{1}{1+t}\left(e^{-u}+e^{q}\right)\left(e^{-t}+|x|^{2}\right), \\
u_{0}(x)=2-|x|^{2} .
\end{gather*}
$$

In order to determine the constant $\alpha$, we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=|x|^{2} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then $0 \leq s \leq 1$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha= \max _{\bar{D}} \frac{\nabla \cdot\left(h(0) k(x) a\left(u_{0}\right) \nabla u_{0}\right)+f\left(x, u_{0}, q_{0}, 0\right)}{e^{u_{0}}} \\
&=\max _{\bar{D}}\left\{e^{|x|^{2}}\left(10|x|^{2}-18\right)+\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\right. \\
&\left.\times\left(e^{-4+2|x|^{2}}+e^{-2+5|x|^{2}}\right)\right\}  \tag{62}\\
&=\max _{0 \leq s \leq 1}\left\{e^{s}(10 s-18)+(1+s)\right. \\
&\left.\times\left[e^{-4+2 s}+e^{-2+5 s}\right]\right\}=18.6955 .
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to check that (5)-(7) hold. By Theorem 1, $u$ must be a global solution, and

$$
\begin{align*}
u(x, t) & \leq H^{-1}\left(\alpha t+H\left(u_{0}(x)\right)\right) \\
& =-1+\sqrt[2]{18.6955 t+\left(1+u_{0}(x)\right)^{2}}  \tag{63}\\
& =-1+\sqrt[2]{18.6955 t+\left(3-|x|^{2}\right)^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Example 2. Let $u$ be a solution of the following problem:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(u+\ln u)_{t}=\nabla \cdot\left((1+t) e^{-|x|^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{u}\right) \nabla u\right) \\
+(1+t)\left(e^{u}-e^{-q}\right)\left(6+t|x|^{2}\right), \\
\text { in } D \times(0, T),  \tag{64}\\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+2 u=0, \quad \text { on } \partial D \times(0, T), \\
u(x, 0)=2-|x|^{2}, \quad \text { in } \bar{D}
\end{array}
$$

where $q=|\nabla u|^{2}, D=\left\{x=\left.\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)| | x\right|^{2}<1\right\}$ is the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Now we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
b(u)=u+\ln u, \quad h(t)=1+t, \\
k(x)=e^{-|x|^{2}}, \quad a(u)=1+\frac{1}{u}, \quad \gamma=2,  \tag{65}\\
f(x, u, q, t)=(1+t)\left(e^{u}-e^{-q}\right)\left(6+t|x|^{2}\right), \\
u_{0}(x)=2-|x|^{2} .
\end{gather*}
$$

In order to determine the constant $\beta$, we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=|x|^{2} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then $0 \leq s \leq 1$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta= \min _{\bar{D}} \frac{\nabla \cdot\left(h(0) k(x) a\left(u_{0}\right) \nabla u_{0}\right)+f\left(x, u_{0}, q_{0}, 0\right)}{e^{u_{0}}} \\
&=\min _{\bar{D}}\left\{\frac{4|x|^{6}-26|x|^{4}+50|x|^{2}-36}{\left(2-|x|^{2}\right)^{2} e^{2}}\right. \\
&=\min _{0 \leq s \leq 1}\left\{\frac{4 s^{3}-26 s^{2}+50 s-36}{(2-s)^{2} e^{2}}\right.  \tag{67}\\
&\left.+6\left(1-e^{-3|x|^{2}-2}\right)\right\} \\
&\left.+6\left(1-e^{-3 s-2}\right)\right\}=3.96997 .
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to check that (38)-(40) hold. By Theorem 2, $u$ must blow up in finite time $T$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
T & \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{M_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s \\
& =\frac{1}{3.96997} \int_{2}^{+\infty}\left(1+\frac{1}{s}\right) \frac{1}{e^{s}} d s=0.04641,  \tag{68}\\
u(x, t) & \leq G^{-1}(\beta(T-t))=G^{-1}(3.96997(T-t)),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\int_{z}^{+\infty} \frac{b^{\prime}(s)}{e^{s}} d s=\int_{z}^{+\infty}\left(1+\frac{1}{s}\right) \frac{1}{e^{s}} d s, \quad z \geq 0 \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $G^{-1}$ is the inverse function of $G$.
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