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We obtain the Lipschitz boundedness for a class of fractional multilinear operators with rough kernels on variable exponent Lebesgue spaces. Our results generalize the related conclusions on Lebesgue spaces with constant exponent.

## 1. Introduction and Results

Let $0<\alpha<n, \Omega \in L^{s}\left(S^{n-1}\right)(s>n /(n-\alpha))$ is homogeneous of degree zero on $R^{n}$, $S^{n-1}$ denotes the unit sphere in $R^{n}$, the fractional multilinear singular integral operator with rough kernel $T_{\Omega, \alpha, A}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\Omega, \alpha, A} f(x)=\int_{R^{n}} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} R_{m}(A ; x, y) f(y) d y, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{m}(A ; x, y)$ denotes the $m$ th remainder of the Taylor series of a function $A$ defined on $R^{n}$ at $x$ about $y$. More precisely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{m}(A ; x, y)=A(x)-\sum_{|\gamma|<m} \frac{1}{\gamma!} D^{\gamma} A(y)(x-y)^{\gamma} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding fractional multilinear maximal operator is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{\Omega, \alpha, A} f(x)= & \sup _{r>0} \frac{1}{r^{n-\alpha+m-1}} \\
& \times \int_{|x-y|<r}|\Omega(x-y)|\left|R_{m}(A ; x, y)\right||f(y)| d y . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Multilinear operator was first introduced by Calderón in [1], and then Meyer [2] studied it in depth and extended such type of operators. Multilinear singular integral operator was later introduced by Professor Lu during 1999 [3]. Especially as $m=1$, the fractional multilinear singular integral operator $T_{\Omega, \alpha, A}$ is obviously the commutator operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[A, T_{\Omega, \alpha}\right] f(x)=A(x) T_{\Omega, \alpha} f(x)-T_{\Omega, \alpha}(A f)(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the commutator is a typical non-convolution singular operator. Since the commutator has a close relation with partial differential equations and pseudo-differential operator, multilinear operator has been receiving more widely attention.

It is well known that the boundedness of $T_{\Omega, \alpha, A}$ and $M_{\Omega, \alpha, A}$ had been obtained on Lebesgue spaces in [4-7]. However, the corresponding results have not been obtained on $L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)$. Nowadays, there is an evident increase of investigations related to both the theory of the spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$ themselves and the operator theory in these spaces [8-11]. This is caused by possible applications to models with nonstandard local growth in elasticity theory, fluid mechanics, and differential equations [12-14]. The purpose of this paper is to study the behaviour of $T_{\Omega, \alpha, A}$ and $M_{\Omega, \alpha, A}$ on variable Lebesgue spaces.

To state the main results of this paper, we need to recall some notions.

Definition 1. Suppose a measurable function $p(\cdot): R^{n} \rightarrow$ $[1, \infty)$, for some $\lambda>0$, then, the variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)$ is defined by
$L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)=\left\{f\right.$ is measurable : $\left.\int_{R^{n}}\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right)^{p(x)} d x<\infty\right\}$,
with norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \int_{R^{n}}\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right)^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{-}=\operatorname{essinf}\left\{p(x): x \in R^{n}\right\} \\
& p_{+}=\operatorname{esssup}\left\{p(x): x \in R^{n}\right\} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Using this notation we define a class of variable exponent as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(R^{n}\right)=\left\{p(\cdot): R^{n} \longrightarrow[1, \infty), p_{-}>1, p_{+}<\infty\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exponent $p^{\prime}(\cdot)$ means the conjugate of $p(\cdot)$, namely, $1 / p(x)+1 / p^{\prime}(x)=1$ holds.

Definition 2. For $\beta>0$, the homogeneous Lipschitz space $\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}$ is the space of functions $f$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}}=\sup _{x, h \in R^{n}, h \neq 0} \frac{\left|\Delta_{h}^{[\beta]+1} f(x)\right|}{|h|^{\beta}}<\infty \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{h}^{1} f(x)=f(x+h)-f(x), \Delta_{h}^{k+1} f(x)=\Delta_{h}^{k} f(x+h)-$ $\Delta_{h}^{k} f(x), k \geq 1$.

Definition 3. For $0<\alpha<n$, the fractional integral operator with rough kernel is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{\Omega, \alpha} f(x) & =\int_{R^{n}} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} f(y) d y, \\
\bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha} f(x)= & \int_{R^{n}} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}}|f(y)| d y . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

The corresponding fractional maximal operator with rough kernel is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\Omega, \alpha} f(x)=\sup _{r>0} \frac{1}{r^{n-\alpha}} \int_{|x-y|<r}|\Omega(x-y)||f(y)| d y \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\alpha=0, T_{\Omega, \alpha}$ is much more closely related to the elliptic partial equations of second order with variable coefficients. In 1955, Calderón and Zygmund [15] proved the $L^{p}$ boundedness. In 1971, Muckenhoupt and Wheeden [16] proved the $\left(L^{p}, L^{q}\right)$ boundedness of $T_{\Omega, \alpha}$ with power weights.

In this paper, we state some properties of variable exponents belonging to class $B\left(R^{n}\right)$.

Proposition 4. If $p(\cdot) \in \Phi\left(R^{n}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
|p(x)-p(y)| \leq \frac{-C}{\log (|x-y|)}, \quad|x-y| \leq \frac{1}{2} \\
|p(x)-p(y)| \leq \frac{C}{\log (e+|x|)}, \quad|y| \geq|x| \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, one has $p(\cdot) \in B\left(R^{n}\right)$.
Recently, Mitsuo Izuki has proved the condition as below.
Theorem A (see [17]). Suppose that $p(\cdot) \in \Phi\left(R^{n}\right)$ satisfies conditions (12) in Proposition 4. Let $0<\alpha<n / p_{+}$, and define the variable exponent $q(\cdot)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p(x)}-\frac{1}{q(x)}=\frac{\alpha}{n} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, one has that for all $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left[b, I^{\alpha}\right] f\right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)} \leq C\|b\|_{B M O\left(R^{n}\right)}\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)$ and $b \in \operatorname{BMO}\left(R^{n}\right)$.
Next, we will discuss the boundedness of $T_{\Omega, \alpha, A}$ and $M_{\Omega, \alpha, A}$ on variable Lebesgue spaces. We can get $T_{\Omega, \alpha, A}$ and $M_{\Omega, \alpha, A}$ are bounded from $L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)$ to $L^{q(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)$. In fact, the results generalize Theorem $A$ in [17] from classical Lebesgue spaces to variable exponent Lebesgue spaces. Now, let us formulate our results as follows.

Theorem 5. Suppose that $p(\cdot) \in \Phi\left(R^{n}\right)$ satisfies conditions (12) in Proposition 4. Let $0<\alpha<n / p_{+}, 0<\beta<1$, $0<\alpha+\beta<n / p_{+}$, and $1<p_{+}<n /(\alpha+\beta)$, and define the variable exponent $q(\cdot)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{q(x)}-\frac{1}{p(x)}=\frac{\alpha+\beta}{n} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $D^{\gamma} A \in \dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}(|\gamma|=m-1)$, then, there is $a C>0$, independent of $f$ and $A$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\Omega, \alpha, A} f\right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)} \leq C\left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}}\right)\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 6. Suppose that $p(\cdot) \in \Phi\left(R^{n}\right)$ satisfies conditions (12) in Proposition 4. Let $0<\alpha<n / p_{+}, 0<\beta<1$, $0<\alpha+\beta<n / p_{+}$, and $1<p_{+}<n /(\alpha+\beta)$, and define the variable exponent $q(\cdot)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{q(x)}-\frac{1}{p(x)}=\frac{\alpha+\beta}{n} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $D^{\gamma} A \in \dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}(|\gamma|=m-1)$, then, there is $a C>0$, independent of $f$ and $A$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M_{\Omega, \alpha, A} f\right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)} \leq C\left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}}\right)\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 7. We point out that $C$ will denote positive constants whose values may change at different places.

## 2. Lemmas and Proof of Theorems

Lemma 8 (see [15]). Let $A(x)$ be a function on $R^{n}$ with $m$ th order derivatives in $L_{l o c}^{l}\left(R^{n}\right)$ for some $l>n$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|R_{m}(A ; x, y)\right| \\
& \quad \leq C|x-y|^{m} \sum_{|r|=m}\left(\frac{1}{\left|Q_{x}^{y}\right|} \int_{Q_{x}^{y}}\left|D^{\gamma} A(z)\right|^{l} d z\right)^{1 / l} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q_{x}^{y}$ is the cube centered at $x$ and having diameter $5 \sqrt{n}|x-y|$.

Lemma 9 (see [18]). For $0<\beta<1,1 \leq q<\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|f\|_{\Lambda_{\beta}} & =\sup _{Q} \frac{1}{|Q|^{1+\beta / n}} \int_{Q}\left|f(x)-m_{Q}(f)\right| d x \\
& \approx \sup _{Q} \frac{1}{|Q|^{\beta / n}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|f(x)-m_{Q}(f)\right|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 10 (see [18]). Let $Q^{*} \subset Q, g \in \dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}(0<\beta<1)$, then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|m_{Q^{*}}(g)-m_{Q}(g)\right| \leq C|Q|^{\beta / n}\|g\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We state the following important lemma.
Lemma 11. Suppose $0<\alpha<n, 0<\beta<1$, with $0<\alpha+\beta<n$, $\Omega \in L^{s}\left(S^{n-1}\right)(s>n /(n-(\alpha+\beta))), D^{\gamma} A \in \dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}$. Then, there exists a constant $C$ only depends on $m, n, \alpha$, and $\beta$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{\Omega, \alpha, A} f(x)\right| \leq C\left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}}\right) \bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta} f(x) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For any $x \in R^{n}$, let the cube be centered at $x$ and having the diameter be $l$, where $l>0$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
T_{\Omega, \alpha, A} & f(x) \\
& =\left(\int_{Q}+\int_{Q^{c}}\right) \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} R_{m}(A ; x, y) f(y) d y \\
\quad:=H_{1}+H_{2} \tag{23}
\end{array}
$$

Below, we give estimates of $H_{1}$. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|H_{1}\right| & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-j} \mathrm{Q} \mid 2^{-j-1} \mathrm{Q}} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)|\left|R_{m}(A ; x, y)\right|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+m-1}}|f(y)| d y \\
& \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-j} \mathrm{Q} \backslash 2^{-j-1} \mathrm{Q}} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)|\left|R_{m}\left(A_{2^{-j} \mathrm{Q}} ; x, y\right)\right|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+m-1}}|f(y)| d y . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $A_{2^{-j} Q}(y)=A(y)-\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}(1 / \gamma!) m_{2^{-j} Q}\left(D^{\gamma} A\right) y^{r}$. When $y \in 2^{-j} Q \backslash 2^{-j-1} Q$, by Lemmas 8,9 , and 10 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{m}\left(A_{2^{-j} Q} ; x, y\right)\right| \leq C\left(2^{-j} l\right)^{\beta}|x-y|^{m-1} \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $|x-y| \geq 2^{-j-1}$, we have $|x-y|^{\beta} \geq 2^{-\beta}\left(2^{-j} l\right)^{\beta}$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|H_{1}\right| \leq C\left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A_{k}\right\|_{\dot{\lambda}_{\beta}}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(2^{-j} l\right)^{\beta} \int_{2^{-j} \mathrm{Q} \mid 2^{-j-1} \mathrm{Q}} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)||f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} d y \\
& \leq C\left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-j-j} Q^{2-j-1} \mathrm{Q}} \frac{\left(2^{-j} l\right)^{\beta}|\Omega(x-y)||f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} d y \\
& \leq C\left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\Lambda_{\beta}}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-j} \mathrm{Q} \mid 2^{-j-1} \mathrm{Q}} \frac{2^{\beta}|x-y|^{\beta}|\Omega(x-y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}}|f(y)| d y \\
& \leq C\left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}}\right) \int_{Q} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)||f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-(\alpha+\beta)}} d y \\
& \leq C\left(\sum_{|y|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}}\right) \int_{R^{n}} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)||f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-(\alpha+\beta)}} d y \\
& =C\left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\lambda_{\beta}}\right) \bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta} f(x) \text {. } \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Below, we give the estimates of $H_{2}$. For $0<\alpha+\beta<n$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|H_{2}\right| & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j+1} \mathrm{Q} \mid 2^{j} \mathrm{Q}} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)|\left|R_{m}(A ; x, y)\right|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+m-1}}|f(y)| d y \\
& \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j+1} \mathrm{Q} \backslash 2^{j} \mathrm{Q}} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)|\left|R_{m}\left(A_{2^{j+1} \mathrm{Q}} ; x, y\right)\right|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+m-1}}|f(y)| d y . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

For any $y \in 2^{j+1} Q \backslash 2^{j} Q$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2^{j+1} \mathrm{Q}}(y)=A(y)-\sum_{|\gamma|_{m-1}} \frac{1}{\gamma!} m_{2^{j+1} \mathrm{Q}}\left(D^{\gamma} A\right) . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by Lemmas 8 and 9, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|R_{m}\left(A_{2^{j+1}} ; x, y\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq C\left(2^{j} l\right)^{\beta}|x-y|^{m-1} \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

And for $|x-y| \geq 2^{j} l$, we have $|x-y|^{\beta} \geq\left(2^{j} l\right)^{\beta}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|H_{2}\right| \leq & \left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(2^{j} l\right)^{\beta} \int_{2^{j+1} Q \mid 2^{j} Q} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)||f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} d y \\
\leq & C\left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j+1} Q \mid 2^{j} Q} \frac{|x-y|^{\beta}|\Omega(x-y)||f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} d y \\
\leq & C\left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j+1} Q \mid 2^{j} Q} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)||f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-(\alpha+\beta)}} d y \\
\leq & C\left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}}\right) \int_{R^{n}} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)||f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-(\alpha+\beta)}} d y \\
\leq & C\left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}}\right) \bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta} f(x) . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

From the proof above, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|T_{\Omega, \alpha, A} f(x)\right| & \leq\left|H_{1}\right|+\left|H_{2}\right| \\
& \leq C\left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}}\right) \bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta} f(x) . \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 12 (see [19]). If $p(\cdot) \in \Phi\left(R^{n}\right)$, for all $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)$, then, the norm $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)}$ has the following equivalence:

$$
\begin{align*}
\|f\|_{L^{p \cdot()}\left(R^{n}\right)} & \leq \sup \left\{\int_{R^{n}}|f(x) g(x)| d x:\|g\|_{L^{p^{\prime} \cdot()}\left(R^{n}\right)} \leq 1\right\} \\
& \leq r_{p}\|f\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)}, \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r_{p}:=1+1 / p_{-}-1 / p_{+}$.
Lemma 13 (see [19], the generalized Hölder inequality). If $p(\cdot) \in \Phi\left(R^{n}\right)$, then, for all $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)$ and for all $g \in$ $L^{p^{\prime}(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{n}}|f(x) g(x)| d x \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p^{(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)}}\|g\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a similar method of Ding and Lu [20], it is easy to verify the following result.

Lemma 14. For any $\varepsilon>0$ with $0<\alpha+\beta-\varepsilon<\alpha+\beta+\varepsilon<n$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta} f(x)\right| \leq C\left[M_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta+\varepsilon} f(x)\right]^{1 / 2}\left[M_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta-\varepsilon} f(x)\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends only on $\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon$, and $n$.
Lemma 15 (see [19]). Given that $p(\cdot): R^{n} \rightarrow[1, \infty)$, such that $p_{+}<\infty$, then, $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)}<C_{1}$ if and only if $|f|_{L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)}<$ $C_{2}$. In particular, if either constant equals 1, one can make the other equals 1 as well.

Remark 16. We denote $|f|_{L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)}=\int_{R^{n}}|f(y)|^{p(y)} d y$.
Lemma 17 (see [21]). Suppose that $p(\cdot) \in \Phi\left(R^{n}\right)$ satisfies conditions (12) in Proposition 4. Let $0<\alpha+\beta<n / p_{+}$, and define the variable exponent $q(\cdot)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p(x)}-\frac{1}{q(x)}=\frac{\alpha+\beta}{n} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, one has that for all $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta} f\right\|_{L^{q \cdot()}\left(R^{n}\right)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 18. Let $0<\alpha<n, \Omega \in L^{s}\left(S^{n-1}\right)$, then, for $x \in R^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha, A} f(x) \geq M_{\Omega, \alpha, A} f(x) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha, A} f(x)=\int_{R^{n}} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+m-1}}\left|R_{m}(A ; x, y)\right||f(y)| d y \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha, A} f(x) \\
& \quad=\int_{R^{n}} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+m-1}}\left|R_{m}(A ; x, y)\right||f(y)| d y \\
& \quad \geq \int_{|x-y|<r} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+m-1}}\left|R_{m}(A ; x, y)\right||f(y)| d y \\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{r^{n-\alpha+m-1}} \int_{|x-y|<r}|\Omega(x-y)|\left|R_{m}(A ; x, y)\right||f(y)| d y \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha, A} f(x) \geq M_{\Omega, \alpha, A} f(x) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 5. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{\Omega, \alpha, A} f(x)\right| \leq C\left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}}\right) \bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta} f(x) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Lemma 12, then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|T_{\Omega, \alpha, A} f(x)\right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)} \\
& \leq C\left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}}\right) \\
& \quad \times \sup \left\{\int_{R^{n}} \bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta} f(x)|g(x)| d x:\|g\|_{L^{q^{\prime} \cdot()}\left(R^{n}\right)} \leq 1\right\} . \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the generalized Hölder inequality, then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|T_{\Omega, \alpha, A} f\right\|_{L^{q \cdot()}\left(R^{n}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}}\right)\left\|\bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta} f\right\|_{L^{q \cdot()}\left(R^{n}\right)}\|g\|_{L^{q^{\prime} \cdot(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}}\right)\left\|\bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta} f\right\|_{\left.L^{q \cdot( }\right)\left(R^{n}\right)} \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we will prove $\left\|\bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta} f\right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)} \leq\|f\|_{L^{p^{(.)}\left(R^{n}\right)}}$. Fix $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)$, without loss of generality we may assume that $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)}=1$. Since $q_{+}<\infty$, by Lemma 15 it will suffice to prove that $\left|T_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta} f\right|_{L^{q(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)} \leq C$.

Fix $\varepsilon, 0<\varepsilon<\min (\alpha+\beta, n-(\alpha+\beta))$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\left(\varepsilon q_{+} / n\right)+1}>1 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

define $r(\cdot): R^{n} \rightarrow[1,+\infty)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(x)=\frac{2}{(\varepsilon q(x) / n)+1} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (44), we have $r_{-}>1$. Moreover, by elementary algebra, for all $x \in R^{n}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{p(x)}-\frac{1}{r(x) q(x) / 2}=\frac{\alpha+\beta-\varepsilon}{n},  \tag{46}\\
& \frac{1}{p(x)}-\frac{1}{r^{\prime}(x) q(x) / 2}=\frac{\alpha+\beta+\varepsilon}{n} . \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

So that by Lemma 14, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R^{n}}\left|\bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta} f(x)\right|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \quad \leq C \int_{R^{n}}\left[M_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta-\varepsilon} f(x)\right]^{q(x) / 2}\left[M_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta+\varepsilon} f(x)\right]^{q(x) / 2} d x . \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 13, then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{R^{n}} & \left.\bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta} f(x)\right|^{q(x)} d x \\
\leq & C\left\|\left[M_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta-\varepsilon} f(x)\right]^{q(x) / 2}\right\|_{L^{r(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)} \\
& \times\left\|\left[M_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta+\varepsilon} f(x)\right]^{q(x) / 2}\right\|_{L^{\prime} \cdot(\cdot)\left(R^{n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that each is greater than 1 , since, otherwise, there is nothing to prove. In this case, in the definition of each norm we may assume that the infimum is taken over by values of $\lambda$ which are greater than 1. But then, since for all $x \in R^{n}$ and $\lambda>1, \lambda^{2 / q(x)} \geq \lambda^{2 / q_{+}}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{R^{n}} & \left(\frac{\left[M_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta-\varepsilon} f(x)\right]^{q(x) / 2}}{\lambda}\right)^{r(x)} d x \\
& =\int_{R^{n}}\left(\frac{M_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta-\varepsilon} f(x)}{\lambda^{2 / q(x)}}\right)^{r(x) q(x) / 2} d x  \tag{50}\\
& \leq \int_{R^{n}}\left(\frac{M_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta-\varepsilon} f(x)}{\lambda^{2 / q_{+}(x)}}\right)^{r(x) q(x) / 2} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (46) and Lemma 17, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left[M_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta-\varepsilon} f(x)\right]^{q(x) / 2}\right\|_{L^{r(x)}\left(R^{n}\right)} & \leq\left\|\left[M_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta-\varepsilon} f(x)\right]\right\|_{L^{r(x) q(x) / 2}\left(R^{n}\right)}^{q_{+} / 2} \\
& \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p(x)}\left(R^{n}\right)}^{q_{+} / 2} \leq C . \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

In the same way, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R^{n}}\left(\frac{\left[M_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta+\varepsilon} f(x)\right]^{q(x) / 2}}{\lambda}\right)^{r^{\prime}(x)} d x \\
& \quad=\int_{R^{n}}\left(\frac{M_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta+\varepsilon} f(x)}{\lambda^{2 / q(x)}}\right)^{r^{\prime}(x) q(x) / 2} d x  \tag{52}\\
& \quad \leq \int_{R^{n}}\left(\frac{M_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta+\varepsilon} f(x)}{\lambda^{2 /(q)_{+}(x)}}\right)^{r^{\prime}(x) q(x) / 2} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (47) and Lemma 17, then,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left[M_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta+\varepsilon} f(x)\right]^{q(x) / 2}\right\|_{L^{r^{\prime}(x)\left(R^{n}\right)}} & \leq\left\|\left[M_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta+\varepsilon} f(x)\right]\right\|_{L^{\prime}(x) q(x) / 2\left(R^{n}\right)}^{q_{+} / 2} \\
& \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p(x)}\left(R^{n}\right)}^{q_{+} / 2} \leq C . \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta} f\right|_{\left.L^{q \cdot( }\right)\left(R^{n}\right)}=\int_{R^{n}}\left|\bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta} f(x)\right|^{q(x)} d x \leq C . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we have

$$
\left\|\bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta} f\right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)} \leq\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)}
$$

$$
\left\|T_{\Omega, \alpha, A} f\right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq C\left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}}\right)\left\|\bar{T}_{\Omega, \alpha+\beta} f\right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\leq C\left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1}\left\|D^{\gamma} A\right\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}}\right)\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}\left(R^{n}\right)}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

By Lemmas 15 and 18 and Theorem 5, the proof of Theorem 6 is directly deduced.
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