

Research Article

Mizoguchi-Takahashi's Fixed Point Theorem with α, η Functions

Muhammad Usman Ali,¹ Tayyab Kamran,² Wutiphol Sintunavarat,³ and Phayap Katchang⁴

¹ Centre for Advanced Mathematics and Physics, National University of Sciences and Technology, H-12, Islamabad, Pakistan

² Department of Mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

³ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Thammasat University Rangsit Center, Pathumthani 12121, Thailand

⁴ Division of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Agricultural Technology, Rajamangala University of Technology Lanna Tak, Tak 63000, Thailand

Correspondence should be addressed to Wutiphol Sintunavarat; wutiphol@mathstat.sci.tu.ac.th and Phayap Katchang; p.katchang@hotmail.com

Received 6 September 2013; Accepted 13 December 2013

Academic Editor: Hassen Aydi

Copyright © 2013 Muhammad Usman Ali et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We introduce the notion of generalized α_* -admissible mappings. By using this notion, we prove a fixed point theorem. Our result generalizes Mizoguchi-Takahashi's fixed point theorem. We also provide some examples to show the generality of our work.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let (X, d) be a metric space. For each $x \in X$ and $A \subseteq X$, $d(x, A) := \{d(x, y) : y \in A\}$. We denote by $K(X)$ the class of all nonempty compact subset of X , by $CB(X)$ the class of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of X , and by $CL(X)$ the class of all nonempty closed subsets of X . For every $A, B \in CL(X)$, let

$$H(A, B) = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} d(y, A) \right\}, & \text{if the maximum exists;} \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Such a map H is called generalized Hausdorff metric induced by d .

Nadler [1] extended the Banach contraction principle to multivalued mappings as follows.

Theorem 1 (see [1]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T is a mapping from X into $CB(X)$ such that*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq rd(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \quad (2)$$

where $r \in [0, 1)$. Then T has a fixed point.

Reich [2] extended the above result in the following way.

Theorem 2 (see [2]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$ is a mapping satisfying*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta(d(x, y))d(x, y), \quad (3)$$

where $x, y \in X$ and β is a function from $(0, \infty)$ into $[0, 1)$ such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \beta(r) < 1, \quad \text{for each } t \in (0, \infty). \quad (4)$$

Then T has a fixed point.

Reich [2] raised the question: whether the range of T , $K(X)$ can be replaced by $CB(X)$ or $CL(X)$. Mizoguchi and Takahashi [3] gave a positive answer to the conjecture of Reich [2], when the inequality holds also for $t = 0$; in particular they proved the following.

Theorem 3 (see [3]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is a mapping satisfying*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta(d(x, y))d(x, y), \quad (5)$$

where $x, y \in X$ and β is a function from $[0, \infty)$ into $[0, 1)$ such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \beta(r) < 1, \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty). \quad (6)$$

Then T has a fixed point.

The other proofs of Theorem 3 have been given by Daffer and Kaneko [4] and Chang [5]. Eldred et al. [6] claimed that Theorem 3 is equivalent to Theorem 1. Suzuki produced an example [7, page 753] to disprove their claim and showed that Mizoguchi-Takahashi's fixed point theorem is a real generalization of Nadler's fixed point theorem. Reader can find some more results related to Mizoguchi-Takahashi's fixed point theorem in [8–14].

Samet et al. [15] introduced the notion of α - ψ -contractive and α -admissible self-mappings and proved some fixed point results for such mappings in complete metric spaces. Karapinar and Samet [16] generalized these notions and obtained some fixed point results. Asl et al. [17] extended these notions to multifunctions by introducing the notions of α^* - ψ -contractive and α^* -admissible mappings and proved some fixed point results. Some results in this direction are also given by the authors [18, 19]. Ali and Kamran [20] further generalized the notion of α^* - ψ -contractive mappings and obtained some fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings.

Recently, Salimi et al. [21] modified the notions of α - ψ -contractive and α -admissible self-mappings by introducing another function η and established some fixed point theorems for such mappings in complete metric spaces. Hussain et al. [22] extended the result of Asl et al. and introduced the following definition.

Definition 4 (see [22]). Let $G : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ be a mapping on a metric space (X, d) . Let $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be two functions, where η is bounded. We say that G is an α_* -admissible mapping with respect to η if we have

$$x, y \in X, \quad \alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y) \implies \alpha_*(Gx, Gy) \geq \eta_*(Gx, Gy), \quad (7)$$

where $\alpha_*(Gx, Gy) = \inf\{\alpha(a, b) : a \in Gx, b \in Gy\}$ and $\eta_*(Gx, Gy) = \sup\{\eta(a, b) : a \in Gx, b \in Gy\}$. In case when $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$, then G is η_* -subadmissible mapping. In case when $\eta(x, y) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$, then G is α_* -admissible.

Definition 5 (see [17]). Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a mapping. A mapping $G : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ is α_* -admissible if $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \implies \alpha^*(Gx, Gy) \geq 1$, where $\alpha^*(Gx, Gy) = \inf\{\alpha(a, b) : a \in Gx, b \in Gy\}$.

In this paper, we generalize Definition 4 and provide some examples to show generality of such concept. We also establish a fixed point theorem which generalizes Mizoguchi-Takahashi's fixed point theorem. Some illustrative examples to claim that our results properly generalize some results in the literature are presented. Furthermore, at the end of this paper, we give an open problem for further investigation.

2. Main Results

We begin this section by generalizing Definition 4.

Definition 6. Let $G : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ be a mapping on a metric space (X, d) . Let $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be two functions. We say that G is generalized α_* -admissible mapping with respect to η if we have

$$x, y \in X, \quad \alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y) \implies \alpha(u, v) \geq \eta(u, v), \quad (8)$$

$$\forall u \in Gx, v \in Gy.$$

When $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$, then G is a generalized η_* -subadmissible mapping. When $\eta(x, y) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$, then G is α_* -admissible.

Remark 7. Note that inequality (8) is weaker than (7). Moreover, η involved in inequality (8) is not necessarily bounded.

Example 8. Let $X = \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\} \cup \{n+1 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be endowed with the usual metric d . Define $G : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ by $Gx = \{0, x^2\}$ for all $x, y \in X$, $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x, y \in \left\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \cup \{0\} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

and $\eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\eta(x, y) = x + y$ for each $x, y \in X$. Then for $x, y \in X$ with $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y)$, we have $\alpha(u, v) \geq \eta(u, v)$ for all $u \in Gx$ and $v \in Gy$. Therefore G is generalized α_* -admissible mapping with respect to η but it is not α_* -admissible mapping with respect to η .

Theorem 9. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $G : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ be a generalized α_* -admissible mapping with respect to η such that

$$x, y \in X, \quad \alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y) \implies H(Gx, Gy) \leq \beta(d(x, y))d(x, y), \quad (10)$$

where $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ satisfying $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \beta(r) < 1$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. Assume that the following conditions hold:

- (i) there exist $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Gx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq \eta(x_0, x_1)$;
- (ii) either

- (1) G is continuous or
- (2) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X with $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n) \geq \eta(x_{n-1}, x_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then one has $\alpha(x_{n-1}, x) \geq \eta(x_{n-1}, x)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then G has a fixed point.

Proof. By hypothesis, there exist $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Gx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq \eta(x_0, x_1)$. If $x_0 = x_1$, then we have nothing to prove. Let $x_0 \neq x_1$. Then from (10), we have

$$d(x_1, Gx_1) \leq H(Gx_0, Gx_1) \leq \beta(d(x_0, x_1))d(x_0, x_1). \quad (11)$$

There exists $x_2 \in Gx_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_1, x_2) &\leq H(Gx_0, Gx_1) + \frac{1 - \beta(d(x_0, x_1))}{2} d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \beta(d(x_0, x_1)) d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\quad + \frac{1 - \beta(d(x_0, x_1))}{2} d(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Consider $\gamma(t) = (\beta(t) + 1)/2$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. Then $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \gamma(r) < 1$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. From (12), we have

$$d(x_1, x_2) \leq \gamma(d(x_0, x_1)) d(x_0, x_1). \tag{13}$$

Since G is an α_* -admissible mapping with respect to η , then $\alpha(x_1, x_2) \geq \eta(x_1, x_2)$. If $x_1 = x_2$, then we have nothing to prove. Let $x_1 \neq x_2$. Then from (10), we have

$$d(x_2, Gx_2) \leq H(Gx_1, Gx_2) \leq \beta(d(x_1, x_2)) d(x_1, x_2). \tag{14}$$

There exists $x_3 \in Gx_2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_2, x_3) &\leq H(Gx_1, Gx_2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1 - \beta(d(x_1, x_2))}{2} d(x_1, x_2) \\ &\leq \beta(d(x_1, x_2)) d(x_1, x_2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1 - \beta(d(x_1, x_2))}{2} d(x_1, x_2) \\ &= \gamma(d(x_1, x_2)) d(x_1, x_2). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Continuing the same process, we get a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $x_n \in Gx_{n-1}$, $x_n \neq x_{n-1}$, $\alpha(x_n, x_{n-1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n-1})$, and

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \gamma(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \tag{16}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

It follows from $\gamma(t) < 1$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ that $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$ is a strictly decreasing sequence in \mathbb{R} . Hence it converges to some nonnegative real number v . Since $\limsup_{r \rightarrow v^+} \gamma(r) < 1$ and $\gamma(v) < 1$, there exists $s \in [0, 1)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\gamma(t) \leq s$ for all $t \in [v, v + \epsilon]$. We can find $w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $v \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq v + \epsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq w$. Then

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \gamma(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq s d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \tag{17}$$

for each $n \geq w$. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^w d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s^n d(x_{w-1}, x_w) < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, then there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$. If we suppose that G is continuous, then

$$d(x^*, Gx^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Gx^*) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(Gx_{n-1}, Gx^*) = 0. \tag{19}$$

On the other hand, since

$$\alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n) \geq \eta(x_{n-1}, x_n), \tag{20}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then we have

$$\alpha(x_{n-1}, x^*) \geq \eta(x_{n-1}, x^*), \tag{21}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then from (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x^*, Gx^*) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Gx^*) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(Gx_{n-1}, Gx^*) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(d(x_n, x^*)) d(x_n, x^*) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x^*) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Therefore $x^* \in Gx^*$. This completes the proof. □

The following example shows that Theorem 9 properly generalizes Theorem 3, in Section 1.

Example 10. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ be endowed with the usual metric d . Define $G : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ by

$$Gx = \begin{cases} [x, \infty) & \text{if } x > 1 \\ \left[0, \frac{x}{2}\right] & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ (-\infty, x^2] & \text{if } x < 0, \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

$\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x, y \in [0, \infty) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

and $\eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\eta(x, y) = |x| + |y|$ for each $x, y \in X$. Take $\beta(t) = 1/2$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then for $x, y \in X$ with $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y)$, we have

$$H(Gx, Gy) = \frac{|x - y|}{2} = \beta(d(x, y)) d(x, y). \tag{25}$$

Also, G is generalized α_* -admissible mapping with respect to η . For $x_0 = 1$ and $x_1 = 0 \in Gx_0$ we have $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq \eta(x_0, x_1)$. Further, for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n) \geq \eta(x_{n-1}, x_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\alpha(x_{n-1}, x) \geq \eta(x_{n-1}, x)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, all conditions of Theorem 9 are satisfied and G has infinitely many fixed points.

Corollary 11. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $G : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ be an α_* -admissible mapping with respect to η such that

$$x, y \in X, \quad \alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y) \implies H(Gx, Gy) \leq \beta(d(x, y))d(x, y), \quad (26)$$

where $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ satisfying $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \beta(r) < 1$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. Assume that the following conditions hold:

- (i) there exist $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Gx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq \eta(x_0, x_1)$;
- (ii) either
 - (1) G is continuous
 - or
 - (2) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X with $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n) \geq \eta(x_{n-1}, x_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we have $\alpha(x_{n-1}, x) \geq \eta(x_{n-1}, x)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then G has a fixed point.

Proof. We can prove this result by using Theorem 9 and the fact that inequality (8) is weaker than (7). \square

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Commission on Higher Education, the Thailand Research Fund, and the Rajamangala University of Technology Lanna Tak (Grant no. MRG5580233).

References

- [1] S. B. Nadler Jr., "Multivalued contraction mappings," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 30, pp. 475–488, 1969.
- [2] S. Reich, "Fixed points of contractive functions," *Bollettino della Unione Matematica Italiana*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 26–42, 1972.
- [3] N. Mizoguchi and W. Takahashi, "Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings on complete metric spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 141, no. 1, pp. 177–188, 1989.
- [4] P. Z. Daffer and H. Kaneko, "Fixed points of generalized contractive multi-valued mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 192, no. 2, pp. 655–666, 1995.
- [5] T. H. Chang, "Common fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings," *Mathematica Japonica*, vol. 41, pp. 311–320, 1995.
- [6] A. A. Eldred, J. Anuradha, and P. Veeramani, "On equivalence of generalized multi-valued contractions and Nadler's fixed point theorem," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 336, no. 2, pp. 751–757, 2007.
- [7] T. Suzuki, "Mizoguchi-Takahashi's fixed point theorem is a real generalization of Nadler's," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 340, no. 1, pp. 752–755, 2007.
- [8] T. Kamran, "Mizoguchi-Takahashi's type fixed point theorem," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 507–511, 2009.
- [9] T. Kamran and Q. Kiran, "Fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings obtained by altering distances," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 54, no. 11-12, pp. 2772–2777, 2011.
- [10] M. U. Ali, "Mizoguchi-Takahashi's type common fixed point-theorem," *Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society*. In press.
- [11] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, "Weak condition for generalized multi-valued (f, α, β) -weak contraction mappings," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 460–465, 2011.
- [12] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, "Common fixed point theorem for hybrid generalized multi-valued contraction mappings," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 52–57, 2012.
- [13] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, "Common fixed point theorem for cyclic generalized multi-valued contraction mappings," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 25, no. 11, pp. 1849–1855, 2012.
- [14] P. Kumam, H. Aydi, E. Karapinar, and W. Sintunavarat, "Best proximity points and extension of Mizoguchi-Takahashi's fixed point theorems," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 242, 2013.
- [15] B. Samet, C. Vetro, and P. Vetro, "Fixed point theorems for α - ψ -contractive type mappings," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, vol. 75, no. 4, pp. 2154–2165, 2012.
- [16] E. Karapinar and B. Samet, "Generalized α - ψ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems with applications," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 793486, 17 pages, 2012.
- [17] J. H. Asl, S. Rezapour, and N. Shahzad, "On fixed points of α - ψ -contractive multifunctions," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2012, article 212, 2012.
- [18] B. Mohammadi, S. Rezapour, and N. Shahzad, "Some results on fixed points of α - ψ -Ciric generalized multifunctions," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 24, 2013.
- [19] P. Amiri, S. Rezapour, and N. Shahzad, "Fixed points of generalized α - ψ -contractions," *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Fisicas y Naturales. Serie A*, vol. 106, no. 2, pp. 287–297.
- [20] M. U. Ali and T. Kamran, "On (α^*, ψ) -contractive multi-valued mappings," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 137, 2013.
- [21] P. Salimi, A. Latif, and N. Hussain, "Modified α - ψ -contractive mappings with applications," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 151, 2013.
- [22] N. Hussain, P. Salimi, and A. Latif, "Fixed point results for single and set-valued α - η - ψ -contractive mappings," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 212, 2013.