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Let $\mathbb{C}$ be the field of all complex numbers, $M_{n}$ the space of all $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{C}$, and $S_{n}$ the subspace of $M_{n}$ consisting of all symmetric matrices. The map $\phi: S_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ satisfies that $A-\lambda B$ is $k$-potent in $S_{n}$ implying that $\phi(A)-\lambda \phi(B)$ is $k$-potent in $M_{n}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then there exist an invertible matrix $P \in M_{n}$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\epsilon^{k}=\epsilon$ such that $\phi(X)=\epsilon P^{-1}(X) P$ for every $X \in S_{n}$. Moreover, the inductive method used in this paper can be used to characterise similar maps from $M_{n}$ to $M_{n}$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{C}$ be the field of all complex numbers, $M_{n}$ the space of all $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{C}, T_{n}$ the subspace of $M_{n}$ consisting of all triangular matrices, and $S_{n}$ the subspace of $M_{n}$ consisting of all symmetric matrices. For fixed integer $k \geq 2, A \in$ $M_{n}$ is called a $k$-potent matrix if $A^{k}=A$; especially, $A$ is an idempotent matrix when $k=2$. The map $\phi:$ $S_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ satisfies that $A-\lambda B$ is a $k$-potent matrix in $S_{n}$ implying that $\phi(A)-\lambda \phi(B)$ is a $k$-potent matrix in $M_{n}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, is a kind of the so-called weak preservers. While replacing "implying that" with "if and only if," $\phi$ is called strong preserver. Obviously, a strong preserver must be a weak preserver, while a weak preserver may not be a strong preserver.

The preserver problem in this paper is from LPPs but without linear assumption (more details about LPP in [1-3]). You and Wang characterized the strong $k$-potence preservers from $M_{n}$ to $M_{n}$ in [4]; then Song and Cao extended the result to weak preservers from $M_{n}$ to $M_{n}$ in [5]. In [6], Wang and You characterized the strong $k$-potence preservers from $T_{n}$ to $M_{n}$. In this paper, the authors characterized the weak $k$ potence preservers from $S_{n}$ to $M_{n}$ and proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Suppose $\phi: S_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ satisfy that $A-\lambda B$ is a $k$-potent matrix in $S_{n}$ implying that $\phi(A)-\lambda \phi(B)$ is a $k$-potent matrix in $M_{n}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then there exist invertible $P \in M_{n}$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\epsilon^{k}=\epsilon$ such that $\phi(X)=\epsilon P^{-1} X P$ for every $X \in S_{n}$.

Furthermore, we can derive the following corollary from Theorem 1.

Corollary 2. Suppose $\phi: S_{n} \rightarrow S_{n}$ satisfy that $A-\lambda B$ is a $k$-potent matrix in $S_{n}$ implying that $\phi(A)-\lambda \phi(B)$ is a $k$-potent matrix in $S_{n}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then there exist invertible $P \in M_{n}$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\epsilon^{k}=\epsilon$ such that $\phi(X)=\epsilon P^{-1} X P$ for every $X \in S_{n}$, where $P P^{t}=a I_{n}$ for some nonzero $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

In fact, the proof of Theorem 1 through some adjustments is suitable for the weak $k$-potence preserver from $M_{n}$ to $M_{n}$, and more details can be seen in remarks.

## 2. Notations and Lemmas

$\Gamma_{n}$ denotes the set of all $k$-potent matrices in $M_{n}$, while $S \Gamma_{n}=$ $\Gamma_{n} \cap S_{n} . \Lambda$ denotes the set of all complex number $\epsilon$ satisfying $\epsilon^{k-1}=1, \Delta=\Lambda \cup\{0\}$. $E_{i j}$ denotes matrices in $M_{n}$ with 1 in
$(i, j)$ and 0 elsewhere, and $I_{n}$ denotes the unit matrix in $M_{n}$. $\langle n\rangle$ denotes the set of integer $s$ satisfy $1 \leq s \leq n$. $G L_{n}$ denotes the general linear group consisting of all invertible matrices in $M_{n}$. $D_{n}$ denotes an arbitrary diagonal matrix in $M_{n}$. For $A, B \in M_{n}, A$ and $B$ are orthogonal if $A B=B A=0 . \mathbb{C}^{n \times 1}$ denotes the space of all $n \times 1$ matrices over $\mathbb{C}$. $\Phi_{n}$ denotes the set of all maps $\phi: S_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ satisfying that $A-\lambda B$ is a $k$ potent matrix in $S_{n}$ implying that $\phi(A)-\lambda \phi(B)$ is a $k$-potent matrix in $M_{n}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

For an arbitrary matrix $X \in M_{n}$, we denote by $X[i, j]$ the term in $(i, j)$ position of $X$, by $X_{\left[i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s} ; j_{1}, \ldots, j_{t}\right]}$ the $s \times t$ matrix with the term in its $(p, q)$ position equal to $X\left[i_{p}, j_{q}\right]$, where $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s}$ and $j_{1}<\cdots<j_{t}$. Moreover, we denote by $X_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s} ; j_{1}, \ldots, j_{t}\right\}}$ the $n \times n$ matrix with the term in its $\left(i_{p}, j_{q}\right)$ position equal to $X\left[i_{p}, j_{q}\right]$ and terms elsewhere equal to 0 . We especially simplify it with $X_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\}}\right\}}$when $s=t$, and $i_{l}=j_{l}$ for every $l \in\langle s\rangle$. Naturally, $X_{\{i\}}=X[i, i] E_{i i}$ for every $i \in\langle n\rangle$.

Without fixing $X, X_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s} ; j_{1}, \ldots, j_{t}\right\}}$ also denotes a matrix in $M_{n}$ with 0 in its $(p, q)$ position, where $p \notin\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}\right\}, q \notin$ $\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{t}\right\}$, and $1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s} \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1}<\cdots<j_{t} \leq n$.

At first, we need the following Lemmas 3, 4, 5, and 7, which are about $k$-potent matrices and orthogonal matrices.

Lemma 3 (see [2]). Suppose $X, Y \in \Gamma_{n}$, and $X+\epsilon Y \in \Gamma_{n}$ for every $\epsilon \in \Lambda$; then $X$ and $Y$ are orthogonal.

Lemma 4 ([7, Lemma 1]). Suppose $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$ are $n \times$ $n$ mutually orthogonal nonzero $k$-potent matrices; then there exists $P \in G L_{n}$ such that $P^{-1} A_{i} P=c_{i} E_{i i}$ with $c_{i}^{k-1}=1$ for every $i \in\langle n\rangle$.

Lemma 5. Suppose $Z \in M_{n-1}, p, q, g, h \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-1) \times 1}$ with $g h^{t} \neq 0, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$, for arbitrary nonzero $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $h^{t} g+\alpha^{2} \neq 0$ and $\tau=\left(\alpha^{-1} h^{t} g+\alpha\right)^{-1}, \tau\left[\begin{array}{ll}Z & p \\ q^{t} & \delta\end{array}\right]+\tau\left[\begin{array}{ll}\alpha^{-1} g h^{t} & g \\ h^{t} & \alpha\end{array}\right] \in \Gamma_{n}$. Then $Z=0, \delta=0$, and there exist $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\left(\lambda_{1}+1\right)\left(\lambda_{2}+1\right)=$ 1 such that $p=\lambda_{1} g$ and $q=\lambda_{2} h$.

Proof. By the assumption of $\alpha$ and $\tau, \tau\left[\begin{array}{ll}\alpha^{-1} g h^{t} & g \\ h^{t} & \alpha\end{array}\right]$ is idempotent. Denote this matrix by $X$, and then we can get the following equation:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{n-1} & -\alpha^{-1} g  \tag{1}\\
\tau h^{t} & 1-\tau \alpha^{-1} h^{t} g
\end{array}\right] X\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I_{n-1}-\tau \alpha^{-1} g h^{t} & \alpha^{-1} g \\
-\tau h^{t} & 1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Since the matrices on both sides of $X$ satisfy the following equation:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lc}
I_{n-1} & -\alpha^{-1} g  \tag{2}\\
\tau h^{t} & 1-\tau \alpha^{-1} h^{t} g
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I_{n-1}-\tau \alpha^{-1} g h^{t} & \alpha^{-1} g \\
-\tau h^{t} & 1
\end{array}\right]=I_{n}
$$

then the following matrix is $k$-potent by the assumption of lemma:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{n-1} & -\alpha^{-1} g \\
\tau h^{t} & 1-\tau \alpha^{-1} h^{t} g
\end{array}\right]\left(\tau\left[\begin{array}{ll}
Z & p \\
q^{t} & \delta
\end{array}\right]+X\right)} \\
\times\left[\begin{array}{lll}
I_{n-1}-\tau \alpha^{-1} g h^{t} & \alpha^{-1} g \\
-\tau h^{t} & 1
\end{array}\right] . \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

We denote by $A$ the following matrix:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{n-1} & -\alpha^{-1} g  \tag{4}\\
\tau h^{t} & 1-\tau \alpha^{-1} h^{t} g
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
Z & p \\
q^{t} & \delta
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I_{n-1}-\tau \alpha^{-1} g h^{t} & \alpha^{-1} g \\
-\tau h^{t} & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

then the following equation is obvious:

$$
\left(\tau A+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0  \tag{5}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)^{k}=\tau A+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Unfolding it, we get $\tau^{k} A^{k}+\tau^{k-1}(\cdots)_{k-1}+\cdots+\tau(\cdots)_{1}+\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]=$ $\tau A+\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$; that is, $\tau^{k} A^{k}+\tau^{k-1}(\cdots)_{k-1}+\cdots+\tau(\cdots)_{1}-\tau A=0$, where $(\cdots)_{i}$ is the coefficient matrix of $\tau^{i}$ for every $i \in\langle k-1\rangle$.

Let $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}Z_{1} & p_{1} \\ q_{1}^{t} & \delta_{1}\end{array}\right]$, then we calculate it and get the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z_{1}=\left(Z-\alpha^{-1} g q^{t}\right)\left(I_{n-1}-\tau \alpha^{-1} g h^{t}\right)-\left(p-\delta \alpha^{-1} g\right) \tau h^{t} \\
p_{1}=\left(Z-\alpha^{-1} g q^{t}\right) \alpha^{-1} g+p-\delta \alpha^{-1} g \\
q_{1}^{t}=\left(\tau h^{t} Z+q^{t}-\tau \alpha^{-1} q^{t} h^{t} g\right)\left(I_{n-1}-\tau \alpha^{-1} g h^{t}\right) \\
-\left(\tau h^{t} p+\delta-\delta \tau \alpha^{-1} h^{t} g\right) \tau h^{t} \\
\delta_{1}=\left(\tau h^{t} Z+q^{t}-\tau \alpha^{-1} q^{t} h^{t} g\right) \alpha^{-1} g \\
+\tau h^{t} p+\delta-\delta \tau \alpha^{-1} h^{t} g . \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is easy to get $\tau(\cdots)_{1}=\tau\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & p_{1} \\ q_{1}^{t} & k \delta_{1}\end{array}\right]$ and the following equation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tau^{k} A^{k}+\tau^{k-1}(\cdots)_{k-1}+\cdots+\tau^{2}(\cdots)_{2} \\
+\tau\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-Z_{1} & 0 \\
0 & (k-1) \delta_{1}
\end{array}\right]=0 \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that the highest degree of $\alpha$ in $\tau^{-2} A$ is 2 ; then the highest degree of $\alpha$ in $\tau^{-3 k+i}(\cdots)_{k-i}$ is less or equal to $3 k-i$ for every $i$ with $2 \leq i \leq k-1$, and the highest degree of $\alpha$ in $\tau^{-3 k+1}\left[\begin{array}{cc}-Z_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & (k-1) \delta_{1}\end{array}\right]$ is $3 k-1$, where $Z$ is the coefficient matrix of $\alpha^{3 k-1}$ in $Z_{1}$ and $\delta$ is the coefficient of $\alpha^{3 k-1}$ in $\delta_{1}$.

By the assumption of $\alpha$, we have $Z=0$ and $\delta=0$. Then the following equations are true:

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z_{1}=-\alpha^{-1} g q^{t}\left(I_{n-1}-\tau \alpha^{-1} g h^{t}\right)-p \tau h^{t}, \\
p_{1}=-\alpha^{-1} g q^{t} \alpha^{-1} g+p \\
q_{1}^{t}=\left(q^{t}-\tau \alpha^{-1} q^{t} h^{t} g\right)\left(I_{n-1}-\tau \alpha^{-1} g h^{t}\right)-\tau h^{t} p \tau h^{t},  \tag{8}\\
\delta_{1}=\left(q^{t}-\tau \alpha^{-1} q^{t} h^{t} g\right) \alpha^{-1} g+\tau h^{t} p
\end{gather*}
$$

and $\tau^{-3 k+1} Z_{1}=\tau^{-3 k+1}\left[-\alpha^{-1} g q^{t}+\alpha^{-1} g q^{t} \tau \alpha^{-1} g h^{t}-p \tau h^{t}\right]=$ $\tau^{-3 k+2}\left[-\tau^{-1} \alpha^{-1} g q^{t}+\alpha^{-2} g q^{t} g h^{t}-p h^{t}\right]$, where the highest degree of $\alpha$ is $3 k-2$ and $-g q^{t}-p h^{t}$ is the coefficient matrix of $\alpha^{3 k-2}$.

Now, we calculate the upper left part of $\tau^{-3 k+2}(\cdots)_{2}$.
When $k=2, \tau^{-3 k+2}(\cdots)_{2}=\tau^{-4} A^{2}$, of which the upper left part is $\tau^{-4}\left[p q^{t}\left(I_{n-1}-\tau \alpha^{-1} g h^{t}\right)-q^{t} p \alpha^{-1} g \tau h^{t}\right]=\tau^{-4}\left[p q^{t}-\right.$ $\left.\tau \alpha^{-1} p q^{t} g h^{t}-\tau \alpha^{-1} q^{t} p g h^{t}\right]$. Then in the upper left part of $\tau^{-4} A^{2}+\tau^{-5}\left[\begin{array}{cc}-Z_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & (k-1) \delta_{1}\end{array}\right]$, the highest degree of $\alpha$ is 4 , and the coefficient matrix is $p q^{t}+g q^{t}+p h^{t}$.

When $k>2$, if $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$ appears in the left (or right) end of an additive item of $\tau^{-3 k+2}(\cdots)_{2}$, then the upper left part of this item is 0 . So, the upper left part of $\tau^{-3 k+2}(\cdots)_{2}$ is equal to the upper left part of $\tau^{-3 k+2} A\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]^{k-2} A$; that is, the upper left part is $\tau^{-3 k+2} p_{1} q_{1}^{t}=\tau^{-3 k+4}\left[\tau^{-1} \alpha p q^{t}-\left(q^{t} g+h^{t} p\right) p h^{t}-\right.$ $\left.\tau^{-1} \alpha^{-1} g q^{t} g q^{t}+\alpha^{-2} q^{t} g\left(q^{t} g+h^{t} p\right) g h^{t}\right]$, and the highest degree of $\alpha$ is $3 k-2$ with $p q^{t}$ as the coefficient matrix of $\alpha^{3 k-2}$.

By the assumption of $\alpha$, we have $p q^{t}+g q^{t}+p h^{t}=0$.
By $g h^{t} \neq 0$, we have $g \neq 0, h \neq 0$, and $p=0$ if and only if $q=0$. When $p \neq 0$, we can get $p=\lambda_{1} g$ by $p\left(q^{t}+h^{t}\right)+g q^{t}=0$, and $q=\lambda_{2} h$ by $(p+g) q^{t}+p h^{t}=0$, where $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ satisfy $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} g h^{t}+\lambda_{2} g h^{t}+\lambda_{1} g h^{t}=0$; that is, $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{1}=0$ by $g h^{t} \neq 0$, which is equivalent to $\left(\lambda_{1}+1\right)\left(\lambda_{2}+1\right)=1$. When $p=q=0, \lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=0$.

Remark 6. Replacing $g h^{t} \neq 0$ with $g h^{t}=0$ in Lemma 5, we have $g=0$ implies $p=0$ or $q+h=0$, and $h=0$ implies $q=0$ or $p+g=0$. These cases will not appear in the proof of Theorem 1, but are necessary for the weak preservers from $M_{n}$ to $M_{n}$.

Lemma 7. Suppose $A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & (\lambda(a)-\lambda(b)) /(a-b) \\ \left(\lambda(a)^{-1}-\lambda(b)^{-1}\right) /(a-b) & 1\end{array}\right] \epsilon$ $\Gamma_{2}$ for arbitrary $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ with $a \neq b$, where $\lambda: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a map satisfying $\lambda(x) \neq 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{C}$. Then there exists nonzero $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda(x)=\lambda_{0}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Since the trace of $A$ is equal to 1 , then $(\lambda(a)-$ $\lambda(b))\left(\lambda^{-1}(a)-\lambda^{-1}(b)\right) /(a-b)^{2}=0$, or -1 , especially, when equal to $-1, k-1=6 p$ with $p \in Z^{+}$. Denote $\lambda(a) / \lambda(b)$ by $y$, and $a-b$ by $c$; then we have $\left(2-y-y^{-1}\right) / c^{2}=0$ or -1 .
(1) If $\left(2-y-y^{-1}\right) / c^{2}=0$, then $y=1$, that is, $\lambda(a)=\lambda(b)$;
(2) if $\left(2-y-y^{-1}\right) / c^{2}=-1$, then $y=\left(2+c^{2} \pm \sqrt{4 c^{2}+c^{4}}\right) / 2$. When $c=1, \lambda(b+1) / \lambda(b)=(3 \pm \sqrt{5}) / 2$; when $c=2$, $\lambda(b+2) / \lambda(b)=(6 \pm \sqrt{32}) / 2=3 \pm 2 \sqrt{2}$. But $\lambda(b+$ 1) $/ \lambda(b)=(3 \pm \sqrt{5}) / 2$ implies $\lambda(b+2) / \lambda(b)=(\lambda(b+$ 2) $/ \lambda(b+1))(\lambda(b+1) / \lambda(b))=1$, or $(7 \pm 3 \sqrt{5}) / 2$. It is a contradiction! So it is impossible that $(2-y-$ $\left.y^{-1}\right) / x^{2}=-1$.

Hence, there exists nonzero $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda(x)=\lambda_{0}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{C}$.

We can prove the following Lemmas 8 and 9 similar as Lemmas 4 and 5 in [4].

Lemma 8 (see [4], Lemma 4). Suppose $\phi \in \Phi_{n}, A$ and $B$ are $n \times n$ orthogonal $k$-potent matrices; then $\phi(A)$ and $\phi(B)$ are orthogonal.

Lemma 9 (see [4], Lemma 5). Suppose $\phi \in \Phi_{n}$; then $\phi$ are homogeneous; that is, $\phi(\lambda X)=\lambda \phi(X)$ for every $X \in S_{n}$ and every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Corollary 10. Suppose $\phi \in \Phi_{n}, A+B, C \in S \Gamma_{n}$, and for every $\epsilon \in \Lambda, A+B+\epsilon C \in S \Gamma_{n}, \phi(B+\epsilon C)=\phi(B)+\phi(\epsilon C)$. Then $\phi(A)+\phi(B)$ and $\phi(C)$ are orthogonal.

Proof. By the assumption and Lemma 9, we have $\phi(A)+$ $\phi(B) \in \Gamma_{n}, \phi(C) \in \Gamma_{n}, \phi(A)+\phi(B+\epsilon C)=\phi(A)+$ $\phi(B)+\epsilon \phi(C) \in \Gamma_{n}$. By Lemma 3, $\phi(A)+\phi(B)$ and $\phi(C)$ are orthogonal.

Corollary 11. Suppose $\phi \in \Phi_{n}$ and $\phi\left(D_{n}\right)=D_{n}$ for arbitrary diagonal matrix $D_{n} \in M_{n}$. Then for every $i, j \in\langle n\rangle$ with $i \neq j$, $\phi\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}+D_{n}\right)=\lambda_{i j} E_{i j}+\lambda_{i j}^{-1} E_{j i}+D_{n}$, where $\lambda_{i j} \in \mathbb{C}$ is only decided by $i$ and $j$.

Proof. Let $A=(1 / 2)\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}+D_{n}\right), B=(1 / 2)\left(E_{i i}+E_{j j}-D_{n}\right)$, and $C=\sum_{l \neq i, j} E_{l l}$; then $A, B$ and $C$ satisfy the assumption of Corollary 10, and $\phi(A)+\phi(B)$ and $\phi(C)$ are orthogonal; that is, $\phi\left(\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}+D_{n}\right)\right)=\alpha_{i i} E_{i i}+\beta_{i j} E_{i j}+\gamma_{j i} E_{j i}+\delta_{j j} E_{j j}+D_{n}$ for some $\alpha_{i i}, \beta_{i j}, \gamma_{j i}$, and $\delta_{j j} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Since $\left(\eta^{-1}+\eta\right)^{-1}\left[\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}+D_{n}\right)-\left(D_{n}-\eta^{-1} E_{i i}-\eta E_{j j}\right)\right]=$ $\left(\eta^{-1}+\eta\right)^{-1}\left(\eta^{-1} E_{i i}+E_{i j}+E_{j i}+\eta E_{j j}\right) \in S \Gamma_{n}$ for arbitrary nonzero $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $1+\eta^{2} \neq 0$, after applying $\phi$, we have $\left(\eta^{-1}+\eta\right)^{-1}\left[\alpha_{i i} E_{i i}+\beta_{i j} E_{i j}+\gamma_{j i} E_{j i}+\delta_{j j} E_{j j}+\eta^{-1} E_{i i}+\eta E_{j j}\right]=$ $\left(\eta^{-1}+\eta\right)^{-1}\left[\alpha_{i i} E_{i i}+\left(\beta_{i j}-1\right) E_{i j}+\left(\gamma_{j i}-1\right) E_{j i}+\delta_{j j} E_{j j}\right]+\left(\eta^{-1}+\right.$ $\eta)^{-1}\left(\eta^{-1} E_{i i}+E_{i j}+E_{j i}+\eta E_{j j}\right) \in \Gamma_{n}$. By Lemma 5, $\alpha_{i i}=\delta_{j j}=0$, $\beta_{i j} \gamma_{j i}=1$.

Let $D_{n}=\sum_{l=1}^{n} x_{l} E_{l l}$, where $x_{l} \in \mathbb{C}$ for every $l \in\langle n\rangle$; then $\beta_{i j}$ is the function of $i, j$, and $x_{l}$ and denote by $\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}\right)$ the value of $\beta_{i j}$ on $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, i$, and $j$.

Fix $i, j$, and $D_{n}$ and add a free variable $x$ to $x_{l}$ for some $l \in\langle n\rangle$; then $\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+x E_{l l}\right)$ becomes into a map of $x$. Since $(1 /(a-b))\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}+D_{n}+a E_{j j}\right)-(1 /(a-b))\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}+\right.$ $\left.D_{n}+b E_{j j}\right) \in S \Gamma_{n}$ for arbitrary $a$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}$ with $a-b \neq 0$, then by $\phi\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}+D_{n}+a E_{j j}\right)=\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+a E_{j j}\right) E_{i j}+\beta_{i j}^{-1}\left(D_{n}+\right.$ $\left.a E_{j j}\right) E_{j i}+D_{n}+a E_{j j}$ and $\phi\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}+D_{n}+b E_{j j}\right)=\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+\right.$ $\left.b E_{j j}\right) E_{i j}+\beta_{i j}^{-1}\left(D_{n}+b E_{j j}\right) E_{j i}+D_{n}+b E_{j j}$, we can derive that $\left(\left(\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+a E_{j j}\right)-\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+b E_{j j}\right)\right) /(a-b)\right) E_{i j}+\left(\left(\beta_{i j}^{-1}\left(D_{n}+\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.a E_{j j}\right)-\beta_{i j}^{-1}\left(D_{n}+b E_{j j}\right)\right) /(a-b)\right) E_{j i}+E_{j j} \in \Gamma_{n}$. By Lemma 7, $\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+a E_{j j}\right)=\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+b E_{j j}\right)$ for fixed $i, j$, and $D_{n}$; that is, $\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+x E_{j j}\right)=\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}\right)$ for arbitrary $x \in \mathbb{C}$. Similarly, we can prove $\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+x E_{i i}\right)=\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}\right)$ for arbitrary $x \in \mathbb{C}$.

In fact, we have proved that $\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+x E_{i i}\right)=\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}\right)$ and $\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+y E_{j j}\right)=\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}\right)$ for arbitrary $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ and arbitrary $D_{n}$; then $\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+x E_{i i}+y E_{j j}\right)=\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+x E_{i i}\right)\left(=\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.y E_{j j}\right)\right)=\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}\right)$ follows.

Since $\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+x E_{j j}+y E_{l l}\right)=\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+y E_{l l}\right)$ for fixed $i, j$, and $l$ with $l \neq i, j$, and arbitrary $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$, then $(1 /(a-b))\left(E_{i j}+\right.$ $\left.E_{j i}+D_{n}+(a-b) E_{j j}+a E_{l l}\right)-(1 /(a-b))\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}+D_{n}+b E_{l l}\right) \in S \Gamma_{n}$ implies $\left(\left(\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+a E_{l l}\right)-\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+b E_{l l}\right)\right) /(a-b)\right) E_{i j}+\left(\left(\beta_{i j}^{-1}\left(D_{n}+\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.a E_{l l}\right)-\beta_{i j}^{-1}\left(D_{n}+b E_{l l}\right)\right) /(a-b)\right) E_{j i}+E_{j j}+E_{l l} \in \Gamma_{n}$. By Lemma 7, we can get $\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+a E_{l l}\right)=\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+b E_{l l}\right)$ for arbitrary $a$ and
$b \in \mathbb{C}$ with $a-b \neq 0$; that is, $\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}+x E_{l l}\right)=\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}\right)$ for arbitrary $x \in \mathbb{C}$.

Until now, we have proved that $\beta_{i j}\left(D_{n}\right)=\beta_{i j}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n} x_{l} E_{l l}\right)=$ $\beta_{i j}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} x_{l} E_{l l}\right)=\cdots=\beta_{i j}\left(x_{1} E_{11}\right)=\beta_{i j}(0)$ for arbitrary $D_{n}$; that is, $\beta_{i j}$ is only decided by $i$ and $j$.

Remark 12. The proof of Corollary 11 presents the basic procedure of proof of Theorem 1. In order to decide the image of matrix $A$, we use Corollary 10 and the images of $B$ and $C$, which usually are diagonal matrices or some matrices with images already decided.

If $\phi$ is a weak preserver from $M_{n}$ to $M_{n}$, then Corollary 11 is also true. Let $A=E_{i j}+D_{n}, B=-\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}+D_{n}\right)+E_{i i}$, and $C=\sum_{l \neq i, j} E_{l l}$; then we can prove $\phi(A)=a_{i i} E_{i i}+a_{i j} E_{i j}+$ $a_{j i} E_{j i}+a_{j j} E_{j j}+D_{n}$ similarly as proving $\phi\left(\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}+D_{n}\right)\right)=$ $\alpha_{i i} E_{i i}+\beta_{i j} E_{i j}+\gamma_{j i} E_{j i}+\delta_{j j} E_{j j}+D_{n}$, and $\left(a_{i i}+1\right) E_{i i}+\left(a_{i j}-\right.$ $\left.\lambda_{i j}\right) E_{i j}+\left(a_{j i}-\lambda_{i j}^{-1}\right) E_{j i}+a_{j j} E_{j j} \in \Gamma_{n}$. Since $\alpha^{-1} A+\alpha^{-1}\left(-\left(E_{i j}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.E_{j i}+D_{n}\right)+\alpha E_{i i}\right)=-\alpha^{-1} E_{j i}+E_{i i} \in \Gamma_{n}$ for arbitrary nonzero $\alpha$, then the following matrix is $k$-potent:

$$
\alpha^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{i i} & a_{i j}-\lambda_{i j}  \tag{9}\\
a_{j i}-\lambda_{j i}^{-1} & a_{j j}
\end{array}\right]+\alpha^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Remark 6 tells us that $a_{i i}=a_{j j}=0, a_{i j}-\lambda_{i j}=0$, or $a_{j i}-\lambda_{i j}^{-1}=$ 0 ; that is, $\phi(A)=\lambda_{i j} E_{i j}+D_{n}$, or $\phi(A)=\lambda_{i j}^{-1} E_{j i}+D_{n}, \phi(A)=$ $\lambda_{i j} E_{i j}+\lambda_{i j}^{-1} E_{j i}+D_{n}$. Similarly, we can prove $\phi\left(E_{j i}+D_{n}\right)=$ $\lambda_{i j}^{-1} E_{j i}+D_{n}, \phi\left(E_{j i}+D_{n}\right)=\lambda_{i j} E_{i j}+D_{n}$, or $\phi\left(E_{j i}+D_{n}\right)=$ $\lambda_{i j} E_{i j}+\lambda_{i j}^{-1} E_{j i}+D_{n}$. Since $D_{n}$ is arbitrary, we set $D_{n}=0$ for convenience.

If $\phi\left(E_{i j}\right)=\lambda_{i j} E_{i j}+\lambda_{i j}^{-1} E_{j i}$; then $(1 / 3) E_{i j}+(1 / 3)\left(E_{i j}+\right.$ $\left.E_{j i}+2 E_{i i}+E_{j j}\right)=(1 / 3)\left(2 E_{i j}+E_{j i}+2 E_{i i}+E_{j j}\right) \in \Gamma_{n}$ implies $(1 / 3)\left(\lambda_{i j} E_{i j}+\lambda_{i j}^{-1} E_{j i}\right)+(1 / 3)\left(\lambda_{i j} E_{i j}+\lambda_{i j}^{-1} E_{j i}+2 E_{i i}+\right.$ $\left.E_{j j}\right)=(1 / 3)\left(2 \lambda_{i j} E_{i j}+2 \lambda_{i j}^{-1} E_{j i}+2 E_{i i}+E_{j j}\right) \in \Gamma_{n}$; that is, $-2 / 9 \in \Delta$, which is a contradiction. Hence, we proved that it is impossible $\phi\left(E_{i j}\right)=\lambda_{i j} E_{i j}+\lambda_{i j}^{-1} E_{j i}$ or $\phi\left(E_{j i}\right)=\lambda_{i j} E_{i j}+\lambda_{i j}^{-1} E_{j i}$.

If $\phi\left(E_{i j}\right)=\lambda_{i j} E_{i j}$ and $\phi\left(E_{j i}\right)=\lambda_{i j} E_{i j}$, then $(1 / 2)\left(E_{i i}+E_{i j}+\right.$ $\left.E_{j i}+E_{j j}\right) \in \Gamma_{n}$ implies $(1 / 2)\left(\phi\left(E_{i j}\right)+\phi\left(E_{i i}+E_{j i}+E_{j j}\right)\right) \in \Gamma_{n} ;$ that is, $(1 / 2)\left(E_{i i}+2 \lambda_{i j} E_{i j}+E_{j j}\right) \in \Gamma_{n}$, which is a contradiction. Hence, we proved that $\phi\left(E_{i j}\right)=\lambda_{i j} E_{i j}$ and $\phi\left(E_{j i}\right)=\lambda_{i j}^{-1} E_{j i}$, or $\phi\left(E_{i j}\right)=\lambda_{i j}^{-1} E_{j i}$ and $\phi\left(E_{j i}\right)=\lambda_{i j} E_{i j}$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Suppose $\phi \in \Phi_{n}$, then we can derive Theorem 1 from Propositions 13, 14, and 16.

Proposition 13. Suppose $i, j \in\langle n\rangle$ with $i \neq j$; then $\phi\left(E_{i i}\right)=0$ if and only if $\phi\left(E_{j j}\right)=0$.

Proof. Suppose $\phi\left(E_{i i}\right)=0$ and $\phi\left(E_{j j}\right) \neq 0$ for some $i, j \in\langle n\rangle$ with $i \neq j$. At first, we prove that $\phi\left(a E_{i i}+E_{j j}\right)=\phi\left(E_{j j}\right)$ for $\operatorname{arbitrary} a \in \mathbb{C}$. Since the equation is already true when $a=0$, then we assume $a \neq 0$ in the following proof.

Let $A=a^{-1}\left(a E_{i i}+E_{j j}\right), B=-a^{-1} E_{j j}$, and $C=E_{j j}$; then it is easy to verify $A, B$, and $C$ satisfying the assumption of Corollary 10. So $\phi\left(a^{-1}\left(a E_{i i}+E_{j j}\right)\right)+\phi\left(-a^{-1} E_{j j}\right)$ and $\phi\left(E_{j j}\right)$
are orthogonal. Moreover, we can derive $\phi\left(a E_{i i}+E_{j j}\right) \in \Gamma_{n}$ from $\left(a E_{i i}+E_{j j}\right)-a E_{i i} \in S \Gamma_{n}$ and $\phi\left(E_{i i}\right)=0$. Let $a^{-1}\left(\phi\left(a E_{i i}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.E_{j j}\right)-\phi\left(E_{j j}\right)\right)=D$, then $D$ and $\phi\left(E_{j j}\right)$ are orthogonal $k$-potent matrices. While $\phi\left(a E_{i i}+E_{j j}\right) \in \Gamma_{n}$ implies $a D+\phi\left(E_{j j}\right) \in \Gamma_{n}$; then $a D \in \Gamma_{n}$. There are two cases on $a$.
(1) If $a \notin \Lambda$, then $D=0$; that is, $\phi\left(a E_{i i}+E_{j j}\right)=\phi\left(E_{j j}\right)$;
(2) if $a \in \Lambda$, we can derive that $(1 / 3) \phi\left(a E_{i i}+E_{j j}\right)-$ $(1 / 3) \phi\left[(a-3) E_{i i}+E_{j j}\right] \in \Gamma_{n}$ from $(1 / 3)\left(a E_{i i}+E_{j j}\right)-$ $(1 / 3)\left[(a-3) E_{i i}+E_{j j}\right] \in S \Gamma_{n}$. Note that $a-3 \notin \Lambda$, so it is true that $\phi\left[(a-3) E_{i i}+E_{j j}\right]=\phi\left(E_{j j}\right)$; that is, $(1 / 3) \phi\left(a E_{i i}+E_{j j}\right)-(1 / 3) \phi\left(E_{j j}\right)=(a / 3) D \in \Gamma_{n}$. Finally, we can derive $D=0$ from $a / 3 \notin \Lambda$ and $D \in \Gamma_{n}$. At the same time, $\phi\left(a E_{i i}+E_{j j}\right)=\phi\left(E_{j j}\right)$.
Anyway, $\phi\left(a E_{i i}+E_{j j}\right)=\phi\left(E_{j j}\right)$ for arbitrary $a \in \mathbb{C}$.
Since $\left(b^{-1}+b\right)^{-1}\left(b^{-1} E_{i i}+E_{i j}+E_{j i}+b E_{j j}\right) \in S \Gamma_{n}$ for every nonzero $b \in \mathbb{C}$ with $1+b^{2} \neq 0$, then $\left(b^{-1}+b\right)^{-1}\left[\phi\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}\right)+\right.$ $\left.\phi\left(b^{-1} E_{i i}+b E_{j j}\right)\right] \in \Gamma_{n}$, and $\left(b^{-1}+b\right)^{-1}\left[\phi\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}\right)+b \phi\left(E_{j j}\right)\right] \in$ $\Gamma_{n}$ by $\phi\left(b^{-1} E_{i i}+b E_{j j}\right)=b \phi\left(E_{j j}\right)$. While the equation $\left(b^{-1}+\right.$ $b)^{-k}\left[\phi\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}\right)+b \phi\left(E_{j j}\right)\right]^{k}=\left(b^{-1}+b\right)^{-1}\left[\phi\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}\right)+\right.$ $\left.b \phi\left(E_{j j}\right)\right]$ is equivalent to $b^{k-1}\left[\phi\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}\right)+b \phi\left(E_{j j}\right)\right]^{k}=(1+$ $\left.b^{2}\right)^{k-1}\left[\phi\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}\right)+b \phi\left(E_{j j}\right)\right]$. Note that $\phi\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}\right)$ is the constant term of the equation; then $\phi\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}\right)=0$ by the infinite property of $b$, and $\left(b^{-1}+b\right)^{-1} b \phi\left(E_{j j}\right) \in \Gamma_{n}$ follows. Then we can derive $\phi\left(E_{j j}\right)=0$ which is a contradiction to the assumption.

Proposition 14. Suppose $\phi\left(E_{i i}\right)=0$ for every $i \in\langle n\rangle$; then $\phi(X)=0$ for arbitrary $X \in S_{n}$.

Proof. The proof will be completed by induction on the following equation for arbitrary $X \in S_{n}$ with $X[i, i]=x_{i}$ for every $i \in\langle n\rangle$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(X_{\{1, \ldots, m\}}+\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} x_{i} E_{i i}\right)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leq m \leq n-1$.
When $m=1$, (10) is equivalent to $\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} E_{i i}\right)=0$ for $\operatorname{arbitrary} D_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} E_{i i} \in S_{n}$.

At first, by the assumption, it is already true that $\phi\left(E_{i i}\right)=0$ for every $i \in\langle n\rangle$.

Suppose $\phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{i_{j}} E_{i_{j} i_{j}}\right)=0$ for every $s \in\langle n-1\rangle$ with $1 \leq$ $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s} \leq n$; then by the homogeneity of $\phi$, we just need to prove the following equation for $i_{s+1}$ with $i_{s}<i_{s+1} \leq n$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{i_{j}} E_{i_{j} i_{j}}+E_{i_{s+1} i_{s+1}}\right)=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are two cases on $B_{s}=\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{i_{j}} E_{i_{j} i_{j}}$.
(1) If $B_{s} \notin S \Gamma_{n}$, then there exists $l \in\langle s\rangle$ such that $a_{i_{l}} \notin \Delta$, and the following statements are true:

$$
\left(B_{s}+E_{i_{s+1} i_{s+1}}\right)-B_{s}=E_{i_{s+1} i_{s+1}} \in S \Gamma_{n},
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i_{l}}^{-1}\left(B_{s}+E_{i_{s+1} i_{s+1}}\right)-a_{i_{l}}^{-1}\left(B_{s}+E_{i_{s+1} i_{s+1}}-a_{i_{l}} E_{i_{l} i_{l}}\right)=E_{i_{l} i_{l}} \in S \Gamma_{n} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\phi\left(B_{s}\right)=0$ and $\phi\left(B_{s}+E_{i_{s+1} i_{s+1}}-a_{i_{l}} E_{i_{i} i_{l}}\right)=0$ by the assumption; then the following statements are true:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi\left(B_{s}+E_{i_{s+1} i_{s+1}}\right) \in \Gamma_{n} \\
a_{i_{l}}^{-1} \phi\left(B_{s}+E_{i_{s+1} i_{s+1}}\right) \in \Gamma_{n} . \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $a_{i_{l}} \notin \Delta$, then $a_{i_{l}}^{-1} \notin \Delta$, and $\phi\left(B_{s}+E_{i_{s+1} i_{s+1}}\right)=0$ follows.
(2) If $B_{s} \in S \Gamma_{n}$, then we have the following statements:

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{s}+E_{i_{s+1} i_{s+1}} \in S \Gamma_{n} \\
\frac{1}{3}\left(B_{s}+E_{i_{s+1} i_{s+1}}\right)-\frac{1}{3}\left(-3 E_{i_{1} i_{1}}+B_{s}+E_{i_{s+1} i_{s+1}}\right)=E_{i_{1} i_{1}} \in S \Gamma_{n} . \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $a_{i_{1}}-3 \notin \Delta$; then $\phi\left(-3 E_{i_{1} i_{1}}+B_{s}+E_{i_{s+1} i_{s+1}}\right)=0$ by case 1 , and $(1 / 3) \phi\left(B_{s}+E_{i_{s+1} i_{s+1}}\right) \in \Gamma_{n}$ follows. While $\phi\left(B_{s}+E_{i_{s+1} i_{s+1}}\right) \in$ $\Gamma_{n}$, hence we get $\phi\left(B_{s}^{s+1}+E_{i_{s+1} i_{s+1}}\right)=0$.

Anyway, we prove $\phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s+1} a_{i_{j}} E_{i_{j} i_{j}}+E_{i_{s+1} i_{s+1}}\right)=0$; then by the induction, (10) is true for $m=1$.

Suppose (10) is true for $m \in\langle n-1\rangle$, then we prove the case on $m+1$.

Let $X_{m}=X_{[1, \ldots, m ; 1, \ldots, m]}, g=X_{[1, \ldots, m ; m+1]}, A_{n-m}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n-m} x_{i+m} E_{i i} \in M_{n-m}$; then we have $g^{t}=X_{[m+1 ; 1, \ldots, m]}$ and the following equation:

$$
\phi\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
X_{m} & 0  \tag{15}\\
0 & A_{n-m}
\end{array}\right]\right)=0
$$

We will prove the following equation which is equivalent to (10) on $m+1$ :

$$
\phi\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{m} & g & 0  \tag{16}\\
g^{t} & x_{m+1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & A_{n-m-1}
\end{array}\right]\right)=0
$$

For arbitrary nonzero $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $g^{t} g+\alpha^{2} \neq 0$, the following $n \times n$ matrix $B$ is idempotent:

$$
B=\tau\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha^{-1} g g^{t} & g & 0  \tag{17}\\
g^{t} & \alpha & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\tau=\left(\alpha^{-1} g^{t} g+\alpha\right)^{-1}$.
Note that $X_{m+1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}X_{m} & g \\ g^{t} & x_{m+1}\end{array}\right]$ and $A_{n-m-1}$ satisfy the following equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{m} & g & 0 \\
g^{t} & x_{m+1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & A_{n-m-1}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{18}\\
& \quad-\tau\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{m}-\alpha^{-1} g g^{t} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & x_{m+1}-\alpha & 0 \\
0 & 0 & A_{n-m-1}
\end{array}\right]=B .
\end{align*}
$$

After applying $\phi$ on the above matrices, we have $\tau \phi\left(X_{m+1} \oplus A_{n-m-1}\right) \in \Gamma_{n}$ by the inductive assumption. Then $\phi\left(X_{m+1} \oplus A_{n-m-1}\right)=0$ because of the assumption of $\alpha$; that is, (10) holds for $m+1$.

Finally, we prove that $\phi(X)=0$ for every $X \in S_{n}$ by the induction.

Remark 15. If $\phi$ is a weak $k$-potence preserver from $M_{n}$ to $M_{n}$; then Propositions 13 and 14 (replacing $g^{t}$ with $h^{t}$ for arbitrary $X \in M_{n}$ in the proof of Proposition 14) hold since Corollary 10 is true under this assumption.

Proposition 16. Suppose $\phi\left(E_{i i}\right) \neq 0$ for every $i \in\langle n\rangle$, then there exist $P \in G L_{n}$ and $c \in \Lambda$ such that $\phi(X)=c P^{-1} X P$ for every $X \in S_{n}$.

Proof. The proof will be completed in the following 4 steps.

Step 1. $\phi\left(E_{i i}\right)=c_{i} E_{i i}$, where $c_{i} \in \Lambda$ for every $i \in\langle n\rangle$.
Since $\phi\left(E_{i i}\right)$ is nonzero $k$-potent, then we can derive from Lemma 4 that there exists $P_{1} \in G L_{n}$ such that $P_{1}^{-1} \phi\left(E_{i i}\right) P_{1}=$ $c_{i} E_{i i}$ for every $i \in\langle n\rangle$, where $c_{i} \in \Lambda$. It is obvious that the following map $\varphi \in \Phi_{n}$ and $\varphi\left(E_{i i}\right)=c_{i} E_{i i}$ for every $i \in\langle n\rangle$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(X)=P_{1}^{-1} \phi(X) P_{1} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume $\phi\left(E_{i i}\right)=c_{i} E_{i i}$.
Step 2. $\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} E_{i i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \phi\left(E_{i i}\right)$, for arbitrary diagonal matrix $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} E_{i i}$.

The proof of this step can be seen in Step 3, Section 3 in [5].

Step 3. $c_{i}=c \in \Lambda$ for every $i \in\langle n\rangle$.
Let $A=(1 / 2)\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}\right), B=(1 / 2)\left(E_{i i}+E_{j j}\right)$, and $C=$ $\sum_{l \in<n>\backslash\{i, j\}} E_{l l}$, we can derive the following equation from Step 2 and Corollary 10:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}\right)=\alpha_{0} E_{i i}+\beta_{0} E_{i j}+\gamma_{0} E_{j i}+\delta_{0} E_{j j} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \gamma_{0}, \delta_{0} \in \mathbb{C}, i, j \in\langle n\rangle$ with $i \neq j$.
Note that $p E_{i i}+q\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}\right)+(1-p) E_{j j} \in S \Gamma_{n}$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{C}$ with $q^{2}=p(1-p)$. In fact, 0 and 1 are all the eigenvalues of this matrix. Applying $\phi$ on the matrix $q\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}\right)+\left[p E_{i i}+\right.$ $\left.(1-p) E_{j j}\right]$, we have $H(p)=q\left(\alpha_{0} E_{i i}+\beta_{0} E_{i j}+\gamma_{0} E_{j i}+\delta_{0} E_{j j}\right)+$ $p c_{i} E_{i i}+(1-p) c_{j} E_{j j}=\left(p c_{i}+q \alpha_{0}\right) E_{i i}+q \beta_{0} E_{i j}+q \gamma_{0} E_{j i}+((1-$ $\left.p) c_{j}+q \delta_{0}\right) E_{j j} \in \Gamma_{n}$.

Since $k$ is fixed, then $\Delta$ is the finite set which contains all of eigenvalues of $H(p)$, and there exists $w \in\{c+d \mid c, d \in \Delta\}$ such that the trace of $H(p)$ is $w$ for infinite choices of $p$; that is, there exist $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ with $p_{1} \neq p_{2}$ such that the traces of $H\left(p_{1}\right)$ and $H\left(p_{2}\right)$ are all equal to $w$; then we have the following equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(p_{1} c_{i}+q_{1} \alpha_{0}\right)+\left(\left(1-p_{1}\right) c_{j}+q_{1} \delta_{0}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(p_{2} c_{i}+q_{2} \alpha_{0}\right)+\left(\left(1-p_{2}\right) c_{j}+q_{2} \delta_{0}\right) \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}+\delta_{0}\right)=\left(p_{2}-p_{1}\right)\left(c_{i}-c_{j}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{s}^{2}=p_{s}\left(1-p_{s}\right)$, for $s=1,2$.
Naturally, there are infinite choices of $p_{2}$ for fixed $p_{1}$ such that the above equation is true. If $\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right) /\left(p_{2}-p_{1}\right)$ is equal to some $a \in \mathbb{C}$, where $p_{2} \neq p_{1}, p_{1}$ and $q_{1}$ are fixed, then we can derive from the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a^{2}+1\right) p_{2}^{2}-\left(2 a q_{1}+2 a^{2} p_{1}+1\right) p_{2}+\left(q_{1}+a p_{1}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

that there are infinite choices of $p_{2}$ for constant $\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right) /\left(p_{2}-\right.$ $p_{1}$ ) if and only if $a^{2}+1=2 a q_{1}+2 a^{2} p_{1}+1=\left(q_{1}+a p_{1}\right)^{2}=0$. While $a^{2}+1=\left(q_{1}+a p_{1}\right)^{2}=0$ and $q_{1}^{2}=p_{1}\left(1-p_{1}\right)$ imply $p_{1}=q_{1}=0$, which is a contradiction to $2 a q_{1}+2 a^{2} p_{1}+1=0$, hence $\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right) /\left(p_{2}-p_{1}\right)$ varies with $p_{2}$.

Since $\alpha_{0}+\delta_{0}$ and $c_{i}-c_{j}$ are all fixed numbers for fixed $\phi$, then $\alpha_{0}+\delta_{0} \neq 0$ implies that there are at least two different values of $c_{i}-c_{j}=\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right) /\left(p_{2}-p_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}+\delta_{0}\right)$ for fixed $p_{1}$ and infinite choices of $p_{2}$; it is a contradiction. So $\alpha_{0}+\delta_{0}=0$ and $c_{i}=c_{j}$ follows. Hence $c_{i}=c \in \Lambda$ for every $i \in\langle n\rangle$.
Step 4. $\phi(X)=X$ for every $X \in S_{n}$.
After the discussion in Steps 1, 2, and 3, we already have the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} E_{i i}\right)=c \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} E_{i i}, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c \in \Lambda, a_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$ for every $i \in\langle n\rangle$. Since the map $c^{-1} \phi \in$ $\Phi_{n}$, then we can assume $\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} E_{i i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} E_{i i}$ without loss of generality.

The proof in this step will be completed by induction on the following equation for arbitrary $X \in S_{n}$ with $X[i, i]=x_{i}$ for every $i \in\langle n\rangle$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi\left(X_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}}+\sum_{j \in<n>\backslash\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}} x_{j} E_{j j}\right)  \tag{25}\\
& \quad=X_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}}+\sum_{j \in<n>\backslash\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}} x_{j} E_{j j},
\end{align*}
$$

where $1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{m} \leq n$ with $2 \leq m \leq n-1$.
When $m=2$, (25) is equivalent to $\phi\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}+D_{n}\right)=$ $E_{i j}+E_{j i}+D_{n}$ for arbitrary diagonal matrix $D_{n} \in S_{n}$ and $i$, $j \in\langle n\rangle$ with $i<j$, since $\phi$ is homogeneous. The proof will be completed in the following (1) and (2).
(1) $\phi\left(E_{i i+1}+E_{i+1 i}+D_{n}\right)=E_{i i+1}+E_{i+1 i}+D_{n}$ for every $i \in\langle n-1\rangle$.
We already derive from Corollary 11 that $\phi\left(E_{i i+1}+E_{i+1 i}+\right.$ $\left.D_{n}\right)=\lambda_{i} E_{i+1}+\lambda_{i}^{-1} E_{i+1 i}+D_{n}$ for every $i \in\langle n-1\rangle$, where $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$ is only decided by $i$.

Suppose the map $\rho: S_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ satisfies the following equation for every $X \in S_{n}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho(X)= & \operatorname{diag}\left(1, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{i}\right) \phi(X) \\
& \times \operatorname{diag}\left(1, \lambda_{1}^{-1}, \lambda_{1}^{-1} \lambda_{2}^{-1}, \ldots, \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{i}^{-1}\right) ; \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

then $\rho \in \Phi_{n}$, and for arbitrary diagonal matrix $D_{n}$ and every $i \in\langle n-1\rangle, \rho\left(D_{n}\right)=D_{n}$ and $\rho\left(E_{i i+1}+E_{i+1 i}+D_{n}\right)=E_{i i+1}+$ $E_{i+1 i}+D_{n}$.

Without loss of generality, we can assume $\phi\left(E_{i i+1}+E_{i+1 i}+\right.$ $\left.D_{n}\right)=E_{i i+1}+E_{i+1 i}+D_{n}$ for every $i \in\langle n-1\rangle$ and $\operatorname{arbitrary} D_{n}$.
(2) Suppose $\phi\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}+D_{n}\right)=E_{i j}+E_{j i}+D_{n}$ for every $i$, $j$ with $1 \leq j-i<s<n-1$; then $\phi\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}+D_{n}\right)=$ $E_{i j}+E_{j i}+D_{n}$ for every $i, j$ with $j-i=s$.

At first, we have to prove that $\phi\left(x_{i i+1}\left(E_{i i+1}+E_{i+1 i}\right)+\right.$ $\left.x_{i+1 i+m}\left(E_{i+1 i+m}+E_{i+m i+1}\right)+D_{n}\right)=x_{i i+1}\left(E_{i i+1}+E_{i+1 i}\right)+$ $x_{i+1 i+m}\left(E_{i+1 i+m}+E_{i+m i+1}\right)+D_{n}$ for arbitrary nonzero $x_{i i+1}$ and $x_{i+1 i+m} \in \mathbb{C}$.

By the assumption, we already have the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi\left(x_{i i+1}\left(E_{i i+1}+E_{i+1 i}\right)+D_{n}\right)=x_{i i+1}\left(E_{i i+1}+E_{i+1 i}\right)+D_{n} \\
\phi\left(x_{i+1 i+m}\left(E_{i+1 i+m}+E_{i+m i+1}\right)+D_{n}\right) \\
=x_{i+1 i+m}\left(E_{i+1 i+m}+E_{i+m i+1}\right)+D_{n} \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $X_{1}=x_{i i+1}\left(E_{i i+1}+E_{i+1 i}\right)+x_{i+1 i+m}\left(E_{i+1 i+m}+E_{i+m i+1}\right)+$ $D_{n}, X_{2}=x_{i i+1}\left(E_{i i+1}+E_{i+1 i}\right)+D_{n}$, and $X_{3}=x_{i+1 i+m}\left(E_{i+1 i+m}+\right.$ $\left.E_{i+m i+1}\right)+D_{n}$. Then the following statements are true

$$
\begin{gather*}
X_{1}-\left(X_{2}-a_{i+1} E_{i+1 i+1}-a_{i+m} E_{i+m i+m}\right) \in S \Gamma_{n}, \\
X_{1}-\left(X_{2}-a_{i+1} E_{i+1 i+1}-a_{i+m} E_{i+m i+m}\right)+\epsilon \sum_{l \neq i+1, i+m}^{n} E_{l l} \in S \Gamma_{n}, \\
X_{1}-\left(X_{3}-b_{i} E_{i i}-b_{i+1} E_{i+1 i+1}\right) \in S \Gamma_{n} \\
X_{1}-\left(X_{3}-b_{i} E_{i i}-b_{i+1} E_{i+1 i+1}\right)+\epsilon \sum_{l \neq i, i+1}^{n} E_{l l} \in S \Gamma_{n} \tag{28}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $x_{i+1 i+m}\left(E_{i+1 i+m}+E_{i+m i+1}\right)+a_{i+1} E_{i+1 i+1}+a_{i+m} E_{i+m i+m}$ and $x_{i i+1}\left(E_{i i+1}+E_{i+1 i}\right)+b_{i} E_{i i}+b_{i+1} E_{i+1 i+1}$ are $k$-potent.

Let $A=X_{1}, B=-\left(X_{2}-a_{i+1} E_{i+1 i+1}-a_{i+m} E_{i+m i+m}\right)$, and $C=\sum_{l \neq i+1, i+m}^{n} E_{l l}$, then $A, B$, and $C$ satisfy the assumption of Corollary 10. Hence we get $\phi(A)+\phi(B)$ and $\phi(C)$ are orthogonal; that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(X_{1}\right)= & X_{2}+y_{i+1} E_{i+1 i+1}+y_{i+m} E_{i+m i+m}  \tag{29}\\
& +y_{i+1 i+m} E_{i+1 i+m}+y_{i+m i+1} E_{i+m i+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we can derive the following equation from Corollary 10:

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(X_{1}\right)= & X_{3}+z_{i} E_{i i}+z_{i+1} E_{i+1 i+1}  \tag{30}\\
& +z_{i i+1} E_{i i+1}+z_{i+1 i} E_{i+1 i} .
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing the above two equations, we have $z_{i}=y_{i+m}=$ $0, z_{i+1}=y_{i+1}, z_{i i+1}=z_{i+1 i}=x_{i i+1}$, and $y_{i+1 i+m}=y_{i+m i+1}=$ $x_{i+1 i+m}$, that is, $\phi\left(X_{1}\right)=X_{1}+y_{i+1} E_{i+1 i+1}$.

We will prove $z_{i+1}=y_{i+1}=0$. For arbitrary nonzero $\alpha$ with $x_{i+1 i+m}^{2}+\alpha^{2} \neq 0$, let $\tau=\left(\alpha^{-1} x_{i+1 i+m}^{2}+\alpha\right)^{-1}$, and $X_{4}=$
$-X_{2}+\alpha^{-1} x_{i+1 i+m}^{2} E_{i+1 i+1}+\alpha E_{i+m i+m}$; then $\tau X_{1}+\tau X_{4} \in S \Gamma_{n}$ implies $\tau \phi\left(X_{1}\right)+\tau \phi\left(X_{4}\right) \in \Gamma_{n}$; that is, the following matrix is $k$-potent since $\phi\left(X_{4}\right)=X_{4}$ by the assumption

$$
\tau\left[\begin{array}{cc}
y_{i+1} & 0  \tag{31}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]+\tau\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha^{-1} x_{i+1 i+m}^{2} & x_{i+1 i+m} \\
x_{i+1 i+m} & \alpha
\end{array}\right]
$$

by Lemma 5, $y_{i+1}=0$. Hence we prove $\phi\left(X_{1}\right)=X_{1}$.
Now we prove $\phi\left(E_{i i+m}+E_{i+m i}+D_{n}\right)=E_{i i+m}+E_{i+m i}+D_{n}$.
By Corollary 11, we already have $\phi\left(E_{i i+m}+E_{i+m i}+D_{n}\right)=$ $\lambda_{i i+m} E_{i i+m}+\lambda_{i i+m}^{-1} E_{i+m i}+D_{n}$.

For arbitrary nonzero $\alpha$ with $2+\alpha^{2} \neq 0,\left(2 \alpha^{-1}+\right.$ $\alpha)^{-1}\left(E_{i i+m}+E_{i+m i}+D_{n}\right)-\left(2 \alpha^{-1}+\alpha\right)^{-1}\left(-\alpha^{-1}\left(E_{i i}+E_{i i+1}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.E_{i+1 i}+E_{i+1 i+1}\right)-E_{i+1 i+m}-E_{i+m i+1}-\alpha E_{i+m i+m}+D_{n}\right)=\left(2 \alpha^{-1}+\right.$ $\alpha)^{-1}\left(\alpha^{-1}\left(E_{i i}+E_{i i+1}+E_{i+1 i}+E_{i+1 i+1}\right)+\left(E_{i i+m}+E_{i+1 i+m}\right)+\left(E_{i+m i}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.E_{i+m i+1}\right)+\alpha E_{i+m i+m}\right)$ is idempotent.

After applying $\phi$ on the above matrices, we have $\left(2 \alpha^{-1}+\right.$ $\alpha)^{-1} \phi\left(E_{i i+m}+E_{i+m i}+D_{n}\right)-\left(2 \alpha^{-1}+\alpha\right)^{-1} \phi\left(-\alpha^{-1}\left(E_{i i}+E_{i i+1}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.E_{i+1 i}+E_{i+1 i+1}\right)-E_{i+1 i+m}-E_{i+m i+1}-\alpha E_{i+m i+m}+D_{n}\right)=\left(2 \alpha^{-1}+\right.$ $\alpha)^{-1}\left(\alpha^{-1}\left(E_{i i}+E_{i i+1}+E_{i+1 i}+E_{i+1 i+1}\right)+\left(E_{i i+m}+E_{i+1 i+m}\right)+\left(E_{i+m i}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.E_{i+m i+1}\right)+\alpha E_{i+m i+m}\right)+\left(2 \alpha^{-1}+\alpha\right)^{-1}\left(\left(\lambda_{i i+m}-1\right) E_{i i+m}+\left(\lambda_{i i+m}^{-1}-\right.\right.$ 1) $\left.E_{i+m i}\right) \in \Gamma_{n}$.

Then $\lambda_{i i+m}=1$ by Lemma 5 .
By the induction, we prove $\phi\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}+D_{n}\right)=E_{i j}+E_{j i}+D_{n}$ for every $i, j$ with $1 \leq i<j \leq n$.
(3) Suppose (25) is true for every $s$ with $2 \leq s<m \leq n$; then we prove it holds on $m$.

For arbitrary $X \in S_{n}$ with $X[i, i]=x_{i}$ for every $i \in\langle n\rangle$, let $A, B, U, V, y_{i_{m}}$, and $\tau$ satisfy the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=X_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}}+\sum_{j \in\langle n\rangle \backslash\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}} x_{j} E_{j j}, \\
B=X_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-1}\right\}}+\sum_{j \in\langle n\rangle \backslash\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-1}\right\}} x_{j} E_{j j}, \\
U=X_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-1} ; i_{m}\right\}},  \tag{32}\\
V=X_{\left\{i_{m} ; i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-1}\right\}}, \\
y_{i_{m}}=\left(X_{\left\{i_{m} ; i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-1}\right\}} X_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-1} ; i_{m}\right\}}\right)\left[i_{m}, i_{m}\right], \\
\tau=\left(\alpha^{-1} y_{i_{m}}+\alpha\right)^{-1} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Then $\tau A+\tau\left(-B+\alpha^{-1} U V+\alpha E_{i_{m} i_{m}}\right)$ is idempotent for arbitrary nonzero $\alpha$ with $y_{i_{m}}+\alpha^{2} \neq 0$. Applying $\phi$ on it, we have $\tau \phi(A)+$ $\tau \phi\left(-B+\alpha^{-1} U V+\alpha E_{i_{m} i_{m}}\right) \in \Gamma_{n}$. Let $C=-B+\alpha^{-1} U V+\alpha E_{i_{m} i_{m}}$; then by $\tau A+\tau C+\epsilon \sum_{j \in\{n\rangle \backslash\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}} E_{j j} \in S \Gamma_{n}$ for every $\epsilon \in \Lambda$, we have $\tau \phi(A)+\phi\left(\tau C+\epsilon \sum_{j \in\langle n\rangle \backslash\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}} E_{j j}\right) \in \Gamma_{n}$.

Note that $\phi\left(\tau C+\epsilon \sum_{j \in\{n\rangle \backslash\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}} E_{j j}\right)=\tau C+$ $\epsilon \sum_{j \in\langle n\rangle \backslash\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}} E_{j j}$ and $\phi(C)=C$ by the assumption; then $\tau \phi(A)+\tau \phi(C)$ and $\sum_{j \in\langle n\rangle \backslash\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}} E_{j j}$ are orthogonal by Corollary 10; that is, $\phi(A)=Y_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}}+\sum_{j \in\langle n\rangle \backslash\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}} x_{j} E_{j j}$ for some $Y \in M_{n}$.

On the other hand, $C=-\left(X_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-1}\right\}}\right\}+$ $\left.\sum_{j \in\langle n\rangle \backslash\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-1}\right\}} x_{j} E_{j j}\right)+\alpha^{-1} U V+\alpha E_{i_{m} i_{m}}=-\left(X_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}}+\right.$
$\left.\sum_{j \in\langle n\rangle \backslash\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}} x_{j} E_{j j}\right)+\alpha^{-1} U V+\alpha E_{i_{m} i_{m}}+U+V$ implies $\tau\left(Y_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}}-X_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}}+\alpha^{-1} U V+\alpha E_{i_{m} i_{m}}+U+V\right)=$ $\tau\left(Y_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}}-X_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}}\right)+\tau\left(\alpha^{-1} U V+\alpha E_{i_{m} i_{m}}+U+V\right) \in \Gamma_{n}$ by $\tau \phi(A)+\tau \phi(C) \in \Gamma_{n}$. By Lemma 5, we can derive the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
Y_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-1}\right\}}=X_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-1}\right\}}, \\
Y\left[i_{m}, i_{m}\right]=X\left[i_{m}, i_{m}\right],  \tag{33}\\
Y_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-1}\right\},\left\{i_{m}\right\}}=\eta U, \\
Y_{\left\{i_{m}\right\},\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-1}\right\}}=\eta^{-1} V
\end{gather*}
$$

that is, $\phi(A)=X_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-1}\right\}}+\eta U+\eta^{-1} V+\sum_{j \in\{n\rangle \backslash\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-1}\right\}} x_{j} E_{j j}$.
Let $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ satisfy the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{1}=X_{\left\{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}}+\sum_{j \in\langle n\rangle \backslash\left\{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}} x_{j} E_{j j},  \tag{34}\\
B_{2}=X_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-2}, i_{m}\right\}}+\sum_{j \in\langle n\rangle \backslash\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-2}, i_{m}\right\}} x_{j} E_{j j} ;
\end{gather*}
$$

then we can prove

$$
\begin{gather*}
Y_{\left\{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}}=X_{\left\{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}}, \\
Y\left[i_{1}, i_{1}\right]=X\left[i_{1}, i_{1}\right], \\
Y_{\left\{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\},\left\{i_{1}\right\}}=\beta X_{\left\{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\},\left\{i_{1}\right\}}, \\
Y_{\left\{i_{1}\right\},\left\{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}}=\beta^{-1} X_{\left\{i_{1}\right\},\left\{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}},  \tag{35}\\
Y_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-2}, i_{m}\right\}}=X_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-2}, i_{m}\right\}}, \\
Y\left[i_{m-1}, i_{m-1}\right]=X\left[i_{m-1}, i_{m-1}\right], \\
Y_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-2}, i_{m}\right\},\left\{i_{m-1}\right\}}=\gamma X_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-2}, i_{m}\right\},\left\{i_{m-1}\right\}}, \\
Y_{\left\{i_{m-1}\right\},\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-2}, i_{m}\right\}}=\gamma^{-1} X_{\left\{i_{m-1}\right\},\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m-2}, i_{m}\right\}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Comparing the above three sets of equations, we can get $\phi(A)=A$, which is equivalent to (25) on $m$.

By the induction, we prove that $\phi(X)=X$ for arbitrary $X \in S_{n}$.

Remark 17. If $\phi$ is a weak $k$-potence preserver from $M_{n}$ to $M_{n}$, then the proof in Steps 1, 2, and 3 of Proposition 16 holds, and we prove $\phi(X)=X$ or $\phi(X)=X^{t}$ in Step 4 . We omit the detailed proof since the case on $X^{t}$ is totally the same after changing relevant notations.

## References

[1] C.-K. Li and S. Pierce, "Linear preserver problems", The American Mathematical Monthly, vol. 108, no. 7, pp. 591-605, 2001.
[2] M. Brešar and P. Šemrl, "Linear transformations preserving potent matrices," Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 119, no. 1, pp. 81-86, 1993.
[3] X. Zhang, X. Tang, and C. Cao, Preserver Problems on Spaces of Matrices, Science Press, Beijing, China, 2007.
[4] H. You and Z. Wang, " $K$-potence preserving maps without the linearity and surjectivity assumptions," Linear Algebra and Its Applications, vol. 426, no. 1, pp. 238-254, 2007.
[5] X. Song and C. Cao, "A note on $k$-potence preserving maps," Linear Algebra and Its Applications, vol. 429, no. 7, pp. 1579-1586, 2008.
[6] Z. Wang and H. You, "Maps on upper-triangular matrix algebras preserving $k$-potences," Linear Algebra and its Applications, vol. 429, no. 8-9, pp. 1915-1928, 2008.
[7] C. G. Cao and X. Zhang, "Power-preserving additive maps," Advances in Mathematics, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 103-109, 2004.

