

## Research Article

# Computing Hypercrossed Complex Pairings in Digital Images

Simge Öztunç, Ali Mutlu, and Necdet Bildik

Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science and Arts, Celal Bayar University, 45047 Manisa, Turkey

Correspondence should be addressed to Simge Öztunç; simgeoztunc@gmail.com

Received 3 October 2013; Accepted 9 November 2013

Academic Editor: Abdon Atangana

Copyright © 2013 Simge Öztunç et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We consider an additive group structure in digital images and introduce the commutator in digital images. Then we calculate the hypercrossed complex pairings which generates a normal subgroup in dimension 2 and in dimension 3 by using 8-adjacency and 26-adjacency.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we denote the set of integers by  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  represents the set of lattice points in Euclidean  $n$ -dimensional spaces. A finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  with an adjacency relation is called a digital image.

*Definition 1* (see [1, 2]). Consider the following.

- (1) Two points  $p$  and  $q$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  are 2-adjacent if  $|p - q| = 1$ .
- (2) Two points  $p$  and  $q$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  are 8-adjacent if they are distinct and differ by at most 1 in each coordinate.
- (3) Two points  $p$  and  $q$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  are 4-adjacent if they are 8-adjacent and differ by exactly one coordinate.
- (4) Two points  $p$  and  $q$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  are 26-adjacent if they are distinct and differ by at most 1 in each coordinate.
- (5) Two points  $p$  and  $q$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  are 18-adjacent if they are 26-adjacent and differ in at most two coordinates.
- (6) Two points  $p$  and  $q$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  are 6-adjacent if they are 18-adjacent and differ by exactly one coordinate.

*Definition 2.* Let  $G$  be a subset of a digital image. A simplicial group  $G$  in digital images consists of a sequence of groups  $G$  and collections of group homomorphisms  $d_i : G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1}$

and  $s_i : G_n \rightarrow G_{n+1}$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , that satisfies the following axioms:

$$\begin{aligned} d_i d_j &= d_{j-1} d_i, & i < j, \\ d_i s_j &= s_{j-1} d_i, & i < j, \\ d_j s_j &= d_{j+1} s_j = id, & i = j \text{ or } i = j + 1, \\ d_i s_j &= s_j d_{i-1}, & i > j + 1, \\ s_i s_j &= s_{j+1} s_i, & i \leq j. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

*Definition 3.* Given a simplicial group  $G$  with  $\kappa$ -adjacency, the Moore complex  $(NG, \partial)$  of  $G$  is the chain complex defined by

$$NG_n = \bigcap_{i=0}^{n-1} \text{Ker } d_i, \quad (2)$$

with  $\partial : NG_n \rightarrow NG_{n-1}$  induced from  $d_n$  by restriction.

The  $n$ th homology group of the Moore complex of  $G$  is

$$H_n(NG, \partial) = \frac{\bigcap_{i=0}^n \text{Ker } d_i}{d_{n+1} \left( \bigcap_{i=0}^n \text{Ker } d_i \right)}. \quad (3)$$

## 2. Hypercrossed Complex Pairings in Digital Images

First of all we adapt ideas from Carrasco and Cegarra [3–5] to get the construction in digital images. We define a set  $P(n)$

consisting of pairs of elements  $(\alpha, \beta)$  from  $S(n)$  with  $\alpha \cap \beta = \emptyset$  and  $\beta < \alpha$ , with respect to lexicographic ordering in  $S(n)$  where  $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_1)$  and  $\beta = (j_m, \dots, j_1) \in S(n)$ .

Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} NG_{n-\#\alpha} \times NG_{n-\#\beta} & \xrightarrow{F_{\alpha,\beta}} & NG_n \\ \downarrow s_\alpha \times s_\beta & & \downarrow p \\ G_n \times G_n & \xrightarrow{\mu} & G_n \end{array} \quad (4)$$

$$\{F_{\alpha,\beta}: NG_{n-\#\alpha} \times NG_{n-\#\beta} \rightarrow NG_n: (\alpha, \beta) \in P(n), n \geq 0\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_\alpha &= s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_1}: NG_{n-\#\alpha} \rightarrow G_n, \\ s_\beta &= s_{j_m} \cdots s_{j_1}: NG_{n-\#\beta} \rightarrow G_n, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and define  $p: G_n \rightarrow NG_n$  and  $p(x) = p_{n-1} \cdots p_0(x)$  as  $p_j(z) = z - s_j d_j z$  and  $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ . Since a digital image has the additive group structure, define the commutator as

$$[x, y] = xy - yx. \quad (6)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu: G_n \times G_n &\rightarrow G_n, \\ F_{\alpha,\beta}(x_\alpha, y_\beta) &= p\mu(s_\alpha \times s_\beta)(x_\alpha, y_\beta) \\ &= p[s_\alpha x_\alpha, s_\beta y_\beta]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The normal subgroup  $NG_n$  of  $G_n$  is generated by the elements of the form

$$F_{\alpha,\beta}(x_\alpha, y_\beta), \quad (8)$$

where  $x_\alpha \in NG_{n-\#\alpha}$  and  $y_\beta \in NG_{n-\#\beta}$ .

**Theorem 4.** 2-dimensional normal subgroup  $N_2$  with 8-adjacency is generated by the elements of the form

$$[s_0 x_1 - s_1 x_1, s_1 y_1]. \quad (9)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = (1)$  and  $\beta = (0)$  for  $n = 2$ . For  $x_1$  and  $y_1 \in NG_1 = \text{Ker } d_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(0),(1)}(x_1, y_1) &= p_1 p_0 [s_0 x_1, s_1 y_1] \\ &= p_1 \{[s_0 x_1, s_1 y_1] - s_0 d_0 [s_0 x_1, s_1 y_1]\} \\ &= [s_0 x_1 - s_1 x_1, s_1 y_1]. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Thus  $F_{(0),(1)}(x_1, y_1) = [s_0 x_1 - s_1 x_1, s_1 y_1]$  and this is the element generating  $N_2$  normal subgroups.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.** 3-dimensional normal subgroup  $N_3$  with 26-adjacency is generated by the elements of the following forms:

- (i)  $[s_1 s_0 x_1 - s_0 s_1 x_1, s_2 y_2]$ ,
- (ii)  $[s_2 s_0 x_1 - s_2 s_1 x_1, s_1 y_2 - s_2 y_2]$ ,
- (iii)  $[s_0 x_2 - s_1 x_2 + s_2 x_2, s_1 s_1 y_1]$ ,
- (iv)  $[s_1 x_2 - s_2 x_2, s_2 y_2]$ ,
- (v)  $[s_0 x_2, s_2 y_2]$ ,
- (vi)  $[s_0 x_2 - s_1 x_2, s_1 y_2] + [s_2 x_2, s_2 y_2]$ .

*Proof.* For  $n = 3$  the possible pairings are the following:

- (i)  $F_{(1,0)(2)}$ ,
- (ii)  $F_{(2,0)(1)}$ ,
- (iii)  $F_{(0)(2,1)}$ ,
- (iv)  $F_{(1)(2)}$ ,
- (v)  $F_{(0)(2)}$ ,
- (vi)  $F_{(0)(1)}$ .

For all  $x_1 \in NG_1$  and  $y_2 \in NG_2$  the corresponding generators of  $N_3$  are the following with  $F_{\alpha,\beta}: NG_1 \times NG_2 \rightarrow NG_3$  and for  $n = 3$ ,  $p(x) = p_2 p_1 p_0(x)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad F_{(1,0)(2)}(x_1, y_2) &= p[s_1 s_0 x_1, s_2 y_2] \\ &= p_2 p_1 p_0 [s_1 s_0 x_1, s_2 y_2] \\ &= [s_1 s_0 x_1 - s_2 s_1 x_1, s_2 y_2], \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) \quad F_{(2,0)(1)}(x_1, y_2) &= p[s_2 s_0 x_1, s_1 y_2] \\ &= p_2 p_1 p_0 [s_2 s_0 x_1, s_1 y_2] \\ &= [s_2 s_0 x_1 - s_2 s_1 x_1, s_1 y_2 - s_2 y_2]. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

For all  $x_2 \in NG_2$  and  $y_1 \in NG_1$  and considering the map  $F_{\alpha,\beta}: NG_2 \times NG_1 \rightarrow NG_3$ , the corresponding generator of  $N_3$  is

$$\begin{aligned} (iii) \quad F_{(0)(2,1)}(x_2, y_1) &= p[s_0 x_2, s_2 s_1 y_1] \\ &= p_2 p_1 p_0 [s_0 x_2, s_2 s_1 y_1] \\ &= [s_0 x_2 - s_1 x_2 + s_2 x_2, s_2 s_1 y_1]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

For all  $x_2, y_2 \in NG_2$  and for  $F_{\alpha,\beta}: NG_2 \times NG_2 \rightarrow NG_3$  the corresponding generators of  $N_3$  are

$$\begin{aligned} (iv) \quad F_{(1)(2)}(x_2, y_2) &= p[s_1 x_2, s_2 y_2] \\ &= p_2 p_1 p_0 [s_1 x_2, s_2 y_2] \\ &= [s_1 x_2 - s_2 x_2, s_2 y_2], \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

(v)

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(0)(2)}(x_2, y_2) &= p[s_0 x_2, s_2 y_2] \\ &= p_2 p_1 p_0 [s_0 x_2, s_2 y_2] \\ &= [s_0 x_2, s_2 y_2], \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

(vi)

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{(0)(1)}(x_2, y_2) &= p[s_0x_2, s_1y_2] \\
&= p_2p_1p_0[s_0x_2, s_1y_2] \\
&= [s_0x_2 - s_1x_2, s_1y_2] + [s_2x_2, s_2y_2]. \quad \square
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

**Theorem 6.** Let  $NG_2$  be a 2-dimensional Moore complex of a simplicial group  $\mathbf{G}$ . Then  $\partial_2(NG_2) = [\text{Ker } d_0, \text{Ker } d_1]$  where  $\partial_2$  is induced from  $d_2$  by restriction.

*Proof.* For  $n = 2$ , assume that  $\alpha = (0)$ ,  $\beta = (1)$ , and  $x_1, y_1 \in NG_1 = \text{Ker } d_0$ . Now calculate  $d_n F_{\alpha, \beta}$ .

Since  $F_{(0)(1)}(x_1, y_1) = [s_0x_1 - s_1x_1, s_1y_1]$ , from Proposition 5

$$\begin{aligned}
d_2 F_{(0)(1)}(x_1, y_1) &= \left[ d_2 s_0 x_1 - \underbrace{d_2 s_1 x_1}_{id}, \underbrace{d_2 s_1 y_1}_{id} \right] \\
&= [s_0 d_1 x_1 - x_1, y_1].
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

At first we investigate whether  $s_0 d_1 x_1 - x_1$  is in  $\text{Ker } d_0$  or not.

$$\underbrace{d_0 s_0 d_1 x_1 - d_0 x_1}_{id} = d_1 x_1; \tag{18}$$

therefore  $s_0 d_1 x_1 - x_1 \notin \text{Ker } d_0$ .

Secondly we examine whether  $s_0 d_1 x_1 - x_1$  is in  $\text{Ker } d_1$  or not.

Since

$$\underbrace{d_1 s_0 d_1 x_1 - d_1 x_1}_{id} = d_1 x_1 - d_1 x_1 = 0, \quad s_0 d_1 x_1 - x_1 \in \text{Ker } d_1. \tag{19}$$

From the assumption  $y_1 \in \text{Ker } d_0$ , we get

$$F_{(0)(1)}(x_1, y_1) \in [\text{Ker } d_1, \text{Ker } d_0]. \tag{20}$$

□

**Theorem 7.** Let  $NG_3$  be a 3-dimensional Moore complex of a simplicial group  $\mathbf{G}$  with 26-adjacency. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_3(NG_3) &\subseteq [\text{Ker } d_2, \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_1] \\
&\quad + [\text{Ker } d_1, \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_2] \\
&\quad + [\text{Ker } d_1 \cap \text{Ker } d_2, \text{Ker } d_0] \\
&\quad + [\text{Ker } d_1, \text{Ker } d_0] \\
&\quad + [\text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_2, \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_1] \\
&\quad + [\text{Ker } d_2, \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_1],
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where  $\partial_3$  is induced from  $d_3$  by restriction.

*Proof.* For  $n = 3$  investigate  $d_n F_{\alpha, \beta}$  where  $x_1 \in NG_1$  and  $y_2 \in NG_2 = \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_1$ .

From Proposition 5 we have  $F_{(1,0)(2)}(x_1, y_2) = [s_1 s_0 x_1 - s_2 s_0 x_1, s_2 y_2]$ . Then applying  $d_3$  to  $F_{(1,0)(2)}(x_1, y_2)$ , we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
d_3 F_{(1,0)(2)}(x_1, y_2) &= \left[ d_3 s_1 s_0 x_1 - \underbrace{d_3 s_2 s_0 x_1}_{id}, \underbrace{d_3 s_2 y_2}_{id} \right] \\
&= [s_1 d_2 s_0 x_1 - s_0 x_1, y_2] \\
&= [s_1 s_0 d_1 x_1 - s_0 x_1, y_2].
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Firstly, examine whether  $s_1 s_0 d_1 x_1 - s_0 x_1$  is in  $\text{Ker } d_0$  or not:

$$\begin{aligned}
d_0(s_1 s_0 d_1 x_1 - s_0 x_1) &= d_0 s_1 s_0 d_1 x_1 - d_0 s_0 x_1 \\
&= \underbrace{s_0 d_0 s_0 d_1 x_1}_{id} - \underbrace{d_0 s_0 x_1}_{id} \\
&= s_0 d_1 x_1 - x_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

So  $s_1 s_0 d_1 x_1 - s_0 x_1 \notin \text{Ker } d_0$ .

Secondly we investigate whether  $s_1 s_0 d_1 x_1 - s_0 x_1$  is in  $\text{Ker } d_1$  or not:

$$\begin{aligned}
d_1(s_1 s_0 d_1 x_1 - s_0 x_1) &= d_1 s_1 s_0 d_1 x_1 - d_1 s_0 x_1 \\
&= \underbrace{d_1 s_1 s_0 d_1 x_1}_{id} - \underbrace{d_1 s_0 x_1}_{id} \\
&= s_0 d_1 x_1 - x_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Therefore  $s_1 s_0 d_1 x_1 - s_0 x_1 \notin \text{Ker } d_1$ .

Finally we check whether  $s_1 s_0 d_1 x_1 - s_0 x_1$  is in  $\text{Ker } d_2$  or not.

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
d_2(s_1 s_0 d_1 x_1 - s_0 x_1) &= \underbrace{d_2 s_1 s_0 d_1 x_1}_{id} - d_2 s_0 x_1 \\
&= s_0 d_1 x_1 - s_0 d_1 x_1 = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

therefore  $s_1 s_0 d_1 x_1 - s_0 x_1 \in \text{Ker } d_2$ .

We get

$$F_{(0,1)(2)}(x_1, y_1) \in [\text{Ker } d_2, \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_1], \tag{26}$$

since  $y_2 \in \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_1$ .

If

$$F_{(2,0)(1)}(x_1, y_2) = [s_2 s_0 x_1 - s_2 s_1 x_1, s_1 y_2 - s_2 y_2], \tag{27}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
d_3 F_{(2,0)(1)}(x_1, y_2) &= d_3([s_2 s_0 x_1 - s_2 s_1 x_1, s_1 y_2 - s_2 y_2]) \\
&= \left[ \underbrace{d_3 s_2 s_0 x_1}_{id} - \underbrace{d_3 s_2 s_1 x_1}_{id}, \underbrace{d_3 s_1 y_2 - d_3 s_2 y_2}_{id} \right] \\
&= [s_0 x_1 - s_1 x_1, s_1 d_2 y_2 - y_2].
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

At first we check whether  $s_0x_1 - s_1x_1$  is in  $\text{Ker } d_0$ ,  $\text{Ker } d_1$ , and  $\text{Ker } d_2$  or not.

$$d_0(s_0x_1 - s_1x_1) = \underbrace{d_0s_0}_{id}x_1 - d_0s_1x_1 = x_1 - d_0s_1x_1. \quad (29)$$

Thus  $s_0x_1 - s_1x_1 \notin \text{Ker } d_0$ .

Next, since

$$d_1(s_0x_1 - s_1x_1) = \underbrace{d_1s_0}_{id}x_1 - \underbrace{d_1s_1}_{id}x_1 = x_1 - x_1 = 0, \quad (30)$$

$$s_0x_1 - s_1x_1 \in \text{Ker } d_1,$$

and, finally,

$$d_2(s_0x_1 - s_1x_1) = d_2s_0x_1 - \underbrace{d_2s_1}_{id}x_1 = s_0d_1x_1 - x_1 \notin \text{Ker } d_2. \quad (31)$$

Now examine whether  $s_1d_2y_2 - y_2$  is in  $\text{Ker } d_0$ ,  $\text{Ker } d_1$ , and  $\text{Ker } d_2$  or not:

$$\begin{aligned} d_0(s_1d_2y_2 - y_2) &= d_0s_1d_2y_2 - d_0y_2 \\ &= s_0d_0d_2y_2 - d_0y_2 \\ &= s_0d_1\underbrace{d_0y_2}_{=0} - \underbrace{d_0y_2}_{=0} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Therefore  $s_1d_2y_2 - y_2 \in \text{Ker } d_0$ . We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(s_1d_2y_2 - y_2) &= \underbrace{d_1s_1}_{id}d_2y_2 - \underbrace{d_1y_2}_{=0} = d_2y_2 \notin \text{Ker } d_1; \\ d_2(s_1d_2y_2 - y_2) &= \underbrace{d_2s_1}_{id}d_2y_2 - d_2y_2 = d_2y_2 - d_2y_2 = 0 \\ &\implies s_1d_2y_2 - y_2 \in \text{Ker } d_2. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

So  $F_{(2,0)(1)}(x_1, y_2) = [\text{Ker } d_1, \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_2]$ .

For all  $x_2 \in NG_2$  and  $y_1 \in NG_1$  if

$$F_{(0)(2,1)}(x_2, y_1) = [s_0x_2 - s_1x_2 + s_2x_2, s_1s_1y_1], \quad (34)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} d_3F_{(0)(2,1)}(x_2, y_1) &= d_3([s_0x_2 - s_1x_2 + s_2x_2, s_2s_1y_1]) \\ &= \left[ d_3s_0x_2 - d_3s_1x_2 + \underbrace{d_3s_2}_{id}x_2, \underbrace{d_3s_2s_1}_{id}y_1 \right] \\ &= [s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2, s_1y_1]. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Firstly investigate whether  $s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2$  is in  $\text{Ker } d_0$ ,  $\text{Ker } d_1$ , and  $\text{Ker } d_2$  or not:

$$\begin{aligned} d_0(s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2) &= \underbrace{d_0s_0}_{id}d_2x_2 - d_0s_1d_2x_2 + \underbrace{d_0x_2}_{=0} \\ &= d_2x_2 - s_0d_1\underbrace{d_0x_2}_{=0} = d_2x_2. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Thereby  $s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2 \notin \text{Ker } d_0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2) &= \underbrace{d_1s_0}_{id}d_2x_2 - \underbrace{d_1s_1}_{id}d_2x_2 + \underbrace{d_1x_2}_{=0} \\ &= d_2x_2 - d_2x_2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

For this reason  $s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2 \in \text{Ker } d_1$ . We also have

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2) &= d_2s_0d_2x_2 - \underbrace{d_2s_1}_{id}d_2x_2 + d_2x_2 \\ &= s_0d_1d_2x_2 - d_2x_2 + d_2x_2 \\ &= s_0d_1\underbrace{d_1x_2}_{=0} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Hence  $s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2 \in \text{Ker } d_2$ .

Later on we research whether  $s_2s_1y_1$  is in  $\text{Ker } d_0$ ,  $\text{Ker } d_1$ , and  $\text{Ker } d_2$  or not.

Since  $d_0(s_1y_1) = \underbrace{s_0d_0}_{=0}y_1 = 0$ ,  $s_1y_1 \in \text{Ker } d_0$ .

Since  $d_1(s_1y_1) = \underbrace{d_1s_1}_{id}y_1 = y_1$ ,  $s_1y_1 \notin \text{Ker } d_1$ .

Since  $d_2(s_1y_1) = \underbrace{d_2s_1}_{id}y_1 = y_1$ ,  $s_1y_1 \notin \text{Ker } d_2$ .

Thus  $d_3F_{(0)(2,1)}(x_2, y_1) \in [\text{Ker } d_1 \cap \text{Ker } d_2, \text{Ker } d_0]$ .

For all  $x_2, y_2 \in NG_2$  since  $F_{(0)(2)}(x_2, y_2) = [s_0x_2, s_2y_2]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d_3F_{(0)(2)}(x_2, y_2) &= d_3([s_0x_2, s_2y_2]) \\ &= \left[ d_3s_0x_2, \underbrace{d_3s_2}_{id}y_2 \right] \\ &= [s_0d_2x_2, y_2]. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

By using properties of the commutator we have

$$\begin{aligned} &[s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2, y_2] \\ &= [s_0d_2x_2 + (x_2 - s_1d_2x_2), y_2] \\ &= [s_0d_2x_2, y_2] + [x_2 - s_1d_2x_2, y_2], \\ &[s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2, y_2] + [y_2, x_2 - s_1d_2x_2] \\ &= [s_0d_2x_2, y_2] \\ &= d_3F_{(0)(2)}(x_2, y_2). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d_3F_{(0)(2)}(x_2, y_2) &\in [\text{Ker } d_1 \cap \text{Ker } d_2, \text{Ker } d_1 \cap \text{Ker } d_0] \\ &\quad + [\text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_1, \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_2]. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

If  $F_{(1)(2)}(x_2, y_2) = [s_1x_2 - s_2x_2, s_2y_2]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} d_3F_{(1)(2)}(x_2, y_2) &= d_3([s_1x_2 - s_2x_2, s_2y_2]) \\ &= \left[ d_3s_1x_2 - \underbrace{d_3s_2x_2}_{id}, \underbrace{d_3s_2y_2}_{id} \right] \\ &= [s_1d_2x_2 - x_2, y_2]. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Firstly we check whether  $s_1d_2x_2 - x_2$  is in  $\text{Ker } d_0$ ,  $\text{Ker } d_1$ , and  $\text{Ker } d_2$  or not:

$$\begin{aligned} d_0(s_1d_2x_2 - x_2) &= d_0s_1d_2x_2 - \underbrace{d_0x_2}_{=0} \\ &= s_0d_0d_2x_2 \\ &= s_0d_1\underbrace{d_0x_2}_{=0} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Therefore  $s_1d_2x_2 - x_2 \in \text{Ker } d_0$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(s_1d_2x_2 - x_2) &= \underbrace{d_1s_1d_2x_2}_{id} - \underbrace{d_1x_2}_{=0} \\ &= d_2x_2, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

$s_1d_2x_2 - x_2 \notin \text{Ker } d_1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(s_1d_2x_2 - x_2) &= \underbrace{d_2s_1d_2x_2}_{id} - d_2x_2 \\ &= d_2x_2 - d_2x_2 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Hence  $s_1d_2x_2 - x_2 \in \text{Ker } d_2$ .

Because of the case  $y_2 \in \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_1$ ,

$$d_3F_{(1)(2)}(x_2, y_2) \in [\text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_2, \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_1]. \quad (46)$$

If  $F_{(0)(1)}(x_2, y_2) = [s_0x_2 - s_1x_2, s_1y_2] + [s_2x_2, s_2y_2]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} d_3F_{(0)(1)}(x_2, y_2) &= [d_3s_0x_2 - d_3s_1x_2, s_1y_2] + \left[ \underbrace{d_3s_2x_2}_{id}, \underbrace{d_3s_2y_2}_{id} \right] \\ &= [s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2, s_1d_2y_2] + [x_2, y_2]. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Consider the following commutator:

$$[s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2, s_1d_2y_2 - y_2], \quad (48)$$

and code the terms of this commutator such as

$$\begin{aligned} a &= s_0d_2x_2, & b &= s_1d_2y_2, \\ c &= s_1d_2x_2, & d &= x_2, & e &= y_2, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

in order to simplify the algebraic operations. Thus, by using the properties and definition of the commutator we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} [a - c + d, b - e] &= [a - c, b] + [d, e], \\ (a - c + d)(b - e) - (b - e)(a - c + d) &= ab - cb + db - ae + ce - de \\ &\quad - \{ba - bc + bd - ea + ec - ed\}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Consider the following cases:

$$\begin{aligned} ab - cb - ba + bc &= (a - c)b - b(a - c) \\ &= [a - c, b], \\ ce - de - ec + ed &= (c - d)e - e(c - d) \\ &= [c - d, e]. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

And from the remaining terms we get

$$\begin{aligned} db - bd - [d, e] &= db - bd - de + ed \\ &= d(b - e) - (b - e)d \\ &= [d, b - e]. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Consequently for  $n = 3$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_3(NG_3) &\subseteq [\text{Ker } d_2, \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_1] \\ &\quad + [\text{Ker } d_1, \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_2] \\ &\quad + [\text{Ker } d_1 \cap \text{Ker } d_2, \text{Ker } d_0] \\ &\quad + [\text{Ker } d_1, \text{Ker } d_0] \\ &\quad + [\text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_2, \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_1] \\ &\quad + [\text{Ker } d_2, \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_1]. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

□

**Corollary 8.** Let  $NG_3$  be a 3-dimensional Moore complex of a simplicial group  $\mathbf{G}$  with 26-adjacency. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_3(NG_3) &\subseteq [\text{Ker } d_2, \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_1] \\ &\quad + [\text{Ker } d_1, \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_2] \\ &\quad + [\text{Ker } d_1 \cap \text{Ker } d_2, \text{Ker } d_0] \\ &\quad + [\text{Ker } d_1, \text{Ker } d_0] \\ &\quad + [\text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_2, \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_1] \\ &\quad + [\text{Ker } d_2, \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_1]. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

*Proof.* Otherwise inclusion for the previous theorem is obtained from [4, 5]. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_3 (NG_3) = & [\text{Ker } d_2, \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_1] \\
 & + [\text{Ker } d_1, \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_2] \\
 & + [\text{Ker } d_1 \cap \text{Ker } d_2, \text{Ker } d_0] \\
 & + [\text{Ker } d_1, \text{Ker } d_0] \\
 & + [\text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_2, \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_1] \\
 & + [\text{Ker } d_2, \text{Ker } d_0 \cap \text{Ker } d_1].
 \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

□

### 3. Conclusion

In this paper for dimension 2 and dimension 3, we obtained the Moore complex of simplicial groups generated by hypercrossed complex pairings in digital images.

### References

- [1] L. Boxer, "Digitally continuous functions," *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 15, no. 8, pp. 833–839, 1994.
- [2] I. Karaca, L. Boxer, and A. Öztel, "Topological Invariants in digital images," *Journal of Mathematical Science*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 109–140, 2011.
- [3] P. Carrasco and A. M. Cegarra, "Group-theoretic algebraic models for homotopy types," *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, vol. 75, no. 3, pp. 195–235, 1991.
- [4] A. Mutlu, *Peiffer pairings in the moore complex of a simplicial group [Ph.D. thesis]*, University of Wales, Bangor, UK, 1997.
- [5] A. Mutlu and T. Porter, "Application of Peiffer pairings in the Moore complex of a simplicial Group," *Theory and Applications of Categories*, vol. 4, no. 7, pp. 148–173, 1998.