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We are concerned with determining values of $\gamma$, for which there exist nodal solutions of the boundary value problem $\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+$ $\gamma m(t) f(u)=0, t \in(0,1), u(0)=u(1)=0$, where $m \in C[0,1]$ is a sign-changing function, $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f(s) s>0$. The proof of our main results is based upon global bifurcation techniques.

## 1. Introduction

In [1], Ma and Thompson considered determining values of $r$, for which there exist nodal solutions of the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime \prime}+r m(t) f(u)=0, \quad t \in(0,1)  \tag{1}\\
u(0)=u(1)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

under the following assumptions:

$$
\left(H_{1}\right) f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \text { with } s f(s)>0 \text { for } s \neq 0
$$

$\left(\widetilde{H}_{2}\right) m:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is continuous and does not vanish identically on any subinterval of $[0,1]$;
$\left(\widetilde{H}_{3}\right)$ there exist $f_{0}, f_{\infty} \in(0,+\infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}=\lim _{|s| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(s)}{s}, \quad f_{\infty}=\lim _{|s| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the bifurcation theory of Rabinowitz [2, 3], they proved the following.

Theorem 1. Let $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(\widetilde{H}_{2}\right)$, and $\left(\widetilde{H}_{3}\right)$ hold. Assume that, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda_{k}}{f_{\infty}}<r<\frac{\lambda_{k}}{f_{0}} \text { or } \frac{\lambda_{k}}{f_{0}}<r<\frac{\lambda_{k}}{f_{\infty}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (1) has two solutions $u_{k}^{+}$and $u_{k}^{-}$such that $u_{k}^{+}$has exactly $k-1$ zeros in $(0,1)$ and is positive near 0 and $u_{k}^{-}$has exactly $k-1$ zeros in $(0,1)$ and is negative near 0 .

The results of Theorem 1 have been extended to the case that the weight function changes its sign by Ma and Han [4]. Bifurcation methods have been applied to study the existence of nodal solutions of nonlinear two-point, multipoint, and periodic boundary value problems; see [59] and the references therein. The results they obtained extend some well-known theorems of the existence of positive solutions for the related problems [10].

However, no results on the existence of nodal solutions, even positive solutions, have been established for one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian equation with sign-changing weight $m(t)$. It is the purpose of this paper to establish a similar result to Theorem 1 for one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian equation with sign-changing weight. Problem with signchanging weight arises from the selection-migration model in population genetics. In this model, $m(t)$ changes sign corresponding to the fact that an allele $A_{1}$ holds an advantage over a rival allele $A_{2}$ at the same points and is at a disadvantage at others; the parameter $r$ corresponds to the reciprocal of diffusion; for details see [11].

If $m(t) \equiv 1$, Del Pino et al. [12] established the global bifurcation theory for one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian eigenvalue problem. Peral [13] got the global bifurcation theory for $p$-Laplacian eigenvalue problem on the unite ball. In [14], Del Pino and Manásevich obtained the global bifurcation from
the principal eigenvalue for $p$-Laplacian eigenvalue problem on the general domain. If $m(t) \geq 0$ and is singular at $t=0$ or $t=1$, Lee and Sim [15] also established the bifurcation theory for one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian eigenvalue problem. However, if $m(t)$ changes sign, there are a few papers dealing with the $p$-Laplacian eigenvalue problem via bifurcation techniques. In [16], Drábek and Huang established the global bifurcation from the principal eigenvalue for $p$-Laplacian eigenvalue problem in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

The purpose of this paper is to study the bifurcation behavior of one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian eigenvalue problem as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\gamma m(t) f(u)=0, \quad t \in(0,1),  \tag{4}\\
u(0)=u(1)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

under the condition $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and
$\left(H_{2}\right) m(t) \in C[0,1]$ changes sign and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{meas}\{x \in[0,1] \mid m(t)=0\}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(H_{3}\right)$ there exists $f_{0} \in(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}=\lim _{|s| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(s)}{\varphi_{p}(s)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s$ with $1<p<+\infty$;
$\left(H_{4}\right)$ there exists $f_{\infty} \in(0,+\infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\infty}=\lim _{|s| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(s)}{\varphi_{p}(s)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, based on our global bifurcation theorem, we will prove the existence of nodal solutions for the corresponding nonlinear problem with a parameter (see Theorem 11).

The main tool is the global bifurcation techniques in [17].
The rest of this paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we establish the global bifurcation theory for one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian eigenvalue problem with signchanging weight. In Section 3, we state and prove the main results of this paper.

## 2. Some Preliminaries

Let $E$ be the Banach space $C_{0}^{1}[0,1]$ with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|=\max \left\{\|u\|_{\infty},\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $Y=L^{1}(0,1)$ with its usual normal $\|\cdot\|_{L^{1}}$.
We start by considering the following auxiliary problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=h, \quad t \in(0,1),  \tag{9}\\
u(0)=u(1)=0,
\end{gather*}
$$

for a given $h \in L^{1}(0,1)$. By a solution of problem (9), we understand a function $u \in E$ with $\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ absolutely
continuous which satisfies (9). Problem (9) is equivalently written to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=G_{p}(h)(t):=\int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(a(h)+\int_{0}^{s} h(\tau) d \tau\right) d s \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(a(h)+\int_{0}^{s} h(\tau) d \tau\right) d s=0 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that $G_{p}: Y \rightarrow E$ is continuous and maps equiintegrable sets of $Y$ into relatively compacts of $E$. One may refer to Lee and Sim [15] for details.

Since the bifurcation points of

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda m(t) f(u(t)) & =0 \quad \text { a.e. in }(0,1)  \tag{12}\\
u(0)=u(1) & =0
\end{align*}
$$

is related to the eigenvalues of the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda m(t) \varphi_{p}(u(t))=0 \quad \text { a.e. in }(0,1),  \tag{13}\\
u(0)=u(1)=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

We define the operator $T_{\lambda}^{p}: E \rightarrow E$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{\lambda}^{p}(u)(t)= & \int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(a\left(-\lambda m \varphi_{p}(u(\tau))\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{0}^{s} \lambda m(\tau) \varphi_{p}(u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s  \tag{14}\\
= & G_{p}\left(-\lambda m \varphi_{p}(u)\right)(t) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then $T_{\lambda}^{p}: E \rightarrow E$ is completely continuous and problem (13) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=T_{\lambda}^{p}(u) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following spectrum result plays a fundamental role in our study.

Lemma 2 (see $[18,19])$. Let $\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold. Then
(i) the set of all eigenvalues of the problem (13) is two infinite sequences of simple eigenvalues as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0<\mu_{1}^{+}(p)<\mu_{2}^{+}(p)<\cdots<\mu_{k}^{+}(p)<\cdots, \\
& \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \mu_{k}^{+}(p)=+\infty \\
& 0>  \tag{16}\\
& \mu_{1}^{-}(p)>\mu_{2}^{-}(p)>\cdots>\mu_{k}^{-}(p)>\cdots, \\
& \\
& \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \mu_{k}^{-}(p)=-\infty
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v \in\{+,-\}$, $\operatorname{Ker}\left(I-T_{\mu_{k}^{v}(p)}^{p}\right)$ is a space of $E$ with dimensional 1;
(iii) the eigenfunction corresponding to $\mu_{k}^{v}(p)$ has exactly $k-1$ simple zeros in $(0,1)$.

Remark 3. Using the Gronwall inequality, we can easily show that all zeros of eigenfunction corresponding to eigenvalue $\mu_{k}^{\nu}(p)$ are simple.

It is very known that $T_{\lambda}^{2}$ is completely continuous in $C^{1}[0,1]$. Thus, the Leray-Schauder degree $d_{\mathrm{LS}}\left(I-T_{\lambda}^{2}, B_{r}(0), 0\right)$ is well-defined for arbitrary $r$-ball $B_{r}(0)$ and $\lambda \neq \mu_{k}^{\nu}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $v \in\{+,-\}$.

Lemma 4. For $r>0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{L S} & \left(I-T_{\lambda}^{2}, B_{r}(0), 0\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \lambda \in\left(\mu_{1}^{-}(2), \mu_{1}^{+}(2)\right), \\
(-1)^{k}, & \text { if } \lambda \in\left(\mu_{k}^{+}(2), \mu_{k+1}^{+}(2)\right), k \in \mathbb{N}, \\
(-1)^{k}, & \text { if } \lambda \in\left(\mu_{k+1}^{-}(2), \mu_{k}^{-}(2)\right), k \in \mathbb{N} .\end{cases} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We divide the proof into two cases.
Case 1. $\lambda \geq 0$. Since $T_{\lambda}^{2}$ is compact and linear, by [20, Theorem 8.10] and Lemma 2 (ii) with $p=2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\mathrm{LS}}\left(I-T_{\lambda}^{2}, B_{r}(0), 0\right)=(-1)^{m(\lambda)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m(\lambda)$ is the sum of algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalues $\mu$ of (13) satisfying $\mu^{-1} \lambda>1$.

If $\lambda \in\left[0, \mu_{1}^{+}(2)\right)$, then there are no such $\mu$ at all; then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\mathrm{LS}}\left(I-T_{\lambda}^{2}, B_{r}(0), 0\right)=(-1)^{m(\lambda)}=(-1)^{0}=1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda \in\left(\mu_{k}^{+}(2), \mu_{k+1}^{+}(2)\right)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu_{j}^{+}(2)\right)^{-1} \lambda>1, \quad j \in\{1, \cdots, k\} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This together with Lemma 2 (ii) implies the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\mathrm{LS}}\left(I-T_{\lambda}^{2}, B_{r}(0), 0\right)=(-1)^{k} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2. $\lambda<0$. In this case, we consider a new sign-changing eigenvalue problem as follows

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime \prime}+\widehat{\lambda} \widehat{m}(t) u=0, \quad t \in(0,1),  \tag{22}\\
u(0)=u(1)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\lambda=-\lambda, \widehat{m}(t)=-m(t)$. It is easy to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mu}_{k}^{+}(2)=-\mu_{k}^{-}(2), \quad k \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we may use the result obtained in Case 1 to deduce the desired result.

We first show that the principle eigenvalue function $\mu_{1}^{\nu}$ : $(1,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

Proposition 5. The eigenvalue function $\mu_{1}^{\nu}:(1,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

Proof. We only show that $\mu_{1}^{+}:(1,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous since the case of $\mu_{1}^{-}$is similar. In the following proof, we will shorten $\mu_{1}^{+}$to $\mu_{1}$. From the variational characterization of $\mu_{1}(p)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{1}(p) \\
& =\sup \left\{\mu>\left.0\left|\mu \int_{0}^{1} m(t)\right| u\right|^{p} d t\right.  \tag{24}\\
& \left.\quad \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t, \forall u \in C_{c}^{\infty}(0,1)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\left\{p_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $(1,+\infty)$ convergent to $p>1$. We will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \mu_{1}\left(p_{j}\right)=\mu_{1}(p) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

To do this, let $u \in C_{c}^{\infty}(0,1)$. Then, from (24),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}\left(p_{j}\right) \int_{0}^{1} m(t)|u|^{p_{j}} d t \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p_{j}} d t \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

On applying the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \mu_{1}\left(p_{j}\right) \int_{0}^{1} m(t)|u|^{p} d t \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relation (27), the fact that $u$ is arbitrary and (24) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \mu_{1}\left(p_{j}\right) \leq \mu_{1}(p) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, to prove (25), it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \mu_{1}\left(p_{j}\right) \geq \mu_{1}(p) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{p_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a subsequence of $\left\{p_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \mu_{1}\left(p_{k}\right)=\liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \mu_{1}\left(p_{j}\right)$.

Let us fix $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ so that $p-\varepsilon_{0}>1$ and, for each $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$, $W_{0}^{1, p-\varepsilon}(0,1)$ is compactly embedded into $L^{p+\varepsilon}(0,1)$. For $k \in$ $\mathbb{N}$, let us choose $u_{k} \in W_{0}^{1, p_{k}}(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left|u_{k}^{\prime}\right|^{p_{k}} d t=1  \tag{30}\\
\int_{0}^{1}\left|u_{k}^{\prime}\right|^{p_{k}} d t=\mu_{1}\left(p_{k}\right) \int_{0}^{1} m(t)\left|u_{k}\right|^{p_{k}} d t . \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

For $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$, there exists $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p-\varepsilon<p_{k}<p+\varepsilon$ for any $k \geq k_{0}$. Thus, for $k \geq k_{0}$, (30) and Hölder's inequality imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left|u_{k}^{\prime}\right|^{p-\varepsilon} d t \leq 1 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that $\left\{u_{k}\right\}_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence in $W_{0}^{1, p-\varepsilon}(0,1)$. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can
assume that $u_{k} \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_{0}^{1, p-\varepsilon}(0,1)$ and hence that $u_{k} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p+\varepsilon}(0,1)$. Furthermore, $u \in L^{p}(0,1)$ and $u_{k} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p_{k}}(0,1)$ for $k \geq k_{0}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left|\int_{0}^{1}\right| u_{k}\right|^{p_{k}} d t-\int_{0}^{1}|u|^{p_{k}} d t \mid \\
& \quad \leq \int_{0}^{1} p_{k}\left|u+\theta u_{k}\right|^{p_{k}-1}\left|u_{k}-u\right| d t \\
& \leq(p+\varepsilon)\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|u+\theta u_{k}\right|^{p_{k}} d t\right)^{\left(p_{k}-1\right) / p_{k}} \\
& \quad \times\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|u_{k}-u\right|^{p_{k}} d t\right)^{1 / p_{k}} \\
& \leq(p+\varepsilon)\left(\|u\|_{p_{k}}+\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{p_{k}}\right)^{p_{k}-1}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|u_{k}-u\right|^{p_{k}} d t\right)^{1 / p_{k}} \\
& \longrightarrow 0 \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

as $k \rightarrow+\infty$. It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}|u|^{p_{k}} d t-\int_{0}^{1}|u|^{p} d t \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } k \longrightarrow+\infty \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left|u_{k}\right|^{p_{k}} d t \longrightarrow \int_{0}^{1}|u|^{p} d t \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we can also obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1} m^{+}(t)\left|u_{k}\right|^{p_{k}} d t \longrightarrow \int_{0}^{1} m^{+}(t)|u|^{p} d t \\
& \int_{0}^{1} m^{-}(t)\left|u_{k}\right|^{p_{k}} d t \longrightarrow \int_{0}^{1} m^{-}(t)|u|^{p} d t \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m^{+}(t)=\max \{m(t), 0\}$ and $m^{-}(t)=-\min \{m(t), 0\}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1} m(t)\left|u_{k}\right|^{p_{k}} d t \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{1} m^{+}(t)\left|u_{k}\right|^{p_{k}} d t-\int_{0}^{1} m^{-}(t)\left|u_{k}\right|^{p_{k}} d t  \tag{37}\\
& \quad \longrightarrow \int_{0}^{1} m^{+}(t)|u|^{p} d t-\int_{0}^{1} m^{-}(t)|u|^{p} d t \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{1} m(t)|u|^{p} d t
\end{align*}
$$

We note that (30) and (31) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}\left(p_{k}\right) \int_{0}^{1} m(t)\left|u_{k}\right|^{p_{k}} d t=1 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, letting $k$ go to $+\infty$ in (38) and using (37), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \mu_{1}\left(p_{k}\right) \int_{0}^{1} m(t)|u|^{p} d t=1 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $u_{k} \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_{0}^{1, p-\varepsilon}(0,1)$, from (32) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p-\varepsilon}^{p-\varepsilon} \leq \liminf _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|u_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{p-\varepsilon}^{p-\varepsilon} \leq 1^{\varepsilon / p} . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$and applying Fatou's Lemma, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq 1 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $u \in W^{1, p}(0,1)$; here $W^{1, p}(0,1)$ denotes the radially symmetric subspace of $W^{1, p}(0,1)$. We claim that actually $u \in$ $W_{0}^{1, p}(0,1)$. Indeed, we know that $u \in W_{0}^{1, p-\varepsilon}(0,1)$ for each $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$. For $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{0}^{1} u \phi^{\prime} d t\right| \leq\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p-\varepsilon}\|\phi\|_{(p-\varepsilon)^{\prime}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{0}^{1} u \phi^{\prime} d t\right| \leq\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\|\phi\|_{p^{\prime}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p^{\prime}=p /(p-1)$. Since $\phi$ is arbitrary, from Proposition IX-18 of [21], we find that $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(0,1)$, as desired.

Finally, combining (39) and (41), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \mu_{1}\left(p_{k}\right) \int_{0}^{1} m(t)|u|^{p} d t \geq \int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

This and the variational characterization of $\mu_{1}(p)$ imply (29) and hence (25). This concludes the proof of the lemma.

Using Remark 3, Lemma 2, and Proposition 5, we will show that all eigenvalue functions $\mu_{k}^{ \pm}:(1,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$ are continuous.

Lemma 6. For fixed $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\nu \in\{+,-\}, \mu_{k}^{\nu}(p)$ as a function of $p \in(1,+\infty)$ is continuous.

Proof. Let $u_{k}^{v}$ be an eigenfunction corresponding to $\mu_{k}^{\nu}(p)$. By Lemma 2 and Remark 3, we know that $u$ has exactly $k-1$ simple zeros in $I$; that is, there exist $c_{k, 1}, \ldots, c_{k, k-1} \in I$ such that $u\left(c_{k, 1}\right)=\cdots=u\left(c_{k, k-1}\right)=0$. For convenience, we set $c_{k, 0}=0, c_{k, k}=1$, and $J_{i}=\left(c_{k, i-1}, c_{k, i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Let $\mu_{1}^{\nu}\left(p, m / J_{i}, J_{i}\right)$ denote the first positive or negative eigenvalue of the restriction of problem (13) on $J_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Lemma 3 of [18] follows that $\mu_{k}^{v}(p)=\mu_{1}^{v}\left(p, m / J_{i}, J_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Using a similar proof to Proposition 5, we can show that $\mu_{1}^{\nu}\left(p, m / J_{i}, J_{i}\right)$ is continuous with respect to $p$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Therefore, $\mu_{k}^{\nu}(p)$ is also continuous with respect to $p$.

Lemma 7. (i) Let $\left\{\mu_{k}^{+}(p)\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of positive eigenvalues of (13). Let $\lambda$ be a constant with $\lambda \neq \mu_{k}^{+}(p)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for arbitrary $r>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(T_{\lambda}^{p}, B_{r}(0), 0\right)=(-1)^{\beta} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta$ is the number of eigenvalues $\mu_{n}^{+}(p)$ of problem (13) less than $\lambda$.
(ii) Let $\left\{\mu_{k}^{-}(p)\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of negative eigenvalues of (13). Consider $\lambda \neq \mu_{k}^{-}(p), k \in \mathbb{N}$; then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(T_{\lambda}^{p}, B_{r}(0), 0\right)=(-1)^{\beta}, \quad \forall r>0 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta$ is the number of eigenvalues $\mu_{k}^{-}(p)$ of problem (25) larger than $\lambda$.

Proof. We will only prove the case $\lambda>\mu_{1}^{+}(p)$ since the proof for the other cases is similar. We also only give the proof for the case $p>2$. Proof for the case $1<p<2$ is similar. Assume that $\mu_{k}^{+}(p)<\lambda<\mu_{k+1}^{+}(p)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the eigenvalues depend continuously on $p$, there exists a continuous function $\chi:[2, p] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $q \in[2, p]$ such that $\mu_{k}^{+}(q)<\chi(q)<\mu_{k+1}^{+}(q)$ and $\lambda=\chi(p)$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(q, u)=u-G_{q}\left(-\chi(q) m(t) \varphi_{q}(u)\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to show that $\Phi(q, u)$ is a compact perturbation of the identity such that, for all $u \neq 0$, by definition of $\chi(q)$, $\Phi(q, u) \neq 0$, for all $q \in[2, p]$. Hence, the invariance of the degree under homotopology and the classical result for $p=2$ imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(T_{\lambda}^{p}, B_{r}(0), 0\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(T_{\lambda}^{2}, B_{r}(0), 0\right)=(-1)^{k} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the existence of bifurcation branches for (12), we will make use of the following global bifurcation theorem results.

Lemma 8 (see [17]). Let $X$ be a Banach space. Let $F: \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow$ $X$ be completely continuous such that $F(\lambda, 0)=0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that there exist constants $\rho, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\rho<\eta$, such that $(\rho, 0)$ and $(\eta, 0)$ are not bifurcation points for the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u-F(\lambda, u)=0 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(I-F(\rho, \cdot), B_{r}(0), 0\right) \neq \operatorname{deg}\left(I-F(\eta, \cdot), B_{r}(0), 0\right) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{r}(0)=\{u \in X:\|u\|<r\}$ is an isolating neighborhood of the trivial solution for both constants $\rho$ and $\eta$. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{S} & =\overline{\{(\lambda, u):(\lambda, u) \text { is a solution of (49) with } u \neq 0\}}  \tag{51}\\
& \cup([\rho, \eta] \times\{0\}),
\end{align*}
$$

and let $\mathscr{C}$ be the component of $\mathcal{S}$ containing $[\rho, \eta] \times\{0\}$. Then, either
(i) $\mathscr{C}$ is unbounded in $\mathbb{R} \times X$ or
(ii) $\mathscr{C} \cap[(\mathbb{R} \backslash[\rho, \eta]) \times\{0\}] \neq \emptyset$.

Define the Nemytskii operators $H: \mathbb{R} \times E \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\lambda, u)(t):=-\lambda m(t) f(u(t)) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, it is clear that $H$ is continuous operator which sends bounded sets of $\mathbb{R} \times E$ into an equi-integrable sets of $Y$ and problem (12) can be equivalently written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=G_{p} \circ H(\lambda, u):=F(\lambda, u) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ is completely continuous in $\mathbb{R} \times E \rightarrow E$ and $F(\lambda, 0)=0$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Notice that (12) with $\lambda=0$ has only the trivial solution. Applying this fact and Lemma 8 and the same method to prove [15, Theorem 2.1] with obvious changes, we may obtain the following.

Lemma 9. Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$, and $\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold. Then, for fixed $p>1$ and for fixed $\sigma \in\{+,-\}$, each $\left(\mu_{k}^{v}(p) / f_{0}, 0\right)$ is a bifurcation point of (12) and the associated bifurcation branch $\left(\mathscr{C}_{k}^{v}\right)^{\sigma}$ satisfies the following;
(1) $\left(\mathscr{C}_{k}^{v}\right)^{\sigma}$ is unbounded in $E$;
(2) $\left(\mathscr{C}_{k}^{v}\right)^{\sigma} \subset\left(\mathbb{R} \times \Phi_{k}^{\sigma}\right) \cup\left\{\left(\mu_{k}^{v}(p), 0\right)\right\}$, where $\Phi_{k}^{\sigma}$ is the set of function $u \in C_{0}^{1}[0,1]$ which has exact $k-1$ simple zeros in $(0,1)$, and $\sigma u$ is positive near 0 .

Finally, we give a key lemma that will be used in Section 3. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
I^{+} & :=\{t \in[0,1] \mid m(t)>0\}, \\
I^{-} & :=\{t \in[0,1] \mid m(t)<0\} . \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 10. Let $\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold. Let $I=[a, b]$ be such that $I \subset I_{+}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { meas } I>0 \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $g_{n}:[0,1] \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} g_{n}(t)=+\infty, \quad \text { uniformly on } I \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y_{n} \in E$ be a solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{p}\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+m(t) g_{n}(t) \varphi_{p}\left(y_{n}\right)=0, \quad t \in(0,1) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the number of zeros of $\left.y_{n}\right|_{I}$ goes to infinity as $n \rightarrow+\infty$.
Proof. After taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(t) g_{n_{j}}(t) \geq j, \quad t \in I \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $j \rightarrow+\infty$. It is easy to check that the distance between any two consecutive zeros of any nontrivial solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+j \varphi_{p}(u(t))=0, \quad t \in I \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

goes to zero as $j \rightarrow+\infty$. Using this with [21, Lemma 2.5], it follows the desired results.

## 3. Main Results and Its Proof

Let $\mu_{k}^{ \pm}$be the $k$ th positive or negative eigenvalue of (13). By applying Lemma 9, we will establish the main results as follows.

Theorem 11. Let $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right),\left(H_{3}\right)$, and $\left(H_{4}\right)$ hold. Assume that, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \in\left(\frac{\mu_{k}^{+}(p)}{f_{\infty}}, \frac{\mu_{k}^{+}}{f_{0}}\right) \cup\left(\frac{\mu_{k}^{-}(p)}{f_{0}}, \frac{\mu_{k}^{-}(p)}{f_{\infty}}\right) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \in\left(\frac{\mu_{k}^{+}(p)}{f_{0}}, \frac{\mu_{k}^{+}(p)}{f_{\infty}}\right) \cup\left(\frac{\mu_{k}^{-}(p)}{f_{\infty}}, \frac{\mu_{k}^{-}(p)}{f_{0}}\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (4) has two solutions $u_{k}^{+}$and $u_{k}^{-}$such that $u_{k}^{+}$has exactly $k-1$ zeros in $(0,1)$ and is positive near 0 and $u_{k}^{-}$has exactly $k-1$ zeros in $(0,1)$ and is negative near 0 .

Proof. We only prove the case of $\gamma>0$. The case of $\gamma<0$ is similar. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda \gamma m(t) f(u)=0, \quad t \in(0,1),  \tag{62}\\
u(0)=0, \quad u(1)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Considering the results of Lemma 9, we have that, for each integer $k \geq 1, \sigma \in\{+,-\}$, there exists a continuum $\left(C_{k}^{+}\right)^{\sigma} \subseteq \Phi_{k}^{\sigma}$ of solutions of (62) joining $\left(\mu_{k}^{+}(p) / \gamma f_{0}, 0\right)$ to infinity in $(0, \infty) \times \Phi_{k}^{\sigma}$. Moreover, $\left(C_{k}^{+}\right)^{\sigma} \backslash\left\{\left(\mu_{k}^{+}(p) / \gamma f_{0}, 0\right)\right\} \subset$ $(0, \infty) \times \Phi_{k}^{\sigma}$.

It is clear that any solution of $(62)$ of the form $(1, u)$ yields a solution $u$ of (4). We will show that $\left(C_{k}^{+}\right)^{\sigma}$ crosses the hyperplane $\{1\} \times E$ in $\mathbb{R} \times E$. To this end, it will be enough to show that $\left(C_{k}^{+}\right)^{\sigma}$ joins $\left(\mu_{k}^{+}(p) / \gamma f_{0}, 0\right)$ to $\left(\mu_{k}^{+}(p) / \gamma f_{\infty},+\infty\right)$. Let $\left(\eta_{n}, y_{n}\right) \in\left(C_{k}^{+}\right)^{\sigma}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n}+\left\|y_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow+\infty \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $\eta_{n}>0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ since $(0,0)$ is the only solution of (62) for $\lambda=0$ and $\left(C_{k}^{+}\right)^{\sigma} \cap(\{0\} \times E)=\emptyset$.
Case 1. $\mu_{k}^{+}(p) / f_{\infty}<\gamma<\mu_{k}^{+}(p) / f_{0}$. In this case, we only need to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\mu_{k}^{+}(p)}{\gamma f_{\infty}}, \frac{\mu_{k}^{+}(p)}{\gamma f_{0}}\right) \subseteq\left\{\mu \in \mathbb{R}:(\mu, u) \in\left(C_{k}^{+}\right)^{\sigma}\right\} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

We divide the proof into two steps.
Step 1. We show that, if there exists a constant number $M>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{n} \subset(0, M] \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough, then $\left(C_{k}^{+}\right)^{\sigma}$ joins $\left(\mu_{k}^{+}(p) / \gamma f_{0}, 0\right)$ to $\left(\mu_{k}^{+}(p) / \gamma f_{\infty},+\infty\right)$.

In this case, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow+\infty \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\xi \in C(\mathbb{R})$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u)=f_{\infty} \varphi_{p}(u)+\xi(u) . \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|u| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\xi(u)}{\varphi_{p}(u)}=0 . \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\xi}(u)=\max _{0 \leq|s| \leq u}|\xi(s)| . \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $\tilde{\xi}$ is nondecreasing and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\tilde{\xi}(u)}{|u|^{p-1}}=0 \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

We divide the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{p}\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-\mu_{n} \gamma m(t) f_{\infty} \varphi_{p}\left(y_{n}\right)=\mu_{n} \gamma m(t) \xi\left(y_{n}\right) \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

by $\left\|y_{n}\right\|$ and set $\bar{y}_{n}=y_{n} /\left\|y_{n}\right\|$. Since $\bar{y}_{n}$ is bounded in $E$, after taking a subsequence if necessary, we have $\bar{y}_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{y}$ for some $\bar{y} \in E$ and $\bar{y}_{n} \rightarrow \bar{y}$ in $Y$ with $\|\bar{y}\|=1$. Moreover, from (70) and the fact that $\widetilde{\xi}$ is nondecreasing, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\xi\left(y_{n}(t)\right)}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|^{p-1}}=0 \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\xi\left(y_{n}(t)\right)}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|^{p-1}} \leq \frac{\tilde{\xi}\left(\left|y_{n}(t)\right|\right)}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|^{p-1}} \leq \frac{\tilde{\xi}\left(\left\|y_{n}(t)\right\|_{\infty}\right)}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|^{p-1}} \leq \frac{\tilde{\xi}\left(\left\|y_{n}(t)\right\|\right)}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|^{p-1}} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the continuity and compactness of $G_{p}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y}=G_{p}\left(\bar{\mu} \gamma m(t) f_{\infty} \varphi_{p}(\bar{y})\right) \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\mu}=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \mu_{n}$, again choosing a subsequence and relabeling if necessary.

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y} \in\left(C_{k}^{+}\right)^{\sigma} . \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose on the contrary that $\bar{y} \in\left(C_{k}^{+}\right)^{\sigma}$. Since $\bar{y} \neq 0$ is a solution of (74) and all zeros of $\bar{y}$ in $[0,1]$ are simple, it follows that $\bar{y} \in\left(C_{h}^{+}\right)^{l} \neq\left(C_{k}^{+}\right)^{\sigma}$ for some $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\iota \in\{+,-\}$.

By the openness of $E \backslash\left(C_{k}^{+}\right)^{\sigma}$, we have that there exists a neighborhood $U\left(\bar{y}, \rho_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(\bar{y}, \rho_{0}\right) \subset E \backslash\left(C_{k}^{+}\right)^{\sigma} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts the facts that $\bar{y}_{n} \rightarrow \bar{y}$ in $E$ and $\bar{y}_{n} \in\left(C_{k}^{+}\right)^{\sigma}$. Therefore, $\bar{y} \in C_{k}^{v}$. Moreover, by Lemma $2, \bar{\mu} \gamma f_{\infty}=\mu_{k}^{+}(p)$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\mu}=\frac{\lambda_{k}}{\gamma f_{\infty}} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\left(C_{k}^{+}\right)^{\sigma}$ joins $\left(\mu_{k}^{+}(p) / \gamma f_{0}, 0\right)$ to $\left(\mu_{k}^{+}(p) / \gamma f_{\infty},+\infty\right)$.

Step 2. We show that there exists a constant $M$ such that $\mu_{n} \in$ ( $0, M]$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough.

On the contrary, we suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \mu_{n}=+\infty \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(\eta_{n}, y_{n}\right) \in\left(C_{k}^{+}\right)^{\sigma}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\gamma \eta_{n} m(t) \frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{\varphi\left(y_{n}\right)} \varphi\left(y_{n}\right)=0 \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\tau(0, n)<\tau(1, n)<\cdots<\tau(k, n)=1 \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the zeros of $y_{n}$ in $[0,1]$. Then, after taking a subsequence if necessary,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \tau(l, n):=\tau(l, \infty), \quad l \in\{0,1, \cdots, k-1\} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that Lemma 10 and the fact that $y_{n}$ has exactly $k-1$ simple zeros in $[0,1]$ yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\cup_{l=0}^{k-1}(\tau(l, \infty), \tau(l+1, \infty))\right] \cap I^{+}=\emptyset \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{meas}\left\{\left[\mathrm{U}_{l=0}^{k-1}(\tau(l, \infty), \tau(1+1, \infty))\right] \cap I^{-}\right\}=1 \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, this contradicts $\left(H_{2}\right): 0<$ meas $I^{-}<1$.
Case 2. $\mu_{k}^{+}(p) / f_{0}<\gamma<\mu_{k}^{+}(p) / f_{\infty}$. In this case, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mu_{k}^{+}(p)}{\gamma f_{0}}<1<\frac{\mu_{k}^{+}(p)}{\gamma f_{\infty}} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $\left(\eta_{n}, y_{n}\right) \in\left(C_{k}^{+}\right)^{\sigma}$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\mu_{n}+\left\|y_{n}\right\|\right)=+\infty \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\eta_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$, then we are done!
If there exists $M>0$, such that, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{n} \in(0, M] \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the same method used in Step 1 of Case 1, after taking a subsequence and relabeling if necessary, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\eta_{n}, y_{n}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\frac{\mu_{k}^{+}(p)}{\gamma f_{\infty}},+\infty\right) \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow+\infty \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\left(C_{k}^{+}\right)^{\sigma}$ joins $\left(\mu_{k}^{+}(p) / \gamma f_{0}, 0\right)$ to $\left(\mu_{k}^{+}(p) / \gamma f_{\infty},+\infty\right)$.
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