

## Research Article

# Positive Solutions of a Second-Order Nonlinear Neutral Delay Difference Equation

**Zeqing Liu,<sup>1</sup> Wei Sun,<sup>1</sup> Jeong Sheok Ume,<sup>2</sup> and Shin Min Kang<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Liaoning Normal University, Liaoning, Dalian 116029, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Changwon National University, Changwon 641-773, Republic of Korea

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics and RINS, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 660-701, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Jeong Sheok Ume, jsu@changwon.ac.kr

Received 15 August 2012; Accepted 6 November 2012

Academic Editor: Norio Yoshida

Copyright © 2012 Zeqing Liu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The purpose of this paper is to study solvability of the second-order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation  $\Delta(a(n, y_{a_{1n}}, \dots, y_{a_{rn}})\Delta(y_n + b_n y_{n-\tau})) + f(n, y_{f_{1n}}, \dots, y_{f_{kn}}) = c_n, \forall n \geq n_0$ . By making use of the Rothe fixed point theorem, Leray-Schauder nonlinear alternative theorem, Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem, and some new techniques, we obtain some sufficient conditions which ensure the existence of uncountably many bounded positive solutions for the above equation. Five nontrivial examples are given to illustrate that the results presented in this paper are more effective than the existing ones in the literature.

## 1. Introduction

It is well known that the oscillation, nonoscillation, asymptotic behavior, and existence of solutions for second-order difference equations with delays have been widely studied in many papers over the last 20 years, see, for example, [1–9] and the references cited therein.

Recently, Cheng [5] considered the second-order neutral delay linear difference equation with positive and negative coefficients

$$\Delta^2(y_n + p y_{n-m}) + p_n y_{n-k} - q_n y_{n-l} = 0, \quad \forall n \geq n_0 \quad (1.1)$$

and investigated the existence of a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1) under the condition  $p \neq -1$  by using the Banach fixed point theorem. M. Migda and J. Migda [9] and Luo and Bainov [8]

discussed the asymptotic behaviors of nonoscillatory solutions for the second-order neutral difference equation with maxima

$$\Delta^2(y_n + p_n y_{n-k}) + q_n \max\{y_s : n-l \leq s \leq n\} = 0, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \quad (1.2)$$

and the second-order neutral difference equation

$$\Delta^2(y_n + p y_{n-k}) + f(n, y_n) = 0, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (1.3)$$

Cheng and Chu [2] got sufficient and necessary conditions of the oscillatory solutions for the second-order difference equation

$$\Delta(r_{n-1} \Delta y_{n-1}) + p_n y_n = 0, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (1.4)$$

Li and Yeh [6] established some oscillation criteria of the second-order delay difference equation

$$\Delta(a_{n-1} \Delta(y_{n-1} + p_{n-1} y_{n-1-\sigma})) + q_n f(y_{n-\tau}) = 0, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (1.5)$$

Using the Leray-Schauder nonlinear alternative theorem, Agarwal et al. [1] studied the existence of nonoscillatory solutions for the discrete equation

$$\Delta(a_n \Delta(y_n + p y_{n-\tau})) + F(n+1, y_{n+1-\sigma}) = 0, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \quad (1.6)$$

under the condition  $|p| \neq 1$ . Very recently, Liu et al. [7] utilized the Banach contraction principle to establish the global existence and multiplicity of bounded nonoscillatory solutions for the second-order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation

$$\Delta(a_n \Delta(y_n + b y_{n-\tau})) + f(n, y_{n-d_{1n}}, y_{n-d_{2n}}, \dots, y_{n-d_{kn}}) = c_n, \quad \forall n \geq n_0. \quad (1.7)$$

Motivated by the results in [1–9], in this paper, we discuss the solvability of the second-order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation

$$\Delta(a(n, y_{a_{1n}}, \dots, y_{a_{rn}}) \Delta(y_n + b_n y_{n-\tau})) + f(n, y_{f_{1n}}, \dots, y_{f_{kn}}) = c_n, \quad \forall n \geq n_0, \quad (1.8)$$

where  $\tau, r, k \in \mathbb{N}, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0, \{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}} \cup \{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}} \subset \mathbb{R}, a \in C(\mathbb{N}_{n_0} \times \mathbb{R}^r, \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}), f \in C(\mathbb{N}_{n_0} \times \mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}), \cup_{d=1}^r \{a_{dn}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}, \cup_{j=1}^k \{f_{jn}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{dn} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{jn} = +\infty, \quad (d, j) \in J_r \times J_k. \quad (1.9)$$

It is clear that (1.1)–(1.7) are special cases of (1.8). By utilizing the Rothe fixed point theorem, Leray-Schauder nonlinear alternative theorem, Krasnoselskill fixed point theorem, and a few

new techniques, we prove the existence of uncountably many bounded positive solutions of (1.8). Five examples are constructed to illuminate our results which extend essentially the corresponding results in [1, 7].

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we assume that  $\Delta$  is the forward difference operator defined by  $\Delta y_n = y_{n+1} - y_n$ ,  $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{N}_0$  stand for the sets of all integers, positive integers, and nonnegative integers, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &= \min\{n_0 - \tau, \inf\{a_{dn} : d \in J_r, n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}\}, \inf\{f_{jn} : j \in J_k, n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}\}\}, \\ \mathbb{Z}_\beta &= \{n : n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } n \geq \beta\}, \quad \mathbb{N}_{n_0} = \{n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ with } n \geq n_0\}, \\ J_l &= \{1, \dots, l\} \quad \text{for } l \in \{r, k\}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

$l_\beta^\infty$  denotes the Banach space of all bounded sequences  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta}$  with the norm

$$\|y\| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} |y_n| \quad \text{for } y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in l_\beta^\infty.\tag{2.2}$$

For any  $M > N > 0$ , put

$$\begin{aligned}V(N) &= \{y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in l_\beta^\infty : y_n \geq N, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta\}, \\ U(M) &= \{y \in V(N) : \|y\| < M\}, \\ B(M, N) &= \{y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in l_\beta^\infty : \|y - M\| < N\}, \\ A(N, M) &= \{y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in l_\beta^\infty : N \leq y_n \leq M, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta\}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

It is easy to see that  $V(N)$  is a closed convex subset of  $l_\beta^\infty$ ,  $U(M)$  is a bounded open subset of  $V(N)$  and  $B(M, N)$  is a bounded open convex subset of  $l_\beta^\infty$  and  $A(N, M)$  is a bounded closed and convex subset of  $l_\beta^\infty$ .

By a solution of (1.8), we mean a sequence  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in l_\beta^\infty$  with a positive integer  $T \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  such that (1.8) is satisfied for all  $n \geq T$ .

The following Lemmas play important roles in this paper.

**Lemma 2.1** (Discrete Arzela-Ascoli's Theorem [3]). *A bounded, uniformly Cauchy subset  $Y$  of  $l_\beta^\infty$  is relatively compact.*

**Lemma 2.2** (Rothe Fixed Point Theorem [10]). *Let  $D$  be a bounded convex open subset of a Banach space  $E$  and  $A : \overline{D} \rightarrow E$  be a continuous, condensing mapping, and  $A(\partial D) \subseteq \overline{D}$ . Then  $A$  has a fixed point in  $\overline{D}$ .*

**Lemma 2.3** (Leray-Schauder Nonlinear Alternative Theorem [1]). *Let  $U$  be an open subset of a closed convex set  $K$  in a Banach space  $E$  with  $p^* \in U$ . Let  $f : \overline{U} \rightarrow K$  be a continuous, condensing mapping with  $f(\overline{U})$  bounded. Then either*

(a)  *$f$  has a fixed point in  $\overline{U}$ ; or*

(b) *there exist an  $x \in \partial U$  and a  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that  $x = (1 - \lambda)p^* + \lambda f x$ .*

**Lemma 2.4** (Krasnoselskii Fixed Point Theorem [5]). *Let  $Y$  be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a Banach space  $X$  and  $f, g$  be mappings from  $Y$  into  $X$  such that  $fx + gy \in Y$  for every pair  $x, y \in Y$ . If  $f$  is a contraction mapping and  $g$  is completely continuous, then the equation  $fx + gx = x$  has at least one solution in  $Y$ .*

### 3. Main Results

Now we use the Rothe fixed point theorem to show the existence and multiplicity of bounded positive solutions of (1.8).

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that there exist two constants  $N$  and  $M$  with  $M > N > 0$  and two positive sequences  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  and  $\{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} |a(n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r)| &\geq a_n, \quad \forall (n, u_d) \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0} \times [N, M], \quad d \in J_r; \\ |f(n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)| &\leq p_n, \quad \forall (n, u_j) \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0} \times [N, M], \quad j \in J_k; \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

$$\sum_{s=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} \max\{p_i, |c_i|\} < +\infty; \quad (3.2)$$

$$b_n = 1 \text{ eventually.} \quad (3.3)$$

Then (1.8) has uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $\overline{B(M, N)}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $L \in (M - N, M + N)$ . First of all, we show that there exists a mapping  $S_L : \overline{B(M, N)} \rightarrow l_\beta^\infty$  with  $S_L(\partial B(M, N)) \subseteq \overline{B(M, N)}$  such that  $S_L$  has a fixed point  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{B(M, N)}$ , which is also a bounded positive solution of (1.8).

It follows from (3.2) and (3.3) that there exists  $T \geq \max\{1, n_0 + \tau + |\beta|\}$  satisfying

$$b_n = 1, \quad \forall n \geq T; \quad (3.4)$$

$$\sum_{s=T}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) < \frac{1}{2} \min\{M + N - L, N - M + L\}. \quad (3.5)$$

Define a mapping  $S_L : \overline{B(M, N)} \rightarrow l_\beta^\infty$  as follows:

$$(S_L y)_n = \begin{cases} L - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+(2l-1)\tau}^{n+2l\tau-1} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i], & n \geq T \\ (S_L y)_T, & \beta \leq n < T \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

for each  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{B(M, N)}$ . On account of (3.1), (3.5), and (3.6), we conclude that for every  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \partial B(M, N) \subseteq \overline{B(M, N)}$  and  $n \geq T$

$$\begin{aligned} |(S_L y)_n - M| &= \left| L - M - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+(2l-1)\tau}^{n+2l\tau-1} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \right| \\ &\leq |L - M| + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+(2l-1)\tau}^{n+2l\tau-1} \frac{1}{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})|} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [|f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| + |c_i|] \\ &\leq |L - M| + \sum_{s=T+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\ &< |L - M| + \frac{1}{2} \min\{M + N - L, N - M + L\} \\ &\leq \frac{N}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

which means that

$$\|S_L y - M\| \leq \frac{N}{2} < N, \quad (3.8)$$

that is,  $S_L(\partial B(M, N)) \subseteq \overline{B(M, N)}$ .

Now we assert that  $S_L$  is a continuous and condensing mapping in  $\overline{B(M, N)}$ . Let  $y^\omega = \{y_n^\omega\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{B(M, N)}$  for each  $\omega \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{B(M, N)}$  with  $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} y^\omega = y$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . It follows from (3.2) and the continuity of  $a$  and  $f$  that there exist  $T_1, T_2, T_3 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $T_1 > T$  and  $T_2 > T_1 + \tau - 1$  satisfying

$$\max \left\{ \sum_{s=T_1+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|), \sum_{s=T+\tau}^{T_1+\tau-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=T_2}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \right\} < \frac{\varepsilon}{16}; \quad (3.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \sum_{s=T+\tau}^{T_1+\tau-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{T_2-1} |f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^\omega, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^\omega) - f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})|, \right. \\ \left. \sum_{s=T+\tau}^{T_1+\tau-1} \frac{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\omega, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\omega) - a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})|}{a_s^2} \sum_{i=s}^{T_2-1} (p_i + |c_i|) \right\} < \frac{\varepsilon}{16}, \quad \forall \omega \geq T_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

In view of (3.1) and (3.6)–(3.10), we deduce that for any  $\omega \geq T_3$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S_L y^\omega - S_L y\| &= \sup_{n \geq T} \left| \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+(2l-1)\tau}^{n+2l\tau-1} \left[ \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\omega, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\omega)} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^\omega, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^\omega) - c_i] \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \right] \right| \\
&= \sup_{n \geq T} \left| \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+(2l-1)\tau}^{n+2l\tau-1} \left[ \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\omega, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\omega)} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^\omega, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^\omega) - c_i] \right. \right. \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\omega, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\omega)} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\omega, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\omega)} \times \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \right] \right| \\
&= \sup_{n \geq T} \left| \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+(2l-1)\tau}^{n+2l\tau-1} \left[ \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\omega, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\omega)} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^\omega, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^\omega) - f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})] \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\omega, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\omega)} - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \right) \\
&\quad \left. \left. \times \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \right] \right| \\
&\leq \max \left\{ \sup_{n \geq T_1} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+(2l-1)\tau}^{n+2l\tau-1} \frac{1}{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\omega, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\omega)|} \right. \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [|f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^\omega, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^\omega)| + |f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})|] \\
&\quad + \sup_{n \geq T_1} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+(2l-1)\tau}^{n+2l\tau-1} \left( \frac{1}{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\omega, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\omega)|} + \frac{1}{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})|} \right) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [|f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| + |c_i|], \\
&\quad \sup_{T \leq n \leq T_1-1} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+(2l-1)\tau}^{n+2l\tau-1} \frac{1}{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\omega, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\omega)|} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} |f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^\omega, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^\omega) - f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| \Big\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sup_{T \leq n \leq T_1-1} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+(2l-1)\tau}^{n+2l\tau-1} \left| \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega})} - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \right| \\
& \times \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [|f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| + |c_i|] \Big\} \\
& \leq \max \left\{ 2 \sum_{s=T_1+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} p_i + 2 \sum_{s=T_1+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|), \right. \\
& \quad \sum_{s=T+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} |f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^{\omega}) - f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| \\
& \quad + \sum_{s=T+\tau}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega})} - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \right| \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \Big\} \\
& \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \sum_{s=T_1+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} |f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^{\omega}) - f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| \right. \\
& \quad + \sum_{s=T+\tau}^{T_1+\tau-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=T_2}^{\infty} |f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^{\omega}) - f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| \\
& \quad + \sum_{s=T+\tau}^{T_1+\tau-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{T_2-1} |f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^{\omega}) - f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| \\
& \quad + \sum_{s=T_1+\tau}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega})} - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \right| \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\
& \quad + \sum_{s=T+\tau}^{T_1+\tau-1} \left| \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega})} - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \right| \sum_{i=T_2}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\
& \quad + \sum_{s=T+\tau}^{T_1+\tau-1} \left| \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega})} - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \right| \sum_{i=s}^{T_2-1} (p_i + |c_i|) \Big\} \\
& \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, 2 \sum_{s=T_1+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} p_i + 2 \sum_{s=T+\tau}^{T_1+\tau-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=T_2}^{\infty} p_i + \frac{\varepsilon}{16} + 2 \sum_{s=T_1+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 2 \sum_{s=T+\tau}^{T_1+\tau-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=T_2}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) + \frac{\varepsilon}{16} \right\} \\
& \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \frac{5\varepsilon}{8} \right\} \\
& < \varepsilon,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

which gives that  $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} S_L y^{\omega} = S_L y$ , that is,  $S_L$  is continuous in  $\overline{B(M, N)}$ .

In light of (3.1), (3.5), and (3.6), we get that for any  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{B(M, N)}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S_L y\| &= \sup_{n \geq T} \left| L - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+(2l-1)\tau}^{n+2l\tau-1} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \right| \\
&\leq L + \sum_{s=T+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\
&< L + \frac{1}{2} \min\{M + N - L, N - M + L\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}(M + N + L),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

which implies that  $S_L(\overline{B(M, N)})$  is uniformly bounded.

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Clearly (3.2) ensures that there exists  $T^* > T$  satisfying

$$\sum_{s=T^*}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \tag{3.13}$$

which together with (3.1) and (3.6) implies that for all  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{B(M, N)}$  and  $t_2 > t_1 \geq T^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| (S_L y)_{t_2} - (S_L y)_{t_1} \right| &= \left| \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=t_2+(2l-1)\tau}^{t_2+2l\tau-1} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=t_1+(2l-1)\tau}^{t_1+2l\tau-1} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=t_2+(2l-1)\tau}^{t_2+2l\tau-1} \frac{1}{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})|} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [|f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| + |c_i|] \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=t_1+(2l-1)\tau}^{t_1+2l\tau-1} \frac{1}{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})|} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [|f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| + |c_i|] \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{s=T^*+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\
&< \varepsilon,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

which yields that  $S_L(\overline{B(M, N)})$  is uniformly Cauchy. Thus Lemma 2.1 means that  $S_L(\overline{B(M, N)})$  is relatively compact. Consequently  $S_L$  is condensing in  $\overline{B(M, N)}$ .



It follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $S_L$  has a fixed point  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{B(M, N)}$ , that is,

$$y_n = L - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+(2l-1)\tau}^{n+2l\tau-1} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i], \quad \forall n \geq T, \quad (3.15)$$

which yields that

$$\begin{aligned} y_n + y_{n-\tau} &= 2L - \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i], \quad \forall n \geq T + \tau, \\ a(n, y_{a_{1n}}, \dots, y_{a_{rn}}) \Delta(y_n + y_{n-\tau}) &= \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i], \quad \forall n \geq T + \tau, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

which together with (3.3) implies that

$$\Delta(a(n, y_{a_{1n}}, \dots, y_{a_{rn}}) \Delta(y_n + y_{n-\tau})) = -f(n, y_{f_{1n}}, \dots, y_{f_{kn}}) + c_n, \quad \forall n \geq T + \tau, \quad (3.17)$$

that is, (1.8) has a bounded positive solution  $y \in \overline{B(M, N)}$ .

Next we show that (1.8) has uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $\overline{B(M, N)}$ . Let  $L_1, L_2 \in (M - N, M + N)$  and  $L_1 \neq L_2$ . For every  $\theta \in \{1, 2\}$ , we infer similarly that there exist a constant  $T_{L_\theta}$  and a mapping  $S_{L_\theta}$  satisfying (3.4)–(3.6), where  $L, T$ , and  $S_L$  are replaced by  $L_\theta, T_{L_\theta}$ , and  $S_{L_\theta}$ , respectively, and the mapping  $S_{L_\theta}$  has a fixed point  $y^\theta = \{y_n^\theta\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{B(M, N)}$ , which is a bounded positive solution of (1.8) in  $\overline{B(M, N)}$ , that is,

$$y_n^\theta = L_\theta - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+(2l-1)\tau}^{n+2l\tau-1} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\theta, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\theta)} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^\theta, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^\theta) - c_i], \quad \forall n \geq T_{L_\theta}. \quad (3.18)$$

Equation (3.2) ensures that there exists  $T_* > \max\{T_{L_1}, T_{L_2}\}$  satisfying

$$\sum_{s=T_*}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) < \frac{|L_1 - L_2|}{4}. \quad (3.19)$$

In order to show that the set of bounded positive solutions of (1.8) is uncountable, it is sufficient to prove that  $y^1 \neq y^2$ . It follows from (3.1), (3.18), and (3.19) that for all  $n \geq T_*$

$$\begin{aligned} |y_n^1 - y_n^2| &= \left| L_1 - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+(2l-1)\tau}^{n+2l\tau-1} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^1, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^1)} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^1, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^1) - c_i] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - L_2 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+(2l-1)\tau}^{n+2l\tau-1} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^2, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^2)} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^2, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^2) - c_i] \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq |L_1 - L_2| - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+(2l-1)\tau}^{n+2l\tau-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} \left[ \left| f(i, y_{f_{li}}^1, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^1) \right| + |c_i| + \left| f(i, y_{f_{li}}^2, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^2) \right| + |c_i| \right] \\
&\geq |L_1 - L_2| - 2 \sum_{s=T_*+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\
&> \frac{1}{2} |L_1 - L_2|,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

that is,  $y^1 \neq y^2$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume that there exist two constants  $N$  and  $M$  with  $M > N > 0$  and two positive sequences  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  and  $\{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  satisfying (3.1) and

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n_0+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} \max\{p_i, |c_i|\} < +\infty; \tag{3.21}$$

$$b_n = -1 \quad \text{eventually.} \tag{3.22}$$

Then (1.8) has uncountably many bounded positive solutions.

*Proof.* Let  $L \in (M - N, M + N)$ . Firstly, we show that there exists a mapping  $S_L : \overline{B(M, N)} \rightarrow l_{\beta}^{\infty}$  with  $S_L(\partial B(M, N)) \subseteq \overline{B(M, N)}$  such that  $S_L$  has a fixed point  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}} \in \overline{B(M, N)}$ , which is also a bounded positive solution of (1.8). In view of (3.21) and (3.22), we choose a sufficiently large integer  $T \geq \max\{1, n_0 + \tau + |\beta|\}$  such that

$$b_n = -1, \quad \forall n \geq T; \tag{3.23}$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=T+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) < \frac{1}{2} \min\{M + N - L, N - M + L\}. \tag{3.24}$$

Define a mapping  $S_L : \overline{B(M, N)} \rightarrow l_{\beta}^{\infty}$  as follows:

$$(S_L y)_n = \begin{cases} L + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{li}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i], & n \geq T \\ (S_L y)_{T'}, & \beta \leq n < T \end{cases} \tag{3.25}$$

for each  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{B(M, N)}$ . It follows from (3.1), (3.24), and (3.25) that for every  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \partial B(M, N) \subseteq \overline{B(M, N)}$  and  $n \geq T$

$$\begin{aligned}
|(S_L y)_n - M| &= \left| L - M + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \right| \\
&\leq |L - M| + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})|} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [|f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| + |c_i|] \\
&\leq |L - M| + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=T+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\
&< |L - M| + \frac{1}{2} \min\{M + N - L, N - M + L\} \\
&\leq \frac{N}{2},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

which means that

$$\|S_L y - M\| \leq \frac{N}{2} < N, \tag{3.27}$$

that is,  $S_L(\partial B(M, N)) \subseteq \overline{B(M, N)}$ .

Now we prove that  $S_L$  is a continuous and condensing mapping in  $\overline{B(M, N)}$ . Put  $y^\omega = \{y_n^\omega\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{B(M, N)}$  for each  $\omega \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{B(M, N)}$  with  $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} y^\omega = y$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Using (3.21) and the continuity of  $a$  and  $f$ , we conclude that there exist four positive integers  $T_1, T_2, T_3$ , and  $T_4$  with  $T_3 > T$ ,  $T_2 > T_3 + T_1\tau$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=T_3+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|), \sum_{l=T_1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=T+l\tau}^{T_3+l\tau-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \sum_{l=1}^{T_1-1} \sum_{s=T+l\tau}^{T_3+l\tau-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=T_2}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \right\} < \frac{\varepsilon}{16};
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{T_1-1} \sum_{s=T+l\tau}^{T_3+l\tau-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{T_2-1} |f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^\omega, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^\omega) - f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})|, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \sum_{l=1}^{T_1-1} \sum_{s=T+l\tau}^{T_3+l\tau-1} \frac{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\omega, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\omega) - a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})|}{a_s^2} \sum_{i=s}^{T_2-1} (p_i + |c_i|) \right\} < \frac{\varepsilon}{16}, \quad \forall \omega \geq T_4.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

By virtue of (3.1) and (3.25)–(3.29), we infer that for each  $\omega \geq T_4$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S_L y^\omega - S_L y\| &= \sup_{n \geq T} \left| \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\omega, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\omega)} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^\omega, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^\omega) - c_i] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \right| \\
&= \sup_{n \geq T} \left| \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\omega, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\omega)} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^\omega, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^\omega) - f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})] \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+l\tau}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\omega, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\omega)} - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \right) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \Big| \\
&\leq \max \left\{ \sup_{n \geq T_3} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\omega, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\omega)|} \right. \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [|f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^\omega, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^\omega)| + |f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})|] \\
&\quad + \sup_{n \geq T_3} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+l\tau}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\omega, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\omega)|} + \frac{1}{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})|} \right) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [|f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| + |c_i|], \\
&\quad \sup_{T \leq n \leq T_3-1} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\omega, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\omega)|} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} |f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^\omega, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^\omega) - f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| \\
&\quad + \sup_{T \leq n \leq T_3-1} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+l\tau}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\omega, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\omega)} - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \right| \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [|f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| + |c_i|] \Big\} \\
&\leq \max \left\{ 2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=T_3+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} p_i + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=T_3+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|), \right. \\
&\quad \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=T+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} |f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^\omega, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^\omega) - f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=T+l\tau}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\omega, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\omega)} - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \right| \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=T_3+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} \left| f(i, y_{f_{li}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^{\omega}) - f(i, y_{f_{li}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) \right| \right. \\
& \quad + \sum_{l=1}^{T_1-1} \sum_{s=T+l\tau}^{T_3+l\tau-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{T_2-1} \left| f(i, y_{f_{li}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^{\omega}) - f(i, y_{f_{li}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) \right| \\
& \quad + \sum_{l=1}^{T_1-1} \sum_{s=T+l\tau}^{T_3+l\tau-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=T_2}^{\infty} \left| f(i, y_{f_{li}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^{\omega}) - f(i, y_{f_{li}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) \right| \\
& \quad + \sum_{l=T_1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=T+l\tau}^{T_3+l\tau-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} \left| f(i, y_{f_{li}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^{\omega}) - f(i, y_{f_{li}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) \right| \\
& \quad + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=T_3+l\tau}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega})} - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \right| \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\
& \quad + \sum_{l=1}^{T_1-1} \sum_{s=T+l\tau}^{T_3+l\tau-1} \left| \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega})} - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \right| \sum_{i=s}^{T_2-1} (p_i + |c_i|) \\
& \quad + \sum_{l=1}^{T_1-1} \sum_{s=T+l\tau}^{T_3+l\tau-1} \left| \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega})} - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \right| \sum_{i=T_2}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\
& \quad + \sum_{l=T_1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=T+l\tau}^{T_3+l\tau-1} \left| \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega})} - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \right| \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \left. \right\} \\
& \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, 2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=T_3+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} p_i + \frac{\varepsilon}{16} + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{T_1-1} \sum_{s=T+l\tau}^{T_3+l\tau-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=T_2}^{\infty} p_i + 2 \sum_{l=T_1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=T+l\tau}^{T_3+l\tau-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} p_i \right. \\
& \quad + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=T_3+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) + \frac{\varepsilon}{16} + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{T_1-1} \sum_{s=T+l\tau}^{T_3+l\tau-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=T_2}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\
& \quad \left. + 2 \sum_{l=T_1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=T+l\tau}^{T_3+l\tau-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \right\} \\
& < \max \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \frac{7\varepsilon}{8} \right\} \\
& < \varepsilon,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

which implies that  $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} S_L y^{\omega} = S_L y$ , that is,  $S_L$  is continuous in  $\overline{B(M, N)}$ .

From (3.1), (3.24), and (3.25), we infer that for any  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}} \in \overline{B(M, N)}$

$$\|S_L y\| = \sup_{n \geq T} \left| L + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{li}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq L + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\
&< L + \frac{1}{2} \min\{M + N - L, N - M + L\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}(M + N + L),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

which implies that  $S_L(\overline{B(M, N)})$  is uniformly bounded.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . It follows from (3.21) that there exists  $T^* > T$  satisfying

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=T^*+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \tag{3.32}$$

which together with (3.1) and (3.25) yields that for all  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{B(M, N)}$  and  $t_2 > t_1 \geq T^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| (S_L y)_{t_2} - (S_L y)_{t_1} \right| &= \left| \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=t_2+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=t_1+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=t_2+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})|} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [|f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| + |c_i|] \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=t_1+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})|} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [|f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| + |c_i|] \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=T^*+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\
&< \varepsilon,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

which gives that  $S_L(\overline{B(M, N)})$  is uniformly Cauchy. Hence Lemma 2.1 implies that  $S_L(\overline{B(M, N)})$  is relatively compact, that is,  $S_L$  is condensing in  $\overline{B(M, N)}$ .

It is clear that Lemma 2.2 means that  $S_L$  possesses a fixed point  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{B(M, N)}$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
y_n &= L + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i], \quad \forall n \geq T, \\
y_{n-\tau} &= L + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+(l-1)\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i], \quad \forall n \geq T + \tau
\end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

which lead to

$$\begin{aligned} y_n - y_{n-\tau} &= - \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i], \quad \forall n \geq T + \tau, \\ \Delta(y_n - y_{n-\tau}) &= \frac{1}{a(n, y_{a_{1n}}, \dots, y_{a_{rn}})} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i], \quad \forall n \geq T + \tau, \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

which together with (3.23) yields that

$$\Delta(a(n, y_{a_{1n}}, \dots, y_{a_{rn}}) \Delta(y_n + b_n y_{n-\tau})) = -f(n, y_{f_{1n}}, \dots, y_{f_{kn}}) + c_n, \quad \forall n \geq T + \tau, \quad (3.36)$$

that is, (1.8) has a bounded positive solution in  $\overline{B(M, N)}$ .

Next we show that (1.8) has uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $\overline{B(M, N)}$ . Let  $L_1, L_2 \in (M - N, M + N)$  and  $L_1 \neq L_2$ . Similarly we infer that for each  $\theta \in \{1, 2\}$ , there exist a constant  $T_{L_\theta}$  and a mapping  $S_{L_\theta}$  satisfying (3.23)–(3.25), where  $L, T$  and  $S_L$  are replaced by  $L_\theta, T_{L_\theta}$ , and  $S_{L_\theta}$ , respectively, and the mapping  $S_{L_\theta}$  has a fixed point  $y^\theta = \{y_n^\theta\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{B(M, N)}$ , which is a bounded positive solution of (1.8) in  $\overline{B(M, N)}$ , that is,

$$y_n^\theta = L_\theta + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\theta, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\theta)} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^\theta, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^\theta) - c_i], \quad \forall n \geq T_{L_\theta}. \quad (3.37)$$

It follows from (3.21) that there exists  $T_* > \max\{T_{L_1}, T_{L_2}\}$  such that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=T_*+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) < \frac{|L_1 - L_2|}{4}. \quad (3.38)$$

In order to show that the set of bounded positive solutions of (1.8) is uncountable, it is sufficient to prove that  $y^1 \neq y^2$ . By means of (3.1), (3.37) and (3.38), we infer that for each  $n \geq T_*$

$$\begin{aligned}
|y_n^1 - y_n^2| &= \left| L_1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^1, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^1)} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^1, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^1) - c_i] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - L_2 - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^2, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^2)} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^2, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^2) - c_i] \right| \\
&\geq |L_1 - L_2| - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [|f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^1, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^1)| + |c_i| + |f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^2, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^2)| + |c_i|] \\
&\geq |L_1 - L_2| - 2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=T_*+l\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\
&> \frac{1}{2} |L_1 - L_2|,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

that is,  $y^1 \neq y^2$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Next we use the Leray-Schauder nonlinear alternative theorem to show the existence and multiplicity of bounded positive solutions of (1.8).

**Theorem 3.3.** Assume that there exist four constants  $N$ ,  $M$ ,  $b_*$ , and  $b^*$  and two positive sequences  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  and  $\{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  satisfying (3.1), (3.2) and

$$0 < N < (1 - b_* - b^*)M, \quad b_* \geq 0, b^* \geq 0, b_* + b^* < 1, -b_* \leq b_n \leq b^* \text{ eventually.} \tag{3.40}$$

Then (1.8) has uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $\overline{U(M)}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $L \in (b^*M + N, (1 - b_*)M)$ . Now we prove that there exists a mapping  $S_L : \overline{U(M)} \rightarrow V(N)$  such that it has a fixed point  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{U(M)}$ , which is also a bounded positive solution of (1.8). It follows from (3.2), (3.40) and that there exists a sufficiently large number  $T \geq \max\{1, n_0 + \tau + |\beta|\}$  satisfying

$$-b_* \leq b_n \leq b^*, \quad \forall n \geq T; \tag{3.41}$$

$$\sum_{s=T}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) < \min\{L - b^*M - N, (1 - b_*)M - L\}. \tag{3.42}$$

Put  $p^* = M - \varepsilon^*$ , where  $\varepsilon^* \in (0, \min\{L - b^*M - N, (1 - b_*)M - L, (M - N)/2\})$  is enough small and

$$\sum_{s=T}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) < \min\{L - b^*M - N, (1 - b_*)M - L\} - \varepsilon^*. \tag{3.43}$$



Obviously,  $p^* \in U(M)$ . Define a mapping  $S_L : \overline{U(M)} \rightarrow l_\beta^\infty$  by

$$(S_L y)_n = (S_{1L} y)_n + (S_{2L} y)_n, \quad n \geq \beta \quad (3.44)$$

for each  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{U(M)}$ , where the mappings  $S_{1L}, S_{2L} : \overline{U(M)} \rightarrow l_\beta^\infty$  are defined by

$$(S_{1L} y)_n = \begin{cases} L - b_n y_{n-\tau}, & n \geq T \\ (S_{1L} y)_{T'}, & \beta \leq n < T, \end{cases} \quad (3.45)$$

$$(S_{2L} y)_n = \begin{cases} -\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i], & n \geq T \\ (S_{2L} y)_{T'}, & \beta \leq n < T. \end{cases} \quad (3.46)$$

It follows from (3.1), (3.41), and (3.43)–(3.46) that for any  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{U(M)}$  and  $n \geq T$

$$\begin{aligned} (S_L y)_n &= (S_{1L} y)_n + (S_{2L} y)_n \\ &= L - b_n y_{n-\tau} - \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \\ &\geq L - b^* M - \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})|} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\ &\geq L - b^* M - \sum_{s=T}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\ &> L - b^* M - \min\{L - b^* M - N, (1 - b_*)M - L\} + \varepsilon^* \\ &\geq N + \varepsilon^* \\ &> N, \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

which yields that  $S_L(\overline{U(M)}) \subseteq V(N)$ .

Next we show that  $S_{2L} : \overline{U(M)} \rightarrow l_\beta^\infty$  is a continuous and relatively compact mapping.

Let  $y^\omega = \{y_n^\omega\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{U(M)}$  and  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{U(M)}$  with  $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} y^\omega = y$ . By virtue of (3.2) and the continuity of  $a$  and  $f$ , we infer that there exist  $T_1, T_2, T_3 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $T_2 > T_1 - 1 > T$  satisfying

$$\max \left\{ \sum_{s=T_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|), \sum_{s=T}^{T_1-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=T_2}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \right\} < \frac{\varepsilon}{16}; \quad (3.48)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ \sum_{s=T}^{T_1-1} \frac{1}{|a_s|} \sum_{i=s}^{T_2-1} \left| f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^{\omega}) - f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) \right|, \right. \\ & \left. \sum_{s=T}^{T_1-1} \frac{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega}) - a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})|}{a_s^2} \sum_{i=s}^{T_2-1} (p_i + |c_i|) \right\} < \frac{\varepsilon}{16}, \quad \forall \omega \geq T_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.49)$$

It follows from (3.1) and (3.46)–(3.49) that for each  $\omega \geq T_3$

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_{2L}y^{\omega} - S_{2L}y\| &= \sup_{n \geq T} \left| \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^{\omega}) - c_i] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \right| \\ &= \sup_{n \geq T} \left| \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^{\omega}) - f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})] \right. \\ & \quad + \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega})} - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \right) \\ & \quad \left. \times \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \right| \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \sup_{n \geq T_1} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega})|} \right. \\ & \quad \times \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [|f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^{\omega})| + |f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})|] \\ & \quad + \sup_{n \geq T_1} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega})|} + \frac{1}{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})|} \right) \\ & \quad \times \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [|f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| + |c_i|], \\ & \quad \sup_{T \leq n \leq T_1-1} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega})|} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} |f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^{\omega}) - f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sup_{T \leq n \leq T_1-1} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega})} - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \right| \\
& \times \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} \left\{ |f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| + |c_i| \right\} \\
\leq & \max \left\{ 2 \sum_{s=T_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} p_i + 2 \sum_{s=T_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|), \right. \\
& \sum_{s=T}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} \left| f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^{\omega}, y_{f_{2i}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^{\omega}) - f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, y_{f_{2i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) \right| \\
& + \sum_{s=T}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega})} - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \right| \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \left. \right\} \\
\leq & \max \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \sum_{s=T}^{T_1-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{T_2-1} \left| f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^{\omega}) - f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) \right| \right. \\
& + \sum_{s=T}^{T_1-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=T_2}^{\infty} \left| f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^{\omega}) - f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) \right| \\
& + \sum_{s=T_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} \left| f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^{\omega}) - f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) \right| \\
& + \sum_{s=T}^{T_1-1} \left| \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega})} - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \right| \sum_{i=s}^{T_2-1} (p_i + |c_i|) \\
& + \sum_{s=T}^{T_1-1} \left| \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega})} - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \right| \sum_{i=T_2}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\
& + \sum_{s=T_1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega})} - \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \right| \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \left. \right\} \\
\leq & \max \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \frac{\varepsilon}{16} + 2 \sum_{s=T}^{T_1-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=T_2}^{\infty} p_i + 2 \sum_{s=T_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} p_i \right. \\
& + \sum_{s=T}^{T_1-1} \frac{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^{\omega}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^{\omega}) - a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})|}{a_s^2} \sum_{i=s}^{T_2-1} (p_i + |c_i|) \\
& + 2 \sum_{s=T}^{T_1-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=T_2}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) + 2 \sum_{s=T_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \left. \right\} \\
\leq & \max \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \frac{5\varepsilon}{8} \right\} \\
< & \varepsilon,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

which yields that  $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \|S_{2L} y^{\omega} - S_{2L} y\| = 0$ , that is,  $S_{2L}$  is continuous in  $\overline{U(M)}$ .

In light of (3.1) and (3.43)–(3.46), we deduce that for all  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{U(M)}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S_L y\| &= \sup_{n \geq T} |(S_{1L} y)_n + (S_{2L} y)_n| \\
&\leq \sup_{n \geq T} |(S_{1L} y)_n| + \sup_{n \geq T} |(S_{2L} y)_n| \\
&\leq \sup_{n \geq T} |L - b_n y_{n-\tau}| + \sup_{n \geq T} \left| \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \right| \\
&\leq \sup_{n \geq T} (L + |b_n| |y_{n-\tau}|) + \sup_{n \geq T} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})|} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [|f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| + |c_i|] \\
&\leq L + (b_* + b^*)M + \sum_{s=T}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\
&\leq L + M + \min\{L - b^*M - N, (1 - b_*)M - L\} - \varepsilon^* \\
&< 2L + M,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

which means that  $S_L(\overline{U(M)})$  and  $S_{2L}(\overline{U(M)})$  are bounded.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Notice that (3.2) ensures that there exists  $T^* > T$  satisfying

$$\sum_{s=T^*}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \tag{3.52}$$

which together with (3.1) and (3.46) implies that for all  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{U(M)}$  and  $t_2 > t_1 \geq T^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
|(S_{2L} y)_{t_2} - (S_{2L} y)_{t_1}| &= \left| \sum_{s=t_2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{s=t_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{s=t_2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) + \sum_{s=t_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{s=T^*}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\
&< \varepsilon,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

which means that  $S_{2L}(\overline{U(M)})$  is uniformly Cauchy. Thus  $S_{2L}(\overline{U(M)})$  is relatively compact.

By virtue of (3.41) and (3.45), we infer that for all  $x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta}$ ,  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{U(M)}$  and  $n \geq T$

$$|(S_{1L}x)_n - (S_{1L}y)_n| = |b_n||x_{n-\tau} - y_{n-\tau}| \leq (b_* + b^*)\|x - y\|, \quad (3.54)$$

which yields that

$$\|S_{1L}x - S_{1L}y\| \leq (b_* + b^*)\|x - y\|, \quad (3.55)$$

that is,  $S_{1L}$  is a contraction mapping in  $\overline{U(M)}$ . It follows that  $S_L$  is a continuous and condensing mapping.

Put

$$P = \left\{ y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in I_\beta^\infty : N \leq y_n \leq M, n \geq \beta, \|y\| = M \right\}, \quad (3.56)$$

$$Q = \left\{ y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in I_\beta^\infty : N \leq y_n \leq M, n \geq \beta \text{ and there exists } n^* \geq \beta \text{ satisfying } y_{n^*} = N \right\}. \quad (3.57)$$

It is easy to see that  $\partial U(M) = P \cup Q$ . Suppose that there exist  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \partial U(M)$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  with

$$y = (1 - \lambda)p^* + \lambda S_L y. \quad (3.58)$$

Now we consider two possible cases as follows.

*Case 1.* Let  $y \in P$ . Obviously (3.41), (3.43)–(3.46), (3.56), and (3.58) guarantee that

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= (1 - \lambda)p^* + \lambda S_L y_n \\ &= (1 - \lambda)p^* + \lambda \left[ L - b_n y_{n-\tau} - \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \right] \\ &\leq (1 - \lambda)(M - \varepsilon^*) + \lambda \left[ L + b_* M + \sum_{s=T}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \right] \\ &< (1 - \lambda)(M - \varepsilon^*) + \lambda [L + b_* M + \min\{L - b^* M - N, (1 - b_*)M - L\} - \varepsilon^*] \\ &\leq M - \varepsilon^*, \quad \forall n \geq T, \end{aligned} \quad (3.59)$$

which implies that

$$M = \|y\| = \sup_{n \geq \beta} |y_n| \leq M - \varepsilon^* < M, \quad (3.60)$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 2. Let  $y \in Q$ . It follows from (3.41), (3.43)–(3.46), (3.57), and (3.58) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 N &= y_{n^*} = (1 - \lambda)p^* + \lambda S_L y_{n^*} \\
 &= (1 - \lambda)p^* + \lambda \left[ L - b_{n^*} y_{n^* - \tau} - \sum_{s=\max\{n^*, T\}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \right] \\
 &\geq (1 - \lambda)(M - \varepsilon^*) + \lambda \left[ L - b^* M - \sum_{s=\max\{n^*, T\}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \right] \\
 &> (1 - \lambda)(M - \varepsilon^*) + \lambda [L - b^* M - \min\{L - b^* M - N, (1 - b_*)M - L\} + \varepsilon^*] \\
 &\geq (1 - \lambda)(M - \varepsilon^*) + \lambda(N + \varepsilon^*) \\
 &\geq \min\{M - \varepsilon^*, N + \varepsilon^*\} \\
 &= N + \varepsilon^*,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.61}$$

which is absurd. Thus Lemma 2.3 ensures that there exists  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{U(M)}$  satisfying  $S_L y = S_{1L} y + S_{2L} y = y$ , that is,

$$y_n = L - b_n y_{n-\tau} - \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i], \quad \forall n \geq T, \tag{3.62}$$

which means that

$$a(n, y_{a_{1n}}, \dots, y_{a_{rn}}) \Delta(y_n + b_n y_{n-\tau}) = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i], \quad \forall n \geq T, \tag{3.63}$$

which yields that

$$\Delta(a(n, y_{a_{1n}}, \dots, y_{a_{rn}}) \Delta(y_n + b_n y_{n-\tau})) = -f(n, y_{f_{1n}}, \dots, y_{f_{kn}}) + c_n, \quad \forall n \geq T, \tag{3.64}$$

that is,  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{U(M)}$  is a bounded positive solution of (1.8).

Finally we show that (1.8) has uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $\overline{U(M)}$ . Let  $L_1, L_2 \in (b^* M + N, (1 - b_*)M)$  and  $L_1 \neq L_2$ . Similarly we infer that for each  $\theta \in \{1, 2\}$ , there exists a mapping  $S_{L_\theta} : \overline{U(M)} \rightarrow V(N)$  satisfying (3.41)–(3.46), where  $L, \beta, T, S_{1L}, S_{2L}$ , and  $S_L$  are replaced by  $L_\theta, \beta_\theta, T_{L_\theta}, S_{1L_\theta}, S_{2L_\theta}$  and  $S_{L_\theta}$ , respectively, and the mapping  $S_{L_\theta}$  has a fixed point  $y^\theta = \{y_n^\theta\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{U(M)}$ , which is a bounded positive solution of (1.8) in  $\overline{U(M)}$ , that is,

$$y_n^\theta = L_\theta - b_n y_{n-\tau}^\theta - \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^\theta, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^\theta)} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^\theta, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^\theta) - c_i], \quad \forall n \geq T_{L_\theta}. \tag{3.65}$$

It follows from (3.2) that there exists  $T_* > \max\{T_{L_1}, T_{L_2}\}$  satisfying

$$\sum_{s=T_*}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) < \frac{|L_1 - L_2|}{4}. \quad (3.66)$$

In order to prove that the set of bounded positive solutions of (1.8) is uncountable, it is sufficient to verify that  $y^1 \neq y^2$ . In terms of (3.1), (3.65), and (3.66), we deduce that for  $n \geq T_*$

$$\begin{aligned} |y_n^1 - y_n^2| &= \left| L_1 - L_2 - b_n y_{n-\tau}^1 + b_n y_{n-\tau}^2 - \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^1, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^1)} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^1, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^1) - c_i] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}^2, \dots, y_{a_{rs}}^2)} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^2, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^2) - c_i] \right| \\ &\geq |L_1 - L_2| - |b_n| |y_{n-\tau}^1 - y_{n-\tau}^2| \\ &\quad - \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [|f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^1, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^1)| + |c_i| + |f(i, y_{f_{1i}}^2, y_{f_{2i}}^2, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}^2)| + |c_i|] \\ &\geq |L_1 - L_2| - (b_* + b^*) \|y^1 - y^2\| - 2 \sum_{s=T_*}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\ &> \frac{1}{2} |L_1 - L_2| - (b_* + b^*) \|y^1 - y^2\|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.67)$$

which means that

$$\|y^1 - y^2\| > \frac{|L_1 - L_2|}{2(1 + b_* + b^*)} > 0, \quad (3.68)$$

that is,  $y^1 \neq y^2$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** Assume that there exist four constants  $N$ ,  $M$ ,  $b_*$ , and  $b^*$  and two positive sequences  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  and  $\{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  satisfying (3.1), (3.2) and

$$(1 + b^*)M < (1 + b_*)N < 0, \quad b_* \leq b_n \leq b^* < -1 \text{ eventually.} \quad (3.69)$$

Then (1.8) has uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $\overline{U(M)}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $L \in ((1 + b^*)M, (1 + b_*)N)$ . Now we show that there exists a mapping  $S_L : \overline{U(M)} \rightarrow V(N)$  such that it has a fixed point  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{U(M)}$ , which is also a bounded positive

solution of (1.8). It follows from (3.2) and (3.69) that there exists  $T \geq \max\{1, n_0 + \tau + |\beta|\}$  satisfying

$$b_* \leq b_n \leq b^* < -1, \quad \forall n \geq T; \quad (3.70)$$

$$\sum_{s=T}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) < \min \left\{ L - (1 + b^*)M, \frac{b^*}{b_*} [N(1 + b_*) - L] \right\}. \quad (3.71)$$

Let  $p^* = M - \varepsilon^*$ , where  $\varepsilon^* \in (0, \min\{L - (1 + b^*)M, (b^*/b_*)[N(1 + b_*) - L, b^*(M - N)/(b^* - 1)]\})$  is enough small and

$$\sum_{s=T}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) < \min \left\{ L - (1 + b^*)M, \frac{b^*}{b_*} [N(1 + b_*) - L] \right\} - \varepsilon^*. \quad (3.72)$$

Obviously,  $p^* \in U(M)$ . Define a mapping  $S_L : \overline{U(M)} \rightarrow l_\beta^\infty$  by (3.44), where the mappings  $S_{1L}, S_{2L} : \overline{U(M)} \rightarrow l_\beta^\infty$  are defined by

$$(S_{1L}y)_n = \begin{cases} \frac{L}{b_{n+\tau}} - \frac{y_{n+\tau}}{b_{n+\tau}}, & n \geq T \\ (S_{1L}y)_T, & \beta \leq n < T, \end{cases} \quad (3.73)$$

$$(S_{2L}y)_n = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{b_{n+\tau}} \sum_{s=n+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i], & n \geq T \\ (S_{2L}y)_T, & \beta \leq n < T \end{cases} \quad (3.74)$$

for each  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{U(M)}$ . By virtue of (3.1), (3.70), and (3.72)–(3.74), we get that for any  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in \overline{U(M)}$  and  $n \geq T$

$$\begin{aligned} (S_L y)_n &= (S_{1L} y)_n + (S_{2L} y)_n \\ &= \frac{L}{b_{n+\tau}} - \frac{y_{n+\tau}}{b_{n+\tau}} - \frac{1}{b_{n+\tau}} \sum_{s=n+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \\ &\geq \frac{L}{b_*} - \frac{N}{b_*} + \frac{1}{b^*} \sum_{s=T+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\ &\geq \frac{L}{b_*} - \frac{N}{b_*} + \frac{1}{b^*} \min \left\{ L - (1 + b^*)M, \frac{b^*}{b_*} [N(1 + b_*) - L] \right\} - \frac{1}{b^*} \varepsilon^* \\ &\geq N - \frac{1}{b^*} \varepsilon^* \\ &> N, \end{aligned} \quad (3.75)$$

which gives that  $S_L(\overline{U(M)}) \subseteq V(N)$ . The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.3 and is omitted. This completes the proof.  $\square$



Now we employ the Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem to prove the existence and multiplicity of bounded positive solutions of (1.8).

**Theorem 3.5.** *Assume that there exist four constants  $N$ ,  $M$ ,  $b_*$  and  $b^*$  and two positive sequences  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$ ,  $\{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  satisfying (3.1), (3.2) and*

$$0 < Nb^*b_* < M(b_*^2 - b^*), \quad 1 < b_* \leq b_n \leq b^* < b_*^2 \text{ eventually.} \quad (3.76)$$

Then (1.8) has uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $A(N, M)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $L \in ((b^*/b_*)M + b^*N, b_*M)$ . Now we show that there exist two mappings  $S_{1L}, S_{2L} : A(N, M) \rightarrow I_\beta^\infty$  such that the equation  $S_{1L}y + S_{2L}y = y$  has a solution  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$ , which is also a bounded positive solution of (1.8). It follows from (3.2) and (3.76) that there exists  $T \geq \max\{1, n_0 + \tau + |\beta|\}$  satisfying

$$1 < b_* \leq b_n \leq b^* < b_*^2, \quad \forall n \geq T; \quad (3.77)$$

$$\sum_{s=T}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) < \min \left\{ b_*M - L, \frac{b_*L}{b^*} - M - b_*N \right\}. \quad (3.78)$$

Define two mappings  $S_{1L}$  and  $S_{2L} : A(N, M) \rightarrow I_\beta^\infty$  by (3.73) and (3.74), respectively. It follows from (3.1), (3.73), (3.74), (3.77), and (3.78) that for any  $x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta}$ ,  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$  and  $n \geq T$

$$\begin{aligned} |(S_{1L}x)_n - (S_{1L}y)_n| &= \frac{1}{b_{n+\tau}} |x_{n+\tau} - y_{n+\tau}| \leq \frac{1}{b_*} \|x - y\|, \\ (S_{1L}x)_n + (S_{2L}y)_n &= \frac{L}{b_{n+\tau}} - \frac{x_{n+\tau}}{b_{n+\tau}} - \frac{1}{b_{n+\tau}} \sum_{s=n+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \\ &\geq \frac{L}{b^*} - \frac{M}{b_*} - \frac{1}{b_*} \sum_{s=n+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [|f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| + |c_i|] \\ &\geq \frac{L}{b^*} - \frac{M}{b_*} - \frac{1}{b_*} \sum_{s=T+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\ &> \frac{L}{b^*} - \frac{M}{b_*} - \frac{1}{b_*} \min \left\{ b_*M - L, \frac{b_*L}{b^*} - M - b_*N \right\} \\ &\geq N, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(S_{1L}x)_n + (S_{2L}y)_n &= \frac{L}{b_{n+\tau}} - \frac{x_{n+\tau}}{b_{n+\tau}} - \frac{1}{b_{n+\tau}} \sum_{s=n+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s, y_{a_{1s}}, \dots, y_{a_{rs}})} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}}) - c_i] \\
&\leq \frac{L}{b_*} + \frac{1}{b_*} \sum_{s=n+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} [|f(i, y_{f_{1i}}, \dots, y_{f_{ki}})| + |c_i|] \\
&\leq \frac{L}{b_*} + \frac{1}{b_*} \sum_{s=T+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} (p_i + |c_i|) \\
&< \frac{L}{b_*} + \frac{1}{b_*} \min \left\{ b_* M - L, \frac{b_* L}{b^*} - M - b_* N \right\} \\
&\leq M,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.79}$$

which yield that

$$\|S_{1L}x - S_{1L}y\| \leq \frac{1}{b_*} \|x - y\|, \quad S_{1L}x + S_{2L}y \in A(N, M), \quad \forall x, y \in A(N, M). \tag{3.80}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.3, we infer similarly that  $S_{2L}$  is continuous in  $A(N, M)$  and  $S_{2L}(A(N, M))$  is relatively compact. Thus  $S_{2L}$  is completely continuous, which together with (3.77), (3.80), and Lemma 2.4, ensures that the equation  $S_{1L}y + S_{2L}y = y$  has a solution  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$ , which is also a bounded positive solution of (1.8) in  $A(N, M)$ . The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.3 and is omitted. This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 3.6.* Theorems 3.1–3.5 extend and improve Theorem 2.1 in [1] and Theorems 2.1–2.7 in [7], respectively. The examples in Section 4 show that our results are indeed generalizations of the corresponding results in [1, 7].

## 4. Examples

Now we construct five examples to show the applications of the results presented in Section 3. Note that none of the known results can be applied to the five examples.

*Example 4.1.* Consider the second-order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta \left( (-1)^n (n^6 - n^5 + 1) (y_n^2 + 1) \Delta(y_n + y_{n-\tau}) \right) + \frac{-3n^3 y_{n+1}^4 + \sqrt{n} y_{n+1} + (y_{n+1} - 1)^{4/5}}{n^5 \ln^2(n+2) + |y_{n^2} - n^2|^3 + 1} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^n n^2 - 5n + 1}{n^4 + n^2 + \sin \sqrt{n^3 + 1}}, \quad \forall n \geq 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where  $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$  is fixed. Let  $n_0 = 1$ ,  $r = 1$ ,  $k = 2$ ,  $N = 2$ ,  $M = 3$ ,  $\beta = 1 - \tau$  and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1n} &= n, & f_{1n} &= n+1, & f_{2n} &= n^2, & b_n &= 1, & c_n &= \frac{(-1)^n n^2 - 5n + 1}{n^4 + n^2 + \sin \sqrt{n^3 + 1}}, \\ a(n, u) &= (-1)^n (n^6 - n^5 + 1) (u^2 + 1), & a_n &= 3(n^6 - n^5 + 1), \\ f(n, u, v) &= \frac{-3n^3 u^4 + \sqrt{nu} + (u-1)^{4/5}}{n^5 \ln^2(n+2) + |v - n^2|^3 + 1}, & p_n &= \frac{243n^3 + 3\sqrt{n} + 2}{n^5}, & \forall (n, u, v) &\in \mathbb{N}_{n_0} \times \mathbb{R}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

It is easy to verify that (3.1)–(3.3) hold. Thus Theorem 3.1 guarantees that (4.1) has uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $\overline{B(M, N)}$ . But the results in [1, 7] are not applicable for (4.1).

*Example 4.2.* Consider the second-order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \left( \left( n^5 \sqrt{n+2} + y_{n^2-60}^2 y_{2n+(-1)^n}^4 \right) \Delta(y_n - y_{n-\tau}) \right) &+ \frac{n^3 (y_{n+4} - 2)^9 - (n^3 - 2n + 1) y_{2n-1}^3}{n^5 + (y_{n^2-3}^4 - 3n)^2 + \sin(ny_{n+4}) + 2} \\ &= \frac{n^5 - 6n^3 \sqrt{2n-4} - 5}{n^{11} + 4}, \quad \forall n \geq 5, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where  $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$  is fixed. Let  $n_0 = 5$ ,  $r = 2$ ,  $k = 3$ ,  $N = 1$ ,  $M = 3$ ,  $\beta = \min\{5 - \tau, -35\}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1n} &= n^2 - 60, & a_{2n} &= 2n + (-1)^n, & f_{1n} &= n+4, & f_{2n} &= 2n-1, \\ f_{3n} &= n^2 - 3, & b_n &= -1, & c_n &= \frac{n^5 - 6n^3 \sqrt{2n-4} - 5}{n^{11} + 4}, & a(n, u, v) &= n^5 \sqrt{n+2} + u^2 v^4, \\ a_n &= n^5 \sqrt{n+2} + 1, & f(n, u, v, w) &= \frac{n^3 (u-2)^9 - (n^3 - 2n + 1) v^3}{n^5 + (w^4 - 3n)^2 + \sin(nu) + 2}, \\ p_n &= \frac{28n^3 + 54n + 27}{n^5}, & \forall (n, u, v, w) &\in \mathbb{N}_{n_0} \times \mathbb{R}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

It is clear that (3.1), (3.21), and (3.22) hold. Hence Theorem 3.2 ensures that (4.3) has uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $\overline{B(M, N)}$ . But the results in [1, 7] are not valid for (4.3).

*Example 4.3.* Consider the second-order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta \left( (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \left( (n^3 + 2) |y_{3n}^3| + n^2 y_{n-8}^2 + 1 \right) \Delta \left( x_n + \frac{(-1)^n (n^7 - 1)}{3n^7 + 1} x_{n-\tau} \right) \right) \\ & + \frac{(x_{n-5} - 1)^{1/3} + \sqrt{|x_{n(n+1)/2}^2 - 2|}}{n \ln^2 n + 1} \\ & = \frac{n^6 - 30n^4 + 8 \cos^5(4n^8 - 1)}{n^9 + n^5 + 2 \ln^2 n}, \quad \forall n \geq 3, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where  $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$  is fixed. Let  $n_0 = 3$ ,  $r = k = 2$ ,  $b_* = b^* = 1/3$ ,  $N = 2$ ,  $M = 7$ ,  $\beta = \min\{3 - \tau, -5\}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1n} &= 3n, & a_{2n} &= n - 8, & f_{1n} &= n - 5, & f_{2n} &= \frac{n(n+1)}{2}, & b_n &= \frac{(-1)^n (n^7 - 1)}{3n^7 + 1}, \\ c_n &= \frac{n^6 - 30n^4 + 8 \cos^5(4n^8 - 1)}{n^9 + n^5 + 2 \ln^2 n}, & a(n, u, v) &= (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \left( (n^3 + 2) |u^3| + n^2 v^2 + 1 \right), \\ a_n &= 8n^3 + 4n^2 + 1, & f(n, u, v) &= \frac{(u - 1)^{1/3} + \sqrt{|v^2 - 2|}}{n \ln^2 n + 1}, \\ p_n &= \frac{6^{1/3} + \sqrt{47}}{n \ln^2 n}, \quad \forall (n, u, v) \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0} \times \mathbb{R}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

It is clear that (3.1), (3.2) and (3.40) are satisfied. Hence Theorem 3.3 implies that (4.5) has uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $\overline{U(M)}$ . But the results in [1, 7] are unapplicable for (4.5).

*Example 4.4.* Consider the second-order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta \left( (-1)^{n-1} n^2 (y_{n^3-1}^4 + 1) \Delta \left( x_n - \frac{3n^2 - 3n - 3 \cos(n/2 - 1) + 1}{n^2 - n - \cos(n/2 - 1)} x_{n-\tau} \right) \right) \\ & + \frac{(3 - x_{n^2-9})^{1/3} - \sqrt{n-1} x_{2n-3}^3}{n^4 + n x_{3n-7}^4 + 1} \\ & = \frac{n^3 + (-1)^n n^2 + \ln^3(1 + n^2)}{n^6 + 4n^5 - 3n^4 + \sin^3(4n^2 - 5) + 1}, \quad n \geq 2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where  $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$  is fixed. Let  $n_0 = 2$ ,  $r = 1$ ,  $k = 3$ ,  $b_* = -4$ ,  $b^* = -3$ ,  $N = 1$ ,  $M = 3$ ,  $\beta = \min\{2 - \tau, -5\}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1n} &= n^3 - 1, & f_{1n} &= n^2 - 9, & f_{2n} &= 3n - 7, & f_{3n} &= 2n - 3, \\ b_n &= -\frac{3n^2 - 3n - 3\cos(n/2 - 1) + 1}{n^2 - n - \cos(n/2 - 1)}, & c_n &= \frac{n^3 + (-1)^n n^2 + \ln^3(1 + n^2)}{n^6 + 4n^5 - 3n^4 + \sin^3(4n^2 - 5) + 1}, \\ a(n, u) &= (-1)^{n-1} n^2 (u^4 + 1), & a_n &= n^2 \ln^3 n, & f(n, u, v, w) &= \frac{(3 - u)^{1/3} - \sqrt{2n - 3} v^3}{n^4 + n w^4 + 1}, \\ p_n &= \frac{2 + 27\sqrt{2n - 3}}{n^4}, & \forall (n, u, v, w) &\in \mathbb{N}_{n_0} \times \mathbb{R}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

It is easy to verify that (3.1), (3.2), and (3.69) hold. Hence Theorem 3.4 implies that (4.7) has uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $\bar{U}(M)$ . But the results in [1, 7] are not valid for (4.7).

*Example 4.5.* Consider the second-order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta \left( (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \left( (n+1)^5 + y_{2n-3}^8 + 2n^3 y_{n^2-1}^2 + \ln(1 + n|y_{n(n-1)}|) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \Delta \left( x_n + \frac{11 \ln(1 + n^2) + 11 \sin n + 10}{\ln(1 + n^2) + \sin n + 1} x_{n-\tau} \right) \right) + \frac{|x_{n-5} - 2|^{3/4} + (x_{n(n-2)} - 2)^2}{(n^2 + 3)^3 + |x_{n-5} - n^2 x_{n(n-2)}| + 1} \\ &= \frac{n^7 - 5n^4 - 9}{n^{11} + 7n^8 - 6n^7 + 5n^3 + 1}, \quad n \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where  $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$  is fixed. Let  $n_0 = 0$ ,  $r = 3$ ,  $k = 2$ ,  $b_* = 10$ ,  $b^* = 11$ ,  $N = 2$ ,  $M = 3$ ,  $\beta = \min\{-\tau, -5\}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1n} &= 2n - 3, & a_{2n} &= n^2 - 1, & a_{3n} &= n(n - 1), & f_{1n} &= n - 5, & f_{2n} &= n(n - 2), \\ b_n &= \frac{11 \ln(1 + n^2) + 11 \sin n + 10}{\ln(1 + n^2) + \sin n + 1}, & c_n &= \frac{n^7 - 5n^4 - 9}{n^{11} + 7n^8 - 6n^7 + 5n^3 + 1}, \\ a(n, u, v, w) &= (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \left( (n+1)^5 + u^8 + 2n^3 v^2 + \ln(1 + n|w|) \right), & a_n &= n^5, \\ f(n, u, v) &= \frac{|u - 2|^{3/4} + (v - 2)^2}{(n^2 + 3)^3 + |u - n^2 v| + 1}, & p_n &= \frac{2}{n^6 + 1}, & \forall (n, u, v, w) &\in \mathbb{N}_{n_0} \times \mathbb{R}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

It is easy to see that (3.1), (3.2), and (3.76) hold. Hence Theorem 3.5 guarantees that (4.9) possesses uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $A(N, M)$ . But the results in [1, 7] are inapplicable for (4.9).

## Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Science Research Foundation of Educational Department of Liaoning Province (L2012380) and the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2012R1A1A2002165).

## References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace, and D. O'Regan, "Nonoscillatory solutions for discrete equations," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 45, no. 6–9, pp. 1297–1302, 2003.
- [2] J. Cheng and Y. Chu, "Oscillation theorem for second-order difference equations," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 623–633, 2008.
- [3] S. S. Cheng and W. T. Patula, "An existence theorem for a nonlinear difference equation," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 193–203, 1993.
- [4] L. H. Erbe, Q. K. Kong, and B. G. Zhang, *Oscillation Theory for Functional Differential Equations*, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 1995.
- [5] J. Cheng, "Existence of a nonoscillatory solution of a second-order linear neutral difference equation," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 20, no. 8, pp. 892–899, 2007.
- [6] H. J. Li and C. C. Yeh, "Oscillation criteria for second-order neutral delay difference equations," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 36, no. 10–12, pp. 123–132, 1998.
- [7] Z. Liu, Y. Xu, and S. M. Kang, "Global solvability for a second order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 587–595, 2009.
- [8] J. W. Luo and D. D. Bainov, "Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of second-order neutral difference equations with maxima," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 131, no. 1-2, pp. 333–341, 2001.
- [9] M. Migda and J. Migda, "Asymptotic properties of solutions of second-order neutral difference equations," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, vol. 63, no. 5–7, pp. e789–e799, 2005.
- [10] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1985.